# More Dataset Manipulation

LPO 9951 | Fall 2017

## LPO 9951: More Dataset Manipulation

#### **PURPOSE**

Today we'll continue to work on dataset manipulation. We'll focus on more complicated merges as well as reshaping.

## Downloading ado files, setting globals, and loading data

To start, we need to create some example datasets so that we can use them for merging.

```
. preserve
. collapse (mean) api99, by(cnum)
. drawnorm county_inc, means(30) sds(5)
. sort cnum
. save county_data, replace
file county_data.dta saved
```

- . restore
- . preserve
- . collapse (mean) api99, by(dnum)
- . rename api99 api99c
- . gen edd = rbinomial(1,.3)
- . save district\_data, replace
  file district\_data.dta saved
- . restore

## Many-to-one match merging

Many times we'd like to add information about a unit that is the same across a grouping of units. For instance, we may want to add some county data to our already existing school-level data. This really isn't much different than the merging we've done before, except we need to make certain that the variables are sorted correctly.

Let's say we have county level data that we'd like to import into our school level dataset. Remember that we sorted the county data by the county number (its unique id) when we created the dataset. We do the same for the district data. Finally we merge the two together:

```
. // sort to aid in merge
```

- . // merge many-to-one
- . merge m:1 cnum using county\_data

(note: variable api99 was int, now float to accommodate using data's values)

```
Result # of obs.
-----
not matched 0
matched 6,194 (_merge==3)
```

Inspecting the merge:

. tab \_merge

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	_merge
100.00	100.00	6,194	matched (3)
	100.00	6,194	Total

. list cnum api99 county\_inc if \_n < 10

	+		+
	cnum	api99	county~c
1.	1	693	27.79561
2.	1	589	27.79561
3.	1	572	27.79561
4.	1	732	27.79561
5.	1	784	27.79561
6.	1	725	27.79561
7.	1	765	27.79561
8.	1	667	27.79561
9.	1	792	27.79561
	+		+

- . // plot and save
- . onewayplot api99, by(county\_inc) stack ms(oh) msize(\*.1) width(1) name(api99\_
- > ow)

```
. graph export ${plotdir}api99_ow.eps, name(api99_ow) replace
(file api99_ow.eps written in EPS format)
```

#### **Quick Exercise**

Create a (fake) county level variable for average educational spending. It should be normally distributed and have a mean of 8000 and a standard deviation of 1000. Add this variable to a county-level dataset and merge this new dataset into the api dataset.

<sup>.</sup> sort cnum

## One-to-many match merging

One to many match merging is the reverse of many to one, and isn't really recommended. If you have to, here's how to do it:

Let's say we have some district data on whether or not the principal has an EdD. We can open this up and merge the api data with it, matching on district number. It's generally better to have the *finer-grained* dataset open in memory, and then to match the *coarser* data to that one, doing a many-to-one match merge. But should you need to complete a one-to-many match merge, here's an example:

```
. use api, clear
. // sort to aid in merge
. sort dnum
. // save newly sorted dataset
. save api, replace
file api.dta saved
. // load example data
. use district_data, clear
. // sort to aid in merge
. sort dnum
. // merge one-to-many
. merge 1:m dnum using api
                                 # of obs.
   Result
   not matched
                                    6,194 (_merge==3)
   matched
   _____
. // inspect one-to-many merge
```

_merge	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
matched (3)	6,194	100.00	100.00
Total	6,194	100.00	

. list dnum api99 edd if  $_{\rm n}$  < 10

. tab \_merge

	т:			
	1	dnum	api99	edd
	1			
1.	-	1	491	1
2.		2	856	0
3.	-	3	760	1
4.	-	4	717	0
5.		5	669	1
	1			
6.	1	6	667	0

```
7. |
                 857
           7
   8. |
          10
                 411
                          0 1
   9. I
          12
                 782
                          0 |
. // messy merge
. use api, clear
. preserve
. drop api00 ell mobility
. sample 90
(619 observations deleted)
. save api_99, replace
file api_99.dta saved
. restore
. drop api99
. sample 90
(619 observations deleted)
. save api_00, replace
file api_00.dta saved
. // merge datasets
. merge snum using api_99, sort
(note: you are using old merge syntax; see [D] merge for new syntax)
(label stype already defined)
(label sch_wide already defined)
(label comp_imp already defined)
(label both already defined)
(label awards already defined)
(label yr_rnd already defined)
```

#### **Quick Exercise**

Create a (fake) district level variable for average teacher salary. It should have a mean of 40 and a standard deviation of 5. Merge the api data into this dataset.

## Messy merge

Many merge procedures are quite messy. To simulate this, let's eliminate a couple of variables from the api dataset and remove 10% of the observations. We'll put this into a file we're pretending is the api99 file. Next, we'll drop some data from the api00 file. Finally, we'll merge the resulting two files together.

```
. use api, clear
```

. preserve

- . drop api00 ell mobility
- . sample 90
  (619 observations deleted)
- . save api\_99, replace
  file api\_99.dta saved
- . restore
- . drop api99
- . sample 90
  (619 observations deleted)
- . save api\_00, replace
  file api\_00.dta saved
- . // merge datasets
- . merge snum using api\_99, sort

(note: you are using old merge syntax; see [D] merge for new syntax)

(label stype already defined)

(label sch\_wide already defined)

(label comp\_imp already defined)

(label both already defined)

(label awards already defined)

(label yr\_rnd already defined)

- . // inspect messy merge
- . tab \_merge

_merge	Freq	. Percent	Cum.
1 2 3	562   562   5,013	2 9.16	9.16 18.32 100.00
Total	+   6,13	7 100.00	

These combined files are likely to have lots of missing data. Let's take a look at some of the patterns of missing data. The first command to use is called **inspect**. The results from the inspect command look like this:

- . // command: inspect
- . inspect api99

api9	9:					Number of Observations		ervations
						Total	Integers	Nonintegers
		#			Negative	-	_	-
1		#			Zero	_	_	_
1	#	#	#		Positive	5575	5575	-
1	#	#	#					
I	#	#	#		Total	5575	5575	_
#	#	#	#	#	Missing	562		

+-----302 966 6137 (More than 99 unique values)

. inspect api00

api00	):				Number of Observations		ervations	
						Total	Integers	Nonintegers
1		#			Negative	-	-	-
1		#	#		Zero	_	_	_
1	#	#	#		Positive	5575	5575	_
1	#	#	#					
1	#	#	#		Total	5575	5575	-
#	#	#	#	#	Missing	562		
+								
346				969		6137		
(M		- 00			1			

(More than 99 unique values)

This gives you a nice quick glance at the variable in question. You can also use the mdesc command, the output of which looks like this:

. mdesc api99 api00

Variable		Missing	Total	Percent Missing
api99		562	6,137	9.16
api00		562	6,137	9.16

This is helpful in giving you a sense of how much missing data you have. Last, you can also use the mvpatterns command, which gives you a sense of the patterns of missing data in your dataset:

## . mvpatterns api99 api00 ell mobility

Variable	type	obs mv	variable label
api99 api00 ell mobility	int   int   byte   byte	5575 562 5575 562 5575 562 5571 566	english language learners pct 1st year in school

Patterns of missing values

+			
_pa	ttern	_mv	_freq
i	++++	0	5009
	.+++	1	562
1	+	3	562
1	+++.	1	4
+			+

Why do we care so much about missing values? Because the missingness of variable values is unlikely to be random across all observations. Instead, observations with missing values for covariate X may have different average values for covariate Z than those who don't have missing values. These differences can greatly skew

inferences we might hope to make with our analyses, so it is important that we have an understanding of the missingness of our data.

Here is a graphical example of the differences in api99 scores between students with ell data and those without:

```
. gen ell_flag = ell == .

. // plot kernel density of api99 of observations missing ell
. kdensity api99 if ell_flag == 1, ///
> name(api99_kdens) ///
> addplot(kdensity api99 if ell_flag == 0) ///
> legend(label(1 "Not Missing ELL") label(2 "Missing ELL")) ///
> note("") ///
> title("")

. graph export api99_kdens.eps, name(api99_kdens) replace
(file api99_kdens.eps written in EPS format)
```

#### Quick Exercise

Create a new dataset by dropping the meals and emergency credentials variables. Eliminate half of the data. Next create another dataset, dropping the parental education variables, and again get rid of half of the data. Merge the remaining two datasets together, then describe the patterns of missing data.

## Reshaping data

#### Wide to long

The last major type of data manipulation is known as **reshaping**. Many datasets have multiple observations per unit. One way to store this type of data is in a wide format, meaning each additional observation is another variable. Here's some data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis on quarterly income growth that's in wide format:

```
. // read in data and sort
. insheet using income.csv, comma clear
(35 vars, 60 obs)
. sort fips
. // reshape long
```

We want to have this data in long format, meaning that there will be multiple lines per unit, each one identifying a year and a quarter. The command for this is reshape long <stub>, i(<index>) j(<time var>). As you can see after the command, each unit/year now has its own line, and income is a single variable.

```
. reshape long inc_, i(fips) j(year_quarter, string)
(note: j = 2001q1 2001q2 2001q3 2001q4 2002q1 2002q2 2002q3 2002q4 2003q1 2003q
> 2 2003q3 2003q4 2004q1 2004q2 2004q3 2004q4 2005q1 2005q2 2005q3 2005q4 2006q
> 1 2006q2 2006q3 2006q4 2007q1 2007q2 2007q3 2007q4 2008q1 2008q2 2008q3 2008q
> 4 2009q1)
Data wide -> long
```

```
Number of obs. 60 -> 1980

Number of variables 35 -> 4

j variable (33 values) -> year_quarter

xij variables:
    inc_2001q1 inc_2001q2 ... inc_2009q1 -> inc_

. // create date that stata understands
. gen date = quarterly(year_quarter, "YQ")

. // format date so we understand it
. format date %tq

. // list few rows
. list if _n < 10
```

-	+				+
	fips	year_q~r	areaname	inc_	date
1.	   0	2001q1	United States	8681021	2001q1
2.	0	2001q2	United States	8713764	2001q2
3.	0	2001q3	United States	8726357	2001q3
4.	0	2001q4	United States	8746826	2001q4
5.	0	2002q1	United States	8802758	2002q1
6.	0	2002q2	United States	8876427	2002q2
7.	0	2002q3	United States	8888413	2002q3
8.	0	2002q4	United States	8923886	2002q4
9.	0	2003q1	United States	8985759	2003q1
+	+				+

We can now more easily set the date in a format Stata understands and take advantage of graphing commands such as xtline:

```
. xtset fips date, quarterly
        panel variable: fips (strongly balanced)
        time variable: date, 2001q1 to 2009q1
            delta: 1 quarter

. // drop non-states
. drop if fips < 1 | fips > 56
(297 observations deleted)

. // graph
. xtline inc_, i(areaname) t(date) name(xtline_fipsinc)

. graph export ${plotdir}xtline_fipsinc.eps, name(xtline_fipsinc) replace
(file xtline_fipsinc.eps written in EPS format)
```

## Long to wide

The reverse of the above is reshaping from long to wide. To shift the above dataset back, use the same command, but substitute wide for long:

- . // drop date that we added (no longer needed)
- . drop date
- . // long to wide
- . reshape wide inc\_, i(fips) j(year\_quarter, string)

(note: j = 2001q1 2001q2 2001q3 2001q4 2002q1 2002q2 2002q3 2002q4 2003q1 2003q > 2 2003q3 2003q4 2004q1 2004q2 2004q3 2004q4 2005q1 2005q2 2005q3 2005q4 2006q > 1 2006q2 2006q3 2006q4 2007q1 2007q2 2007q3 2007q4 2008q1 2008q2 2008q3 2008q

> 4 2009q1)

Data	long	->	wide
Number of obs.	1683	->	51
Number of variables	4	->	35
j variable (33 values) xij variables:	year_quarter	->	(dropped)
	inc_	->	inc_2001q1 inc_2001q2 inc_20
> 09q1			

- . // list first rows
- . list if n < 4

1.	-	fips   inc_~1q1   inc_~1q2   inc_~1q3   inc_~1q4   inc_~2q1   inc_~2q2   1   109274   110408   110688   111313   112368   113461							
		inc_~2q4   115220	inc_~3q1   116690	inc_~3q2   117483	inc_~3q3   118720	inc_~3q4   120532			
		inc_~4q2   125119			inc_~5q1   130665	inc_~5q2   132880			
		inc_~5q4   137933			inc_~6q3   142824	inc_~6q4   144444			
	   inc_~7q1   147643	inc_~7q2   149042			inc_~8q1   153897	inc_~8q2   158468			
	inc_~8q3   ir 157344		nc_~8q4   156638	inc_~9q   15669		areaname Alabama			

	24346	24700	25294	25815   +	26085	26535   -+		
   	inc_~7q1   26882	inc_~7q2   27180	inc_~7q3 27367	inc_~7q4     27662	inc_~8q1 29105	inc_~8q2     29712		
   	inc_~8q3 30091		nc_~8q4 30335	inc_~9q1   29371		areaname   Alaska		
+								
3.	fips   inc_~1q1   inc_~1q2   inc_~1q3   inc_~1q4   inc_~2q1   inc_~2q2							
   	inc_~2q3   144410	inc_~2q4     146108	inc_~3q1 147196	inc_~3q2     149424	inc_~3q3 151205	inc_~3q4     154504		
     	inc_~4q1   159779	inc_~4q2     163073	inc_~4q3 165946	inc_~4q4     170893	inc_~5q1 175472	inc_~5q2     180311		
     	inc_~5q3 185625	inc_~5q4   188724	inc_~6q1 194543	inc_~6q2     197373	inc_~6q3 201417	inc_~6q4     204527		
     	inc_~7q1 205774	inc_~7q2   207079	inc_~7q3 210275	inc_~7q4     211284	inc_~8q1 212797	inc_~8q2     215657		
    -	inc_~8q3   inc_~8q4 214590   212970			inc_~9q1   211477		areaname   Arizona		

## Quick Exercise

Download data on personal per capita from 1950 to the present for all 50 states from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Create a plot using the **xtline** command. You're looking for the "Annual state personal income and employment" under state personal income accounts.

Init: 16 August 2015; Updated: 25 September 2017