```
# Check important environment variables
import os
print("JAVA_HOME =", os.environ.get("JAVA_HOME"))
print("SPARK HOME =", os.environ.get("SPARK HOME"))
print("PYSPARK_SUBMIT_ARGS =", os.environ.get("PYSPARK_SUBMIT_ARGS"))
    JAVA_HOME = /usr/lib/jvm/temurin-11-jdk-amd64
     SPARK_HOME = /usr/lib/spark
     PYSPARK_SUBMIT_ARGS = --deploy-mode client pyspark-shell
from pyspark.sql import SparkSession
spark = SparkSession.builder.getOrCreate()
sc = spark.sparkContext
spark.version
from pyspark.sql.functions import (
    col, when, lead, input_file_name, regexp_extract, to_date,
    udf, sum as spark_sum, mean, stddev, min, max, count, sum
from pyspark.sql.window import Window
from pyspark.sql.types import (
    StructType, StructField, StringType, IntegerType, DoubleType, DateType, LongType
from pyspark.ml import Pipeline
from pyspark.ml.feature import Tokenizer, StopWordsRemover, HashingTF, IDF
from pyspark.ml.classification import LogisticRegression
from pyspark.ml.pipeline import PipelineModel

→ Setting default log level to "WARN".
     To adjust logging level use sc.setLogLevel(newLevel). For SparkR, use setLogLevel(newLevel).
     25/05/15 19:56:35 INFO SparkEnv: Registering MapOutputTracker
     25/05/15 19:56:35 INFO SparkEnv: Registering BlockManagerMaster
     25/05/15 19:56:35 INFO SparkEnv: Registering BlockManagerMasterHeartbeat
     25/05/15 19:56:35 INFO SparkEnv: Registering OutputCommitCoordinator
```

Financial Article Sentiment Analysis

Student: Ashley Sun

On April 7th, Monday, a false headline about President Trump considering a 90-day pause on the tariffs led to a swift surge of the S&P 500, adding trillions in market value within minutes, then crashing down rapidly after the White House refuted the report. This incident highlights the need for a performant live sentiment analysis system to make trading decisions. For the project, I built a scalable ML pipeline using big data tools such as Google Cloud Data Ingestion, Hadoop, HDFS, Spark, and Spark MLlib. I trained my own financial news sentiment analysis model from scratch and tested the model against historical pricing data.

Tasks

- Ingest financial news, historical price data, and sentiment word lists at scale
- · Clean, format, and efficiently store the input
- · Featurize and label the articles
- Train and test our own sentiment analysis model on dated financial news
- · Efficiently manage and store intermediate results such as models
- Combine sentiment prediction with historical financial data for evaluation
- Efficiently evaluate and backtest the strategy

Data Sources:

- Nasdaq Financial News: ~26GB, 15 millions dated financial articles
- Nasdaq Historical Prices: ~ 4700 csv files. Each file contains historical prices of a symbol.
- · Loughran-McDonald Sentiment Word List: sentiment word list focusing on financial news
- · Liu Bing Sentiment Word List: supplemental generic sentiment word list

Challenges and Solutions

- · Storing and processing data at scale is difficult.
 - Solution: I utilized Google Data Ingestion and HDFS on Dataproc to manage all my data. I manually uploaded the data files to Ingestion portal, logged into Dataproc and copied it to HDFS.
- · NYU Google Cloud Dataproc does not have many ML libraries such as pytorch, Scikit Learn, or SparkNLP.
 - o Solution: I built my own ML model with very basic libraries such as SparkML.
- · Our JupyterLab (the one we used for homework) is not on Dataproc and cannot handle the amount of data required.
 - o Solution: I ran a Jupyter Notebook with PySpark on DataProc. However it is still quite challenging due to environmental setup.
 - Solution on running Jupyter Notebook on NYU Dataproc:

```
export JAVA_HOME=/usr/lib/jvm/temurin-11-jdk-amd64
export SPARK_HOME=/usr/lib/spark
export PYSPARK_PYTHON=python3
export PYSPARK_DRIVER_PYTHON=jupyter
export PYSPARK_DRIVER_PYTHON_OPTS="notebook"
unset SPARK_SUBMIT_OPTIONS
unset PYSPARK_SUBMIT_ARGS
export PYSPARK_SUBMIT_ARGS="--deploy-mode client pyspark-shell"
jupyter notebook
```

To visit the notebook from my laptop, I installed GCloud and did a port forwarding:

```
gcloud compute ssh nyu-dataproc-m --project hpc-dataproc-19b8 --zone us-central1-f -- -N -L 8888:localhost:8
```

Sources: https://sites.google.com/nyu.edu/nyu-hpc/hpc-systems/cloud-computing/dataproc

Raw Data Processing

Raw artical data is downloaded from

https://huggingface.co/datasets/Zihan1004/FNSPID/resolve/main/Stock_news/nasdaq_exteral_data.csv to my local laptop. Then I uploaded to https://dataproc.hpc.nyu.edu/ingest Data Ingestion Console. The data there is stored in Google Cloud Storage and it's temporary. To use the data in Spark in this notebook, I copied the data to hdfs with hadoop fs -cp gs://nyu-dataproc-hdfs-ingest/****/nasdaq_exteral_data.csv.

Even though it is a CSV file, the format is bad for Spark. Instead of 1 record per row, the Article column spans multiple line. Each article is inside of "..". This is bad for parallelizing task because in order to find the next record, we have to parse each line until a ". We cannot split the file arbitrarily since we might cut a record in half. As we see later, this dataset has **15,549,299** records. Proper preprocessing and storage are neccesary.

To address this, I'm loading the raw file once with proper parsing and storing the cleaned result in Spark Parquet format on HDFS. Parquet is a columnar storage format that is fast for Spark.

```
schema = StructType([
    StructField("Unnamed: 0", IntegerType(), True),
                                                               # likely an index column
    StructField("Date", DateType(), True),
                                                               # e.g., 2023-05-01
    StructField("Article_title", StringType(), True),
StructField("Stock_symbol", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Url", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Publisher", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Author", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Article", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Lsa_summary", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Luhn summary", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Textrank_summary", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Lexrank_summary", StringType(), True),
])
# Intentionally commented out don't run this again
# # The Aritcl fields are all multi-line
# df = spark.read.csv(
      '/user/***/nasdaq_exteral_data.csv',
```

→ 15549299

			3233	13343
Article	Stock_symbol	Article_title	Date	
None	None	ANALYSIS-US Mideast peace bid faces many obsta	2007-01-11 00:26:00 UTC	0
None	EFX	Equifax Canada Reports Consumer Debt Grows to	2014-12-03 00:00:00 UTC	1
Налоговая система России после 2018 года должн	None	Силуанов назвал главную задачу обновленной нал	2016-12-01 00:00:00 UTC	2
Looking today at week-over-week shares outstan	GSEE	IJR, ONTO, FN, RMBS: ETF Outflow Alert	2023-10-09 00:00:00 UTC	3
Учителя и учащиеся некоторых школ в Калифорнии	None	Американские учителя рассказали о неумеющих чи	2017-12-06 00:00:00 UTC	4
The NASDAQ 100 After Hours Indicator is down	HBAN	After Hours Most Active for Aug 11, 2021 : CLO	2021-08-11 00:00:00 UTC	5
			2016-01-09 03:54:00	-

Load Sentiment Words for model training

In order to train my own model, I need to know what words are positive and what are negative. Instead of enumerating it myself, here is a well-known dataset for determining the sentiment of a word. This dataset is geared towards financial articles.

https://sraf.nd.edu/loughranmcdonald-master-dictionary/. This is also uploaded to data injest then I copied it to HDFS. This file is 86k lines.

```
# Load csv from hdfs
lm_df = spark.read.csv(
    "/user/****/Loughran-McDonald_MasterDictionary_1993-2024.csv",
    header=True,
    inferSchema=True
)

lm_df.printSchema()
print(lm_df.count()) # This is small so we can do this
lm_df.limit(10).toPandas()
```

```
→ root
       -- Word: string (nullable = true)
       -- Seq_num: integer (nullable = true)
      -- Word Count: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Word Proportion: double (nullable = true)
       -- Average Proportion: double (nullable = true)
       -- Std Dev: double (nullable = true)
      |-- Doc Count: integer (nullable = true)
|-- Negative: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Positive: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Uncertainty: integer (nullable = true)
-- Litigious: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Strong_Modal: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Weak_Modal: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Constraining: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Complexity: integer (nullable = true)
       -- Syllables: integer (nullable = true)
      |-- Source: string (nullable = true)
```

86553

	Word	Seq_num	Word Count	Word Proportion	Average Proportion	Std Dev	Doc Count	Negative	Positive	Uncertainty	Litigious	Strong_
0	AARDVARK	1	755	2.955070e-08	1.945421e-08	4.078069e-06	140	0	0	0	0	
1	AARDVARKS	2	3	1.174200e-10	8.060019e-12	8.919011e-09	1	0	0	0	0	
2	ABACI	3	9	3.522600e-10	1.089343e-10	5.105359e-08	7	0	0	0	0	
3	ABACK	4	29	1.135060e-09	6.197922e-10	1.539279e-07	28	0	0	0	0	
4	ABACUS	5	9620	3.765268e-07	3.825261e-07	3.421836e-05	1295	0	0	0	0	
5	ABACUSES	6	0	0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00	0.000000e+00	0	0	0	0	0	
6	ABAFT	7	4	1.565600e-10	2.144787e-11	2.373367e-08	1	0	0	0	0	
7	ABALONE	8	149	5.831860e-09	4.729504e-09	1.031859e-06	52	0	0	0	0	
8	ABALONES	9	1	3.914000e-11	7.715206e-11	8.537449e-08	1	0	0	0	0	
9	ABANDON	10	154158	6.033745e-06	4.824004e-06	3.261271e-05	76324	2009	0	0	0	

```
# Keep only relevant columns
basic_cols = [
    "Word",
    "Word Proportion",
]
category_cols = [
    "Negative",
    "Positive",
    "Uncertainty",
    "Litigious",
    "Strong_Modal",
    "Weak_Modal",
    "Constraining"
]
selected_cols = basic_cols + category_cols
lm_df_filtered = lm_df.select(*selected_cols)
```

Converting from versioned category value to True and False

From the website, it states: "The sentiment categories are: negative, positive, uncertainty, litigious, strong modal, weak modal, and constraining. The sentiment words are flagged with a number indicating the year in which they were added to the list. Note: A year preceded by a negative sign indicates the year/version when the word was removed from the sentiment category."

The end goal is to have a list of words for each category, so first we convert the versioned to true false

```
category_cols = [
   "Negative",
   "Positive",
   "Uncertainty",
   "Litigious",
   "Strong_Modal",
```

```
"Weak Modal",
    "Constraining"
# For integer-type version columns
for col_name in category_cols:
    lm_df_filtered = lm_df_filtered.withColumn(
        col_name,
        when(col(col_name).isNotNull() & (col(col_name) > 0), True).otherwise(False)
neg_words = lm_df_filtered.filter((col("Negative") == True) | \
                                   (col("Litigious") == True) | \
                                  (col("Constraining") == True) ).select("Word").rdd.flatMap(lambda x: x).collect()
neg_regex = "(?i)\b(" + "|".join([w.lower() for w in neg_words]) + ")\b"
neg_set = set(neg_words)
neg_size = len(neg_set)
print(f'Size of neg word set: {neg_size}')
pos_words = lm_df_filtered.filter((col("Positive") == True) | \
                                   (col("Strong_Modal") == True) | \
                                   (col("Weak_Modal") == True)).select("Word").rdd.flatMap(lambda x: x).collect()
pos\_regex = "(?i)\b(" + "|".join([w.lower() for w in pos\_words]) + ")\b"
pos_set = set(pos_words)
pos_size = len(pos_set)
print(f'Size of pos word set: {pos_size}')
    Size of neg word set: 3245
     Size of pos word set: 389
```

Adding Liu Bing Word Sets for Balancing

Noticed that this opinion word list is very imbalanced. I found another list called https://www.cs.uic.edu/~liub/FBS/sentiment-analysis.html which contains more generic positive and negative words.

```
# Load and filter lines (skip empty and comment lines)
pos_rdd = sc.textFile("/user/***/positive-words.txt") \
            .filter(lambda line: line.strip() and not line.strip().startswith(";")) \
            .map(lambda word: word.strip().upper())
neg_rdd = sc.textFile("/user/***/negative-words.txt") \
            .filter(lambda line: line.strip() and not line.strip().startswith(";")) \
            .map(lambda word: word.strip().upper())
# Example usage
pos_set_2 = set(pos_rdd.collect())
neg_set_2 = set(neg_rdd.collect())
print(f"Loaded {len(pos_set_2)} positive and {len(neg_set_2)} negative words.")
Loaded 2006 positive and 4783 negative words.
# Combine both sets
pos_set = pos_set.union(pos_set_2)
neg_set = neg_set.union(neg_set_2)
pos_size = len(pos_set)
neg_size = len(neg_set)
print(f'Size of pos word set: {pos_size}')
print(f'Size of neg word set: {neg_size}')
    Size of pos word set: 2204
    Size of neg word set: 7167
```

Limited Sample Size Test

We will first test our pipelines with a small sample size of 10k articles. In this debugging pipeline, I'm calling show() a lot which triggers evaluation. This is slow and impractical on large sample size.

Labeling the data

I first tried to use Spark regex to match against my word lists, but this doesn't work if both word lists are long. When an article matches something in both word lists, the pos always wins.

```
# This doesn't work when there are a lot of words in each category
# df_labeled = df_filtered.withColumn(
# "label",
# when(col("Article").rlike(pos_regex), 1)
# .when(col("Article").rlike(neg_regex), 0)
# .otherwise(None)
# ).filter(col("label").isNotNull()) # Keep only labeled rows
```

Therefore I am writing my own udf to compute a score base on count. Since the 2 sets of words have drastically different counts, I'm normalizing by their respective total count.

```
def score_by_count(text):
    import builtins
    if text is None:
        return 0
    words = text.lower().split()
    # usinging builtins.sum because I imported PySpark sum at the top
    pos_count = builtins.sum(1 for w in words if w.upper() in pos_set)
    neg_count = builtins.sum(1 for w in words if w.upper() in neg_set)
    pos_score = pos_count / pos_size
    neg_score = neg_count / neg_size
    return pos_score - neg_score
score_udf = udf(score_by_count, DoubleType())
df_filtered = df_filtered.withColumn("scaled_sentiment_score", score_udf(col("Article_title"))) \
       .withColumn("label", when(col("scaled_sentiment_score") > 0, 2)
                           .when(col("scaled_sentiment_score") == 0, 1)
                           .when(col("scaled_sentiment_score") < 0, 0)</pre>
                           .otherwise(None))
#Inspect some non-zero ones
df_filtered.filter(col("scaled_sentiment_score") != 0.0).select("Article_title", "scaled_sentiment_score").show(10)
→
            Article_title|scaled_sentiment_score|
     |Verizon Is Growin...| -1.39528394028184...|
     |New Media Investm...|
                            4.537205081669691...
     |Tech Today: Broad...| 4.537205081669691...
     |Atlantica Sustain...|
                            4.537205081669691...
     |Is SPDR MSCI EAFE...|
                             9.074410163339383E-4
     |Rio Tinto (RIO) I...|
                            4.537205081669691...
                            -2.79056788056369...
     |Silver Weekly Pri...|
     |Wall Street drops...|
                            -1.39528394028184...
```

|Why Hecla Mining ... | 3.141921141387844E-4|

```
|Natural Gas Price...| 4.537205081669691...|
+-----+
only showing top 10 rows
```

Article Featurization with TF-IDF

We use Term Frequency - Inverse Document Frequence to featurize each Article. It transforms each Article to a feature (numeric) vector. The ith value in the vector is the TF-IDF score of the ith word in the document, which signifies the importance of the word. TF-IDF has 2 distinct steps:

- 1. Term Frequency: TF(term, doc) = count of term in doc / total terms in doc: this is the frequency of the term within the current article.

 This represents how important a word is in a document. This step is easily parallelizable. Each Article can be processed independently (on different Spark nodes).
- 2. Inverse Document Frequency: IDF(term) = log(total number of articles / (1 + number of articles containing term). This step downweight words that are frequent across all documents such as "the", and "a" since they provide very little value.

Ideally, our feature vector is long enough so that every word in our sample set can be accounted for. In practice, we limit our vector size to numFeatures. We hash each word to get the corresponding index.

Logistic Regression on Categorical Label

In the previous steps, we labeld each article 0,1,2 (negative, neutral, positive) depending on the sentiment score and we created feature vector with TF-IDF. The final step is to choose a model. I'm using Logistic Regression (httml#logistic-regression) which is a very common supervised machine learning algorithm for classification. Specifically, I'm using Multinomial Logistic Regression since I have 3 categories.

```
# Tokenize the articles (like homework assignment)
# https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/api/python/reference/api/pyspark.ml.feature.Tokenizer.html
tokenizer = Tokenizer(inputCol="Article", outputCol="words")
# Remove stop words like "the", "is", "and", "a", "in", "to", "for", "on", "of", "with"
# https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/api/python/reference/api/pyspark.ml.feature.StopWordsRemover.html
remover = StopWordsRemover(inputCol="words", outputCol="filtered")
# TF discussed above
tf = HashingTF(inputCol="filtered", outputCol="rawFeatures", numFeatures=200)
# IDF discussed above
idf = IDF(inputCol="rawFeatures", outputCol="features")
# Logistic Multi-label regression
lr = LogisticRegression(featuresCol="features", labelCol="label", family="multinomial", maxIter=100)
pipeline = Pipeline(stages=[tokenizer, remover, tf, idf, lr])
model = pipeline.fit(df_filtered)
# Always save it
model.write().overwrite().save("/user/***/sentiment_model")
₹
# model = PipelineModel.load("/user/***/sentiment_model")
df_with_prediction = model.transform(df_filtered)
df_with_prediction.select("Article_title", "prediction", "probability").show(10, truncate=False)
```

```
₹
    |Article_title
                                                                                                                    | Iprediction| prob
    IIJR. ONTO. FN. RMBS: ETF Outflow Alert
                                                                                                                                1[0.0
                                                                                                                     11.0
    After Hours Most Active for Aug 11, 2021 : CLOV, HBAN, CVE, QQQ, ACWI, CLF, MDLZ, KBWB, MTG, MFC, ABBV, LXP|1.0
                                                                                                                                [0.0
    |Weekly Economic Overview (September 3 - 6, 2013).
                                                                                                                    11.0
                                                                                                                                1[0.0
    |Why Arcimoto Stock Skyrocketed 721.7% in 2020
                                                                                                                                1 [0.1
                                                                                                                     11.0
    |TJX Companies, Inc. (TJX) Ex-Dividend Date Scheduled for November 10, 2014
                                                                                                                     11.0
                                                                                                                                 [0.0
    |Notable ETF Inflow Detected - IGV, ADBE, CRM, INTU
                                                                                                                                [0.0
                                                                                                                     11.0
    |Up 50% in the Past Month, Is Beyond Meat Stock a Buy?
                                                                                                                     11.0
                                                                                                                                [0.0
    |Hercules Technology (HTGC) Q4 Earnings and Revenues Beat Estimates
                                                                                                                     11.0
                                                                                                                                1 [0.0
    |CONSOL Lags EPS, Issues Guidance - Analyst Blog
                                                                                                                                [0.0
                                                                                                                    11.0
```

12.0

```
|Noteworthy Wednesday Option Activity: WBA, CREE, ITCI
```

only showing top 10 rows

Testing with Price Data

only showing top 5 rows

schema = StructType([

₹

In order to evaluat our sentiment analysis, we will load historical daily price data for the symbols.

I have downloaded price data from https://github.com/Zdong104/FNSPID_Financial_News_Dataset?tab=readme-ov-file and injested into HDFS. It is a folder containing 7694 price files of thousands of rows. Each file is named by the stock symbol like below. After inspection I will load all csv files at once with manual schema because it is faster.

```
df_price = spark.read.csv("hdfs:///user/****/full_history/AAPL.csv", header=True, inferSchema=True)
df_price.show(5)
df_price.printSchema()
```

```
datel
                          open
                                              high|
                                                                   lowI
                                                                                    close
                                                                                                    adi closel
                                                                                                                volume
|2023-12-28|194.13999938964844| 194.6600036621093| 193.1699981689453| 193.5800018310547| 193.5800018310547|34014500|
|2023-12-27| 192.4900054931641
                                             193.5 | 191.08999633789065 |
                                                                       193.1499938964844
                                                                                           193.1499938964844|48087700|
2023-12-26| 193.6100006103516|193.88999938964844| 192.8300018310547| 193.0500030517578| 193.0500030517578|28919300|
2023-12-22|195.17999267578125| 195.4100036621093|192.97000122070312| 193.6000061035156| 193.6000061035156|37122800|
|2023-12-21| 196.1000061035156| 197.0800018310547|
                                                                193.5 | 194.67999267578125 | 194.67999267578125 | 46482500 |
```

```
root
    date: date (nullable = true)
  -- open: double (nullable = true)
  -- high: double (nullable = true)
  -- low: double (nullable = true)
  -- close: double (nullable = true)
  -- adj close: double (nullable = true)
  -- volume: integer (nullable = true)
```

```
StructField("Date", StringType(), True),
    StructField("Open", DoubleType(), True),
    StructField("High", DoubleType(), True),
    StructField("Low", DoubleType(), True),
    StructField("Close", DoubleType(), True),
    StructField("Adj Close", DoubleType(), True),
    StructField("Volume", LongType(), True)
])
# Read all the csvs, they will be concat together
price_df = spark.read.csv("/user/****/full_history/*.csv", header=True, schema=schema)
price_df = price_df.withColumn("Date", to_date("Date", "yyyy-MM-dd"))
{\tt\#\ https://stackoverflow.com/questions/68915138/spark-sql-regex-to-extract-date-file-name-and-brand}
price_df = price_df.withColumn(
    "Stock_symbol",
    regexp_extract(input_file_name(), r"([^/]+)\.csv$", 1) # grabs the symbol from path
# Select only needed columns
```

To test our sentiment prediction, we will take a 1 day long/short position on the selected symbol if the prediction is 2/0 respectively. To compute the return, we will compute future 1 day return: (Price(t+1)-Price(t))/Price(t).

```
window_spec = Window.partitionBy("Stock_symbol").orderBy("Date")
\# Shift the price by 1
price df = price df.withColumn(
    "adj_close_plus_1", lead("adj_close", 1).over(window_spec)
```

price_df = price_df.select("Date", "Stock_symbol", col("Adj Close").alias("adj_close"))

```
# Compute the return
price_df = price_df.withColumn(
     "return",
     (col("adj_close_plus_1") - col("adj_close")) / col("adj_close")
)
```

Now we take a look at the tables. We want to join them on Stock_symbol and Date. For each artical, we will have the forward 1 day return corresponding to the symbol and date.

```
# Two table that we are joining
price_df.show(10)
```

This show triggers a big evaluation
df_with_prediction.limit(10).select("Date","Stock_symbol","Article_title","prediction").show()

<u> </u>	+	.		·	
₹'	Date	Stock_symbol	adj_close	adj_close_plus_1	return
	1962-01-02			•	0.015328207355262016
	1962-01-03	AA	1.5602117776870728	1.5602117776870728	0.0
	1962-01-04	l AA	1.5602117776870728	1.5583264827728271	-0.00120835834032
	1962-01-05	l AA	1.5583264827728271	1.5074503421783447	-0.03264793427880103
	1962-01-08	l AA	1.5074503421783447	1.4952024221420288	-0.00812492437967
	1962-01-09	i AA	1.4952024221420288	1.4970871210098269	0.001260497468361
	1962-01-10	AA	1.4970871210098269	1.4914336204528809	-0.00377633370670
	1962-01-11	AA	1.4914336204528809	1.4603431224822998	-0.02084604875753054
	1962-01-12	I AA	1.4603431224822998	1.424540400505066	-0.02451665052277
	1962-01-15	•		•	-0.00793654446464
	+	+		+	++

only showing top 10 rows

+	+te Stock_symbol	Article_title	+ prediction
2023-12-13 00:00:. 2018-01-12 00:00:. 2017-08-22 00:00:. 2023-12-15 00:00:. 2021-03-26 00:00:. 2022-05-30 00:00:. 2023-10-19 00:00:. 2016-06-17 00:00:. 2022-02-07 00:00:. 2019-12-13 00:00:. 2019-12-13 00:00:.	WDC 	Lantheus Holdings Zacks.com feature Public Storage's Celanese (CE) Ral Notable Friday Op Should iShares Ru READ: Rosenbluth New Philadelphia Graham (GHM) Repo This Quarter's To	2.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 1.0

```
# Join on symbol and date
joined_df = df_with_prediction.join(
    price_df,
    on=["Date", "Stock_symbol"],
    how="left"
```

joined_df.filter(col("return").isNotNull()).select("Date", "Stock_symbol", "Article_title", "prediction", "return").show(10)

→-	+	+	·		
₹.	Date	Stock_symbol	Article_title	 prediction	return
	2022-05-10 00:00: 2023-02-09 00:00: 2021-08-31 00:00: 2023-11-29 00:00: 2012-09-12 00:00: 2023-08-04 00:00: 2023-12-07 00:00: 2023-12-11 00:00: 2023-12-11 00:00:	ACB ACI ACI ACT ACT ACTG ACTG AGI AGIO AGMACH	Should iShares Co Aurora Cannabis I Noteworthy ETF In Everest Group (EG Zacks #1 Rank Add Monsanto Tops 03 Agios (AGIO) 02 E Why Is Inter Parf 2 Unstoppable Gro	2.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 1.0	
	2023-12-15 00:00:	AGM-A	Carnival Stock Ha	1.0	-0.01716141320192

only showing top 10 rows

_

```
when(col("prediction") == 2.0, col("return"))
.when(col("prediction") == 0.0, -col("return"))
.otherwise(0.0)
```

df_with_strategy_return.select("Date", "Stock_symbol", "Article_title", "prediction", "return", "strategy_return").show(10)

,	+		+	 	-		 +
	l	Date	Stock_symbol	Article_title	prediction	return	strategy_return
	+ 2018-10-31	00:00:	•	It Took Just 1 We			0.010294072960761444
	2018-11-27	00:00:	ACB	Now That the Pot	2.0	0.08363631277373343	0.08363631277373343
	2019-06-04	00:00:	ACB	Absent Immediate	1.0	-0.01778907519848998	0.0
	2019-08-28	00:00:	ACB	Despite Pot Stock	1.0	-0.00537635327469	0.0
	2019-11-12	00:00:	I ACM	AECOM Technology	1.0	-0.02018090743603	0.0
	2022-04-19	00:00:	j ACMR	Validea Peter Lyn	2.0	-0.01525822857467	-0.01525822857467
	2016-03-23	00:00:	ADI	Analog Devices to	2.0	0.005314507015057924	0.005314507015057924
	2017-07-07	00:00:	ADI	Microsemi Rating	2.0	0.003313426435224797	0.003313426435224797
	2016-06-07	00:00:	ADP	Automatic Data Pr	1.0	0.010192086984231952	0.0
	2013-12-18	00:00:	ADUS	Tenet Downgraded	1.0	-0.03350292666390068	0.0
	+		+	 			

only showing top 10 rows

```
stats = df_with_strategy_return.select(
    count("strategy_return").alias("n"),
    mean("strategy_return").alias("mean_return"),
    stddev("strategy_return").alias("std_return"),
    min("strategy_return").alias("min_return"),
    max("strategy_return").alias("max_return"),
    sum("strategy_return").alias("total_return"),
    sum(when(col("prediction") == 2.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("long_counts"),
    sum(when(col("prediction") == 1.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("neutral_counts"),
    sum(when(col("prediction") == 0.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("short_counts"))
```

stats.show()

<u> </u>							L	
<u></u>	n	mean_return	std_return	min_return	max_return	total_return	long_counts	 neutr
	1382	5.250920769828881E-4	0.025672836615400586	-0.17098445749610666	0.7562499940395355	0.7256772503903514	366	+

Approx. Median strategy return: 0.0

Results

number of articles = 10k n (number of trades) = 1382 mean (average return) = 5.25e-4 stdev of return = 0.03 min return = -0.17 max max = 0.75 sum(return) = 0.75

The average return is near 0 but positive meaning we have a tiny edge. However, we get near 0 if we normalize the return by standard deviation. This is the Sharpe ratio, and it is saying that our return per unit risk is very low.

The sum of the return is 75% meaning that if we invest a fix dollar amount on each prediction, our cumulative return is 75%.

The results indicate that the data pipeline is working. We can proceed to train a full-size model.

Training Bigger Sample Size and Seperate Test Set

Steps are the same as above without intermediate triggering action. I am using all 15M articles instead of 10k in the example above. After the initial filter, we have roundly 2M. I am also splitting training and testing set in a 80-20 split.

```
# Reduce sample size and drop empty
df = spark.read.parquet('/user/****/nasdaq_parquet/')
# Train-test split
```

```
train_df, test_df = df.randomSplit([0.8, 0.2])
# Filtering Training
train_df_filtered = (
    train_df.dropna(subset=["Article", "Stock_symbol"]) # removes nulls
          (col("Article").isNotNull()) & (col("Article") != "") &
          (col("Stock_symbol").isNotNull()) & (col("Stock_symbol") != "")
      )
)
# Filtering Test
test_df_filtered = (
    test_df.dropna(subset=["Article", "Stock_symbol"]) # removes nulls
          (col("Article").isNotNull()) & (col("Article") != "") &
          (col("Stock_symbol").isNotNull()) & (col("Stock_symbol") != "")
      )
)
# Count just 1 column, it's columnar storage so this is faster but still takes a while
print(train_df_filtered.select("Date").count())
print(test_df_filtered.select("Date").count())
train_df_filtered = train_df_filtered.withColumn("scaled_sentiment_score", score_udf(col("Article_title"))) \
                                     .withColumn("label", when(col("scaled_sentiment_score") > 0, 2)
                                                          .when(col("scaled_sentiment_score") == 0, 1)
                                                          .when(col("scaled sentiment score") < 0, 0)</pre>
                                                          .otherwise(None))
    1993258
     498520
# Labeling
# Featurizing Article, all discussed above
tokenizer = Tokenizer(inputCol="Article", outputCol="words")
remover = StopWordsRemover(inputCol="words", outputCol="filtered")
tf = HashingTF(inputCol="filtered", outputCol="rawFeatures", numFeatures=200)
idf = IDF(inputCol="rawFeatures", outputCol="features")
lr = LogisticRegression(featuresCol="features", labelCol="label", family="multinomial", maxIter=100)
pipeline = Pipeline(stages=[tokenizer, remover, tf, idf, lr])
# Fitting
model = pipeline.fit(train_df_filtered)
# Always save it
model.write().overwrite().save("/user/***/sentiment_model_big")
₹
# Evaluation on Train set
train_df_with_prediction = model.transform(train_df_filtered)
train_joined_df = train_df_with_prediction.join(
    price df.
    on=["Date", "Stock_symbol"],
    how="left"
train_df_with_strategy_return = train_joined_df.filter(col("return").isNotNull())\
                                         .withColumn(
                                                 "strategy_return",
                                                when(col("prediction") == 2.0, col("return"))
                                                 .when(col("prediction") == 0.0, -col("return"))
                                                 .otherwise(0.0))
stats = train_df_with_strategy_return.select(
    count("strategy_return").alias("n"),
    mean("strategy_return").alias("mean_return"),
    stddev("strategy_return").alias("std_return"),
    min("strategy_return").alias("min_return"),
    max("strategy_return").alias("max_return"),
    sum("strategy_return").alias("total_return"),
    sum(when(col("prediction") == 2.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("long_counts"),
```

```
number of articles = 2M
n (number of trades) = 409k
mean (average return) = 9e-5
stdev of return = 0.058
min return = -0.96
max max = 35.46
sum(return) = 36.94
Long correct= 37471 / (37471+36003) = 51% Short correction = 1657 / (1657+1670) = 49.8%
# Evaluation on Test set
model = PipelineModel.load("/user/****/sentiment_model_big")
```

```
test_df_with_prediction = model.transform(test_df_filtered)
test_joined_df = test_df_with_prediction.join(
    price df.
    on=["Date", "Stock_symbol"],
    how="left"
test_df_with_strategy_return = test_joined_df.filter(col("return").isNotNull())\
                                          .withColumn(
                                                  "strategy_return",
                                                 when(col("prediction") == 2.0, col("return"))
                                                  .when(col("prediction") == 0.0, -col("return"))
                                                  .otherwise(0.0))
stats = test_df_with_strategy_return.select(
    count("strategy_return").alias("n"),
    mean("strategy_return").alias("mean_return"),
    stddev("strategy_return").alias("std_return"),
    min("strategy_return").alias("min_return"),
    max("strategy_return").alias("max_return"),
    spark_sum("strategy_return").alias("total_return"),
    # Prediction counts
    spark_sum(when(col("prediction") == 2.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("long_counts"),
    spark_sum(when(col("prediction") == 1.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("neutral_counts"),
    spark sum(when(col("prediction") == 0.0, 1).otherwise(0)).alias("short counts"),
    # Long success/failure
    spark\_sum(when((col("prediction") == 2.0) & (col("return") > 0), 1).otherwise(0)).alias("correct\_long"),
    spark_sum(when((col("prediction") == 2.0) & (col("return") < 0), 1).otherwise(0)).alias("false_long"),</pre>
    # Short success/failure
    spark_sum(when((col("prediction") == 0.0) & (col("return") < 0), 1).otherwise(0)).alias("correct_short"),</pre>
    spark\_sum(when((col("prediction") == 0.0) & (col("return") > 0), 1).otherwise(0)).alias("false\_short")
stats.toPandas()
\overline{\mathbf{x}}
             n mean_return std_return min_return max_return total_return long_counts neutral_counts short_counts correct_lo
     0 408404
                   -0.000052
                                0.018741
                                           -1.348358
                                                        4.612245
                                                                     -21.287422
                                                                                      76834
                                                                                                     328131
                                                                                                                     3439
                                                                                                                                  376
```

Test Results

```
number of articles = 498k

n (number of trades) = 408k

mean (average return) = -5.2e-5

stdev of return = 0.018

min return = -1.34

max max = 4.61

sum(return) = -21.28

Long correct= 37636 / (37636+36281) = 50.9% Short correction = 1676 / (1676+1705) = 49.6%
```

Long and short correction rates are similar to those of training. This means our model isn't overfitting. The actual returns are less conclusive. Both the test and train results have near 0 average return and 0 Sharpe ratio (average/stdev).

Conclusion

This project aims to utilize the big data tools that we learned to build a custom machine learning pipeline in sentiment driven trading. Based on the results, it appears that extracting sentiments from news is not the most reliable approach. We are not sure sentiments themselves have sufficient values in making trading decisions. However, it was still a valuable project that proves to be capable of handling massive data in a practical example.

Start coding or generate with AI.