

AGTA notes

October 29, 2013

1 Nash equilibrium

Nash equilibrium occurs when two players are simultaneously playing their best strategy and no player can benefit by deviating from this strategy. Every game has a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium but not all games have a pure strategy Nash.

1.1 Methods

1.2 Informal methods

The following is a quick, informal method of finding Nash equilibrium although it is not advised to use definitively.

Example

Consider the following matrix:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & A & B & C \\ D & (5, 1) & (2, 0) & (2, 2) \\ E & (0, 4) & (1, 5) & (4, 5) \\ F & (2, 3) & (3, 6) & (1, 0) \end{array} \end{array}$$

In order to find the Nash Equilibrium, we need to find each players best responses to each of the other players best responses. Starting with player 1 (the rows), we highlight the best response that player 1 can make if player 2 plays A:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & A & B & C \\ D & (\mathbf{5}, 1) & (2, 0) & (2, 2) \\ E & (0, 4) & (1, 5) & (4, 5) \\ F & (2, 3) & (3, 6) & (1, 0) \end{array} \end{array}$$

And then player 1's best response if player 2 plays B or C:

| | A | B | C |
|---|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| D | <u>5</u> , 1 | 2, 0 | 2, <u>2</u> |
| E | 0, 4 | 1, <u>5</u> | <u>4</u> , <u>5</u> |
| F | 2, 3 | <u>3</u> , <u>6</u> | 1, 0 |

We then do the same for player 2 for responses to player 1, obtaining.

| | A | B | C |
|---|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| D | <u>5</u> , 1 | 2, 0 | 2, <u>2</u> |
| E | 0, 4 | 1, <u>5</u> | <u>4</u> , <u>5</u> |
| F | 2, 3 | <u>3</u> , <u>6</u> | 1, 0 |

As (4, 5) and (3, 6) are both underlined, these are the Nash equilibriums of the game.

1.3 Proofs and properties

2 Iterative Dominance

We can intuitively see that if one strategy is better than another (i.e it is a dominant strategy) than the dominated strategy will never be played. The order that iterative dominance is conducted does not effect the result.

There are two types types of dominance - strict and weak dominance.

If a game can be reduced to one strategy by strict dominance then we can say that there is only one Nash Equilibrium of the game.

Strictly dominated strategy

A strictly dominated strategy can never be a best reply.

Thus, we should remove it as it will never be played.

3 Notation and Definitions

$u_i(a_i, a_{-i})$: the pay off for playing a_i , regardless of all other strategies

$u_i(a_i, a_{-i}) < u_i(a'_i, a_{-i})$: utility of a'_i is better than a_i

4 Examples

4.1 Nash Equilibrium