

**Questions based on lecture 2: Statistical learning theory**

- (1) (1.0 pt.) With a PAC learnable class  $\mathcal{C}$ , with algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  and sample  $S$ , if the generalisation error satisfies  $Pr(R(h_S) \leq 0.1) \geq 0.95$ , which of the statements is **true**?
- (a) It is possible to run the algorithm and obtain a hypothesis with only 50% accuracy.
  - (b) It is not possible to obtain a hypothesis with a worse accuracy than 90%
  - (c) Always at least 10% of the data samples are wrongly classified.
- (2) (1.0 pt.) Consider classification problem on a dataset containing 4 binary features, and a binary label. Using a rule-based classifier with boolean conjunctions, the sample complexity bound is

$$m \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \log(|\mathcal{H}|) + \log\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right).$$

You are interested in obtaining 90% accuracy in your model. Initially you are contemplating 85% confidence as you have just enough data samples for that, but would prefer 99%. How many more samples do you need to collect to obtain the better confidence?

- (a) 11 more samples
- (b) 27 more samples
- (c) 64 more samples

**Questions based on lecture 3: Learning with infinite hypothesis classes**

- (3) (1.0 pt.) A classifier has a VC dimension of 4. Which of the following claims is true?
- (a) Given any four data points, it is possible to shatter them with the classifier.
  - (b) It is not possible to shatter any collection of five data points with the classifier.
  - (c) It is not possible to shatter any collection of three data points with the classifier.
- (4) (1.0 pt.) [*Programming exercise*] The attached example code contains a simple dataset and a binary classifier. What is the value of the generalisation bound based on Rademacher complexity for training set sizes  $n=20$ ,  $n=50$  and  $n=100$  (use the first  $n$  samples of the training set)? Choose the closest values (randomness from calculating the empirical Rademacher bound can result in small variation in the results). Use  $\delta = 0.05$ .
- (a)  $20 \approx 0.99$ ;  $50 \approx 0.61$ ;  $100 \approx 0.42$
  - (b)  $20 \approx 1.29$ ;  $50 \approx 0.81$ ;  $100 \approx 0.65$
  - (c)  $20 \approx 1.63$ ;  $50 \approx 1.25$ ;  $100 \approx 1.12$
- (5) (1.0 pt.) [*Programming exercise*] Using the same setting as the previous exercise, calculate the value of the generalisation bound based on VC-dimension. The VC-dimension of perceptron is  $d + 1$ , where  $d$  is the number of features.
- (a)  $20 = 1.21$ ;  $50 = 0.85$ ;  $100 = 0.64$
  - (b)  $20 = 1.39$ ;  $50 = 0.95$ ;  $100 = 0.8$
  - (c)  $20 = 1.51$ ;  $50 = 1.05$ ;  $100 = 0.87$

---

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.datasets import make_moons

# =====
# dataset

n_tot = 400
n = int(n_tot/2)
# two moons, not really linearly separable
X, y = make_moons(n_tot, noise=0.15, random_state=0)

plt.figure()
colors = ["g", "b"]
for ii in range(2):
    class_indices = np.where(y==ii)[0]
    plt.scatter(X[class_indices, 0], X[class_indices, 1], c=colors[ii])
plt.title("full dataset")
plt.show()

# divide data into training and testing
np.random.seed(42)
order = np.random.permutation(n_tot)
train = order[:n]
test = order[n:]

Xtr = X[train, :]
ytr = y[train]
Xtst = X[test, :]
ytst = y[test]

# =====
# classifier

# The perceptron algorithm will be encountered later in the course
# How exactly it works is not relevant yet, it's enough to just know it's a binary classifier
from sklearn.linear_model import Perceptron as binary_classifier

# It can be used like this:
bc = binary_classifier()
bc.fit(Xtr, ytr) # this is how to train the classifier on training data
preds = bc.predict(Xtst) # this is how to obtain predictions on test data
```

---