# Designing a GraphQL schema

This lesson covers

- Planning UI features and mapping them to API operations

- Coming up with schema language text based on planned operations

- Mapping API features to sources of data

Why AZdev?

- When software developers are performing their day-to-day tasks, they often need to look up one particular thing, such as how to compute the sum of an array of numbers in JavaScript.

- They are not really interested in scanning pages of documentation to find the simple code example they need.

The API requirements for AZdev

API server
(GraphQL)

Data source #1

Data source #2

Data source #3

The API requirements for AZdev

- It's not at all unusual to have many sources of data in the same project, They don't all have to be database services.
- A project can use a key-value cache service, get some data from other APIs, or even read data directly from files in the filesystem.
- A GraphQL schema can interface as many services as needed.

The API requirements for AZdev

- Extra data elements on Approaches like explanations, warnings, or general notes will be stored in a document database, I picked MongoDB for that.
- A document database like MongoDB is "schemaless," which makes it a good fit for this type of dynamic data.
- An Approach might have a warning or an explanation associated with it, and it might have other data elements that we're not aware of at the moment.

The core types

- The main entities in the API I'm envisioning for AZdev are User, Task, and Approach.
- These will be represented by database tables in PostgreSQL.
- Let's make each table have a unique identity column and an automated creation date-time column.
- In a GraphQL schema, tables are usually mapped to object types, and table columns are mapped to fields under these object types.

```graphql
type User {
  id: ID!
  createdAt: String!
  username: String!
  name: String

  # More fields for a User object
 }

type Task {
  id: ID!
  createdAt: String!
  content: String!

  # More fields for a Task object
}

   type Approach {
     id: ID!
     createdAt: String!
     content: String!

     # More fields for an Approach object
   }
```

Queries

- I like to come up with pseudo-code-style operations (queries, mutations, and subscriptions) that are based on the envisioned UI context and then design the schema types and fields to support these operations.

The latest Task records

- The GraphQL API has to provide a query root field to do that.
- This list will be limited to just the last 100 records, and it will always be sorted by the creation timestamp (newer first).
- Let's name this field taskMainList.

```
query {
  taskMainList {
    id
    content

    # Fields on a Task object
  }
}
```

The latest Task records

- To support the simple taskMainList query root field, here's a possible schema design.

```
type Query {
    taskMainList: [Task!]

    # More query root fields
}
```

Search and the union/interface types

- The main feature of the AZdev UI is its search form.
- Users will use it to find both Task and Approach objects.

Search and the union/interface types

- To support that, we can simply add these new fields to the Task and Approach types

```
type Task {
    #   . - . - .
    approachCount: Int!
}


type Approach {
    #   . - . - .
    task: Task!
}
```

```
query {
  search(term: "something") {
    taskList {
      id
      content
      approachCount
    }
    approachList {
      id
      content
      task {
        id
        content
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Search and the union/interface types

```
search(term: "something") {
  id
  content

  approachCount  // when result is a Task

  task {         // when result is an Approach
    id
    content
  }
}
```

```
query {
  search(term: "something") {
    type: __typename
    ... on Task {
      id
      content
      approachCount
    }
    ... on Approach {
      id
      content
      task {
        id
        content
      }
    }
  }
}
```

USING A UNION TYPE

- In the GraphQL schema language, to implement this union type for the search root field, we use the union keyword with the pipe character (|) to form a new object type.

```
union TaskOrApproach = Task | Approach

type Query {
    # .-.-.
    search(term: String!): [TaskOrApproach!]
}
```

Using an interface type

```
query {
  search(term: "something") {
    type: __typename
    id
    content
    ... on Task {
      approachCount
    }
    ... on Approach {
      task {
        id
        content
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```graphql
interface SearchResultItem {
  id: ID!
  content: String!
}
```
Replaces the union
TaskOrApproach type

```graphql
type Task implements SearchResultItem {
  #  . — . — .
  approachCount: Int!
}


type Approach implements SearchResultItem {
  #  . — . — .
  task: Task!
}


type Query {
  #  . — . — .
  search(term: String!): [SearchResultItem!]
}
```

The page for one Task record

The GraphQL API must provide a query root field to enable consumers to get data about one Task object.

- Let's name this root field taskInfo.

```
query {
    taskInfo (
        # Arguments to identify a Task record
    ) {
        # Fields under a Task record
    }
}
```

The page for one Task record

- To identify a single Task record, we can make this field accept an id argument.
- Here is what we need to add in the schema text to support this new root field.

```
type Query {
    #  · — · — ·
    taskInfo(id: ID!): Task
}
```

The page for one Task record

- The simplest way to account for the number of votes on Approaches is to add a field to track how many current votes each Approach object has.

Let's do that.

```
type Approach implements SearchResultItem {
    #  .-.-.
    voteCount: Int!
}
```

Entity relationships

There are a few other relationships that we have to support as well:

- When displaying a Task record in the UI, we should display the name of the user who created it. The same applies to Approach objects.
- For each Approach, the application will display its list of extra detail data elements. It's probably a good idea to have a new Approach Detail object type to represent that relation

Entity relationships

We need to represent four relationships in this API:

- A Task has many Approaches.
- A Task belongs to a User.
- An Approach belongs to a User.
- An Approach has many Approach Detail records.

```
query {
  taskInfo (
    # Arguments to identify a Task record
  ) {
    # Fields under a Task record

    author {
      # Fields under a User record
    }

    approachList {
      # Fields under an Approach record

      author {
        # Fields under a User record
      }

      detailList {
        # Fields under an Approach Detail record
      }
    }
  }
}
```

- To support these relationships in the schema, we add references to their core types

```
type ApproachDetail {
  content: String!

  # More fields for an Approach Detail record
}

type Approach implements SearchResultItem {
  #  . - . - .
  author: User!
  detailList: [ApproachDetail!]!
}

type Task implements SearchResultItem {
  #  . - . - .
  author: User!
  approachList: [Approach!]!
}
```

New core type to represent Approach Detail objects

The ENUM type

- We can use GraphQL's special ENUM type to represent them.

- Here is how to do that (in SDL).

```
enum ApproachDetailCategory {
    NOTE
    EXPLANATION
    WARNING
}
```

The ENUM type

- Now we can modify the ApproachDetail GraphQL type to use this new ENUM type.

```
type ApproachDetail {
    content: String!
    category: ApproachDetailCategory!
}
```

List of scalar values

- Let's also make these tags part of the data response for each field that returns Task objects.

It can simply be an array of strings.

```
type Task implements SearchResultItem {
    #  .-.-.
    tags: [String!]!
}
```

The page for a user's Task records

- Let's give logged-in users the ability to see the list of their Task records, We will name the field to support that taskList.
- However, making taskList a root field might be confusing.
- It could be interpreted as a field to return a list of all Task records in the database! We can name it differently to clear up that confusion, but another useful practice can also solve this issue.

The page for a user's Task records

```
query {
  me (
    # Arguments to validate user access
  ) {
    taskList {
      # Fields under a Task record
    }
  }
}
```

The page for a user's Task records

- To support the me { taskList } feature, we will have to introduce two fields in the schema: a root me field that returns a User type and a taskList field on the User type.

```
type User {
    #  . - . - .
    taskList: [Task!]!
}

type Query {
    #  . - . - .
    me: User
}
```

Authentication and authorization

- The me field will require an access token, In this project, we're going to use a simple string access token for authentication.

- I'll refer to that token as authToken from now on, This string value will be stored in the database with a user record, and we can use it for personal query fields like me and search and some mutations as well

Mutations

```
mutation {
  userCreate (
    # Input for a new User record
  ) {
    # Fail/Success response
  }
}
```

```
mutation {
  userLogin (
    # Input to identify a User record
  ) {
    # Fail/Success response
  }
}
```

Mutations

```
type UserError {
  message: String!
}

type UserPayload {
  errors: [UserError!]!
  user: User
  authToken: String
}
# More entity payloads

type Mutation {
  userCreate(
    # Mutation Input
  ): UserPayload!

  userLogin(
    # Mutation Input
  ): UserPayload!

  # More mutations
}
```

Mutation input

- Mutations always have some kind of input that usually has multiple elements.
- To better represent and validate the structure of a multifield input, GraphQL supports a special input type that can be used to group scalar input values into one object.
- For example, for the userCreate mutation, let's allow the mutation consumer to specify a first name, last name, username, and password, All of these fields are strings.

Mutation input

```
# Define an input type:
input UserInput {
  username: String!
  password: String!
  firstName: String
  lastName: String
}

# Then use it as the only argument to the mutation:
type Mutation {
  userCreate(input: UserInput!): UserPayload!

  # More mutations
}
```

Mutation input

- For the userLogin mutation, we need the consumer to send over their username and password.
- Let's create an AuthInput type for that

```
input AuthInput {
    username: String!
    password: String!
}

type Mutation {
    # .-.-.
    userLogin(input: AuthInput!): UserPayload!
}
```

Deleting a user record

- Let's also offer AZdev API consumers a way to delete their user profile.

- We will plan for a userDelete mutation to do that.

```
mutation {
  userDelete {
    # Fail/Success payload
  }
}
```

Deleting a user record

- For a payload, we can just return the ID of the deleted user if the operation was a success.

Here's the SDL text that represents this plan:

```
type UserDeletePayload {
  errors: [UserError!]!
  deletedUserId: ID
}

type Mutation {
  #  .-.-.
  userDelete: UserDeletePayload!
}
```

Creating a Task object

- To create a new Task record in the AZdev application, let's make the API support a taskCreate mutation.

- Here's what that mutation operation will look like.

```
mutation {
    taskCreate (
        # Input for a new Task record
    ) {
        # Fail/Success Task payload
    }
}
```

Creating a Task object

- Here's the SDL text that represents what we planned for the Task entity mutations.

```
input TaskInput {
  content: String!
  tags: [String!]!
  isPrivate: Boolean!
}

type TaskPayload {
  errors: [UserError!]!
  task: Task
}

type Mutation {
  #  .-.-.
  taskCreate(input: TaskInput!): TaskPayload!
}
```

Creating and voting on Approach entries

- To create a new Approach record on an existing Task record, let's make the API support an approachCreate mutation

```
mutation {
    approachCreate (
        # Input to identify a Task record
        # Input for a new Approach record (with ApproachDetail)
    ) {
        # Fail/Success Approach payload
    }
}
```

Creating and voting on Approach entries

- We'll make that part of the Approach payload.

```
mutation  {
  approachVote (
    # Input to identify an Approach record
    # Input for "Vote"
  ) {
    # Fail/Success Approach payload
  }
}
```

```
input ApproachDetailInput {
  content: String!
  category: ApproachDetailCategory!
}

input ApproachInput {
  content: String!
  detailList: [ApproachDetailInput!]!
}

input ApproachVoteInput {
  up: Boolean!
}

type ApproachPayload {
  errors: [UserError!]!
  approach: Approach
}

type Mutation {
  # .-.-.
```

The ENUM type here will validate the accepted categories.

Creating and voting on Approach entries

```
approachCreate(
    taskId: ID!
    input: ApproachInput!
): ApproachPayload!

approachVote(
    approachId: ID!
    input: ApproachVoteInput!
): ApproachPayload!
}
```

Creating and voting on Approach entries

- We just put the comment text on the line before the field that needs it and surround that text with triple quotes (""").

```
input ApproachVoteInput {
    """true for up-vote and false for down-vote"""
    up: Boolean!
}
```

Subscriptions

- Let's name this subscription operation voteChanged.

```
subscription {
  voteChanged (
    # Input to identify a Task record
  ) {
    # Fields under an Approach record
  }
}
```

Subscriptions

- Let's name this subscription operation taskMainListChanged.

```
subscription {
    taskMainListChanged {
        # Fields under a Task record
    }
}
```

Subscriptions

- To support these subscriptions, we define a new Subscription type with the new fields under it, like this:

```
type Subscription {
    voteChanged(taskId: ID!): Approach!
    taskMainListChanged: [Task!]
}
```

Full schema text

- Did you notice that I came up with the entire schema description so far just by thinking in terms of the UI?

- How cool is that? You can give this simple schema language text to the frontend developers on your team, and they can start building the frontend app right away!

- They don't need to wait for your server implementation.

Designing database models

We have four database models in this project so far:

- User, Task, and Approach in PostgreSQL

- ApproachDetail in MongoDB

Designing database models

- To create a PostgreSQL schema, you can use this command:

CREATE SCHEMA azdev;

The User model

- The users database table will have a record for each registered user.

- Besides the unique ID and creation-time fields we're adding under each model, a user record will have a unique username field and a hashed password field.

- These fields are required, We've designed the GraphQL User type to have a name field.

The User model

- Here's a SQL statement to create a table for the User model.

```
CREATE TABLE azdev.users (
    id serial PRIMARY KEY,
    username text NOT NULL UNIQUE,
    hashed_password text NOT NULL,
    first_name text,
    last_name text,
    hashed_auth_token text,
    created_at timestamp without time zone NOT NULL
        DEFAULT (now() at time zone 'utc'),

    CHECK (lower(username) = username)
);
```

The Task/Approach models

- The tasks table will have a record for each Task object that's submitted to the AZdev application.
- We designed a Task object to have a content text field, a list of tags, and an approachCount integer field.
- We'll also need to add a field to support the isPrivate property, which we planned for in the mutation to create a new Task object, A Task object can have many tags.

The Task/Approach models

- Here's a SQL statement to create a table for the Task model

```
CREATE TABLE azdev.tasks (
  id serial PRIMARY KEY,
  content text NOT NULL,
  tags text,
  user_id integer NOT NULL,
  is_private boolean NOT NULL DEFAULT FALSE,
  approach_count integer NOT NULL DEFAULT 0,
  created_at timestamp without time zone NOT NULL
    DEFAULT (now() at time zone 'utc'),

  FOREIGN KEY (user_id) REFERENCES azdev.users
);
```

The Task/Approach models

- Here's a SQL statement to create a table for the Approach model

```
CREATE TABLE azdev.approaches (
    id serial PRIMARY KEY,
    content text NOT NULL,
    user_id integer NOT NULL,
    task_id integer NOT NULL,
    vote_count integer NOT NULL DEFAULT 0,
    created_at timestamp without time zone NOT NULL
        DEFAULT (now() at time zone 'utc'),

    FOREIGN KEY (user_id) REFERENCES azdev.users,
    FOREIGN KEY (task_id) REFERENCES azdev.tasks
);
```

tasks

id	serial
content	text
tags	text
user_id	integer
is_private	boolean
approach_count	integer
created_at	timestamp

approaches

id	serial
content	text
user_id	integer
task_id	integer
vote_count	integer
created_at	timestamp

users

| id	serial
username	text
hashed_password	text
first_name	text
last_name	text
hashed_auth_token	text
created_at	timestamp

The Approach Details model

- You actually don't need to "create a database";
- you just use it, and MongoDB automatically creates the currently used database the first time you insert any data into it.
- You can run the following command to use a new database in a MongoDB client:

use azdev

The Approach Details model

The Approach Details model

```
db.createCollection("approachDetails", {
  validator: {
    $jsonSchema: {
      bsonType: "object",
      required: ["pgId"],
      properties: {
        pgId: {
          bsonType: "int",
          description: "must be an integer and is required"
        },
      }
    }
  }
});
```

The Approach Details model

- Each Approach record will have a single record in the approachDetails collection.
- The Approach Detail record will have fields like explanations, warnings, notes, and other categories in the future.
- Each of these fields will have an array of text items.
- We'll have to transform this special storage schema when resolving a GraphQL API request that asks for Approach Details.

Summary

- An API server is an interface to one or many data sources, GraphQL is not a storage engine; it's just a runtime that can power an API server.
- An API server can talk to many types of data services, Data can be queried from databases, cache services, other APIs, files, and so on.
- A good first step when designing a GraphQL API is to draft a list of operations that will theoretically satisfy the needs of the application you're designing.

"Complete Lab"