

**Whitman Neg List 3/1**

(List Of Affirmative Cases For The Negative)

Abilene Christian .....	2
Alabama.....	3
Allegheny.....	6
Arizona State.....	7
Arkansas State.....	9
Army (USMA/West Point) .....	10
Augustana IL.....	12
Augustana (??) .....	14
Bakersfield.....	15
Baylor.....	17
Berkeley.....	24
Binghamton .....	31
Biola .....	32
Boston College.....	33
Cal State LA .....	38
Case Western .....	40
Catholic University.....	42
Chicago.....	56
Chico .....	57
Columbia .....	60
Concordia .....	61
Cornell.....	64
Dartmouth.....	73
Duquesne .....	83
Emory.....	84
Emporia.....	114
ENMU .....	119
Florida State.....	120
Fort Hays .....	122
Fullerton .....	126
George Mason.....	132
Georgetown.....	139
George Washington.....	145
Georgia .....	148
Georgia State.....	157
Gonzaga.....	161
Harvard.....	176
Idaho State .....	178
Illinois State .....	179
Iowa.....	182
John Carrol .....	191
Johnson County .....	195
Kansas .....	197
Kansas State.....	207
Kentucky.....	217
Lewis and Clark .....	231
Liberty .....	242
Louisville.....	251
Macalester.....	257
Marist .....	259
Mary Washington.....	260
Mercer .....	261
Methodist.....	270
Miami .....	272

Miami Ohio.....	275
Michigan.....	277
Michigan Dearborn .....	290
Michigan State .....	292
MTSU.....	312
Navy .....	319
Northern Illinois .....	323
Northern Iowa .....	324
North Texas .....	327
Northwestern.....	336
NYU .....	352
Oregon.....	353
Pace .....	357
Penn State .....	364
Pepperdine .....	365
Pittsburg.....	368
Redlands .....	374
Richmond .....	380
Rochester .....	381
Samford .....	382
San Diego State.....	386
San Francisco State .....	388
Seattle.....	390
South Carolina .....	395
Southeast Louisiana.....	398
Southern California .....	400
Southern Illinois.....	407
Southwest Missouri State.....	408
Stanford .....	419
Texas .....	420
Trinity.....	435
UMKC.....	436
UPS .....	450
Utah.....	457
UT Dallas.....	459
UT San Antonio .....	462
Vermont.....	463
Wake Forest.....	468
Wayne State.....	473
Weber State.....	479
West Georgia .....	482
West Virginia.....	492
Western Washington .....	495
Whitman .....	500
Wichita State.....	508
William Jewell .....	509
Wyoming.....	510

## Abilene Christian

### *UCO*

#### ACU MP

##### PLAN TEXT

- Engage by 1. Lifting all economic sanctions except nuclear reactor technology. 2. Sending an aid package. 3. Establish an agreement to form an anti-drug alliance

##### 1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

- Schultz '94
- Arendt '98
- Gunn '94
- Schwab '99

##### ADVANTAGE AREAS

- Prevent Civil War
- Increase US leadership
- Stop drug trafficking
- Stop famine/death

##### 2AC Relevant Tricks

#### Ark/ACU PP

##### PLAN TEXT

- Iran
- Lift sanctions
- Managed prolif

##### 1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

##### ADVANTAGE AREAS

- Solvency for racism
- Language against Iran

##### 2AC RELEVANT TRICKS

- Charm

#### Abilene Christian DR

Plan: The USFG will remove all remaining unilateral sanctions or restrictions on NK, agree to formally end the Korean war of 1953, disband the military armistice commission and the UN command, offer to establish a trilateral mutual security commission and implement a program of food aid and medical assistance. F & E through normal means. We'll clarify.

Advantages:

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

## Alabama

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

ALABAMA PG

Plan: The USFG will lift all economic sanctions on the government of Cuba and enter into bilateral negotiations and relations.

Advantages: Health, Transition.

Advocates: Friedman, NYT, 9-31-1999.

### ***WAKE***

Alabama

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Alabama GP

vs.: West Georgia HS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Congdon

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Plan change (no bilateral investment treaty this time)

New IAC cards:

-Theory crushes validity of experience & silences marginalized

[Birrell, Sport, Men, and the Gender Order, 1990, p. 189]

-Sanctions doom women by exacerbating economic hardship

[Buck, Gallant, Nossal, Review of International Studies, vol 24, p 73-75]

-NGO solutions best

[Grabendorff, Cuba and the Caribbean: Regional Issues & Trends in the Post-Cold War Era, 1997, p. 233-4]

-US embargo on Cuba based on militarism and hegemony

[Schwab, Cuba: Confronting the US embargo, p. 14, 21, 23]

-Suffering is evil; moral priority should be to end suffering

[Simon, Radical Philosophy of Law, 1995, p. 59]

-Critical feminism must not renounce state action

[Rhode, Feminist Legal Theories, 1997, p. 123; Yeatman, Reconstructing Political Theory: Feminist Perspectives, 1997, p. 154 and 165]

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Topicality-CE

1. CD - engagement is economic relations [Fisk, FNS, 6/3/98, "largely unimpeded"]

2. We meet - constructive engagement

3. Have to prepare for sanctions

4. CE explodes the topic

5. Plan does negotiations with govt

6. Lit checks

Neoliberalism Ans

1. Castro not allow investment

2. US companies out of Cuba
3. Schwab evidence neoliberalism now
4. Neoliberalism increasing now [x]
5. Case outweighs - systemic impact
6. No alternative
7. Poverty crushes sustainable development [x]
8. No evidence MNCs go there

Sustainable Agriculture Ans

1. No link to export to Cuba
  2. No evidence pesticides cause mindset shift
  3. Empirically denied - mass starvation now
  4. Organic food causes disease
- [Morano, U.S. Newswire, 8/25/99, "addressing pathogens"]

Vaccines Ans

1. Cuba won't accept
2. US has meningitis vaccine now from Cuba [National Post, 7/24/99, "Cuban vaccine"]
3. No evidence who affected
4. Medicine available in Cuba now [Economist, 8/21/99, "embargo hits hardest"]
5. Impact empirically denied - black plague solves

Gendertivity Ans

1. We remove sanctions
  2. SQ gendered
  3. Case outweighs - 30 years devastating atrocities - should redress
  4. Permute - do plan and take into account their criticism [Nossal]
  5. Feminists don't categorically renounce state action - should act
  6. We're not a token gesture - our advocacy consensual
  7. IAC argues militarism bad
  8. Extend Barrel evidence that theory crushes and marginalizes
  9. Sanctions exacerbate economic hardship - hurts women
  10. Solvency not based on gendered IR
  11. Extend Schwab evidence - embargo militaristic
  12. Extend Simons - suffering evil - answers back always reject
  13. Rhode evidence - feminists support state action
  14. Using women as a category of analysis excludes other oppression
- [Elam, Feminism and Deconstruction, 1994, p. 31-2]
15. Focus on North American women bad
- [Elshtain, Winter 1995, Journal of Intl Affairs, p. 545]
16. Permute - accept criticism and vote affirmative
- [Bartlett, Feminist Legal Theories, 1997, p. 304]

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Alabama CL

vs.: Vermont SM

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Ayotte

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Observation 1 - Crisis

- Hunger, malnutrition, health crisis, prostitution, 600,000 Cuban lives

Observation 2 - War

- Sanctions strengthen hard-liners
- Sanctions prevent diplomatic relations with the future gov't
- --> transition wars with WMD

Observation 3 - Solvency

- Softline allows peaceful transition

Plan: Lift all sanctions and offer negotiations regarding relations.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Generic kritik answers.

Alabama

School: Alabama

Team(s): Carroll & Lamberth / Pfister & Gordon

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation 1--internal crisis

embargo has caused malnutrition and prostitution--schwab 99

caused public health crisis--american association of world health 97

thousands die--aawh 97

Observation 2--transition

HB => migration pressures--clissold 97

property rights confusion => violent transition--dhooge 97

HB prevents consolidation of peaceful transition--clissold 97

embargo increases tensions, causing WMD attack--ratliff and fontaine 93

intervention results; casualties are high--clissold 97

Plan--the usfg should immediately remove all economic sanctions on the government of cuba, including the CDA and Helms-Burton. negotiations on a bilateral investment treaty will be offered. funding, enforcement, and implementation are guaranteed.

Observation 3--solvency

BIT solves--dunning 98

plan is a no risk proposition: cuba is not a threat and repression is high now--aronson & rogers 99

domestic opposition is scattered--schultz 94

plan solves the transition--friedman 99

## Allegheny

### ***KINGS***

Allegheny College CR

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement towards N. Korea with the immediate removal of all sanctions. A program of constructive engagement will be instituted that provides food aid and agricultural assistance to N. Korea. Funding and enforcement will be through normal means.

Advantages:

Sites: (Likely scenario for war; Cha '98), (Misperception, Tanter '99), (Harrison '97 N. Koreans EDT), (Lifting sanctions, Singer '99), (N. Korea wants '99www.yahoo.com), (Famine is worse, Usher '99), (Moreover, food shortage: Rosenthal '98)

Allegheny College RS

Plan: Feminist Kritik by engaging lifting sanctions on Cuba

Advantages: Cuba is root of masculinity in Kritik, Solv. Root of masculine thinking in Kritik spiral; Solv. Root cause of nuke war.

Cites: Tikner '92, Webber '95

Allegheny College PS

Plan: Lift remaining sanctions on N. Korea - give food

Advantages: Famine and war

Cites:

## Arizona State

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

ARKANSAS STATE TW

Plan: Pursue a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq including the immediate lifting of all economic sanctions. We will honor democratic pluralism

Advantages: Child Mortality, Economic/Social/Infrastructural Meltdown, Threat Construction.

### ***UCO***

Arizona State MK:

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE w/ the DPRK including the imm. Removal of all remaining ec. SX on the Government of NK & through the establishment of normalized diplomatic & economic relations w/ the DPRK. Implementation through normal means.

Advantages: Brinkmanship, Instability, Prolif.

1AC Cites:

Brinkmanship - Asia political News 9-27-99 à "Berlin only" ^ Å Mann 9-15-99 (LA Times) à "crisis inevitable" ^ Å USTA 6-18-99 crisis = Nuclear ^ Å Minneapolis Star Tribune 1-3-99 à Conflict kills millions.

Instability - Peninsula on brink, BBC 9-4-99 ^ Å Oh' 1999 à explosion = 's fiery death

Proliferation - Perry 9-20-99, Nautilus Organization à SQ not sustainable ^ Å Houston Chronicle 9-18-99 à Mutual distinct = conflict.

Solvency - Wolfsthal ^ Å Wit ^ Å Sigal

2AC Tricks: Uniqueness updates for 4 minutes

Arizona State AK:

Plan:

Advantages:      ß    ß    ß    Same as ASU MK

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

### ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

ASU AK

Plan Text: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of



constructive engagement with the DKRP including the immediate removal of all remaining economic sanctions on the government of North Korea. Implications through normal means. Affirmative speeches will clarify intent.

Advantage Moral Obligation to Solve famine.

ASU MS

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba by immediately lifting the Libertad Act not enforcing the CDA as per Smiat and removing all restrictions on sale of food and medicine to Cuba. Funding and Enforcement guaranteed.

## Arkansas State

### *UCO*

Ark. State

Plan: Lift all sanctions & CE (What I don't know I guess it's a secret)

Advantages: Child Mortality, Social breakdown, Threat construction

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

## Army (USMA/West Point)

### ***KINGS***

U.S. Military Academy - West Point HM

Plan: The USFG will immediately repeal the Helms-Burton Act, thus removing economic sanctions on Cuba and allowing US businesses to become involved in Cuba. In addition we will adopt two specific policies of constructive engagement:

1. open up an exchange Cadet program with Cuba similar to the ones US has with Malaysia, Costa Rica, Croatia, etc
2. employ subsidies for the US telecommunications companies to invest in Cuba's internal telecom structure.

Advantages: A. our plan will help American agriculture  
B. lifting sanctions increase foreign policy options so that we could accomplish other good things  
C. our plan prevents instability and chaos in the future

Sites:

U.S. Military Academy - West Point BJ

Plan: same

Advantages: humanitarian, racism, prop up government, save economy

Sites:

U.S. Military Academy - West Point FJ

Plan: same

Advantages: repression, sanctions strengthen Castro, Agriculture US leadership

Sites:

U.S. Military Academy - West Point BW

Plan: same

Advantages: Sanctions equal Genocide against civilians  
Sanctions = Castro stronger

Sites: Haass '98, Wall St. Journal  
Ranneberger '98, Office Cuban Affair  
Hadar '98, CATO Institute

U.S. Military Academy - West Point KS  
Plan: Cuba (same HM)

Adv: plan helps american agri, lifting sanction à increase foreign policy  
options, prevents instability and chaos

Cites: Roy 99, Ranneberger 98, Dobbins 99, Hadar 98 , Reuters 99, Haass 99,  
Zuckerman 95, Nuccio 99, CNN 99, Schott 98

## Augustana IL

### **WAKE**

Augustana (IL)

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Augustana BV

vs.: Baylor BL

Case (country): North Korea

Judge:

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Obv 1 - we're still inherent

No deal yet.

Perry 10/13/99 FNS "this is not a deal"

Partisanship blocks action

National Journal 10/23/99 "the political fend"

Current approach fails

Korea Times 9/26/99

Comprehensive approach solves

Daily Yomiuri, 9/20/99

Rest of the case the same

Augustana (IL)

School: Augustana

Team(s): BV

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan:...including the removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions, except those governing dual use and military tech. Additionally, the US should move toward normalizing diplomatic relations, appoint an ambassador in charge of coordinating NK policy, and continue our commitment to the Agreed Framework, including the delivery of fuel oil, as per the recommendations of the Perry report. Food aid shipments will also be increased.

Obs 1: Inherency

Recent moves will be reversed without further positive steps--Perry, WP, 10/17/99

Case-by case approach fails, need comprehensive policy--Korea Times 9/26/99

Allows US to act while respecting regional coop--Daily Yomiuri 9/20/99 "does not rule out the possibility"

ADV 1: Reg stability

US not fulfilling agreed framework, causes NK brinkmanship--Wolfstahl 9/23/99

[www.nautilus.org/fora/security/9907C\\_wolfstahl.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/9907C_wolfstahl.html)

US breach of framework = nuclear korean peninsula--Carter & Perry 99 Preventive Defense  
Missile prolif = short term, large scale war--WP 10/14/99 "Nk constitutes one of our nations's"  
NK will stop arms race if political talks proceed--Sigal 6/3/99 San Diego Union Tribune

ADV 2: Famine

2 million already starved; more at risk--Boston Globe 2/28/99 "eyewitness reports gathered"  
Food aid prevents revolt, econ collapse, war--Gustavson & Lee-Rudolph 97 NK After Kim IL Sung  
US primary donor --WP 8/16/99  
Aid reaches people; military not divert--NYT 4/3/99  
Refusing aid condones human rights violations; plan eliminates hypocrisy --Gustavson & Lee-Randolph  
The clock is ticking--Lindborg, 11/24/98 <http://commongroundradio.org/transcpt/98/9847.html>

## Augustana (??)

### *UNI*

Augustana BV

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions, with the government of North Korea by formally adopting the recommendations of the Perry Report: including moving toward normalizing diplomatic relation, unfreezing North Korean Assets in the United States, appointing an ambassador in charge of coordinating North Korean policies, and continue commitment to the agreed framework, including the delivery of oil and food, and shipments will be increased.

Advantage one: economic collapse

- North vs. South Korea war

- constructive engagement key to economic reform

Advantage two: KEDO delays hurting deal

- breaking framework risks war

- missile sales cause instability

Advantage three: famine

- food aid good

- need to act faster

Note: 1AC dresses in goth to distract unwary negatives^Å

Augustana CK

THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS AFFIRMATIVE IS IDENTICLE TO THE DESCRIPTION OF AUGUSTANA BV^ÒS AFFIRMATIVE. PLEASE SEE ABOVE^Å.

Augustana ES

Plan: Remove all or nearly all economic sanctions on the government of North

Korea, including normalizing diplomatic relations, unfreezing North Korea^Òs assets and appointing an ambassador for coordinating North Korean Policies.

## **Bakersfield**

### ***LONG BEACH***

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** CSU Bakersfield GC **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Long Beach **Round**  
6 **Judge** Young

**Plan Text:**

Cuba

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Health, transition

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 Canada – revolution good (Che cards), NU – some sanx lifted already

### ***USC***

Bakersfield PW: Peterson and Wells

Plan: USFG should adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all non-military economic sanctions with the government of the nation state of NK as per Selig Harrison. In order to end the state of war and complete a missile deal the US should increase diplomatic relations with NK by accepting their proposal for a trilateral peace mechanism and a peace agreement to formerly end the Korean war hostilities. Funding and enforcement are normal means.

Advantages:

War on Korean Peninsula

Famine

National Security Law

Bakersfield CG: Clark and Gill

Plan: The United States Federal Government as per Shultz and Swabb should immediately lift, without conditions, all economic sanctions on Cuba. Furthermore, the United States will restore full diplomatic contact with and the recognition of Cuba.

Advantages:

Solves for Cuban Civil War, U.S. Intervention, and military escalation.



Bakersfield CM: Cosper and Ward

Plan: As per recommendations of the committee for peace and security in the Gulf, the USFG should immediately adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all or nearly all sanctions with the government of Iraq by 1. Recognizing the Iraqi national congress as legitimate and sole national government of the Iraqi nation-state 2. Immediately lift all bilateral economic and military sanctions on the INC government's territory. Frozen assets will be gradually returned pending the democratization of post-revolution Iraq. Consult with the UN to have appropriate multilateral sanctions on Iraq lifted as soon as possible. 3. Immediately implement the Iraqi Liberation Act by dispersing the allocated \$97,000,000 on an emergency basis to the INC. Acquisition to lethal weapons from established US military supplies will be allowed in addition to food supplies, medicine, etc. 4. Strictly enforce UN security council measure 949 which established "no-drive zones" by the armored vehicles of Saddam's rogue regime in Northern and Southern Iraq. The ongoing enforcement of the no-fly zone will be continued with lethal support 5. Train, coordinate, and cooperate the INC national army. We will consult with all necessary and relevant actors. Funding and enforcement through normal means. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

Advantages:

Saddam Bad

## Baylor

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

BAYLOR BT

Plan: Cuba

Advantages: Transition, Starvation.

Advocates: Dunning 1998, Preeg 1999.

### ***WAKE***

Baylor

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Baylor Ct

vs.: Alabama CL

Case: Cuba

Judge: Berube, David-South Carolina

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Transition

--True [www.latinolink.com/2999/0929cuba.htm](http://www.latinolink.com/2999/0929cuba.htm) 11/18/99

--Economist 10/23/99

--Centeus Towards a New Cuba 1997

--Gonzalez Cuba: Clearing Perilous Waters 96

--Schultz The US and Cuba 93

--Smith [www.ciopoline.org/foraffs.htm](http://www.ciopoline.org/foraffs.htm) 3/4/96

Solvency

--Scapegoating

--Decrease chaos-Preeg 99

--Social Divisions Solved-Economist 10/23/99

--Kolko Anatomy of a Peace 97 p. 165

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Add-Ons

Cuban environmental reform-and applied it to Juragua

Helms-Burton is protectionist and that threatens WTO

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

1AR goes for Juragua turn-Russia will finish, will barter for credit, the US makes better, the embargo creates an energy crisis which aff solves, and Castro was using it as blackmail but no longer!

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Baylor KS  
vs.: George Mason AH  
Case (country): Iran, baby  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)  
Our case hasn't changed, really. Occassionally, I have to take random cards out of the 1AC because I'm so damn slow. Don't tell Dubar, though. He'll just start raggin on me to do speed drills...sigh

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Prolif Turns:

- isolation fuels desire to proliferate  
Cordesman '99
- Moderation "takes the sting" out of proliferation  
Eisenstadt '99

Israel US relations

- Relations resilient  
Lewis 99
- Internal link empirically denied  
Steinberg 98
- We solve Terrorism --> Peace process
- Security forums turns

Baylor

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Team: Baylor BL, KS  
Case (country): IRAN  
1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Baylor CT

vs.: Cornell

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Krueger

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Development Kritik

1. It's inevitable - Apter, Rethinking Development: Modernization, Dependency and Post-Modern Politics 1987 p. 28
2. Plan is better than the SQ

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Baylor BM

vs.: Emory HaMe

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Phares

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Israel

1. n/u Arms Deal
2. no internal link relations are cyclical
3. turn we moderate Iran
4. Internal link empirically denied
5. inevitable Peace Process breakdown b/c of hardline

Steinberg '99

Appeasement

1. no internal link - no focus on Pakistan now
2. Hardline in power now --> war w/Afgghanistan
3. Taliban risks destabilizing India/Paki causes war over the Kashmir

CP

1. No solvency
2. Concessions crush dialogue
3. Gradualism won't solve - Hardliners reject
4. PICs bad
5. Permutation do both

Kritik

1. International positivism good
2. Perm view 1AC as a narrative of western imperialism

Baylor

School: Baylor

Team: BM

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government will remove current economic sanctions against Iran and express willingness to resume normal diplomatic relations with any and all elements of the Iranian Government.

Plan: The United States Federal Government will remove current economic sanctions against Iran. Additionally, the United States will grant a standing offer to Iran to resume normal diplomatic relations with any and all elements of the Iranian Government.

Advantage one: Caspian

- "Nagorno Karabakh"

- ethnic conflict

Advantage two: Leadership transition

Affirmative Cites:

Naney, Middle East policy Council Journal, October 1998

Cornell " " January 1998

Financial Times, 5-22-98

NYT, 1-24-99

Cohen, Heritage Foundation reports, 7-24-97

Management Review, 4-1-99

Katz, 1998. "The Khatami Factor". The National Interest, spring

Washington Times, 9-23-98

School: Baylor

Team: BT

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN

USFG will immediately lift all economic SX on Cuba and will engage in diplomacy towards Cuba consisting of construction dialogue and diplomatic talks. F & E through normal means.

Advantages: Humanitarian & Transition.

CITES

Cuba sanctions fail

Dhooge, Arizona Journal of Intntl and comparative law 97, Human rights watch,  
<http://www.hrw.org/reports/1999/cuba/Cuba996-12.htm> .

Embargo destroys quality of life in Cuba

Peter Schwab 1999, Cuba: Confronting the US Embargo

Gary 1998, US Economic & Trade Policy Toward Cuba Before Subcommittee on Trade of Committee on Ways and Means,

Kirkpatrick 1998, Same Committee,

Fuller 1998, Same Committee.

Political Transition

Preeg 1999, Feeling Good or Doing Good with Sanctions,

Ratliff 1998, July 31st,

Kolko 1997

Baylor

School: Baylor

Team: CT

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Obs.1 We continue to cling to a failed Cuba sanctions policy

-Decades of Failure and Castro's new yacht prove that the Castro regime is the posterchild for the ineffectiveness of economic sanctions

Dhooge'97(Arizona Journal of International and Comparative Law)

(Lucien, "poster child and castro regime", )

-Our current efforts toward normalization are just based on rhetoric and our

Cuba policy remains frozen because Helms is anti-liberalism.

Human Rights Watch'99 (<http://www.hrw.org/reports/1999/cuba/Cuba996-12.htm>)

Obs.2 The Tragedies of a failed embargo

Sc.1: The embargo deteriorates the quality of life in Cuba

-the embargo is responsible for an explosion of hunger.

Peter Schwabb'99, Cuba: Confronting the U.S. Embargo, p.79-80

-The embargo is a war against Cuban health

Schwabb'99 p.54-5

-Surprisingly, the State department purposely lies about the number of medical licenses approved.

Kirkpatrick'98, Y.4.W36:105-73, May 7, 1998 p.83-5

Sc.2: Political Transition

-Any political transition in Cuba before or after Castro will be violent causing a split between factions causing massive armed conflict.

Preeg'99(Feeling Good or Doing Good with Sanctions) p.34

-The violent transition would erupt into a major civil war involving the U.S. military.

William Ratliff, July 31, 1998, Washington Times, "participate constructively"

Plan: The USFG will immediately lift all the economic sanctions on Cuba and will engage in diplomacy towards Cuba consisting of constructive dialogue and diplomatic talks. Funding and Enforcement through normal means.

Obs.3 The lifting of the embargo is long overdue

-Lifting the embargo would allow the U.S. to invest in Cuba and give us the leverage to demand political and social reforms.

Dunning'98(Washington U. Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law "Legislation should completely repeal"

-Lifting the embargo would solve for a violent transition

Preeg'99 p.35

-Lifting the embargo allows for positive collaboration in taking down Castro while he is alive

Preeg'99 p.39

-One can never truly be certain of probabilistic consequences resulting from action; therefore, the only option is to oppose injustice whenever it occurs.

Mat "Pretty Boy" Titus'97(Vietnam: Anatomy of a Peace)p.160

## *UCO*

Baylor TB

Plan: USFG will immediately lift all economic SX on Cuba and will engage in diplomacy towards Cuba consisting of construction dialogue and diplomatic talks. F & E through normal means.

Advantages: Humanitarian & Transition.

1AC Cites: Cuba sx fail - Dhooge, Arizona Journal of Intntl and comparative law 97, Human rights watch,

<http://www.hrw.org/reports/1999/cuba/Cuba996-12.htm> .

Embargo X Quality of life in Cuba - Peter Schwab 1999, Cuba: Confronting the US Embargo, Gary 1998, US economic & trade policy toward Cuba before subcommittee on trade of committee on ways and means, Kirkpatrick 1998, Same Committee, Fuller 1998, Same committee.

Political Transition - Preeg 1999, Feeling good or doing good with sanctions, Ratliff 1998, July 31st, Kolko 1997.

2AC Tricks:

BAYLOR CN

PLAN TEXT

- The USFG will immediately lift all the economic sanctions on Cuba and will constructively engage in diplomacy talks. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

1. Failed Cuban Sanctions policy

- Ineffectiveness (dhooge '97)

- Normalization (Human Rights Watch '99)

2. Tragedies

- Embargo causes hunger (Schwaab '99)

- Violation of Cuban HR (Schwaab '99)

- CDA regs meaningless (Gary '98)

3. Embargo lifting is long overdue

- Lifting allows US investment in Cuba - social reform (Dunning '98)

- Solves transitions (Preehg '99)

- Moral O (Kolko 99)

ADVANTAGE AREAS

2AC RELEVANT TRICKS

**UNI**

Baylor AK

Plan: The United States Federal Government will remove current economic

sanctions against Iran and express willingness to resume normal diplomatic relations with any and all elements of the Iranian Government.

Advantage one: "Nogoro Karbacht"

Advantage two: Khatami transition

Note: Often argue that the negative lacks fiat when it comes to counterplan debate.

Baylor BM

Plan: The United States Federal Government will remove current economic

sanctions against Iran. Additionally, the United States will grant a standing offer to Iran to resume normal diplomatic relations with any and all elements of the Iranian Government.

Advantage one: Caspian

- "Nagoro Karabash"

- ethnic conflict

Advantage two: Leadership transition

Affirmative Cites:

Nanay, Middle East policy Council Journal, October 1998

Cornell " " January 1998

Financial Times, 5-22-98

NYT, 1-24-99

Cohen, Heritage Foundation reports, 7-24-97

Management Review, 4-1-99  
Katz, 1998. "The Khatami Factor". The National Interest,  
spring 1998  
Washington Times, 9-23-98

Baylor BL

Plan and Advantages are identical to the above two descriptions of the  
Baylor Aff.



## **Berkeley**

### ***USC***

Berkeley JC: Johnson and Creek

Plan: In order to adopt a policy of CE, including the removal of nearly all sanctions towards Iran the US government shall end its policy of containment, including exempting Iran from ILSA and removing nearly all trade sanctions. We encourage companies to engage in economic investment and exchanges in Iran. Our diplomats will engage in cooperative dialogue concerning areas of mutual concern. Plan does not effect exsisting arms sales sanctions. We get intent.

Advantages:

Regional Instability

Prolif

Caspian Oil

Berkely MS: MacDonald and Singh

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with Iran by immediately exempting Iran from the ILSA, with the exception of Section 4c, and remove nearly all trade sanctions. Dialogue will be initiated on issues of mutual interest.

Advantages:

Regional Stability

Prolif

Caspian Oil

### ***WAKE***

Berkeley

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Berkeley HL

vs.: Cornell MM

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Dutcher, Mike - Catholic

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Caspian Advantage - US Turkey deal fails w/o oil industry support

Iran pipeline key to check russian dominance

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT : Khatami  
Khatami power decreasing  
Sanctions hurt Khatami  
International standing turn

AT : low level dialogue CP

Perm - do both  
Dialogue key to solvency  
High-level solves

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Berkeley

vs.: Baylor CT

Case (country): Iran

Judge: D Davis

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Advantage 3 is Clash of Civilizations

Containment of Iran gives ammunition to radical leaders and incites a class of civilization - Dialogue solves  
Fawaz Gerges, "Washington's Misguided Iran Policy", Survival, v38 Winter 96-7

A 21st Century vs Confrontation with Islam --> World War III by 2009

LA times 3/10/98 "By 2009, we may have to worry about"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Security Kritik

- Realism good - Miersheimer

- perm

- sanctions worse

Katahami

- lots of turns, mainly Gerges and Deutch

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Berkley HL

vs.: Iowa LR

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Falconer

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Observation One - Inherency

-US contains Iran killing cooperation

[James Finch, International Affairs Review, Summer/Autumn 98 p16]

Plan:

In order to adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the removal of nearly all sanctions toward Iran the US Government shall end its policy of containment towards Iran, including exempting Iran from sections 1-3, 5 & 6 of the Iran-Libya sanctions act (ILSA) & removing nearly all trade sanctions. The plan does not effect existing arms sales sanctions the US has towards Iran. The US shall initiate a policy of economic exchanges with Iran specifically regarding our investment in the Caspian. We get intent.

Advantage 1: Regional Stability

Hostile Relations causes violent conflict in the Gulf

[James Bill, Iran and the Gulf 1996 ed. Al-Suwaaaidi p 112]

Conflict kills the gulf

Iran and the Gulf 1996 Al-Suwaaaidi p 112]

#### Advantage 2: Proliferation

Iran is on the brink of a nuclear decision - Isolation causes nuclear acquisition

[Fawaz Gerges, 1999 - America and Political Islam]

Proliferation causes preventative war

[Chubin, Survival v37 Spring 1995]

Risks accidents and preemptive war

[Feldman Nuclear Weapons and Arms Control in the Middle East 1997 p21-22]

#### B) Worldwide prolif

US Sanctions undermine the worldwide proliferation regime

[Arms Control Today June 1, 1995]

Russia & China rushing to fill in market share in Iran

[Ben-Meir Middle East Policy March 1996]

NPT collapse causes global instability

[Cortwright 1996]

Prolif causes nuclear war

[Muller Nuclear Proliferation and Global Order 1994]

#### Advantage 3: Great Game

US Sanctions block American participation in the Caspian

[Oil and Gas Journal 4/11/97 "US sanctions' policy"]

US sanctions force Russian dependence

[Clawson, FNS 6/3/98 "complicated the search"]

Russian expansion into the NIS causes regional conflicts

[Ariel Cohen, Heritage Foundation, 1/25/96 "doom Russia's transition to a democracy"]

Oil companies will block Turkey deal

[Boston Globe 11/18/99 "significant question marks"]

#### Observation Two: Solvency

Isolating Iran bolsters the hardliners

[Hunter, Washington Quarterly Fall v21:4 1998]

We Solve all the Harms

[Finch - see above p26]

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Agent Specification

-Normal Means

-Bad C/P's

-CX

- Only Pre round prep
- Inf regressive
- punish not fit crime
- we use congress
  
- congress irrel to accession
- lame duck CTBT
- only fx congressional attitudes
- mpx ev bad
- SQ partisanship kills coop
- WTO not pass
- no spillover
- Clinton eased Iran sanctions = non unique
- bott docket
- Cong sanctions fatigue t/o link
- fiat means bipart vote
- quick entry -> collapse of world trade
- causes WW3
- ILSA kills WTO
- Plans solves Taiwan, china models ILSA
- Clinton not push plan
- SQ containment -> clash civilizations
- Causes WW3 [LA Times 98]
- sanctions treat people as means to end
- violates kantian ethics
- impossible to know consequences act on goodwill
- [Finnis Natural Law and Natural Rights p226 1980]

Khatami D/A  
Public support for Khatami will abruptly end  
[Bangkok Post 8/14/99 "this will come to an abrupt end"]  
Transform into a win  
SQ helps the H/L  
[Gerges]  
Iran's International Standing key to Khatami in 2001  
[Financial Times 3/10/99 "supporters of Mr. Khatami now have every chance"]  
Contain hurt Khatami  
[Economist 4/11/98 or 99 "Iran's reformist president"]  
Econ pressure => H/L rally  
[Chubin]  
No impact to khatami  
No I/L blsh  
H/L not cause pullout = no impact to Khatami

2AC on the Case  
Regional Stability:  
A)  
Motive key to prolif  
SQ hostility -> prolif and decision key  
Ben Meir others fill in  
Iranian motivation  
No impact to modernization  
Proliferation now  
Rate irrel to preemption  
Sanctions not solve weapons purchases [Preeg 99]  
Finch ev says we solve motivation

B)

Leadership and US solves NPT

Collapse inevitable 2000 meeting key to Iran

Iran key to NPT

We solve break out

Oil:

US oil invest regardless, question is where

Pipeline key - want to invest

US engage causes investment

Turkey D/A on the Case

Non unique sq means different investment areas

Help Caspian

Other issues o/w

We solve impact

Russia -> loose nukes

Non unique collapse deal

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Go for the means-ends add on they read on Clinton in addition to the 1AC arguments

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Berkeley KS

vs.: SMS VP

Case: Iran

Judge: Lundberg, Chris-Emory

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Khatami cites same as round 2

Clinton-lots of non-uniques and link turns thru cross-applications from 1ac

Appeasement-no cards read

Turkey-no cards read

Berkeley

School: Berkeley

Team(s): HL

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States will end its unilateral trade sanctions against Iran. Including exempting Iran from the ILSA. The plan will not effect any arm sales sanction the United States may have against Iran. The United States will initiate a process of comprehensive dialogue with Iran over the Caspian Sea, including the participation of United States companies in oil development.

Advantages :

Regional Stability

Proliferation (NPT credibility good)

Caspian pipeline/investment good

(Finch 98)

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT : OPIC CP

1. not MX - perm
2. CP justifies intrinsicness perms
3. Can't solve caspian - investment key (Washington Times 97)
4. Investment key
5. Conditionality bad
6. PIC's bad
7. Not a sanction

AT : START II

1. no link - not apply to ILSA
2. only delay ratification
3. case solves, boosts NPT
4. impact not assume start II - vertical prolif
5. we boost russian relations, that's good

AT : Israel

1. no link - security fears
2. turn - Israeli strike now
3. no link - israel not care
4. no evidence US-Iran rel
5. link ev n/u's it
6. concedes 1AC inevitable
7. US-Israeli relations dead now
8. US-Iran co/op derails - plan solves

AT : Feminism

1. no link - ignoring women not link
2. no alternative - too entrenched
3. they take step in right direction
4. sanctions masculine
5. ballot not affect anything
6. permute - integrate fem IR into plan
7. fem of FP = nuclear war
8. destroys dualistic distinctions
9. not cause radical change
10. turn - deconstruction inevitable

AT : T - CE requires political and economic ties

1. no abuse
2. not limit
3. we specify
4. clash checks
5. we meet
6. CE = positive incentive for dialogue
7. Not specify no sanctions

***UNI***

Berkeley HL

Plan: The United States will end its unilateral trade sanctions against Iran.

Including exempting Iran from the ILSA. The plan will not effect any arm sales sanction the United States may have against Iran. The United States will initiate a process of comprehensive dialogue with Iran over the Caspian Sea, including the participation of United States companies in oil development.

Advantage areas:

Regional Stability (Finch 98)

Prolif. Iran and NPT credibility

Caspian: Russian Imperialism

## Binghamton

### ***KINGS***

Binghamton University MS

Plan: Unconditionally remove sanctions to Cuba and engage in equal playing field talks.

Advantages: Cuba suffering. Feminism. Millennialism.

Sites:



## **Biola**

### ***BAYLOR***

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** Biola LP **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Baylor **Round 8** **Judge** Lane

**Plan Text:**

Cuba

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Health

Human rights

World Bank good

Transition

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

**2AR/Big Tricks**

Development good

## Boston College

### **WAKE**

#### BOSTON COLLEGE

#### 1999 DIXIE CASELIST-AFFIRMATIVE REPORT

ROUND: 7

TEAM: BOSTON COLLEGE FL

VS.: CATHOLIC DUWI

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Repko

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

constructive engagement- 1. Plan is ce - san francisco chronicle 98

2. wm- our dunning evidence give incentives and disincentives

3. we create entirely new policy

globalization- 1. Globalization inevitable

2. embargo lift won't cause a flood of investments

3. globalization protects the environment

Russia- 1. Nationalism now

2. no link- russia not pursuing influence- koplowitz

3. yeltsin controls press - solves impact - guardian 99

4. russia trade with cuba declining

spanos- 1. Hoping to be emancipating not a solvent mechanism

2. rejecting humanism imperils change- perkin

3. exaggerating historical lessons = war

#### Boston College

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Boston College FL

vs.: Alabama CL

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Kerr

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Repeal Cuban Democracy Ac except for Title 1, section 104; Title 2 modified as per Dunning.

US Leadership

-Embargo hurts US prestige

-Negotiations credibility prevents world violence

-US-EU coop breaking down

-Sol - Media will hurt US leadership and WTO cohesion

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Sustainable Ag

- Cuba won't abandon organic farming  
[Gannet News 9/15/99]
- Organic food production decreases yields  
[Miami Herald 11/11/98]
- Food poisoning  
[US Newswire 8/25/99]
- Pesticides solve cancer
- Organic Farming hurts the environment  
[www.agairupdate.com/aau/spread/st03.html]

#### Coral Reefs

- Tourism inevitable
- poor economy hurts the environment
- US environmental tech sold to Cuba

#### Drug War

- Aid to Colombia
- Cuba cooperating on Drugs now
- Increasing relations won't increase enforcement

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Boston College FL

vs.: Michigan SB

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Dutcher

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Jurugua

1. H-B doesn't deter investors
2. n/u - Russia will build now
3. safety measures prevent meltdown
4. radiation won't harm the U.S.
5. alternative energy turn
6. cooperation turn

Terrorism

1. Cuba doesn't sponsor terrorism
2. Cuba isn't key to counterterrorism credibility
3. US leadership solves
4. Fear of retaliation deters nuclear terrorism

Rice

1. China WTO deal crushes SEAsian exports
2. Cuba isn't key to SEAsian rice exports
3. Cuba won't buy US rice

Clinton-WTO

1. n/u - NMD increases US-sino tensions
2. union opposition blocks WTO
3. vote not until June
4. public turn

CP

1. other countries still don't like waivers
2. SOP disad

Boston College

School: Boston College

Team(s): Lisa Langdon & Jared Fields

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

CONTENTION ONE: INHERENCY.

THE U.S. ENFORCES A COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC EMBARGO AGAINST CUBA

Since 1960, the United States has enforced economic sanctions against Cuba. The House Committee on Ways and Means describes the nature of the U.S. embargo against Cuba in the 1998 hearings on U.S. Economic and Trade Policy Toward Cuba: P/ 2-3

CONTENTION TWO: HARMS

SUBPOINT A) MEDICAL DISASTER

The U.S. embargo is destroying Cuba's health care system, leading to widespread death. Global Exchange reported in, Food and Medicine Campaign: Backgrounder, in 1998:

Clinton's reforms to stream-line the licensing of medicines to Cuba are ineffective. Senator Dodd argued in the Congressional Record on August 3, 1999:

The U.S. embargo kills one thousand Cuban women every year by denying them access to breast cancer treatments. The UC Cuba Medical Project reported in January 1998:

UC + CUBA MEDICAL PROJECT, "Breast Cancer: The Impact of the Embargo," January 20, 1998, p. <http://www.igc.apc.org/cubasoli/uscumedi.html>

Breast cancer, a primary cause of death for women worldwide, is often preventable with early detection and treatment. In Cuba it is also the most result of the decline in early detection programs for breast cancer, more cancers are going undetected and most Cuban woman who are found to have cancer are beginning treatment late, if at all.

The embargo has increased the death rate among the elderly by 15%, leading to 7500 deaths. Dr. Richard Garfield, stated in the American Journal of Public Health in 1997:

Total mortality per 1000 inhabitants rose from 6.4 in 1989 to 7.2 in 1994. The increase was almost entirely due to a 15% rise in mortality among those 65 years and older, accounting for 7500 excess deaths.

SUBPOINT B) GENOCIDE

The U.S. embargo is also causing a food crisis in Cuba. Oxfam America testifying before the House Hearing on Trade Policy Toward Cuba stated on May 7, 1998:

Since the onset of the economic crisis of 1990, Cuba has effectively joined

By intentionally starving the Cuban people, the U.S. embargo is genocidal. Simons, international politics author of more than 50 books, stated in Imposing Economic Sanctions, in 1999: P. 138

Genocide is the greatest evil known to man and must be rejected in all circumstances. Harff, lecturer in political science at Northwestern, elucidates in *Genocide and Human Rights* in 1984: P. 36

#### SUBPONT C) U.S. IMPERIALISM

The US. Embargo violates Cuban sovereignty and perpetuates U.S. domination over Cuba. Schwab, political science professor at Purchase College argued in 1999:  
Peter Scwab, Cuba: Confronting the U.S. Embargo, 1999, p. 167-8.

U.S. economic sanctions violate the self-determination rights of the Cuban people.  
Christopher Wall argued in the *Fordham International Law Journal* in 1998:

The idea of sovereign self-determination has been called "the imperative basis for all human rights, Chen, professor of international relations, argued in the *Notre Dame Law Review*, in 1991: "n117 although in certain instances the right to Self-determination is critical to preserving world order and human dignity".

Most importantly, the basic community policy of self-determination as applied to particular instances should facilitate optimum achievement of the  
P. 1293

#### PLAN:

The United States Federal Government will promote constructive engagement toward Cuba by repealing nearly all economic sanctions, including the repeal of the Cuban Democracy Act and the repeal of the Helms Burton Act, except for Title I, section 104.  
Affirmative speeches will clarify intent.

#### SOMETIMES WE RUN THIS ADVANTAGE:

#### SUPBOINT B) HELMS-BURTON WILL DESTROY THE WTO

The May 1998 agreement between the United States and the European Union to waive the Helms-Burton law is falling apart, because Congress never gave Clinton the authority to waive title IV. Title IV requires the State Department to deny visas to foreign Executives investing in confiscated US properties in Cuba.  
The Latin America Regional Reports stated on August 24, 1999:  
"clause four, which denies entry to the US to directors (and their families) "

A title IV complaint against the Spanish hotel Sol Melia is imminent. AFX News reported on November 1, 1999:  
"coming to some private arrangement with the Sanchez family."

Sanctions against Sol Melia will cause Spain and the EU to file a complaint in the World Trade Organization. The Financial Times reported on September 3, 1999:

"and the European Union would take the US government to the World Trade Organisation".

A WTO complaint against the United States is inevitable. Journal of Commerce reported on August 7, 1998:  
"WTO challenge by the EU and may be found to be illegal under WTO rules."

To defend itself, the United States will invoke the national security exemption, which will destroy the credibility of the WTO. Loyola of Los Angeles International & Comparative Law Journal, 1997:  
"If the United States eventually chooses to formally invoke 'national' "

Collapse of the WTO will lead to a global depression and world war. The Daily Yomiuri reported on May 20, 1998:  
to a global economic depression and was one of the causes of World War II.

Global financial collapse is the greatest threat to world peace. Mead, senior fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations, stated in the LA Times on August 23, 1998:

Solvency:

### CONTENTION III) SOLVENCY

Maintaining the embargo condemns Cuba to economic stagnation, and prolongs Castro's survival. Preeg, senior fellow at the Hudson Institute, argued in Feeling Good or Doing Good With Sanctions, in 1999: (P. 33-4)

Immediate unilateral termination of the U.S. embargo, along with the repeal of Helms-Burton, is the best way to promote economic and political reforms in Cuba. Dunning, JD, Washington University, argued in the Washington University Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law in 1998:  
"prolong the suffering of the Cuban people"

Lifting the embargo will generate 1 to 2 billion in hard currency, enabling Cuba to purchase food and medicines. Preeg, testifying before the House Cuba hearings stated in 1998:  
"on U.S. imports from Cuba and travel to Cuba by U.S. citizens."

### ***KINGS***

Boston College BK

Plan: Lift all sanctions on Cuba. Repeal Helms-Burton Act except Title 2. Modify Title 2 to allow Castro to remain in power after Plan, but only if he agrees to allow reforms.

Advantages: Prevents medical and food crisis in Cuba. Prevents trade war caused by collapse of WTO over Cuba sanctions.

## Cal State LA

*USC*

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

Negative Round Report

**Affirmative Team:** Cal State LA NE **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament USC Round 4**

**Judge** Steve Robertson

**Plan Text:**

North Korea

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Advs: Famine (cannibalism), prolif

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 Japan: Normal means = consult, we solve relations, no impact scenario

A2: NTR: Business lobby turn, we solve Chinese relations, no impact

A2 Pomo K: performative contradiction, no link

**2AR/Big Tricks**

performative contradiction

Cal State LA SK: Salazar and Kittimonthorn

Plan: Decrease economic sanctions, promote Islamic democracy, and open invitation on Prolif, regional and stability.

Advantages:

Prolif

Israeli Preemption- Iraq prolif leads to pre-emption and nuclear war in the Middle East

Underview- Plan leads to democracy, pluralism, Sanctions leads terrorism against the US

Cal State LA NE: Nevala and Espinoza

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE towards NK. Including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions unconditionally. Open a dialogue on human rights and nuclear prolif. Establish a dialogue regarding a peace treaty ending the conflict and Cold War with North Korea. Increase food aid to the levels established within the 1994 Agreed Framework. Normalize diplomatic relations including the recognition of the NK regime. Institute capitolist controls on investment and trade implementation through most effectual normal means.

Advantages:

Cannibalism and Starvation

Prolif



## Case Western

### **WAKE**

Case Western

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Case Western HM

vs.: Berkeley

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Bill Shanahan

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Cp - Just Constructively engage:

1. policy is proIsrael now - Mid East Mirror April 8, 199
2. Transitions turns

Russian Relations:

1. n/u Chechnya
2. n/u NMD
3. lifting sanctions in crease relations
4. US sanctions w/Syria causes a standooff with Russia

Feminism:

1. SQ = domination
2. Crossapply Ben Heir evi from solvency - Golans not needed?
3. Standpoint feminism entrenches heiracrchies
4. Literature excluded discussions and sanctions
5. Sanctions effects are genocidal
6. Isolationist policies causes patriarchy

Case Western

School: Case Western

Team(s): Mcquaid and Habig

Case: Syria

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan text: After informing all normal and relevant actors, the USFG will immediately lift all federal economic sanctions on Syria and remove Syria from the terrorism list. Additionally, the US will inform the Syrian government through low level diplomats that full Golan withdrawl can only be achieved at the bargaining table. The Us will also work with Syria to solve water disputes in accordance with the Lawson evidence. Funding and enforcement guaranteed through normal means.

Advantages- Peace Process and h2o wars

Solvency cites:

Boston Globe- June 26 99

Federal News Service, state dept. briefing july 13 99  
Peterson, CSM, Oct. 19 99  
Ben-Meir, Middle East Policy September 97  
Lawson, Middle East Policy Oct 96  
Bisaws, A Comprehensive Approach to Middle Eastern Water, 97  
Mideast Mirror April 8 99

## Catholic University

### **WAKE**

#### CATHOLIC

##### 1999 DIXIE CASELIST-AFFIRMATIVE REPORT

Round: 7

Team: Catholic LP

vs.: Alabama GP

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Slusher - Southern Illinois

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

The Negotiator

1. n-u: North Korea
2. solving for the peace process increases diplomatic credibility
3. e/d: UN dues
4. e/d: CTBT
5. failure of peace process kills credibility
6. US sanctions are a sign of weakness
7. No peace process success hurts leadership

#### Inflation

1. oil price overheat causes inevitable inflation - Houston Chronicle
2. markets expect further rate hikes
3. rate hikes necessary to cool down economy
4. sanctions removal decrease business costs
5. plan not big enough

##### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8

Team: Catholic SM

vs.: UMKC BC

Case: Syria

Judge: McBride, Brian-Northwestern

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Double-Bind

1. Assume SQ-want to give up now
2. Cross apply me mirror
3. Barak is not key
4. Asad wants to come to table
5. Syria won't land grab if not dishonest broker
6. --98
7. Taking off terrorist list = security guarantees 94

#### Barak

1. We post date Uniqueness will happen in SQ
2. We solve Palestinian conflict by first addressing Syria-Stratfor Report 6-16-99
3. WE solve for one solve for em all
4. Inevitable in SQ-Palestine will declare independence
5. Barak willing to give up the Golan

6. Coop in SQ non-uniques the internal link
7. Troops 99

Clinton

1. Relations inevitably collapse Financial Times 11-16-99
2. Succession Coming-Fin Times 11-16-99
3. No political fallout after lifting sanctions-Staff 99
4. No Syria
5. No spillover
6. Give Clinton a big victory-96
7. Non-Unique: North Korea, no spillover

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

- Water wars are inevitable
- Multi pronged approach required to solve

Catholic

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Catholic DuWi

vs.: Augustana BV

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Palczewski, Cate - UNI

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan - Through then available means, the USFG should offer to ensure the following CE with the nation-state of Syria : an immediate removal from the "terrorism" list, facilitation of a transfer of desalination technology and water infrastructure techniques. Aff intent.

Advantage One - Water Wars - Syrian Turkish war that escalates to Iran and Greece  
Venter 98. Middle East Policy June; Global Intelligence Update 10/20/98 "tension has been building between Syria and Turkey"

Advantage Two - Peace Process - Israel wants peace w/ Syria but Syria refuses to come to the table, US policy stops - not an honest broker  
Barak Newsweek Nov 8, 1999 "peace of the brave"  
ME mirror - Nov 12, 99 "Syria and Israel"  
ME mirror - 11/11/99 - "Bashshar's visit"  
ME mirror - April 8, 99 - "policy of double standards"

Scen 1 - Syrian land grab - Jane's Intelligence Review - March 1, 99 "Syria is making";  
J/R April 1, 99 "Syria's concentration"  
Ostrovsky Dec 98 \_ Washington Report on ME Affairs pg 48, 92

Scen 2 - Israeli preemption - Global Intelligence update Oct 19, 99 - "Syria enforceable";  
Karmon - ME Quarterly, June 99  
J/R Feb 1, 99 - "accidental nuclear war"

Scen 3 - Lebanon

ME mirror - Nov 12, 99 "Assad has warned"  
Ross 97 - FNS, Nov 12 "pressure to find a political solution"

Scen 4 - Palestine - China Daily, 11/8/99 "treaty between";  
Flamhaft 96, Israel on the Road to Peace, pg 189-190

Sayigh 93 Proliferation in the ME pg 180

Solvency - Soffer 99 - Rivers of Fire, pg 259

Ross 97 - FNS, Nov 12 - "wide regional war"

Al-Ahram al-Masa'I - ME News Items, Feb 4, 1998 - "Damascus has demonstrated"

BBC Worldwide monitoring - Sep 18, 1999 - "Syria will not cede"

Haass - Foreign Policy Studies, Brookings July 8, 1999

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Catholic LP

vs.: Berkeley HL

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Trice, Jason - Michigan State

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan - Through then available means, USFG should offer CE with nation-state of Syria : an immediate removal from the "terrorism" list, facilitation of a transfer of desal tech and water infrastructure techniques. Aff intent.

Otherwise same as caselist.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Catholic LS

vs.: Dartmouth LS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: The Green Machine

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Obs 1. Us sanctions policy fails- newswire 99

Obs 2- 1. Sanctions destroy ability to feed- simmons 96 (the scourging of iraq)

2. Us sanctions dooms farm products- spreads disease across the mideast- cbs newswire 7/30/99

3. four million at risk if agriculture industry fail- davidson [www.med.net/iac/illegal.html](http://www.med.net/iac/illegal.html)

obs 3. 1. Us sanctions use civilians as a means to an end- gardan ethics and international affairs '99

2. sanctions are purely fault of west. Haliday [www.leb.net/iac/](http://www.leb.net/iac/)

obs. 4- international law- us violates il. Geoff simmons "the case of iraq" imposing economic sanctions 99

violation of international law leads to tyranny. (same cite as above)

obs. 5 1. Prolif. Inevit. In the status quo- john muellar foreign affairs june 99

2. lifting economic sanctions allows inspectors to solve G.geoffery gasse foreign affairs may 99

obs. 6 dancing with the dictator- 1. Must reverse status quo if not a radical regime lead to war. Us newswire 99

solvency- carrot and stick solves best- d. corthwright and g. lopez journal of international affairs 99

2. lifting economic sanctions will build international consensus against iraq

gause 99

3. removal of sanx. Decrease saddam motive- plan changes motive gauge 99

4. now key time- we must abandon- richard norton - The Guardian 8/16/99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

clinton- (good-plan unpop)

1.fiat takes out the link

a) bottom of docet

2. no spillover

3. not unique wto will not pass

4. turn- china is evil- should not appease them

oil for food program-

1. permute - do both
2. don't solve our moral obligation- cp still treats people as means to an end
3. cp- doesn't solve infrastructure

terrorist credibility

1. not unique- we just gave clemency to solve terrorist dude
2. not unique- libya off the list
3. lifting sanctions doesn't under mind credibility

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Catholic DuWi

vs.: Michigan PS

Case (country): Syria

Judge: D. Heidt

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

PLAN: immed removal all ec sancts, terror list, desal

ADV 1 WATER

Water shortage ensures war - Vinter 98

Would escalate - Syria and Turkey would draw others in - "Greek allies," "tragic results"

ADV 2 WAR

Peace with Syria key to ME stability - Barak 11-8-99

Syria wants peace - ME Mirror 11-11-99 "still not agreed"

Syria sees US posture as phony - ME Mirror 11-11-99 "over the issues"

Sanctions make US dishonest broker - ME Mirror 99 "diminishes the prospects" "self-delusion" "chance to ensure security"

S1 Syrian Land Grab

SQ instability causes land grab

Syria wants strategic parity with Israel - Jane's 98

Even limited land grab causes nuclear war - Zarefsky 98

S2 Preemption

Israel will launch preemptive strike against Syria in April 2000

Ensures international terrorism

S3 Lebanon

Golan Heights deadlock - ME Mirror 11-12-99

Explodes into nuclear war - 97

S4 Palestine

Treaty between Isreal and Palestine not key - must start negotiations now - crucial moment

If peace fails, war in ME imminent

Agreement with Syria reached solves entire PP - would weaken support for Palestine leads to administrative abstraction

Conflicts will only explode into conflicts if there are no peace talks - 93

A3 Succession

Power struggle inevitable - escalates and "bodes ill" for regional stability - Robinson 98

CE strengthens Assad, stabilizes succession - Haas 99

Solvency

Water tech and desalination eliminates shortages and solves conflicts

PP will transform the region and prevent war - Ross 97

Can jumpstart the PP by lifting economic sanctions - Alerman 98 "lifting Syria's name"

Plan allows US to act as honest broker - BBC 99 "accept the principles"

Now key time to push for PP - Israel and Syria will resume diplomatic sanctions - Haas 99 "allow more trade with," "allow investment"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality

- W/M - immediate removal in plan
- C/interp - immediate means without intervening action - w/m
- No abuse - plan clarifies and cx checks
- No abuse - same amount of ground
- Can still CP not to do CE or lift sanctions

Terrorism

- N/U - terrorism list not credible - Ft. Worth Star Telegram 99 "often difficult to track"
- turn - remove double standard
- Turn - plan increase leadership, jumpstarts PP solves CNN 99
- N/I - nuclear war scenarios
- N/IL to worldwide terror
- n/I - BBC 99 "
- N/I - individual terrorists not encouraged by plan
- Turn - Olsen 97 "as much diplomatic, political and economic leverage as possible"
- No comparative TF

Clinton WTO

- N/U - CTBT - Irish Times 99 "never had a realistic chance"
- N/L - Not specific to Syria
- Turn - Syria in PP - huge FP win - Winston Aug 96 freeman.com
- Turn - anti sancst Boston Globe 9-22-99 "big business" "surprising reversal"
- Turn - bipart support Shenon 4-29-99 NY Times "a general exemption"
- No spillover
- fiat solves - Clinton not take credit
- No threshold - how much pc
- Four obstacles to WTO membership - 11-16-99
- Congress anti-China SCMP 99 "just doesn't like"
- Relations will inevitably collapse - Financial Times 99 "US will be forced to respond"

Condition CP

- Must unconditionally lift sanctions to solve PP
- Not solve dishonest broker
- No net benefit - links to terrorism, Clinton

Barak NB (read on CP)

- N/U - Barak given up on Palestine in SQ - AFP 99
- Only plan secures Israel borders - solves the link
- Plan solves all ME stability - Ross 97
- N/U - Barak pushing both tracks now
- ME Mirror 1AC turns - Israel cooperates more post-plan because US isn't so biased towards it
- E/D - Barak offering to give back Golan Heights now
- Conditionality bad - voter
- PICs bad - voter
- No neg fiat - should

- Perm - do both
- Perm - plan predicated upon SQ - they change that so we'll do the CP

#### Peace Process

- Plan secures Israeli borders
- Iran not increase terrorism post-plan
- Syria increasing influence over Hezbollah
- Israel more coop post-plan - not unconditional backing
- Chemical weapons capability now - can strike out now

#### Succession

- Opening up foreign investment in Syria increases coop and Assad's credibility
- Extend Robinson 98 - bloody transition battle
- Succession battle inevitable - Stratfor 99 "also has ramifications"
- Barak wants to focus on Syrian track - Stratfor 99 "Barak wants to refocus the issue"

#### Water Wars

- Turkish dams being built - 22 dams on Euphrates - water war by end of year

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Catholic MS

vs.: Dartmouth RT

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Green

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan: Through then available means, the USFG should offer to ensure the immediate removal of economic sanctions and CE with n/s of Syria: an immediate removal from the "terrorism" list, facilitation of a transfer of desalination technology and H2O infrastructure tech. Aff Intent

Adv. 1 Water

Adv. 2 PP

Scen 1-Syrian land grab

Scen 2-Israeli preemption

Scen 3-Lebanon

Adv. 3 Succession

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Assad

1. Syria peace gives Assad pol. Cap, hinnebusch
2. Syria gets golan
3. Syria can negotiate w/o politics, hinnebusch
4. Crossapply solvency

Topicality

1. Interp exclusive
2. W/M w transfer
3. Zero ground loss
4. W/M terrorist list

Egypt

1. Turn-solve WW
2. Turn-not zero sum
3. No ?, finish pp w/ egypt
4. N/U, wye river
5. No peace cause egypt israeli war, Rudge 96



Palestinian Track tradeoff

1. Palestine track violent in SQ, Newsweek
2. Sust. Desal dead now w/ pal
3. T/ syria causes pal peace, Hans 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Catholic OP

vs.: Boston College LF

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Palczewski, Cate - UNI

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan - will immediately lift all ES and adopt a policy of CE consisting of carrot and stick diplomacy. In terms of economic incentives with limited negotiating conditions such as military export controls and low-level airstrikes.

1. Inherency - Chaudhry, University Wire, Sept 27, 1999 "state sanctioned murder"
2. Significance - oil for food is failed policy - Hindu, Oct 30, 99 "holding up contracts"  
AFP 10-25-99 "industry infrastructure", CASI - [www.ex-patriot.com/CASI/newslet/APR99.txt](http://www.ex-patriot.com/CASI/newslet/APR99.txt)

Disease - Simmons 99 - Imposing Economic Sanctions, pg 180, 163

Prolif - Clawson, New Republic - Dec 7, 1998 "Iraqi propaganda"

Transition - US Newswire, Nov 17, 1999 "Cato Institute" - Isenberg

3. Morality - sanctions = murder - Toronto Star, 9-19-99, "moral culpability"  
"sending a message" is repugnant - Gordon 99 Ethics and International Affairs - pg 138-139  
utilitarianism irrelevant - Halliday 99

4. Solvency - lifting economic sanctions saves lives, allows infrastructure development, now is key time, decreasing ec sancs leads to more food - Cortwright and Lopez, Spring 99, Journal of International Affairs, Zunes 98 - ME Policy Journal,  
ME News Service, 10-11-99 "Saddam said",  
Gause 99, May, Foreign Affairs  
Norton-Taylor, The Guardian, Aug 16, 1999 - "Iraq, Serbia, or Cuba";  
Halliday 99 - FNS. "horrible and unacceptable"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT : Caspian oil

Turn - lifting economic sanctions checks prices

Turn - price increase in SQ crushes markets

Turn - allows Russian development

N/U - pipeline now

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Catholic LP

vs.: Northwestern FG

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Stables - Georgia

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Advantage One: Water

Advantage Two: Peace Process

Scenario 1: Syrian conflict

Scenario 2: Israeli preemption

Scenario 3: Lebanon

Scenario 4: Palestinian Deal

Advantage 3: Leadership Succession

Solvency

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton Turns:

- plan is a foreign policy victory - Woodson 1996

- Winners Win

- Peace Process increases Clinton's political Capital - Middle East Mirror, 11/12/1999

- Increase US influence helps Clinton - 11/17

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Catholic SM

vs.: Georgetown FW

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Strange

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton

1. no syria link

2. political process is anti-sanction now

3. lamed duck-gets nothing done

4. winners win

5. no spillover

6. fiat

Russia

1. no link to backlash

2. sanctions hurt Russian investment in Syria

"and more"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Catholic LS

vs.: Liberty RW

Case:

Judge: Overby, Daniel-West Virginia

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality-Carrot and Stick not CE

1. Wmeet

2. Carrot and Stick is CE

3. Aff interpretation best

a. we focus on resolution

- b. we limit the resolution
- 4. No unique abuse
- 5. Reasonability standard
- 6. Lit checks
- 7. 100 % sure to vote on topicality

#### Israel

- 1. No link-plan keeps certain sanctions in place to check
- 2. Non-Unique-Israel should feel encircled now
- 3. Turn-Plan brings Iraq back in line with carrot and stick
- 4. Turn-Plan strengthens international coalition vs. Iraq
- 5. No link
- 6. Barak kills Peace Process-Nov. 17 99

#### Clinton

- 1. FIAT checks political process disads
- 2. Round analogues to Congress-backlash will occur anyway
- 3. No spillover
- 4. 4 Obstacles preclude solvency
- 5. China/US relations will end for 4 reasons
- 6. Accension appeal China-risk nuclear war

#### Prolif Turns on Solvency

- 1. Non-Unique-prolif in SQ
- 2. Not assuming our plan
- 3. No link
- 4. No scenario for prolif
- 5. Turn-Saddam can't divert post plan
- 6. Non-Unique-Iraq has weapons now
- 7. Turn-Sanctions increase covert prolif

#### Catholic

School: Catholic

Team(s): DuWi

Case: Syria

#### Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Through then available means, the United States Federal Government, should support the offer of the immediate removal of all economic sanctions currently on the nation-state of Syria. As a policy of Constructive Engagement, the United States Federal Government should support the offers to remove Syria off the so-called "Terrorism List" and to facilitate the transfer of desalination technology and water infrastructure techniques to Syria. We'll Clarify.

#### I. Water Wars

- Water insecurity causes Syria-turkey war-Venter, middle east policy june '98
- War will escalate, involving Greece, Iran and other powers. Syria in alliance would try to take turkey-global intelligence update 10/20/98

#### II. Peace Process

- SQ, Syria and Israel have goodwill-agence france presse Sept 29 '99 "efforts to bring Israel and Syria to the negotiating table..."
- US = dishonest broker-Mideast mirror April 8 '99 (syria raps us double standard on arms sales)

- Palestine will declare independence by year end even w/o peace deal-Jane's intelligence review july 1 '99 (yasser arafat may find that support he gained..."
- Palestine uprising before peace guaranteees regional war jane's march 1 '99 "finally and possibly the most likely source..."
- Israel launch preemptive strike global intelligene update 10/19/99
- Israel muscle-flexing leads to huge regional instability karmon middle east quarterly june 99
- Negotiating stalemate would lead to a syrian land grab jane's intell march 1 99
- Syria wants strategic parity with israel proves propensity for land grab-janes april 1 '99
- Land grab= nuclear war ostrovsky middle east affairs dec 98 pg. 48, 92
- Attack on israel leads to nuclear deployment and triggers accidental nuclear war-janes feb 1 99
- Syria controls lebanon-peace process is the only way to end a lebanon war janes april 1 99
- Lebanon war triggers major regional war ross fns nov 12 97
- Multiple factors ensure that a war in the middle east would go nuclear-sayigh '93 non-conventional weapons, proliferation in the middle east, p. 180

### III. Succession

- SQ battle is inevitable - this would ignite a civil war - Robinson, Middle East Policy, 1Jan. 1998
- US CE solves the succession - Haas and Telhami 99 debate.uvm.edu?Rogue\_World/rw\_frame.html, brookings review press release

### IV. Solvency

- Using a multiple-pronged approach to water management can eliminate water scarcity and prevent conflict-soffer '99 rivers of fire: conflict over water in the middle east p. 259
- Taking syria off terrorist list is key to peace process and normalization of relations ross fns nov 12 97
- We can jumpstart the peace process by lifting economic sanctions this is an honest gesture by the us and would bring syria back to the table-al-ahram al masa'L middle east news items feb 4 98 lexis
- Us involvement would solve security fears being the honest broker would trigger a syria-israel peace deal bbc worldwide monitoring sept 18 99
- We conclude by noting that now is the critical time to normalize relations with syria. Lifting sanctions and encouraging negotiations would solve-haas www.brook.edu/views/op-ed/haass/19990708.htm, july 8 99
- Syria-Israel Peace Destroys Palestine Terrorism and turns the state issue into an administrative abstraction
- Stratfor Intelligence Report 6/19/99
- US guarantees early warning technology to solve any security fears over Golan -- Agence-France Presse, October 26, 1999

### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT: Desal and water infrastructure only CP -

1. perm
2. doesn't make the US an honest broker - must lift sanctions
3. no solvency for Peace Process
4. can't solve water
5. Us must be involved x,x
6. Conditionality Bad - time, argumentative irresponsibility, voter
7. PIC's Bad - skews ground
8. No neg fiat - word should in resolution

AT: Turkey -

1. impact inevitable
2. no timeframe
3. next fews days
4. Balance of Power shift against Turkey
5. Turn: turkey will like it if we build a dam
6. No internal link
7. Not unique - US increasing relations with Syria

8. Assumes Status Quo
9. No link - turkey/israel relations strong
10. No link - turkey/israel relations are not zero-sum
11. Turn - turkey wants peace process to go through
12. Turn - syria supports PKK against Turkey because of dams

AT: Asad

1. succession crisis inevitable
2. no link-plan doesn't liberalize economy
3. power struggle in sq-plan uniquely solves
4. peace process stabilizes syria-u solve, cp doesn't

AT: Clinton

1. No link - political capital is not finite X Smith 94
2. Fiat takes out the link - immediate implementation occurs
3. Normal means = bottom of the docket
4. Uniqueness overwhelms the link - Clinton spending political capital now
5. Authors don't assume each other
6. Political capital link story is illegitimate because the negative could
7. argue that Clinton has no political
8. capital now and therefore the plan will never get passed
9. No spillover
10. No timeframe
11. No internal link
12. ME war is inevitable - case outweighs
13. T/ Winner's win, Ornstein 93
14. Lifting sanctions don't require political capital X

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

- All DA's are not-unique because a war is coming
- Lifting Sanctions is the only way to make the US an honest broker
- Everybody wants to come to the table and make concessions, the only barrier is the US becoming an honest broker by lifting sanctions

School: Catholic

Team(s): OP, LS

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Iraq: plan: the united states federal government will immediately lift all economic sanctions currently maintained on iraq,  
and will commit to a policy of constructive engagement through a carrot and stick diplomacy. funding and enforcement  
through normal means. cross ex and speeches will clarify intent.

Advantages:

cont 2-harms

- scenario 1 -off fails
- scenario 2 -famine
- scenario 3 -agriculture
- scenario 4 -international law
- scenario 5 -prolif

cont 3- morality

Contention 4: Solvency

Lifting economic sanctions is the only way to stop the suffering of the Iraqi people. Using a carrot and stick approach with Iraq can assure proper distribution of food and prevent remilitarization. -- David Cortright and George Lopez, Journal of International Affairs, Spring 1999, Vol. 52, Number 2. "Are Sanctions Just? The Problematic Case of Iraq", pages 754-755.

By immediately lifting removing the economic sanctions, the US will strengthen international consensus against Iraq. -- Gregory Gause, Foreign Affairs, May 1999. "Getting It Backward on Iraq"

Removing economic sanctions eliminate Hussein's motive and excuse for not distributing food to his people. -- Gause again, page 54.

The United States must abandon it's failed economic sanctions regime against Iraq completely. Now is the key time to begin constructive engagement, otherwise there is no hope for the people of Iraq. -- Richard Norton-Taylor, The Guardian, August 16, 1999, page Lexis-Nexis

School: Catholic

Team(s): WaDr

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Contention One: Sanctions destroy innocent Iraqi citizens' lives

-- sanctions kill 150 children per day

-- sanctions are unethical

Plan: The United States Federal Government shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement towards the nation-state of Iraq that includes the immediate removal of all economic sanctions. This policy of constructive engagement will be based on the carrot and stick approach as per Cortright and Lopez. Funding and enforcement through normal means. Aff speeches shall clarify intent.

Observation Two: Solvency

Lifting economic sanctions is the only way to stop the suffering of the Iraqi people. Using a carrot and stick approach with Iraq can assure proper distribution of food and prevent remilitarization. -- David Cortright and George Lopez, Journal of International Affairs, Spring 1999, Vol. 52, Number 2. "Are Sanctions Just? The Problematic Case of Iraq", pages 754-755.

By immediately lifting removing the economic sanctions, the US will strengthen international consensus against Iraq. -- Gregory Gause, Foreign Affairs, May 1999. "Getting It Backward on Iraq"

Removing economic sanctions eliminate Hussein's motive and excuse for not distributing food to his people. -- Gause again, page 54.

The United States must abandon it's failed economic sanctions regime against Iraq completely. Now is the key time to begin constructive engagement, otherwise there is no hope for the people of Iraq. -- Richard Norton-Taylor, The Guardian, August 16, 1999, page Lexis-Nexis

***HARVARD***

Tournament: Harvard

Judge: Jason Hernandez

Catholic WiDu v. Jess/Charles

Plan

Through then available means, the USFG will lift all economic sanctions excluding those on tobacco and pesticides to the government of Syria. As a policy of CE, the USFG will take Syria off the terrorism list and support the offer to facilitate the transfer of desalinization technology and water infrastructure technologies to Syria. We offer the plan to solve the harms. We'll clarify.

***KINGS***

Catholic University FS

Plan text: 1. Remove all econ. sanctions.

2. Carrot and stick à CE

Advantages: Help Iraqui people. Prevent remilitarization.

Cites: None.

Catholic University DW

Plan: USFG shall support adoption of policy of C.E. towards the government of Syria including removal of nearly all economics sanctions. This C.E. will include removal of Syria from the DOS list. Transfer of desalivation tech. H2O Management techniques.

Advantages: Prevent H2O war, jumpstart Syria, Isreal peace process.

Sites: None.

Catholic University LS

Plan: Syria plan

Advantages: Water wars à Turkey will cut off water and cause war in Mid East

Peace process: Syria K; Water is major obstacle

Catholic University OP

Plan: USFG shall adopt a policy of CE toward the government of Iraq that includes immediate removal of all economic sanctions. This policy of CE will be based on the carrot and stick approach as per Cortright and Lopez.

Advantages: Famine.

Sites: Watson 77, Amorim 99, Cortright and Lopez 99.

Catholic University PO

Plan: same as C.U. OP

Advantages: Moral aspects, O.F.F. fails

Catholic University WD

Plan: same as Catholic DW

Advantages: Stops H2O War between Syria and Turkey, solve peace process between Isreal and Syria.

Catholic University SL

Plan: Iraq

Adv: lifting sanctions only way to solve



## Chicago

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

CHICAGO BS

Plan: Laura and Lea become postmodern evangelists, trying to convince others in and out of the round that the resolution is philosophically justified by the POMO critique. Case says that the modernist view of international relations is bad, POMO is a good alternative, and the critique is a political action which stabilizes and emancipates.

Solvency Advocates: Jim George, Discourse of Global Politics, 1994; David Campbell, Writing Security, 1998.

Misc: No fiat used by plan.

### ***UNI***

Chicago BS

Plan: Amend the 1998 ILA to recognize INC as the legitimate government of Iraq, exempt the INC territory from sanctions, send aid to all of Iraq, give covert assistance to INC to support insurrection, designate a protected zone south of the 33rd parallel.

Inherency: Saddam in power and sanctions exist

Solvency: revolution will work (Reinckens 1999, Yonsif 1998, Cohen 1999)

Subpoint one: Saddam violating human rights^Å genocide bad

INC solves rights violations

Subpoint two: Sanctions are bad

Note: They advocate that we have a moral obligation to recognize Iraqi people as a nation and not the government of Saddam in response to topicality.

Depending on the counter-plan, they may advocate that the removal of sanctions is inevitable.

## Chico

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

CHICO AK

Plan: Cuba

Advantages: Cycle of War, Hegemonic Masculinity

Advocates: Cynthia Weber.

CHICO CR

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba including the lifting of all economic sanctions, removal from Helms Burton, and the normalization of relations.

Advantages: Environment, Rights.

Advocates: Evenson 1998, Graham 1998, Collymore 1997.

### ***UCO***

Chico HB

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE incl the removal of the HB, Cuban Asset Controls Regulations, removal of the anti - terrorism and effective death penalty act, CDA, TWEA, w/ express purpose of ending US pursuit of Cuba per Cynthia Weber.

Advantages: Cycle of war.

1AC Cites: OB I-Castration anxiety now, Weber 99

OB II - Cuba key to US heg in SQ, Weber 99

ADV. Cycle Of War - Hegemon. Masc. In SQ = Patriarchal Tickner 1997, Patriarch. = Hierarch. Threat survival, Peterson, Sisson, Russon, 1993.

SOLVENCY - rereading sarassine metaphor solves, Weber 1999, all are part of body politic, weber 1999,

UNDERVIEW - metaphor = best, Gross 1996, We can change IR, Whitworth 1994, Individual Rejection key, Johnson 1997.

2AC Tricks: Herbert tends to wax philosophical in the 1AR. We like to straight turn disads. Bowers wears ties during prelims.

Chico KA

Plan:

Advantages:   β   β   β   Same as Chico HB

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Chico MR

Plan:

Advantages:   β   β   β   Same as Chico HB

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

## ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Affirmative Chico ?? vs. Whitman CO

Grady Martin

Plan Text:

The USFG will adopt a policy of CE, including the immediate removal of the Helms-Burton Act, the removal of Cuban assets control regulations, removal of Cuba from the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, the removal of the Cuban Democracy Act, and the removal of Cuba from the TWEA through normal necessary diplomatic means. These actions will be enacted with the express purpose of severing US pursuit of Cuba as per the recommendations of Cynthia Weber. Speeches in reverse order clarify intent.

1AC outline

Cynthia Weber, *Faking It: US Hegemony in a "Post Phallic" era*, 1999

Whitworth, *Feminism and International Relations*, 1994

Foss, *Rhetorical Criticism: Exploration and Practice*, 1996, p 361

Jan Pettman, *Worlding Women*, 1996, p 89-90

J. Ann Tickner, *Feminist Perspectives on Achieving Global Society*, 1992

Spika Peterson and Anne Sison-Russon, *Global Gender Change*, 1993

2AC Answers to Off-case positions

WTO bad

1. non-unique—co-op now
2. recent Senate vote means the plan is popular
3. patriarchy causes violence
4. war is a whole culture, not isolated
5. we reconstruct
6. metaphor is better

Shunning

1. must solve
2. not always a duty <beversluis>
3. self preservation is key
4. system of war is bad
5. shunning not solve hegemony
6. Shunning treats Cuba as a jealous boyfriend

2AR Big Tricks

they go almost entirely for the Tickner and Pettman and Weber argument that they solve the culture of war to answer any disads or turns.



## **Columbia**

### ***KINGS***

Columbia University HH

Plan: Lift sanctions except for dual-use or pure Mil Technology (North Korea)

Advantages: NK collapse, Jpn-US relations - expansion of 4 party talks to multi-lateral talks = Jpn/US alliance = regional stability and wars avoided

## Concordia

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

CONCORDIA SK

Plan: Syria

Advantage Areas: Water Wars, Political Succession.

Advocates: Lawson, Middle East Policy, October 1996; Robinson, Middle East Policy, January 1998; Haas, Brookings Institute, [www.brookinglp/transcripts/19990713.html](http://www.brookinglp/transcripts/19990713.html).

### ***WAKE***

Concordia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Concordia KN

vs.: Michigan Dearborn HR

Case: Syria

Judge: Leeper, Karla-Baylor

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Fem IR

1. Performative contradiction
2. No implication to kritik
3. No pre-FIAT implication
4. Kritik over-generalizes
5. Kritik entrenches a totalizing philosophy
6. Kritik isn't positive policy making
7. Perm-do the plan and rethink
8. Perm-Pragmatism
9. Need to reject IR feminism
10. Essentialization

Counterplan

1. Consultation a lie
2. Moral Obligation to reject lies
3. Perm-do the plan while consulting
4. Not intrinsic
5. No solvency evidence

Israel

1. NU: ME prolif now
2. Turn: Plan increases Israeli security
3. NU: focused on Syria now
4. NU: Israel feels threatened
5. Israel wants peace with Syria
6. No Israeli peace coming
7. Desire for peace prevents the link
8. Engaging Syria=US honest broker

9. Engaging Syria key to Mideast
10. NU: Albright visit
11. Current relations prevent link
12. Turn: plan helps peace process
13. Israel depends on the US-won't retaliate

#### Concordia

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Concordia KN

vs.: Cornell

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Tate, Tara - North Texas

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

#### Observation One - Inherency

US identifies Syria as a rogue - Tauter, Rogue Regimes, 98

US policy splits ME into two opposing power blocs - Pipes, Commentary, Nov 98

Idea of Syria as a rogue leads to blocs - Pipes 98

Blocks raise stakes of ME conflict - Pipes 98

#### Scen 1 - water wars

Euphrates leads to Turkey and Syria war - Ventner, ME Policy, June 98

Water disputes = war - Vetner 98

Syria's fresh water shortage = war - Lawson, ME Policy, 1996

Turkey-Syria dispute escalates - Jorj-ti, Reluctant Neighbor, 96

#### Scen 2 - Syrian politics -

Syrian elite cohesion needed - Robinson, ME Policy, January 98

Decreased economy triggers pressure for market reforms - Robinson 98

Economic crisis crushes elite cohesion - Robinson 98

No elite cohesion = bloody succession struggle - Robinson 98

= bloody civil war - Robinson 98

Elite divergence = crisis escalation - Lawson, Why Syria goes to War, 96

#### Solvency -

US mediation solves euphrates dispute - Lawson, ME Policy, 1996

Sanctions fail, ravage economy, cause suffering and war - Pape, International Security, Summer 98

Eliminating sanctions = investment in Syria - Haass 99

Syrian economy down the toilet - economic meltdown solved by economic ? - Jerusalem Post, 9-28-99

Economic intercession will buoy Syrian economy - Lawson, ME policy, 96

US engagement stops war w/ turkey - Muslih, Reluctant Neighbor, 96

Syria wants oil tech - would accept US intercession - Lawson, ME policy, 96

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Concordia KT

vs.: Catholic DuWi

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Robertson, Steve - Fullerton

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT :spanos

absolutism turns - Sleeper 93, Burt 96, Rorty 94

perf contradiction

perm - multiple philosophies ok

turn - forcing ontology bad

Concordia

School: Concordia

Team(s): All

Case: (Syria)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG will constructively engage the nation state of the Arab Republic of Syria by lifting all economic sanctions, mediating the Euphrates water conflict, supply financial aid, tech transfers and lobbying multilateral lending institutions on Syria's behalf.

Aff advantages are:

Water wars

Turkey and Syria are going to go to war over turkey restricting the flow of the Euphrates River-  
Fred Lawson ME policy 98

Economic stability

Syria's economy is heading down if it crashes it will cause political chaos and a civil war-  
Glenn Robinson ME policy 96

Solvency

US can mediate the water dispute effectively  
economic aid and tech transfers will solve for the economy  
Robinson, Lawson, and Haas

***UNI***

Concordia ST

Plan: (resolved) as per Richard Haass (only unilateral sanctions)  
policy will

include ensuring the mediation of water disputes with Turkey as well as  
economic intercession and technology incentives aimed at encouraging  
Syrian progress. We will constructively engage as per Fred Lawson.

Scenario one: Water War

Scenario two: Syrian Civil War

Scenario three: Middle East power blocs



## Cornell

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

#### CORNELL MM

Plan: The USFG will immediately remove all sanctions on Iraq and adopt a policy of constructive engagement by ending the US bombing campaign, normalizing relations with Iraq, and allowing for aid, trade, and cultural exchanges. The US will call for and support an end to all UN sanctions against Iraq. Funding and enforcement through normal means. We'll clarify intent.

Observation I: Health harms and morality.

Observation II: Weapons of mass destruction.

Observation III: Solvency.

### ***WAKE***

#### Cornell

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Cornell MM

vs.: Concordia KT

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Tate, Tara - North Texas

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

At bottom of solvency -

Lift embargo solves repression - Halliday 12/29/98 "Iraqi attitudes"

Must correct own wrongs - weiss 97

Sanctions can't be justified - clark 98

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

At : WMD's

Albright and hamza, 1/27/99 - "doesn't fix the problem"

Iraq not a threat - [www.nonviolence.org/board/messages/6051.htm](http://www.nonviolence.org/board/messages/6051.htm)

Sanctions increase aggression weiss 97 - political gain and civilian pain

Proliferation racism kritik

AT : clinton WTO

SOVT 11/17/99 "outpouring of damaging revelations"

No legislative power - bullitens frontrunner - 10/15/99 "legislative priorities"

Labor blocked - BF 11/17/99 "labor union leaders"

Singapore business times - 11/17/99 "very powerful"

AT : oil

N/u's -

Hart's Middle East Oil and Gas, 10-19-99 "quotas is slipping"

Nation - 10-21-99 - "non-opec countries"

UPI - 10/18/99 - "tightening production"

No link - moscow news, 10/13/99 - "lifting the embargo"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Cornell WW

vs.: Baylor BM

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Tilley, Michael - Liberty

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan :

Observation One - Health care

Embargo blocks - Chile 99

Licenses = de facto embargo - Mockley 98

Costs of medicine prohibitive - Kunsen 94

Can't replace U.S. equipment - 1000 women a year die of breast cancer - US and Cuban Medical Project 98

Lifting embargo = access to US medicine - Kunsen 94

Embargo = weapon - inf exchange = breast cancer solvency - Watskin 97

Coop = effective new cancer drugs - American Association for World Health 97

Advantage Two - Reactor

US efforts to stop reactor fail, but scuttle nuclear safety agreements - Alvarado 98

Completion = nuclear meltdown - Sierno 95

US coop ensures safe energy supply - Alvarado 98

Biomass = perfect alternative - National Resource defense council 96

AT : development kritik - Hojer 95 - Politics of Environmental Discourse

AT : reactor - Racism - Roche 97, Derkovich 98

AT : export death - Pesticides - St. Louis Post Dispatch, 2-7-99

Goddard, 99 - Fed News Service - 7/31

AT : Rice - washington Post - 95

NYT - 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Cornell KC

vs.: Catholic LS

Case (country): all five countries - feminism

Judge: Fitzmier, Dan - Emory

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Feminist kritik of realism - the first part of case is a narrative

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Cornell SD

vs.: Catholic LP

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Krueger, Mike - MTSU

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Advantage One - Health care - Chelala 99, Moakley 98, Sun-Sentinal 99, US-Cuba Medical Project 98,

Kantz 99, Am. Association WH 97, Chomsky 98, Wnitzkin 97, Pittsburg Post Gazette 98

Adv Two - Reactor  
Alvarado 98, Cereijo 95, B-A 98, NRDC 96

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
AT : Clinton

No link - sanctions.net/members/pg20; 9/15/99  
Non unique - singapore business times 99  
Impact turn - deforestation through free-logging agreement

AT : softline -  
Not unique, eased earlier

AT : thailand rice - indonesia turn

AT : IMF - castro not want IMF loans

AT : oppression - turn - sanctions increase oppression

AT : reactor - will be built, can be fixed

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)  
Goes for turns on clinton and reactor

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3  
Team: Cornell KC  
vs.: Kentucky BN  
Case (country): All five  
Judge: Brovero, Adrienne - Northwestern  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Narrative - John Hall; Tampa Tribune, June 8, 1999

Plan : the USFG should adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all sanctions toward all 5 topic nations. Such policies should be adopted in accordance with feminist perspectives on International Relations. Specifically, feminist scholars and other interested parties will be invited to participate in all relevant policy making procedures and forums. Reserve right to clarify intent - ask questions.

Cot 1 -

1. realism remains orthodoxy in IR - Jill Steans 1998
2. Gender is excluded in sanctions lit. - Steans 98
3. Sanctions render women invisible - Lori Buck, Review of International Studies, 98
4. Failure to recognize gender threatens our survival - Ann Tickner, 1992

Cnt 2 -

1. New perspectives overcome narrow view of dominant realist perspectives, Tickner 92
2. Must kritik core of IR first - Tickner 92
3. Participation of women and feminist scholars is crucial for change - Tickner 92

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Group all DA's -

1. feeds realism position
2. turn - national security is only a partial view
3. aff impacts are proven
4. narrative and tickner proves true

Counterplan - exclude Iran

1. PIC's bad
2. Entrenches realism

AT : T - CE

1. Wm - attempt to engage indialogue
2. No ground loss
3. Predictable
4. Lit checks

T - Extra T

1. WM - lift all sanctions
2. Inf regressive
3. Lit checks
4. No ground loss

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Cornell MM

Case (country): Iraq

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Morality - Gordon [1AC Author]

Grand Negotiator

-Non Unique North Korea ["all carrot" 9-24-99]

-Non Unique CTBT [Calgary Sun "came out swinging" 10/15/99]

-Non Unique India-Pakistan

-No link - Deterrence checks

WMD Diversion

-Won't divert [Halliday 11/5/99 "misinformation" Federal News Service]

[Weiss, Political Gain & Civilian Pain 1997]

Topple Saddam

[Hoskins, Political Gain & Civilian Pain 1997]

-SQ helps Saddam

[Future of Iraq 1997]

-Sanctions Fail

[Gause Foreign Affairs 1999]

-Oppositions fail

[Clawson Iraq Strategic Review 1998]

-Long time to solve

[Clawson]

[Middle East Policy 2/99]

Cornell

School: Cornell

Team(s): CK

Case: Feminist International Relations - all five countries

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of all sanctions toward all five topic nations. Such policies should be adopted in accordance with feminist perspective(s) on international relations. Specifically, relevant scholars will be invited to participate in the policymaking proceedings

Contention 1: Status of International Relations

Realism remains the dominant paradigm in international relations. Postivist thought has only served to provide realism with an aura of truth - Steans 1998 (Gender and International Relations)

As a result, gender has been excluded as a relevant category of analysis in international relations - Tickner 1992 (Gender in International Relations)

Sanctions specifically are gendered - the Buck evidence

Failing to examine gender means we can't resolve underlying causes of insecurity - Tickner

Contention 2: Virtue of Feminist Perspectives

Women should be included at all level of policymaking - Tickner

However, must go beyond merely "adding" women and kritik the core of international relations - Tickner

School: Cornell

Team(s): MM

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The Plan:

The USFG will immediately remove all sanctions on Iraq and adopt a policy of constructive engagement by ending the U.S. bombing campaign, normalizing relations with Iraq, and allowing for aid, trade, and cultural exchanges. The U.S. will call for and support an end to all UN sanctions against Iraq. Funding and Enforcement through normal means. We'll clarify intent.

Obs. 1: US continues to support sanctions on Iraq despite their failure. Gause 1999 "supporting crippling economic sanctions"

The US continues to move the goalposts--- we have no intention of lifting the embargo and Saddam has no incentive to cooperate. McDonald Feb. 1 1999  
<http://www.nonviolence.org/board/messages/6051.htm>  
"never ending crisis"

US blocks lifting of UN Sanctions and disrupts Oil for Food. IHT, 10-27-99 "accused the united states"

Humanitarian remedies like oil for food fail. Campaign Against Sanctions on Iraq April 1999  
<http://www.ex-parrot.com/casi/newslet/apr99.txt>

Sanctions are ethically wrong-Their purpose is to punish individuals in Iraq--- This action cannot be justified. Gordon, Ethics and International Affairs 1999 vol. 13

Sanctions have killed 1 million already and will kill millions more in Iraq. Simons, The Scourging of Iraq 1998.

If anything, these numbers are low. Mueller and Mueller 1999 "many infant deaths"

This will cause internal civil war in Iraq. Kubba, Iraq since the Gulf War, 1994, ed. by Franz Hazelton

Sanctions against Iraq can't be justified--- They are worse than anything Saddam has done. Simons, The Scourging of Iraq, 1998.

Embargo is sanctioned mass murder--- Silence is complicity. Chomsky 1999  
<http://www.iacenter.org/chomsky.htm/>

There's no morally acceptable justification for killing kids with sanctions. Halliday, Current History, Feb. 1999

Sanctions have killed more people than all weapons of mass destruction through history. Mueller and Mueller 1999 "iraqi sanctions compare"

The systemic impact of sanctions outweigh their media constructed disads. Mueller and Mueller 1999 "dangers posed by chemical"

Obs. 2: Solvency-

Dropping Objections causes UN sanctions to be lifted.

ABCNEWS.com 10-26-99 "Iraq these days"

Must lift the embargo now to solve. Dixon and Pumphrey, Challenge to Genocide, 1998.

Constructive Engagement key to solving.

Jenkins, The Times, 8-5-98, "proffer economic sanctions"

The time frame to solvency is quick - immediate improvement in economy in Iraq.

Parasiliti, Middle East Policy, Feb. 1999 (proquest)  
"assuming sanctions are lifted"

Iraq must be able to purchase goods to solve. Masri, Challenge to Genocide, 1998.

Lifting Sanctions forces Saddam to feed Iraq. Gause 1999  
"no longer be able to shirk"

Iraq's gov't won't divert resources--- It has distributed food well. Sloan, Challenge to Genocide, 1998

School: Cornell  
Team(s): MM, SD, WW  
Case: Cuba

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

The Plan:

The USFG will immediately remove all economic sanctions on Cuba, including repealing the Helms-Burton Act and lifting restrictions on travel and importation of biotechnology products. The US will support organizations which help access of poor to resources in Cuba as per Chomsky in 1998 and provide assistance to meet Cuba's energy needs as per Benjamin-Alvarado. Funding and Enforcement through normal means. We'll clarify intent.

Advantage 1: Health Care

Despite Clinton's efforts, health care in Cuba is rapidly deteriorating. The U.S. embargo still blocks medicine to Cuba and thousands are forced to suffer every day. Japan Times 1-20-99 "cubans to buy more food"

While companies can theoretically sell medicine to Cuba, strict licensing procedures have created a de facto embargo. Moakley, FNS, may 7 1998 "opponents will say there is no embargo"

When companies can find medicine from other nations, its costs are prohibitive. Kuntz 1994 (International Journal of Health Services "even when medicines and medical supplies")

But Often, Cuba can't find replacements for US medicine and equipment. Each year, 1,000 women die in Cuba because the embargo has devastated early detection and treatment programs for breast cancer. Us-Cuba Medical Project 1998  
<http://www.igc.apc.org/cubasoli/uscumedi.html>

Millions of People in third world nations will suffer because Cuba can't afford to maintain international medical assistance. Kuntz 1994

Lifting the embargo allows Cuba access to US medical supplies and information. US businesses would sell goods cheaper to Cuba and both sides would benefit from information exchanges. Kunt 1994

Lifting the embargo and aiding NGOs helps the poor. Chomsky 1998

Information exchange allows the US to learn from Cuba-America would increase its focus on early detection and treatment of breast cancer. Waitzkin 1997  
<http://www.igc.apc.org/cubasoli/waitzkin.html>)

Early Detection and treatment in the US would decrease breast cancer deaths by 1/3. Pittsburgh Post Gazette 12-22-98. "the number of deaths"

This would save 15,000 men and women per year. Pittsburgh Post Gazette 5-5-98 "breast cancer is diagnosed"

Cooperation between the U.S. and Cuba will lead to more effective cancer fighting medications. American Association for World Health, Denial of Food and Medicine: the impact of the U.S. embargo on health and nutrition in Cuba, 1997.

#### Advantage 2: Juragua

Inevitably, US efforts to stop nuclear power in Cuba will fail. But these efforts will scuttle international nonproliferation agreements, increasing the likelihood of nuclear war. Benjamin-Alvarado 1998.  
<http://www.soc.qc.edu/procuba/juragua.html>

Proliferation increases risk of nuclear war. Sagan, Spread of Nuclear Weapons: A Debate, 1995.

Completion of Juragua risks a nuclear meltdown--- design, construction, and training flaws makes the



probability of disaster high. Cereijo 1995  
<http://csf.colorado.edu/lists/elan/96/oct96/0045.html>

US cooperation can ensure Cuba a safe energy supply.  
Either Cuba will be persuaded to use alternative energy  
or we will assist them to construct a safe nuclear power  
plant. Benjamin-Alvarado 1998

Biomass provides the perfect alternative to Juragua-It  
will be accepted in Cuba. National Resources Defense  
Council 1996  
<http://www.igc.apc.org/nrdc/nrdc/bkgrd/nucuener.html>

### ***KINGS***

Cornell University DS

Plan: Cuba

Advantages: Juragua, Helathcare

## Dartmouth

### ***DARTMOUTH***

Round 5

Aff neg judge  
West Ga Mich State Bellus

Dartmouth Kansas Hester

1AC: new case plan: Plan: the usfg will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with iraq through the immediate elimination of all efforts aimed at inducement, containment or overthrow of the iraqi regime, including, but not limited to, military campaigns, support for iraqi opposition groups, economic, dual-use, and military sanctions. The united states will reverse its position in the united nations to support the lifting of these sanctions. The united states will establish a dialogue with the iraqi government. Aff speeches will clarify our intent.  
The 1ac is an indict of modernity. They read a bunch of cards from Zygmunt Bauman Sociology 1995 Life in Fragments: Essays in Postmodern Morality with tags like:

"The source of this ambivalence is under-determination and uncertainty, which constitute the great fear of modern life. Consequently, we try to stabilize the source of our anxiety by locating a concrete and definable source for it so that we can strike out against it and make ourselves think we have done something about it. The US government thus identifies Saddam Hussein's Iraq and its people as the cause of instability in the Persian Gulf."

1NC: Appeasement, Hollow Inspectors, Russia, Counterplan to do most of the plan but not exempt oil sanctions with a net benefit of Russia they also included an intent statement along the lines of: Our intent is not a calculated effort to harm innocents but instead to provide opportunities for others besides the U.S. i.e. Russia.

2NR: went for case takeouts, the counterplan and russia.

Dartmouth (Iraq) Emory (neg)

1ac: slight plan change. The new plan reads:

Plan: The USFG will immediately remove nearly all comprehensive economic sanctions on Iraq, including but not limited to Sanctions on dual use products, and reverse its position in the UN to push for their immediate removal. This removal will not preclude the imposition of sanctions based on health safety, and other non-economic considerations. The US will gradually ease war reparations and debt requirements as advocated by Mahdi.

from their solvency contention:

Mahdi advocates "attempts to exchange debt reduction for a measure of domestic political openness a move towards accountable government, and economic and administrative reform."

The 1AC also has a few more war advantage cards in the underview.

Inc: inspections bad, clinton - ntr, oil - price decrease bad, t - must diplomatically recognize iraq

2nr: inspections & case take outs

## **USC**

Dartmouth SZ: Serrano and Zampol

Plan: USFG = CE including removing nearly all sanctions by removing Syria from the terrorist list. Declaring the State Department terrorist list label a flawed construct. Guarantee enforcement by eliminating the counter terrorist measures and replacement measures as advocated by Zulaika.

Advantages:

Terrorist label leads to domestic repression, urban decay, label = self-fulfilling prophecy which causes military intervention. Must reject moral imperative.

## **WAKE**

Dartmouth

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Dartmouth GS

vs.: Liberty HS

Case: Iraq

Judge: Trice, Jason-Michigan State

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Clinton

1. NU: Clinton passed the Berlin agreement with NK and proves he either did not have to use his capital or that the DA is a lie.
2. No spillover. No reason why Clinton has to use his capital on one policy that it will spillover to other legislation.
3. Sucking up political capital is a myth. Clinton can always convince Congress of passing legislation or else the uniqueness will overwhelm the link.
4. Make them prove a link story. It is not common sense for Clinton to push for a plan he doesn't like.
5. Clinton doesn't do the plan. We never say that Clinton forces Congress to pass something thru Congress. FIAT just means that it happens. Congress will just change their minds.
6. Normal means = bottom of the docket.
7. We solve the impact.
8. Clinton has no foreign policy influence-NYT 10/14/99
9. CTBT was a crushing foreign policy defeat for Clinton-NTY 10/14/99
10. Winners Win-Ornstein 93
11. Inclusion of Abortion rider kills influence-IHT 11/3/98
12. No internal link: many Chinese resist WTO entry-FT 10/1/99
13. US and China won't reach a deal-AFX News 9/29/99

Condition CP

1. CP is ethically unacceptable. They continue the policy of targeting civilians and using them as a means to eliminate the leader.
2. Perm: consult allies and then do the plan.
3. Iraq will reject conditions-NYT 9/21/99
4. Plan solves the impact-extend Cortwright and Lopez. The plan allows the return weapons inspectors.
5. CP is in backwards order-must lift sanctions 1st to build a consensus on arms limitations-Moberly The World Today March 99
6. Our whole solvency contention proves non-compliance. Without sanctions removal, there is no incentive for Iraq to cooperate.

7. Inspections fail-strong domestic technological base means even the most intrusive and effective sanctions fail-Cordesman Iraq and the War of Sanctions 99
8. Turn: false sense of security
  - a. inspections can't prevent prolif-kay summer 98 washington quarterly
  - b. Illusion of arms limits worse than no limits at all-Mach ME Quarterly June 99
9. Condition CPs are abusive. They are a moving target because we don't know if Iraq would accept the CP, and they could condition the plan on anything, like whether or not the plan is a good idea. The AFF could never win. If's a VI for reasons of fairness and ground.
10. Optimism on deal is dead wrong-San Antonio Express 11-20-99
11. Negotiation = hostility on the Council. Members remain divided-German Presse Agency 11-20-99
12. Security Council will split on fundamental issues-Washington Post 11-20-99
13. 6 months of private meetings have failed to achieve anything-NYT 11-20-99
14. Council members remain far apart-Washington Post 11-20-99
15. Won't solve-Iraq will reject conditions on removing sanctions won't accept inspections-reject even most moderate proposals-IHT 11-5-99
16. Removing sanctions first increases chance for Iraqi cooperation-Iraq is tired of sticks and want some carrots-Cortright and Lopez Journal of Int'l Affairs Spring 99
17. Inspections fail to stop Iraqi WMD-Cordesman Iraq and the War of Sanctions 99
18. IAEA inspections fail-Cordesman same as 17
19. No chance of effective inspections-Pletkin ME Quarterly June 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Dartmouth RT

vs.: Georgia EK

Case: Iraq

Judge: Cohen, Elisia-Southern Cal

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Spanos

1. We must combine critical analysis with a realist, state-centered perspective that recognizes subaltern perspectives-Ayoob Critical Security Studies 97
2. Counter-kritik-self reflexivity-the critique turns a blind eye towards violence and oppression. Instead, we should view the affirmative as a self-reflexive operation that recognizes its contingency and still moves progressively forward-krause Critical Security Studies 97 ed. Kruase and Williams

WTO

1. Generic capital answers
2. WTO deal won't pass-Business Times of Singapore 11/17/99
3. Labor Groups block WTO deal-San Diego Union-Tribune 11/17/99
4. Protectionism risks nuclear war-Spicer The Challenge from the East and the Rebirth of the West, 96

Israel

1. Us-Israeli ties resilient to any collapse-Feldman '96 The Future of US-Israeli Strategic Cooperation --Rosen ME Quarterly 12/98
- Cohen and Bacevich, Survival Spring 98
2. Non-Unique: US-Israeli ties inevitably come under strain-Cohen and Bacevich,k Survival 98
3. No internal link between sanctions policy and willingness to negotiate. If anything, resiliency proves that Israel would never sacrifice its relations with the US by pulling out of the peace process.
4. No impact, peace process won't collapse-Rabinovich Waging Peace 99
5. Turn: can't delink sanctions and peace process Arab leaders will never agree-Dunn Middle East Policy June 98
6. Turn: Case solves prolif and Iraqi aggression, proves Israel has no reason to fear Iraq post-plan and won't backlash.
7. Turn: plan solves foot in mouth disease. How would Israel react when disease destroys their entire means of agricultural survival do to US inaction?

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)  
Dispositional pics bad

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Dartmouth BG

vs.: Texas SR

Case: Iraq

Judge: Klemz, Aaron-Southern Illinois

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

New plan:

As a part of a comprehensive approach to the plight of the Iraqi people, we endorse the notion that the US FG should immediately lift nearly all comprehensive economic sanctions on Iraq, including sanctions on dual use products, and reverse its position in the UN to support the immediate removal of sanctions. This removal should not affect the use of targeted sanctions and rewards in a graduated manner to encourage Iraqi cooperation on weapons inspection and monitoring or limits on military technology and equipment or the arms embargo. We'll clarify.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

The arguments presented in this round will not be run again.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8

Team: Dartmouth BG

vs.: Kentucky JR

Case (country): Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

They do not read the second observation

New Plan

The USFG should immediately lift nearly all comprehensive economic sanctions on Iraq, including sanctions on dual-use products and reverse its position in the UN to support the immediate removal of these sanctions. We'll clarify intent.

You should not construe our plan as effecting the use of targeted sanctions and rewards in a graduated manner to encourage Iraqi cooperation on weapons inspection and monitoring, limits on military technology and equipment, or the arms embargo

Solvency

Removing sanctions only way to boost the economy

Simons, The Scourging of Iraq, 1996

Lifting sanctions ends suffering by allowing infrastructure development and induces cooperation on arms inspectors

Cortright and Lopez, J. of Int'l Affairs, Spring 1999

Threat of sanctions is better than sanctions

CSIS, 2/99, Altering US Sanctions Policy

SQ sanctions fail by providing no incentive for Iraqi coop - constructive engagement solve ,

Cortright and Lopez again

Plan bolsters int'l support for military constraints on Iraq

Pollack, Iraq Strategy Review: Options for US Policy, 1998, ed. By Clawson

Sanctions are US policy, not a UN policy

MIT News, 4/30/99 [www.mit.edu](http://www.mit.edu)

Iraq distributes food

IAC Press Release, 8/13/99 [www.iacenter.org](http://www.iacenter.org)

Distrust from sanctions guarantees brinksmanship and war and ensures Iraq will never comply w/ any UN conditions

Middle East International, 11/13/98

Iraqi Officials have repeatedly stated they will reject any conditions on sanctions removal

Int'l Herald Tribune, 11/5/99

UnderView

Sanctions are immoral - shouldn't sacrifice innocents

Garrett, Ethics and Int'l Affairs, v. 8, 1994

The moral responsibility to avoid the suffering of innocents cannot be compromised even if others act immorally in response

Bauman, Life in Fragments: Essays in Postmodern Morality, 1995

The Practice of Weighing Lives is the twisted political arithmetic of mass society - it devalues human lives by treating them as currency and justifies genocide

Dillon, Political Theory, April 1999

Nuke war --> superior race b/c Hanover would survive

Qeester, 1992

Major War is obsolete

Mandelbaum, Survival, Winter 1999

Optimism on a deal is dead wrong - the Council is deadlocked

San Antonio Express, 11/22/99 "Iraq fully disarm" "non-starter"

Negotiations are stalled

German Press agency, 11/20/99 "Iraq refuses flatly any resumption"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: T/ even if Dartmouth is smart, they are ugly

1. they're ugly

AT: S. Asia

1. uneven application of sanctions kills effectiveness

2. US is easing sanctions on India

3. Targeted sanctions best - no appeasement

4. Turns back the mpx

5. Sanctions fatigue kills credibility

6. Not unique - CTBT and North Korea

7. Not softline plan

AT: Israel

1. impact is bad

2. Syria impact assumes peace process

3. Uniqueness is too unique

4. PP = locked

5. T/ US sanctions on Iraq kill PP

6. PP failure --> Israeli isolation and war

7. T/ Pro-US tilt = kills PP, upsets Arabs

8. No spillover

AT: Rollback

1. no appeasement

2. new aggressive commitment to get rid of Iraq

3. will topple saddam

4. once vulnerable, regime will collapse

5. no evidence for US troops

AT: Russia

1. not unique and emp. Denied - NATO expansion
2. Nationalists will win now
3. Sphere of influence not unique - Kosovo
4. Alt. Causality - NATO
5. Sanctions = huge revenue loss

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Use topple saddam good to answer case turns and prove that they are hardline - 1AR reads a lot of cards on topple saddam good

Dartmouth

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Dartmouth BG

vs.: Emory NR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Bratt, Ron - Catholic

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

1AC changes -

three new cards

Famines coming - McKinnen - 9/29/99 - San Fran chronicle "imminent famine"

Sanctions = malnutrition - Alagian, 2/28/99 - The Independent, "caloric target"

Poverty = starvation and OFF not solve - NPR 9/22/99 - "in a vegetative state"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality - reimposition bad

1. CSI ev says targeted sancs are CE
2. Extra T not legit - can just sever
3. WM - not reimpose in plan, it's effect of plan
4. Cdef - removal not sanctions
5. Cdef - removal includes reimposition
6. still nearly all
7. cdef - removal = transfer - Words and Phrases 62
8. WM - CE is carrots and sticks - Smith
9. S africa proves plan is CE - BBC 95

AT : CP

1. solvency evidence includes Aff - Cortwright and Lopex
2. perm - do CP. It is plan.
3. Perm - do both - CP text nullifies targeted sanctions
4. No solvency
5. Exclusionary CP's bad
6. Elimination of broad sanctions and reimposition of targeted ones solves - Card
7. Turn - CP immoral b/c maintains arms embargo - Cortwright and Lopez 99
8. Need carrots and sticks - Lopez 99
9. Targeted sanctions best - Lopez 99
10. Targeted sanctions effective - Lopez 99
11. Targeted solve prolif impacts
12. Pull CSIS evidence - need threat
13. Targeted solve - Weitner 99

14. Turn - eliminating embargo w/o targeted = failure, war - Partley ?

Case cards :

Targeted sanctions solve

Lopez 99 (ethics and international affairs)

Lopez 95, Fall, Fletcher forum

Cortwright and Lopez 99

Sick 98

Inspectors solve

Cordesman 99 (Iraq and the war of sanctions)

Eisenstadt 99 (Iraq Strategy Review, ed Clawson)

Clawson 6/18/99 (washingtoninstitute.org)

Near cast report, 9/21/99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Dartmouth GS

vs.: Fort Hays HR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sowards

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Only change is plan text: lift sanctions immed. Allow dual use. Targeted sanctions. Reverse sq position in UN. Doesn't effect arms embargo

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Israel

1. relations resilient

2. sanctions kill p.p.

3. p.p. solves war and prolif

Russia

1. plan helps Russia

2. Russian coop w/ Iraq on oil

3. n/u relations bad

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Dartmouth LS

vs.: Emory CR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sharp

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Constructive Engagement causes regional stability

[Simon Jenkins, London Times, 2/18/98]

Sanctions are immoral

[Buchanan, 12/21/98 Washington Times]



2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Israel

- Relations resilient
- Relations between Israel and Palestine low
- Sanctions hurt the peace process

Condition Counterplan

[John Moberly, The World Today 3/99]

Oil

Low oil prices -> Asian instability  
[Kemp, Survival, Winter 99]

Inflation

[NYT 10/24/99]

Oil increasing slowly

[CASI, April 99 [www.casi.org](http://www.casi.org)]

Dartmouth

School: Dartmouth

Team(s): BG, GS, RT

Case: Iraq

Information below is on BG. GS and RT run the same version but usually don't read as many cards in the 1AC.

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG will immediately lift nearly all comprehensive economic sanctions on Iraq, including sanctions on dual-use produces and will reverse its position in the UN to support the immediate removal of sanctions. The USFG will allow the use of targeted sanctions and rewards in a graduated manner to encourage Iraqi cooperation on weapons inspection and monitoring. This removal will not affect limits on military equipment and technology or the arms embargo.

Contention one: Sanctions are mass murder.

- 1.7 million killed -- IAC Press Release 8/13/99 (<http://www.iacenter.org>)
- 5000-7000 children dead each month -- Halliday, J. of Palestine Studies, Winter 99 p.30
- Deaths underestimated -- Mueller and Mueller, Foreign Affairs, May/June 99 p.50
- Death tolls not Iraqi propaganda -- Casi Newsletter 4/99 [www.casi.org](http://www.casi.org) "indisputable and cannot be overstated"
- More deaths than all WMD use -- Mueller and Mueller p.51
- OFF doesn't solve malnutrition -- Halliday p.30
- Half of OFF revenues to for humanitarian purposes -- IAC Press Release "53 percent of the revenue"
- Even a perfect OFF program would fail -- CASI Newsletter "failing to stimulate the Iraqi economy"
- OFF doesn't solve diseases -- IAC Statement 2/26/99 [www.iacenter.org](http://www.iacenter.org) "rebuild its water and sewage"
- Epidemic of disease -- Cortright and Lopez, J. Int. Affairs, Spring 99, p.741
- Sanctions cause water contamination -- IAC Press Release 8/13/99 "water contaminated from the central city"
- Foot and mouth disease kills food security -- CBC News 3/2/99, [leb.net/IAC/disease.html](http://leb.net/IAC/disease.html)

Contention II -- Sanctions are an ethical abomination.

- Cannot sacrifice innocents -- Halliday Current History 2/99 p.65

- Hurt innocents the most -- Gordon, Ethics and International Affairs, 99 p.125
- Humanitarian programs mask the problem -- Gordon p.142
- Iraqi intransigence doesn't remove our moral culpability -- Parsiliti, Middle East Policy, 2/99 p.10
- Cannot say it's not our fault -- Ani DiFranco
- Must be for the other unconditionally -- Bauman, Life in Fragments: Essays in Postmodern Morality, p.267-8
- Intuitive morality -- Garrett, Ethics and International Affairs, 94 p.167

#### Contention III: Solvency

- Lifting sanctions boosts the economy -- Simons '96 The Scourging of Iraq p.147
- Ends suffering and causes cooperation on inspectors -- Cortright and Lopez p.751
- SQ Sanctions fail because they're all stick and no carrot -- Cortright and Lopez p.749
- Threat of sanctions more effective than sanctions -- CSIS 2/99 Altering US Sanctions Policy, p.xv
- Eliminating sanctions increases support for effective elements of containment -- Pollack, Iraq Strategy Review 98 (Ed. Clawson).

Underview: War impacts don't matter.

- Nuclear war causes the emergence of a superior species because Hanover, NH survives -- Quester, Security Studies, 92 p.279
- Major war is obsolete -- Mandelbaum, Survival, Winter 99 p.35

School: Dartmouth

Team(s): LS

Case: Iraq

#### Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The US government should commit to a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq by rejecting nearly all economic sanctions against Iraq, including dual-use restrictions, asset freezes, and administrative diversion restricting infrastructure repair. The US will pursue unilateral means to fulfill this rejection.

#### Obs. 1: Sanctions Kill

- 1.7 million people, IAC 8-13-99, [www.iacenter.org](http://www.iacenter.org)
- independent sources confirm, Clark, 8-27-99
- deaths underestimated, Mueller, Foreign Affairs, May/June '99
- doubling caloric intake not solve, Halliday, Winter 99, Journal of Palestine Studies
- oil-for-food not solve infrastructure, iac, 2-26-99
- oil-for-food handouts bad, casi 4-99 [www.casi.org](http://www.casi.org)
- bureaucracy hurts delivery, Simons, '96, The Scourging of Iraq
- oil-for-food conditions not acceptable, Simons
- ceiling raise doesn't solve, Aziz, 5-21-99, MEI
- sanctions=overuse of formula, Halliday, 99

#### Solvency

- UN sanctions are US sanctions, Clark
- US should abrogate immoral sanctions, Clark
- Iraq distribution ok, Halliday
- Infrastructure key, Simons
- Sanctions lift improves distribution, Simons

#### Contention 3: Underview

- Sanctions are gendered, Nossal'98, Review of Int'l Studies
- postmodernity contention same as Dartmouth BG
- OFD intent not enough, Cortright and Lopez '99 (Spring Journal of Int'l Affairs)
- sending a message immoral, Gordon, '99 ethics and int'l affairs, v. 13

- sanctions = self-fulfilling conflict cycle, cortright and lopez
- Iraq as threat => justifying preventive destruction, Clark

## Duquesne

### ***KINGS***

Duquesne University BS

Plan: Cuba

Advantages: Health, eco sanctions, refugees, moral imperative

## Emory

### ***DARTMOUTH***

Round 6

Aff neg judge  
Emory West Georgia Harris

1AC: slightly different plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Cuba including the immediate Congressional removal of all economic sanctions, the opening of dialogue with the government of Cuba to peruse normalization of relations, and authorization of the negotiation of compensation agreement.

Advantages claimed: Social Implosion & Health/Hunger

1NC: Statism, Gendertivity, CP lift embargo but don't engage with diplomacy/compensation agreement, Agent Specification bad (ie its abusive to specify your agent), case cards

2NR: CP, Gendertivity

Emory (Cuba) vs. Kansas (neg).

1ac: plan changed the new plan lifted economic sanctions, open dialogue to normalize relations and authorized the negotiation of a compensation agreement advantages were still sanctions are murder, transition and wto

1nc: just war doctrine bad, Jaragua, CP streamline licensing, no natl security exemptions will be used at the WTO, and the U.S. won't invade cuba if there is a civil war; organic ag turns on the case.

2ac: impact turned organic agriculture. a) creates low yeild = starvation, b) causes soil erosion = extinction, c) increases food prices = world wide hunger

2nr: organic ag, transition turns, counterplan

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

EMORY GM

Plan: Cuba - Lift CDA and Libertad and negotiate a Bilateral Investment Treaty.

Advantages: Health, Transition.

Advocates: Borque, Schultz, Amato

EMORY HH

Plan: The US Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the nation state of Cuba including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions, specifically the CDA and Libertad and the negotiation of a Bilateral Investment Treaty will be authorized. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Advantage I: Health and Medicine

Advantage II: WTO

Advantage III: Transition.

## ***USC***

Emory HL: Horwitz and Lupo

Plan: USFG should adopt a policy of CE with the DPRK including the normalization of relations and the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions. The US will live up to its financial obligations under the 1994 agreed framework and agree to enter into negotiations aimed at concluding a peace agreement as advocated by Harrison.

Advantages:

Invasion

Famine

Agreed Framework

New Affirmative

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with Iran, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions. As part of our policy of CE we will offer dialogue with the government of Iran, including all recognized political factions who wish to participate. The USFG will also reverse its opposition to an Iranian oil and gas pipeline.

Advantages:

- Oil: Central Asian Economies
- Aggression
- Encirclement
- Prolif
- Iranian Politics

Emory GB: Ghali and Bailey

Affirmative

Plan: the USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the nation-state of Cuba including the immediate removal of all econ sanctions, specifically the CDA and Libertad, and the negotiation of the BIT will be authorized, funding and enforcement will be guaranteed, we'll clarify

Advantages

- Genocide
- WTO
- Cuban transition

## ***WAKE***

Emory

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Emory BG

vs.: Georgia PQ

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Brett O'Donnell

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Agent Specification

- resolution just says FG
- can read ev to get link
- PICs illegit - steal aff ground, encourage vague plan writing
- Not need - many DAs
- Can still test through DAs
- VI - skews time allocation
- CP abusive - reject it
- Turn - individual agent debates bad - divert focus away from substantive issues
- Not a voter - still many links
- No in round abuse - not get out of any DAs
- Infinitely regressive

Morality

- evaluate principle non-combatants
- individuals are government
- must solve every violation possible
- 1.5 million people died so far
- existentialism - direct responsibility
- moral consciousness prerequisite to avoid violent system
- only evaluate in here and now x 94
- existentialism outweighs - should not universalize experiences and take direct responsibility - Sartre 56
- not lead to violent system
- never invaded Iraq
- only judge here and now - Sartre 56
- endorse moral framework means not happen
- solvency irrelevant

A/T: Tobacco Turn

- can't prove allow tobacco into Iraq
- only lift nearly all sanctions
- individual choices - exist
- remove all sanctions causes - CP links more

A/T: Threat Construction, Rights Arguments

- demonize Saddam to justify sanctions
- rhetoric of rights flawed - remove gov action - not eval nat security
- SQ is threat construction
- not cause intervention

Solvency

- can't not comply - we lift sanctions
- lashout crush world peace
- no ev cause air strikes
- CP links more to turns

- not demonize Saddam - he used chemical weapons - we say those are evil - Saddam will kill to get sanctions lifted - plan only way to solve demonization

#### CP Lift All Sanctions

- disp illegit - moving target, perms not check abuse, can run DAs
- links to MNCs and Spanos more
- no NB - no impact on Iraq to lift all
- perm - do all CP - textual - logical, vague plan writing, allow changes in grammar bad
- increase prop for intervention
- turn - lifting arms embargo causes ethnic cleansing - only the plan solves - Cortright and Lopez 99

#### Spanos

- not Vietnam - not military intervention, not act towards Iraq as the other, nneg argument flawed because not allow pullout
- turn - morality - our moral system justified - take step back from our FP
- must defend q - harms still exist
- turn - Vietnam War demonization
- perm - can rethink and do the plan - 94 "open up differences"

#### MNCs

- specific advantages and solvency outweigh generic claims - genocide outweighs
- no growth now - suffering- n/u
- sanctions deny autonomy - Sartre 56
- n/u impact - alt always worse
- utopian - better to act pragmatically - key to transformation

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

##### Round: 5

Team: Emory HeMa

vs.: Dartmouth BG

Case (country): Cuba

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: Shomer Shabas

1. no link - not more than SQ
2. state is not stable
3. categorization
4. we solve
5. T/ embargo = worse
6. Neg must defend a policy option
7. Perm - question realism and do plan
8. Performative contradiction - the CP - justifies the perm
9. Not mutually exclusive

AT: Juragua

1. Reactor will never be built - no incentive to invest  
Cox News Service, May 21, 1999, lexis, "no country is in a position"
2. No impact
  - a. no fuel  
Washington Times, Feb. 3. 1999, "nuclear power plant in name only."
  - B. cuba is not a meltdown risk  
Washington Times, June 8, 1999 "Cubans live in Cuba"
  - c. reactor safe - IAEA proves  
Washington Times, June 9, 1998 "The Cubans" w/10 "have the utmost concern"
3. Nuke Terrorism



- a. minatom is strapped for cash - juragua key to boost nuke exports and pay scientists  
The Independent, April 12, 1998 "Moscow is talking about financing"
- b. paying scientists is key to prevent the spread of nuke materials  
O'Dwyer's PR Services Report, June 1999
- 4. T/ plan --> safety - New York Times News Service, 2/26/96, [www.latino.com/news/cuba/0225.html](http://www.latino.com/news/cuba/0225.html)

AT: Clinton WTO

- 1. fiat means it's not controversial
- 2. labor unions will block
- 3. trade relations key to capital
- 4. multiple factors block china
- 5. Clinton has no capital
- 6. Not unique - drug exclusion
- 7. Congress does plan
- 8. T/ plan popular

AT: Sustainable Ag

- 1. no evidence for the worldwide model solving
- 2. the impact is ridiculous
- 3. we improve the economy
- 4. cuba will not give up Joel Simon, 97
- 5. no modeling mpx - Joel Simon, Amicus Journal, Winter 1997, p.40

AT: just lift extra-territorial sanctions CP

- 1. links to DA and Kritik
- 2. PIC's bad
- 3. International Cooperation -
  - a. Cuban Crisis threatens US-EU relations  
Richard Nuccio, Transatlantic Tensions, 1999, p.14 and p.21
  - b. Rogue proliferation - Economist 10/23/99 "But this is a chimera. A passive America, even massively armour-plated, is not much use either to others, or to itself."

AT: stable transition now

- 1. economic freefall from failed sugar crop or natural disaster guarantees
- 2. Scapegoating of embargo increase repression guaranteeing violence
- 3. Embargo forces castro to bring Cuba down violently
- 4. Preeg - economic dichotomies
- 5. Exile fear guarantees military fights to keep SQ  
Gunn, Toward a New Cuba? Legacies of Revolution, 1997, p.77
- 6. Militant opposition is increasing , july 18, 1999, Editorial of Diario Las Americas  
[www.nocastro.com/archives/pertopadre.htm](http://www.nocastro.com/archives/pertopadre.htm)
- 7. Cuba's economy is worsening  
Ambassador Reich, March 25, 1999, FDCH

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Not unique b/c of the Preeg evidence in 1AC... only risk of helping

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Emory HH

vs.: Dartmouth RT

Case: Cuba

Judge: DeLaughder, Ken-Emporia

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Sustainable Agriculture

1. No modeling impact-you can't export Cuba-style organics to LDCs-Simon, Amascus Journal, Winter 97
2. No impact-reforms solve, not organics-Simon see above
3. Turn-Cuba's sustainability program depends on access to fertilizers and pesticides-Simon
4. No risk of a link-Cuba will not give up organics-Simon

Juragua

1. Reactor will never be built-embargo irrelevant-Emling The Atlanta Journal and Constitution May 22 99
2. No one will invest-Emling
3. No impact. Containment possible-Cox News Service March 28 98
4. Cuba committed to safety standards; they are not stupid enough to ignore the impact of a meltdown-Carroll Washington Times June 8 98
5. Turn: Lifting embargo increases technical cooperation making reactor safe, if ever built-Rohrer [www.latino.com/news/cuba0225.html](http://www.latino.com/news/cuba0225.html) Feb 26 96
6. Turn: Juragua completion checks nuclear terrorism-Reeves The Independent April 12 98
7. Paying the scientists is critical to preventing the spread of black market nuclear materials and expertise, which would cause nuclear terrorism-O'Dwyer's PR Services Report June 99
8. No internal link-no evidence that lifting the embargo will cause completion.
9. No impact-their evidence never qualifies what would happen.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Emory HH

vs.: Fort Hays RS

Case: Cuba

Judge: Mika, Jason-Georgetown

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

New Scenario-Civil Society:

Gonzalez '99

Preeg '97

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8

Team: Emory BG

vs.: Michigan PS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Lacy

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including an immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions through the appropriate channels. We'll clarify intent.

-250 die a day - millions from sanctions [Guardian, 11/13/98, [msanews.mynet/MSANEWS/199811/1998114.3.html](http://msanews.mynet/MSANEWS/199811/1998114.3.html)]

-90,000 a year [Mueller/Mueller, Foreign Affairs, 99]

-Oil for food worthless - lifting sanctions key [Cortright and Lopez 99]

-Oil for food only temporary - only lifting sanctions can solve [Parasiliti, MEP, 2/99]

-Iraqi control of oil resources key to rebuilding [Clawson, MEP, 2/99]

-Bloodbath of violence and war will result from sanctions [Ben Meir, MEP, 3/96]

-Sanctions cause global conflict, lashout, and extremism [Halliday, Jnl Pal Stud, Win 99]

Observation Three - Immorality

-Collective punishment instrumentalizes Iraqis - morally unjustifiable [x]

-Economic sanctions immoral - oil for food doesn't solve - [Gordon 99]

Observation Four - Solvency

-Changing US policy key to effect the UN [Alnasrawi, The Economy of Iraq, 94, p. 158-9]

- Lifting non-military sanctions key to solve [Zunes, MEP, June 98]
- Plan key to solve food and supply shortages [Simons]
- Lifting sanctions reduces dependency, makes more participatory [Halliday]
- Democratic government key to solve genocide [Rummel 94]
- Oil for food condones genocide - sanctions wrong [Chomsky]
- Oil for food only reinforces sanctions and genocide [x]
- Intent of US policy is to target Iraqis as a means to an end [x]

#### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

##### Oil for Food Counterplan 2AC:

- permute: monitoring and financial capture
- not solve lashout: economic growth key - all middle east - global conflict
- concede hoarding now - international sympathy - lift sanctions solves motive
- morality - intent of sanctions
- massive genocide - still get food from saddam
- saddam obstructs oil for food to get sanctions lifted

##### Humanitarian Suffering 2AC:

- cortright and lopez proves dependence takes out counterplan
- not takeout morality - intent is to kill
- extend evidence - oil for food is the reason for hoarding and we solve [x]
- 90,000 deaths per year and qualified and underestimate

##### Morality 2AC:

- Dallas Morning News intent of sanctions
- tinkering not solve - Gordon ev
- total blockade quote Albright
- suffering not assume sanctions kill more on balance
- fund opposition proves intent to topple Saddam
- we don't claim deontology - just be responsible for your own rights [x]
- morality key to humanity [x]
- shouldn't use people as means to an ends
- they give life no value

##### Lashout 2AC:

- cortright-lopez sanctions cause hoarding
- they concede we spur economic growth - clawson
- lashout - ben-meir - collapse leads to lashout
- terrorist lashout ridiculous - motive outweighs deterrence
- non-unique clinton weak on terrorism
- we solve root cause of terrorism - [brown,8/4/95, FDCH, "ruining Iraq"]
- we solve root cause - [Cordesman, Iraq: sanctions and beyond, 97, p 209]

##### Iraqi Democracy 2AC:

- sanctions in place causes signal
- on balance increase credibility
- specific case scenario better - political conditions key - iraq causes global signal

##### Appeasement 2AC:

- Gulf War emp denied - 9 yrs of sanctions
- NU: North Korea
- links to CP
- Iraq will lashout with WMD now [x]
- no reason why not lashout now
- no internal link to global lashout - must determine on case-by-case

Clinton-WTO 2AC:

- no evidence clinton pushes
- no spillover
- uniqueness overwhelms - vote on issue specific
- unions block now [x]
- won't pass now [x]
- business lobby, not clinton, key [x]
- trade relations key to political capital [x]
- multiple issues hurt relations [x]
- china rejects wto agreement [x]
- sanctions uniquely sap political capital [x]
- bipartisan support for removal [x]
- can't prove a link - assumes military sanctions

NATO Expansion 2AC:

- no internal link - only some concessions
- slow expansion increases animosity [Weinrod, 3/1/96, HERPTS, "more potential there"]
- no impact - Russia accepted inevitably
- Senate won't approve it [IPS, 5/5/98, "new applications"]
- 20 yrs until impact [Hilfe Country Report, 2/99, "considerable forethought"]
- turn - concession will be Russian nuclear weapons targeted at Baltics [BBC, 8/18/99, "deploy tactical nuclear missiles"]
- causes higher risk on nuclear war [Blair, FNS, 3/13/97, "inadvertent use"]

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

NATO Expansion 1AR:

- They drop an add-on - no internal link to other
- Concession on hair trigger now causes nuclear conflict

Democracy 1AR:

- Democracy in Iraq allows counter-terrorist network
- Rummel impact

OFF Counterplan 1AR:

- No severance voting issue - no text
  - Not turn 1AC - not have to prove lift nearly all US sanctions
  - Hoarding takes out WMD
  - Need private industry
  - Saddam will freak out that population is not happy
  - Sanctions isolate from Western influence guarantees lashout
- Concede hoarding now - UN never operate within Iraq - sovereignty  
Sanctions genocidal targeting of economy

Morality 1AR:

- Utility justifies sacrificing Jews
- No impact to human life in their framework
- Solvency dependend answered by Dallas Morning News
- Nazis were nation state
- Just war irrelevant
- Fundamental natural life

Appeasement 1AR:

- Gulf war is fundamental deterrence
- Plan causes democracy - Zunes 99
- funding military solves WMD lashout

- economic decline inevitable - bloodbath of Kurdish violence
- we solve genocide of Kurds [Churchill]

Clinton-WTO 1AR:

- proliferation non-unique CTBT
- no uniqueness to Asian economy
- China can't invade Taiwan [x]
- no evidence why plan causes debate - CX proves
- not take off terrorist list - normal means
- Clinton always balances
- fight tooth-and-nail takes out link
- we have to alienate business lobby - no link
- WTO won't pass - multiple factors [x]
- business lobby takes out internal link
- impact generic - not key to relations
- china never get into wto - taiwan blocks
- can be executive order
- just lift UN

Humanitarian Crisis 1AR:

- qualified professors - 90,000 deaths a year

Lashout 1AR:

- economic growth - Parasiliti and Ben Meir
- plan not take off terrorist list - no evidence
- soft on terrorists now
- Carpenter 98 motive for terrorism - turn better

2AR:

- goes for Clinton takeouts
- goes for NATO expansion Baltic hair-trigger turn
- extends Iraqi democracy and Kurds argument, dependence
- goes for 90,000 die a year
- hoarding is a huge disadvantage to the CP

OFF CP 2AR:

- extends permutation - no evidence it is a sanction - UN can still monitor - solves Das
- counterplan doesn't cause Saddam to accept investment because wants lifting
- no one will invest under the CP because not economically advantageous
- Ben Meir war in the Middle East from economic decline
- humanitarian impact systemic - outweighs prolif and Kurds turns
- only we solve immoral intent of sanctions

Morality 2AR:

- Dallas Morning News intent
- Gordon tinker - death penalty analogy
- state-individual dichotomy allows Holocaust
- no utilitarian construction can allow the atrocities they allow - even in utilitarian framework we win because they give no value to human life
- sanctions are active intervention to slaughter

Lashout 2AR:

- WMD horribly non-unique: hoarding can acquire - takes out internal link to funds
- our Zunes evidence says we solve dependency
- sanctions not perceived as credible
- we solve WMD lashout with deterrence

-terrorism - we solve the root cause and they have incentive to lashout now

Emory

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Emory HH

vs.: Cornell SD

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Wiese

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan: remove sanctions and CDA, do BIT

Advantages: genocide, WTO, civil crisis

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT Development kritik

1. no link - ev doesn't say development
2. turn - plan = Cuban 'self-development'
3. we didn't say Cuba can't help themselves
4. case impacts are worse than dialogue impacts
5. discourse doesn't create reality
6. turn - we empower Cuba
7. morality outweighs
8. do both - solves tension between policy and ethics - Murray 97
9. case args aren't absolute - Newfold 93
10. we aren't bound to Contention I - Strauss 57
11. individual resistance bad - Kaupman 93
12. deconstruction destroys individual decision-making - Eagleson 93
13. no solvency for nationalism

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Emory HL

vs.: Georgetown GW

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Wells

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Florida Times 10-19 "unconditional"

Jane's Defense Weekly, 11-5 "Deathing new report"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

ROK

Turn - SK wants the plan - BBC 9-25 "unification minister Yim"

Plan is not key to Kim

No evidence moderates will change votes

Clinton

WTO won't pass [same as BG]

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Emory BG

vs.: Illinois State

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Bratt

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Additional depleted uranium bullets advantage:

The US left DU bullets during gulf war - CSM 4-29-99 "most of it still lies"

Birth defects - the Guardian 7-30-99 "been widely reported"

500,000 deaths - IAC 2-98, <http://leb.net/iac/felicity.htm>

the U.S. can solve - Gold 5-97 [www.iac.org/depleted/lywb\\_ruer.thm](http://www.iac.org/depleted/lywb_ruer.thm) <-- ?

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT Prolif

1. morality outweighs

2. non-unique Israel

3. n/u - CTBT

4. n/u - India

5. turn - sanctions destroy unity

6. turn - SC deadlocked X

7. iraq rejects X

8. US blocks X

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Emory JS

vs.: George Washington BS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge:

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by committing to immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions except sanctions on high-tech and strategic arms. The US will suspend airstrikes.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Emory NR

vs.: Case Western HM

Case (country): Iraq

Judge:

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Changes are the same as Emory BG

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Selective 2AC answers

Shunning

You use people as means to an end

Russia oil

N/u oil for food

Turn investors in Russia want Iraq opened

Turn Iraqis want to invest in Russia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Emory HeMc

vs.: George Mason IT

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Repko

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Coral Reefs

1. Its inevitable

2. Won't solve

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

" they went for trade bad... we said it was good"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Emory BG

vs.: West Georgia EG

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Gottlieb

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

O1 - Sanctions kill

O2 - OFF fails, =s Iraqi lashout and WMD use

O3 - Uranium bullets: we dropped DU weapons in Gulf War - our responsibility to clean up. Read Sartre  
ev about existential moral responsibility

O4 - Morality

O5 - Solvency

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Normativity

1. doesn't answer morality

2. existentialism

3. you must advocate the status quo

4. we oppose the government

5. permutation

6. small steps key

7. self-deception denies the argument - Strauss 87

8. action is key in an uncertain world - Boota 97

9. EBER is a cannibal - Strauss 87

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4



Team: Emory CR  
vs.: Berkeley HL  
Case (country): Cuba  
Judge: D. Hung  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

#### PLAN

The US Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the nation state of Cuba including the immediate removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions, specifically the CDA and Libertad, and the negotiation of a Bilateral Investment Treaty will be authorized. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. We'll clarify.

#### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

##### T CE

- we meet - immed BIT
- plan is CE
- CE = sanctions lifting
- No ground loss - DA links

##### CP Not Pesticide Exports

- dispositionality - contradictory, justifies intrinsicness and severance perms
- perm - sever out - nearly all - textual
- general embargo must be lifted
- can't just lift one 97
- not predictable - includes millions - 97
- could still run DAs
- PICs kill, not real world

##### Transition

- now key time
- increase credibility of moderates in government
- property violations now
- not cause terrorism or IMF loans
- social implosion in short term SQ - engagement key to solve
- increase tourism and trade - solvency

##### WTO

- globalization decrease caused WWII
- free trade key to world peace
- globalization key to survival
- prop up world trade system not world financial system
- globalization key to peaceful institutions
- empirically MNCs not harm environment - Bailey 93
- causes sustainable environment - Beste 93
- increase democracy
- rich-poor gap not unique, no link to Cuba, we increase amount of money for civilians - Bork solvency ev
- solve disease - med advantage

##### Morality

- Juragua will never be finished - Emling 5-22-99 "prospects for Juragua"
- Only 40% complete now - Lottman 2-3-99, Washington Times "no nuclear material"
- Completion key to solving terrorism
- Juragua employs Russian scientists, solves international terrorism
- Engagement solves reforms
- utilitarianism justifies war crimes

- not pressure markets to open in Cuba
- embargo causes cancer crisis in Cuba

#### Over-fishing DA

- no link to Cuba
- turn - decrease
- no ecological collapse - Callahan 96
- no ev people want to fish in Cuba

#### Pesticides

- n/u - others sell pesticides
- n/u - sell chemicals in Cuba
- turn - Cuba won't switch - will export organics abroad - Simon Winter 97, Amicus Journal, p.38 - Dallas Morning News 1-25-98 "officials at Oxfam"
- pesticides illegal in Cuba - Gannett News Service 9-15-99 "times of difficulty"

#### Tourism

- tourism inev - USNWR 1-12-98 "mushroomed across the island"
- lifting embargo decreases reliance on tourism - also turns fishing DA - Copley 10-10-99 "exchanges in biotechnology"
- turn - peso devaluation causes starvation and prostitution - Sun Sentinel 10-4-99 "people hustle or live"
- turn - plan solves economic collapse - USNWR 1-12-98 "an alarming spurt"

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

##### Round: 4

Team: Emory HaMe

vs.: Liberty Hs

Case (country): North Korea

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: CP: Do all of plan but don't fund KEDO.

1. Conditionality Bad
2. Observatoins 1 and 3 turn it back
3. PIC;s Bad

AT: Clinton

1. Political Capital dead now
2. Winners-Win

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

##### Round: 4

Team: Emory HeMc

vs.: West Georgia HS

Case: Cuba

Judge: Slusher, Eric-Southern Illinois

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Circumvention (PB & J)

FIAT solves can't reimopse

We solve overriding signal

Better solv SQ

Can't circumvent embargo

Adv. Bad

GIRL

1. No link-ev = SQ
2. Neg position is patriarchal
3. Plan solves by sending supplies which kills scapegoating
4. Neg must defend SQ
  - a. requires gov
  - b. they don't advocate state centered
5. FIAT = assumption
  - a. debate
  - b. voting aff= intellectual endorsement
6. no link
7. we express outright at genocide of cuban ppl  
plan doesn't-smith says gender distorted can do both!
8. Extend smith ev in 1AC no ix starting point
9. PM Objectivism makes real reports impossible 94
10. Neg must prove opening up space is beneficial to us
11. Run every round=no rethinking
12. No historical context
13. No evidence plan solves
14. Embargo=mascularity 95
15. Not locked voting negative
  - a. solves better than kritik
  - b. avoids counter-kritik-we open up criticism
  - c. perm justified-just as legit as
16. they only re-entrench oppression-Smith The Bridge Called my Back 81
17. perm: do plan and question role of realism Murray Reconstructing Realism 97
18. Turn'; embargo fails, gendered Webber Faking It 95
19. Not unique: violent transition dichotomies policies collapsed we don't increase violence
20. Used Cuba historically to legitimate its masculinity-Webber Faking it 95
21. Performative contradiction-no discourse, contradiction goes away, perm still applies,  
still rethink
22. Post Modern discourse of IR makes challenges to power struggle-Whitworth 94 Feminism and IR
23. Generic criticism of intl system is patriarchal Kephane Gender and IR 91

Constructive Engagement is Racist

Reagan is racist

Embargo is racist-we get Gordon and Gordon evidence

Sanctions violent and treats people as means to end-Barry 98

Relativism contradictions inevitable

No link-no evidence that we are racism

Normative Legal Discourse--Schlag

1. No link
2. We don't say you can pass
3. No impact-don't reject US
4. Some card I should get it
5. Individuals can do good-Wilkens 93
6. No impact read in 1NC
7. Deconstruction can change but not guide us in the direction of better or worse because ideas are not coherently justifiable thru deconstruction-Radin and Michelman Univ. Penn Law Review 91

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Emory HH

vs.: Lewis & Clark HC

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Biza Repko - University of Michigan

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Appeasement

1. No Link to Cuba
2. Non-Unique- Clinton soft on rogues now

AT: Rice

1. The DA is immoral
2. 5 cards that say Asians have other export markets
3. China's economy is bad
4. Thai exports decreasing

AT: Clinton

1. No spillover
2. Bottom of the Docket
3. NTR won't pass, Chicago Tribune, 11-17-99
4. Other countries will block, Nat'l Post, 11-16-99
5. Multiple things check, Business Times, 11-17
6. Business lobby key, not Clinton, Business Times, 11-17-99
7. Taiwan Catch-22 solves impacts, CNN, 11-18-99
8. Turn: Plan saves the WTO

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

They say that morality outweighs the disads and proves that there is not a warrant to vote for them (can't experience life w/o morality)

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Emory HL

vs.: Kansas EM

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Clay Rhodes

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Observation 1

The berlin deal set the US on a course of gradual step by step normalization of relations with North Korea. Success will usher in peace in the Korea's and famine will cause drastic shift in US policy towards containment

National Public Radio 9/17/99 ""has essentially laid out two choices for Piang"

Unfortunately, the push for normalization is doomed- first the berlin deal didn't cover exports or development, leaving NK a huge bargaining chip guaranteeing a new crisis

Jimm Mann, Sept 16, '99, Sun-Sentinel "worried by congressional criticisms"

Rejection of CTBT has destroyed the credibility of the Perry proposal, risking brinksmanship

Kirk, Newsday, 10/19/99 "does not endorse what it is investing"

A new round of brinksmanship will trigger a US backlash - Collapsing the Agreed Framework and causing US to lash out at the North.

Scott Synder, 1998, [www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr\\_koreaprint.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr_koreaprint.html)

Congressional opposition will overturn perry and cut funding for AFW

Janes Defense Weekly 11/10/99 "a scathing new report by a US congressional"

The conditional nature of the status quo encourages brinksmanship, only an unconditional engagement solves the motivation for hostility

Florida Times Union 10/19/99 "North Korea has launched a new round of"

Renewed conflict in Korea escalates throughout Asia to include the US and Russia

Asia Pacific Defense reporter, 1995 (March/April, p50) "Should a war breakout"

OBSV III Prolif

NK is the globe's largest missile exporter

Asia Political news 11/8/99 "North Korea's missile capabilities"

Furthermore, other countries have ceased exporting missiles, solving North Korea is crucial

Aaron Karp, Arms Control Today '96 "No longer readily available"

Ballistic missile proliferation causes crisis escalation, risking war initiation and nuclear escalation

Jurgen Scheffran 1995 Controlling the International Transfer of Ballistic Missiles

Collapse of the nuclear deal causes the resumption of the North Korea nuclear program within months

Washington times 10/13/99 "north korea is capable of quickly"

Failure of Agreed Framework causes North Korea escalation and world-wide nuclear war

Kim Myung Chol, 11/24/98 [http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23C\\_Kim.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23C_Kim.html)

Demonstrating our good faith by lifting the remaining sanctions ensures a smooth transition to full normalization

Deutsche Presse 9/25/99 "the whole atmosphere of hostility"

Lifting the rest of the sanctions is essential to securing an effective missile deal and ending the cycle of blackmail and appeasement

Leon Sigal Newsweek, 9/13/99 "North Korea was committed"

Successfully normalization will promote gradual reforms in the NK econ, preserving stability

Sharif Shuja, Feb 99 Asian Profile "gradual evolution of reform"

The US must take the first step initiating diplomatic normalization promotes pragmatists in the NK gov't, solving the econ and political collapse of NK

Harrison, 3/28/97 Newsday, "takes the initiative"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

No first Use Turn (read on the counterplan)

NFU crushes confidence in US leads to rapid proliferation and aggression

George Quester, Washington Quarterly, 1994 "the dangers of nuclear proliferation"

First strike policy is key to solving allied proliferation

Marc Dean Millot, Summer '94, Washington Quarterly "US allies come to believe"

They Say Status Quo solves:

SQ is Tit for Tat

a.) The perry deal is Tit for tat

Perry 10/13/99 (FDCH Congressional Testimony, "step by step")

b.) Tit for Tat causes brinksmanship

Senator Thomas 10/12/99 (FDCH) "engaged in a tit-for-tat reward"

Faster is better

a.) Status Quo normalization will take years

BBC 9/24/99 "north korea normalization"

b.) Backsliding in the interim crushes cooperation

David Satterwhite, North Korea and the New World Order pg 38-9

Selective WTO cites:

Labor union will block NTR

Chicago Tribune 11-17-99 "Labor union leaders"

Congress wont pass and other nations block WTO entry

National Post 11/16/99 "Other trading partners, including Europe"

Multiple factors ensure WTO won't pass

Business Times 11/17/99 "observers pointing to the rejection by Congress"

Business Lobby key - not Clinton's political capital

Business Times 11/17/99

Trade and relations key - Political capital irrelevant

Straits Times 11/16/99 "the bottomline for many in congress"

Taiwan catch 22 will scuttle WTO agreement

CnnFn 11/18/99 "there will be an explosion in congress"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Emory NR

vs.: Georgetown GW

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Biza Repko

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

CP-not end US bilateral sanctions on Iraq

1. Us aid is key to food aid efforts

2. Turn-lifting sancitons frees frozen Russian assets

3. No negative fiat

4. Increase in oil exports helps Russian oil companies

5. Turn-sanctions block greater investment in Iraq

6. Cp doesn't get security council support which is key to plan solvency (2ar clarifies that the security council will reimpose sanctions post CP because they model the U.S. sanctions. He references Gause evidence which indicates Security council looks to U.S. support to formulate sanctions policy)

7. Permute-do plan then cp

8. PICs illegit-lac time and ground

9. Disp illegit-skews time and ground

Russia

1. No internal link between US action and US investment?

2. Russia opposes Iraq sanctions

3. Oil price not key to Russian economy-structural changes are

4. Russia supports US CE

Pakistani Rice

1. no link-Iraq won't shift to buy Rice-no neg ev
2. no eve that says Iraq doesn't get that rice through other means in the SQ
3. Turn-culture add-on: sanctions prevent destruction of culture
- b. risks human extinction
4. NIL to impact

#### Clinton-WTO

1. no pass now-mult factors
2. Turn-biz lobbies
  - a. biz lobbies key
  - b. biz lobbies oppose Iraq sanct
3. plan put at bottom of docket
4. eliminating sanctions solves political capital shortage
5. no spillover
6. labor unions block NTR
7. fiat subsumes
8. lift sanctions won't hurt pol cap
9. Turn--congressional backlash against sanctions

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

CP--> reimposition of UN sanctions

Morality o/w disads and CP doesn't solve morality because it doesn't eliminate sanctions which use people as a means to an end.

Emory

School: Emory

Team(s): BG

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

the usfg will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by committing to an immediate lifting of economic sanctions except those defined as high technology military equipment and section 586 c, f, and g of the Iraq sanctions act through all appropriate channels including elimination of Iraqi war debts and reparations. The us will not reverse its opposition to Iraqi IMF or World Bank loan applications. We'll clarify.

Starvation

- Sanctions cause famine - Simons
- Embargo effects as bad as nuclear weapons, <http://leb.net/IAC/update1.html>
- Sanctions threaten millions outside Iraq, CBC News, 3/2/99, <http://leb.net/iac/disease.htm>

Genocide

Embargo has reduced Iraq to a concentration camp - it's genocide, Simons  
Oil for food reinforces sanctions and genocide, <http://leb.net/IAC/after986.html>  
Sanctions deny essential humanitarian relief, Simons 99  
Saddam responsible illogical - rationalizes US genocide - Simons 96, p 211?

Amorality

Sanctioning Iraq ethically unacceptable - a terrorist act, must reject, Kochler,  
<http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/ipo/sanctp.htm>, \*\*\* accessed 6/17/99, 2-3

1.4 million

[Http://www.arabia.com/content/news/8\\_98/samctopms10.8.98.shtml](http://www.arabia.com/content/news/8_98/samctopms10.8.98.shtml)

Unconventional warfare:

Carpenter, 12/18/98, [www.cato.org/dailys/12-18-98.html](http://www.cato.org/dailys/12-18-98.html)

2.4 million children dying, FDCH, March 28 96, "corresponding rise"

Solvency

Change is US policy key to lifting international embargo, Alnasrawi, The Economy of Iraq, Contributions in Economics and Economic History, no 154, p 138-9

US can use massive influence to get agenda through the UN, Simons 96, 190-1

Plan key to Iraq international trade - solves food and supplies, Simon 96, 146-7

Only lifting embargo solves Iraq food, Simons 96, p 145-6

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT: Saddam fault:

University Wire, 9/27/99, "state-sanctioned murder" \*\*\*\*\*

Only sanctioned weakened Iraq will lashout, Hughes, 2/27/98,

AT: lift sanctions only is not constructive engagment

1. CE is hands off: NYT, 6/1/97, "constructive engagement approach"

2. Neg unlimits

a) allows activities that counteract sanctions DA links

b) prevents predictable advantages

AT: Clinton

1. Bipartisan support for lifting non-military sanctions: Spokesman-Review, 5/21/99

2. Turn - business lobby, Bridge News, 4/16/99, "unpopular with business"

3. Maintain sanctions hurts political capital: Washington Post, 9/2/98, "constant attention"

4. Turn - Congress backlashing: USA Today, 8/31/99, "first such journey"

5. Turn - oil for food criticized: Bridge News, 4/16/99, "bipartisan group"

6. Turn - Democrats - sanctions alienate them: Tulsa World, 9/14/99, "bold initiatives"

7. Dems key to Clinton agenda: Natl Journal's Congress Daily, "garnering unified Democratic support"

8. Bashing on Iraq policy now, IHT, 8/17/99, "continued drift"

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

Tried to straight turn oil in the 1AR. Played defense on theory and morality. 2AR goes for sanctions collapse inevitable.

School: Emory

Team(s): HL

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Obs 1: SQ fails

NPR, 9/17/99 "minor sanctions lifted today"

Sun-Sentinel, 9/16/99 "baseball game" "berlin negotiations"

Korea Times, 9/26/99 "trade sanctions" "diplomatic relations"

Newsday, 10/19/99 "threat of nuclear weapons"

washington times, 9/18/99 "does not have support in congress"

scott snyder '98 [www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr\\_koreaprint.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr_koreaprint.html)



asia-pacific defence reporter, march/april '95 p. 50

Obs 2: ballistic missiles

hindu, 9/15/99 "dangerous confrontation"

gilman, 10/13/99 (FDCH) "north korea, arguably, is the largest proliferator"

karp, arms control today, october '96

Scheffran, '95 p. 76/7 Controlling the international transfer of weaponry and related technology / edited by David Carlton

Obs 3: KEDO

korea herald, 10/25/99 "completely lift u.s. economic sanctions"

washington times, 10/13/99 "matter of months"

chol '98 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23C\\_Kim.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23C_Kim.html)

The USFG should adopt a policy of CE with the DPRK including the normalization of relations and the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions as good faith measures to secure the end of North Korean ballistic missile testing and ballistic missile exports. The USFG will live up to all of its financial obligations under the 1994 Agreed Framework.

Obs 4: solvency

huntley, '99 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/99-5A\\_Huntley\\_Savage.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/99-5A_Huntley_Savage.html)

Newsweek, 9/13/99 "ending missile exports"

Moddy, '99 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/99-7E\\_Eberstadt\\_Discussion.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/99-7E_Eberstadt_Discussion.html)

Wright, '99 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientist "constructive engagement"

Shuja, '99 (February, Asian Profile, p. 132)

Harrison, 3/28/97 (Newsday) "North-South military encounter"

2AC add-on read in the past is a famine adv.

School: Emory

Team(s): HM, CR

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation One: Genocide

Observation Two: WTO

Current agreement with EU will fail

Smith, Center for international Policy 1999, [www.us.net/cip/9903ipr.htm](http://www.us.net/cip/9903ipr.htm)

US will defend with national security exemption - kills trade coop

Loyola International & Comparative Law Journal April 97 "WTO panel finds"

WTO collapse leads to war

Seattle Post May 11, 99 "war against their trading partners"

Observation Three: Disaster

Embargo Cripples Cuba, creates economic depression

Schwab 1999 Cuba Confronting the US Embargo, p 167

- Embargo creates devastating health effects  
Simmons 1999 Imposing Economic Sanctions p138
- Political System will collapse  
Mead, World Policy Journal, Fall 95 p96
- Crop shortfalls guarantee collapse  
Gonzales, Rand Forum on Cuba: Conference Proceedings, 1999 p49
- Embargo -> violent conflict  
Preeg, Feeling good or doing good with sanctions 99, p 34
- Helms Burton creates stringent restrictions guaranteeing violent transition  
Gunn, Toward a New Cuba 97, p81
- Castro will bring the country down with him  
Tanter, Rogue Regimes 98, p210
- US will be drawn in  
Gunn, Cuba in transition, options for US policy 1993, p71
- Observation Four: Solvency
- Lifting Sanctions and a BIT solves  
Wash U Urban and Contemporary Law Journal, 98 "recognition of universal standards of human rights"
- Constructive Engagement solves peace  
Schulz, Cuba and the Future 94 p 162
- Engagement and trade creates peaceful transition  
Zimbalist Cuba in the international system normalization & integration 95 p 34
- Removing the entire embargo is key  
Baloyra, Cuba and the Future 94 p 37
- BIT solves cuban economic crisis  
BU intl Law Journal Spring 95 "BIT's in international affairs"
- Embargo helps hardliners  
American Prospect June 1998 "Cuban leaders were handed"
- US solves civil war 3 ways  
-blame diversion  
-exile suspicion  
-military power  
Houston Chronicle 7/2/93 "repression of human and civil rights"
- Immediate repeal solves  
Economist 1/17/98 ""Some in Washington argue"
- Sanctions treat cubans as a means to an end - must be rejected  
Barry The Sword of Justice 98 p 133

PLAN:

The USFG will immediately lift nearly all economic sanctions toward Cuba including but not limited to the CDA and Libertad Acts. The US will constructively engage Cuba through diplomatic negotiations to establish a Bilateral Investment Treaty. We will clarify.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT: Consult NATO CP

- Perm- solves NB
- CP not solve NB - doesn't open up all embargo
- Cond. Bad
- No NB - NATO not good
- NB e/d - never consulted NATO
- Assumes NATO doesn't like the plan
- Low risk = vote affirmative
- Perm - consult NATO
- Perm - consult first, then do plan
- Consultation relies on conditional fiat, voting issue
- Consultation = normal means
- Immediately not justify the CP
- Embargo consultation won't result in lifting the embargo X center for security policy 99
- Transition has incentive to keep embargo on X
- WTO negotiation T/F
- Empirically denied: did not consult on NK

AT: NATO DA

- No risk
- No i/l - each instance not key
- No reason w/in EU would snowball
- US mil. Checks aggression
- No negotiation on NATO with trade
- Perm solves it
- US pressure cause Cuba to crack down X
- > prostitution X
- Embargo erodes US leadership X Smith
- Khalizad 95
- Plan solves the Caribbean economy X

AT: drug war:

1. traffickers shift
2. impact turn: need to stop organized crime
3. not unique

AT Clinton

1. public supports plan
2. winners win

AT: Reactor

1. weak link
2. plan => safer reactor, coop
3. coop=> renewable energy
4. reactor will never be built anyway
5. confinement mechanism solves

## *UCO*

Emory CL

Plan: The US congress will adopt a policy of CE w/ the nation state of Cuba, including the imm. Removal of all or nearly all economic sx, specif. the CDA, libertad, & the negotiation of a BIT.

Advantages:

1AC Cites:

A1 - Sanctions are immoral - Neal may 7th 1998, FNS^Gazette 1998 "moral blot"  
A2 - WTO - Smith 1999, [www.us.net/cip/9903ipr.htm](http://www.us.net/cip/9903ipr.htm) , Solis 1997, 19 Loyola International & Comparative Law Journal 709 ^SPI 5-1-99^Spicer.  
A3 - War - Preeg, Feeling good or doing good w/ economic sanctions^Clissold, Toward a new Cuba?^Portes 1998, The American Prospect, Borgue,^Amato, Capital Times, 10-11-99, Schultz, Cuba and the future^Economist 1/17/98.

2AC Tricks:

Emory GH

Plan:

Advantages: Same as Emory CUBA AFF

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Emory RW

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE by committing to an immediate removal of nearly all economic SX except for sx on high tech & strategic arms, through all appropriate channels. The US will forgive Iraq of its war debts and reparations and suspend all air strikes.

Advantages: Death, Morality

1AC Cites:

Sanctions Fail - Mortawi 1998^Cordesman 1997^Perthes 1998  
Death - Sheeram 1998^Halliday 1998^Simons 1999^CBC News 1999^Meuller & Mueller 1999  
Morality - Hochler ^Calahan^Dallas Morning News^  
Solvency - Simons 1996^Zunes 1998^Simons 1996^Parasilty 1999^Chomsky 1999

2AC Tricks:

Emory TM:

Plan: The USFG will do CE toward Iraq by immediately lifting nearly all economic sanctions except those defined as high - technology, military equipment, through all appropriate channels including an elimination of Iraqi war debts and reparations.

Advantages: Starvation & Morality

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Emory HeGo

Plan: Iraq

Advantages: Starvation, Morality, Genocide.

1AC Cites:

Inherency - Mutawi 1998, Cordesman 1997

Starvation - Simmons 1999, Al - Alchban 1998, Helms 1996, IAC 1996, CBC News 1999.

Genocide - Simons 1996, Simons 1996

Morality - Kochler 1999, Brown 1995

Solvency - Simons 1996, Zunes 1998, Alnasrawi 1994.

2AC Tricks:

Emory HC

Plan:

Advantages:   ß   ß   ß   Same as Emory NORTH KOREA Affirmative

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Emory JC

Plan:

Advantages:   ß   ß   ß   Same as Emory IRAQ Affirmative

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Emory KG

Plan: USFG will adopt policy of CE - immediate removal of nearly all econ Sx,

except for those sx on high tech & strategic arms, through all appropriate means. The US will forgive Iraq of its war debt & reparations & suspend all air strikes. We'll clarify intent.

Advantages: Death, Morality

1AC Cites:

Sanctions Fail - Don't solve - Addulla Mutawi - Staff writer April 10 98^ÅIraq opposes OFF - courtwright and Lopez - Journal of Intntl affairs 1999^Åà lashout - Cordesman - IRAQ, Sanctions and Beyond 1997.  
Observation II Death - Kill Millions, Outweigh WMD  
Observation III Morality - Inferring actor - Kuchler 8/22/99^ÅUse means to Ends - Dallas Morning News 99  
Observation IV - Solvency - Lifting key to rebuild econ. - Simons 1996, Zunes 1996, Purarilits 1999, Chomsky 1999.

2AC Tricks:

Emory RN

Plan:

Advantages: ß ß ß Same as Emory CL

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

## ***HARVARD***

Tournament: Harvard

Emory HM

Cuba

Advantage 1—Genocide

The embargo denies food and medicine (symonds)

Only US products can solve

The embargo is designed to kill

Advantage 2—WTO

Recent understandings won't fix trade disputes

US will defend with national security exemption = war and kill the WTO

Collapse of WTO = war

Advantage 3—Social Implosion

A. War

\*The embargo hurts people

\*The embargo is slowly crumbling causing a bad transition in the future

\*Embargo creates a dichotomy, causes social conflict, undermines any hope of peaceful transition. Change is inevitable, it's only a matter of whether it's peaceful or violent. Answers dollarization.

\*embargo stops reform. Bolsters hardliners and causes takeover

\*the embargo pushes Castro into a corner, he'll bring Cuba down with him

\*embargo = CBW attack

\*the US will be pulled in

B. Solvency

\*Treaty solves reform

\*Lifting the embargo provides cover for reformers (must act quickly)

\*Constructive engagement = peaceful reform

\*Immediate action is key

\*Must lift the entire embargo

\*BIT solves the crisis

2AC Answers

Coral Reefs

1. it's inevitable
2. inevitable
3. we decrease tourism
4. current crisis causes a collapse
5. empirically denied
6. T/ we help the environment

Clinton (Debt Relief and wetlands rider good)

1. BIT not short term
2. no spillover
3. no reason political capital is key
4. no impact
5. ?
6. fiat means there's no internal link
7. CTBT killed capital < >
8. Clinton doesn't pass < >
9. T/ enforcing Helms Burton drains capital < >
10. no uniqueness for wetlands rider
11. Clinton doesn't spend political capital on riders
12. their ev is bad
13. debt relief won't pass < >
14. non-unique. Species die all the time
15. Helms does something that means there's no link < >
16. T/ the plan has bipartisan support < >
17. non-unique. AGOA < >

Sign a law to not use national security waiver in the WTO CP

1. delay is bad
2. perm

Juragua

1. inevitable < >
2. no impact, no nuclear fuel < >
3. Cuba won't risk a meltdown < >
4. something about tourism
5. Building Juragua solves nuclear terrorism by stopping brain drain < >
6. terrorism kills
7. we increase technical co-op < >
8. Gaffney card is bad

Taliban

1. no specific link
2. no snowball
3. wouldn't perceive
4. Taliban not care about sanctions < >

5. n/u—soft on rogues now < >
6. negative impacts of the embargo outweigh < >
7. non-unique—north korea
8. Turn—Helms Burton kills credibility < >

### ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Emory GC

USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward the government of Iraq by committing to an immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions save these defined as high-technology, military equipment through all appropriate channels including an elimination of Iraqi war debts and reparations.

Advantages: Famine, Status Quo Genocide, and moral obligation to act.

Emory MG

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward the government of Iraq by committing to an immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions except for those defined as high-sanctions, military equipment, through all appropriate channels including and elimination of Iraq war debts and reparations. The US will not reverse its opposition regarding from loans from the International Monetary Fund or World Bank loan requisitions? We'll clarify

Advantages: Starvation/ Genocide

Emory AK

USFG living up to its financial obligations under the 1994 Agreed Framework, the immediate removal of nearly all of its economic sanctions, and the normalization of relations with the DPRK.

Advantages: Agreed Framework: Collapse cause regional crises evacuation, Prolif: N/K sells to countries like Pakistan, Famine: Economic collapse triggers famine, 1 mill die/year

Emory CR

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Cuba. The USFG should immediately remove nearly all economic sanctions imposed against Cuba, including the CDA and Libertad Act, and authorize the negotiations of a bilateral Investment Treaty with Cuba

Advantages: Transition, Food

Emory JS

North Korea- lift remaining sanctions

Advantages: Missiles, Prolif, KEDO

Emory BG

The USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement toward the government of Iraq by committing to an immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions save these defined as high-tech, military equipment, through all appropriate channels including and elimination of Iraq war debts and reparations. We'll clarify intent.

Advantages: Hunger, Morality, Genocide

Emory GR

The US Congress should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the



government of Cuba. Nearly all US economic sanctions implemented against Cuba, should be immediately removed, including the CDA and Title I, II, III, and IV, of the Libertad Act. The Negotiation of the Bilateral (?) Treaty should be authorized.

Emory HH

USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagment toward the DPRk by living up to its obligations under the 1994 Agreed Framework with DPRK, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions and diplomatic normalization. We^Òll Clarify.

Advantages: Famine and Agreed Framework breakdown, which leads to war and proloferation.

## ***UNI***

Emory NR

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward the government of Iraq by committing to an immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions save those defined as high technology military equipment through all appropriate channels including an elimination of Iraq^Òs war debts and reparations. We will clarify intent.

Advantage areas:

- Famine
- Genocide
- Morality

Affirmative authors

- Simons 1999
- Cordenboman (sp?) and Hashim 1997
- Alasrawi, 1994

Emory BG

Plan and case are identical to the case described above under Emory NR

Emory HL

Plan: Adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward the DPRK by living up to our obligations under the 1994 Agreed Framework, including the immediate removal of nearly all our economic sanctions, normal diplomatic relations, and the attempted completion of the KEDO project by 2003.

Advantage one: nuclear prolif / KEDO breakdown

Advantage two: Missile prolif

Advantage three: Famine

Emory HH

Plan and case are identical to the case described above under Emory HL



## Emporia

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

EMPORIA CW

Plan: Cuba; Drug Smuggling (Same as all year).

### EMPORIA MASH

Plan: Lift all sanctions on the nation state of Cuba while establishing a cooperative exchange and pursuit coop. on narcotics trafficking.

Advantages: Food, Civil War, Cocaine Cartels, Miscal War.

### ***WAKE***

Emporia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Emporia MS

vs.: Catholic OP

Case: Cuba

Judge: Berube, David-South Carolina

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please not any changes from original caselist)

The US federal government will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement with the government of Cuba, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions and establishing a cooperative intelligence exchange and pursue cooperation on narcotics trafficking. Funding and enforcement.

The rest is the same.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Juragua

Cuba all talk/will never finish-Shelley Emling, Cox News Service, May 21 99, [www.cdi.org/issues/cuba](http://www.cdi.org/issues/cuba) 98  
center for defense information,

Meningitis answer-kaiser 97

Hege Bad

Trade credibility is key to leadership and preventing nuclear war-Christopher Pisano,  
Tulane Journal of International and Comparative Law, Spring 98

--Willard A. Worhman, FDCH, May 27 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Emporia MS

vs.: Catholic OP

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Berube

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

1 Inherency

Embargo decreases drug interdiction

Blume Deutsche Press 8/26/99 "preserves effective measures"

Increase NarcoMafia influence Lusane Pipe Dream Blues no page "19 drug smuggling acts"

Plan - (Military Intelligence Snarling)

USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the gov't of Cuba including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions and establishing a cooperative intelligence exchange and pursuit cooperation on narcotics trafficking

2. Life in Cuba is decreasing

1. SQ leads to a bloody civil war

Tauter, Rogue Regimes, '98 p210 "increases the risk of implosion...resulting in civil war"

2. Humanitarian nightmare

Cooper, Cal W Int'l Law Journal '98 p391 "unjust and ethically unacceptable

3. International hypocrite

Schwab Cuba Confronting the Embargo '99

4. Trivialize Human life

Gordon, Ethics and International affairs, 1999

5. Cuba --> Cocaine linchpin

Holden-Rhodes, Snarling the Secrets '97 p 123

6. 14K deaths per year

Marcella and Schultz, Washington Quarterly Summer 1999

7. Cuba key to Caribbean Interdiction

Holden Rhodes p120

8. New cocaine cartels

Reusselean, Society, 3/13/97 "Caribbean islands play unnecessary role"

9. Militarization and War

Zackvison, Crisis what crisis '99

"duty scenario..."

3. Solvency

1. Contain organize crime

McLean Washington Post 9/3/99 "expand US access and imported players"

2. Military to military contacts

<http://www.foreignrelations.org> "more confident of the Cuban military" '99

3. Post transition stability

Same site as above

4. Decreases miscalc - Walker 10/93 Challenges focus the Cuban military

[www.georgetown.edu](http://www.georgetown.edu)

5. Chemical Biological Weapons

Emporia

School: Emporia

Team(s): MS

Case: Cuba Narcotics Cooperation

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of the nation state of Cuba by immediately lifting all economic sanctions on Cuba including, but not limited to the Helms Burton Act and the Cuban Democracy Act, and by cooperating with the Cuban Government on narcotics trafficking. Funding and enforcement through normal means, we'll clarify intent.

Observation I - Inherency

Embargo prevents drug coop., Blume 99,

Embargo forces smuggling, lusane 1991

Observation II - Life in Cuba Sucks

Civil War, tanter 1998, schultz 1994

Humanitarian Nightmare (starvation and disease), cooper 1998

Deontology, gordon 1999

Caribbean drugs - Cuba key - Colombian instability

War, Zacrisson

Observation III - Solvency

Solves lashout, CFR 1999

Miscalc. War, Walker 1996

Drug Coop, Clissold.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Add-ons: open source intelligence and leadership

## ***UCO***

Emporia MS

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the government the nation state of Cuba, including the immediate removal of all economic SX including but not limited to the CDA and HB, and will fully cooperate with the Cuban Govt on narcotics interdiction. F & E guaranteed.

Advantages: Below

1AC Cites:

Observation I - Inherency- Embargo prevents drug coop., Blume 99^ÅEmbargo forces smuggling, lusane 1991,

Observation II - Life in Cuba Sux - Civil War, tanter 1998^Å Humanitarian Nightmare, cooper 1998^Å Deontology^Å Caribbean drugs Cuba key^Å Dirty War, Zacrisson^Å

Contention III - Solves lashout, CFR 1999^Å Miscalc. War, Walker 1996^ÅClissold.

2AC Tricks: They sometimes stand on their heads^Å

ESU HM

PLAN TEXT

- USFG will adopt with Cuba including removing sanctions and coop with Cuba on Drug interdiction

1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

- BLUME '99
- LUSANE '91
- TANTER '98
- COOPER '98
- SCHWAB '99
- GORDON '99
- HOLDER-RHODES '97
- SCHULTZ '99
- RENNSELAR '97
- ZACKISON '99
- MCLEAN '99
- COUNCIL FOREIGN RELATIONS '99
- WALKER '96
- AROSTEGI '98
- CLISSOLD '99

ADVANTAGE AREAS

2AC RELEVANT TRICKS

EMPORIA BW

PLAN TEXT

- USFG LIFTS HB AND CONSTRUCTIVLY ENGAGES THROUGH CREATIVE PROBLEM SOLVING

1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

- COOPER '98

ADVANTAGE AREAS

- TRADE LIBERALIZATION

2AC RELEVANT TRICKS

- ANSWERING THEIR ARGUMENTS

***UNI***

Emporia MS

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement through cooperative dialogue over drug trafficking within the Caribbean and the removal of all economic sanctions (CDA, Helms-Burton) with the government of the nation state of Cuba.

Observation one: The embargo is in place and it prevents good drug trafficking cooperation

Observation two: Helms-Burton discourages peaceful engagement and an expanding drug trade is killing Americans.

Solvency: Constructive engagement works, Cuba will cooperate on drugs

Other Advantage areas:

-Peaceful transition (Schultz, 1994)

- "Deontics" (Gordon, 1999)
- Drug cooperation (Crissold, 1999)
- Sanctions are immoral ? use people as means to an end

#### Emporia HM

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of culturally sensitive constructive engagement with the government of the nation state of Iran, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions and initiation of a critical dialogue aimed at increasing relations. Plan occurs through normal means, funding and enforcement guaranteed, we will clarify.

Advantage areas:

- United States/Iran War
- Proliferation
- Abu Musa Islands

#### Emporia SW

Plan: The United States Federal Government will constructively engage the government of the nation state of Syria through the following: Immediate removal of economic sanctions, and a dialogue towards a program of economic development and environmental rehabilitation with respect to cultural differences as advocated by Hilleli, along with provisions for desalinization technology.

Advantage one: Sanctions represent a racist anti-Arab policy. Said.

Advantage two: Water Wars

## ENMU

### *UNI*

ENMU HR

Plan: Open dialogue with Cuba, lift titles one, two, three and four of the Helms

Burton Act, change title two to allow assistance per Jeffery Dunning.

Advantage one: Nuclear Reactor.

- Cuba/Russian reactor unsafe.

- Threatens 80 million lives

Advantage two: United States Leadership

- Status quo sanctions damaging United States Leadership

- United States leadership key to stopping proliferation and maintaining global security.



## Florida State

### **WAKE**

Florida State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Florida State CB

vs.: Harvard CW

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Kennedy (Navy)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Advantage 1 - Encirclement (Chubin)

Advantage 2 - Caspian Oil (Bremmer, Stinson)

Advantage 3 - Trade (Rand)

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: FSU BC

vs.: Emory JS

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Starr, Sonja - Dartmouth

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT : Russia -

US investment solves nationalism - Cohen 97

Pipeline solves nationalism

Khatami - economy key to Khatami - Brenning 99

N/u Khatami opening up now

Political Ontology - n/u - framework now

Counterkritik - objectivism - rand 86

Florida State

School: Florida State

Team(s): All

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive

engagement with Iran as per recommendation of Chubin, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions. Funding and enforcement through normal means. Got questions? We can clarify.

Advantage one: Iran encirclement

Advantage two: Caspian oil

Affirmative Author: Sharam Chubin (sp?)

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Note: The 2AC runs lots of turns against both Russia and Threat Construction

***UNI***

Florida State University CV

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iran as per recommendation of Chubin, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions. Funding and enforcement through normal means. Got questions? We can clarify.

Advantage one: Iran encirclement

Advantage two: Caspian oil

Affirmative Author: Sharam Chubin (sp?)

Note: The 2AC runs lots of turns against both Russia and Threat Construction

## Fort Hays

### WAKE

Fort Hays

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Fort Hays HR

vs.: Dartmouth BG

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: O'Donnell, Tim - Mary Washington

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Didn't run a plan

Starts out with quotes from Cuban feminist (Nancy Morejon - book by Judy Maloof, Voices of Resistance - test of Cuban and Chilean women)

Argues we have to "examine multiple subjectivities"

List :

Gender, sex, ethnicity, religion, nationality, class

Need to set aside space for each one for empathy

Global Gender Change

These ways dominate IR theory, space must be cleared for multitude of voices

We can unfold dichotomies - when asked to affirm, must not push for topical plan

That excludes us from subject space. Contemporary debate is hegemonic - reduces to game pieces.

1AC develops new approach to topic - words key, teams must prepare for feminist IR theory, neg must always defend realist assumptions.

UV : reasons to vote Aff

1. to clear space - Aff had to give up space
2. to counter masculinist dichotomist hegemony
3. endorse listening - vote to endorse activity of listening
4. express solidarity

In CX -

Won't defend lifting sanctions

Says people in room are USFG

Says CE Cuban people, not nation state

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT : T - you don't meet a single word in the resolution

1. topicality political - doesn't exist, along ideological lines
2. neg loses their interp - they fill in the space the 1ac cleared - idea of forcing us to take statist action = gamepieces
3. aff wins - we give privilege
4. aff interp requires preparedness (not sure what interp this is - CX says not actual definitional counterinterp of the words)
5. aff interp requires germaneness
6. when they say neg ground proves exclusion, means only address issues on one side - half of debates
7. they force us into statist solution mindset - bad to make us defend patriarchy
8. grammar tool of patriarchy - Penelope 99

9. topic words function - they speak, not us - X 94
10. we meet - "we the people" - we define gov as people
11. USFG not exclusive
12. WM - CE must include marginalized groups to be constructive
13. Neg interp not exclusive - CE sufficiently broad for multiple interps
14. WM - immediate in res requires CE, we listen to voices
15. Neg interp not exclusive
16. Their interp of nation states wrong - Oxford English Dict 96
17. Their interp not exclusive - force us to defend all assumptions of government
18. Plan focus not key to good debate
19. Neg interp of ground flawed - no right to arguments
20. Neg ground legit for Aff

At : rereading of the narrative (Dartmouth rereads card)

That's cooption at best- slap in face at worst

AT : kritik of resolution (a bunch of Fouccault cards)

1. that is what we criticize
2. no link - we not seek stable reality
3. no link - we don't seek bridgebuilding
4. no link - we don't prescribe solutions
5. no link - we're not problem solution
6. turn - we criticize state
7. no link to resolution - we defend our interp, not yours
8. turn - we kritik realism
9. turn - reject idea of policy
10. counterwarrants not reason to vote
11. turn - we criticize state
12. turn - we recognize incoherent nature of IR
13. turn - we destabilize state
14. diff interp of what state is
15. no link
16. they don't win

extends each of four reasons to vote from 1AC

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Fort Hays HR

vs.: Pitt CD

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Erik Cornellier

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

No plan read at any time in the debate.

Narrative from Nancy Morejon

Criticism of International Relations and current debate practices

Should endorse listening and opening of space

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality - grammar is fluid. Attempts to fix it are patriarchal and exclusionary  
Penelope '99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Fort Hays RS

vs.: Emory

Case (country): Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

No Plan.

1. We read a narrative from a Cuban "woman". Next, we argue that IR sets up oppressive dichotomies, U. Spike Peterson and Anne Sisson-Russon, 1993 Global Gender Change
2. Some of debate's practices are exclusionary - T, plans, procedural issues
3. Vote aff. for four reasons: clear space, counter-hegemony, listening, solidarity.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: T

1. It's exclusionary

AT: Realist Arguments

1. No Link
2. Kritik with IR Feminism

Fort Hays

School: Fort Hays

Team(s): HR

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the lifting of all or nearly all economic sanctions on Cuba.

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

They claim that the sanctions hurt the Cuban citizenry and that we must rethink the realist assumptions of international relations (a feminist emphasis).

Note: There is the potential for there to be no plan (?).

They run kritiks on disadvantages.

School: Fort Hays

Team(s): RS

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions on Cuba.

Observation one: Cuba in bad shape

- Starving
- medical supplies
- all of which are the fault of the sanctions

Observation two: Vision

- Feminist perspective breaks down gender hierarchies in international relations
  - Sanctions are gendered.
  - sanctions are a gendered instrument of statecraft
- Authors: Ann Tickner, Gender in International Relations  
January edition of the review of international studies

## **UNI**

Fort Hays HR

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the lifting of all or nearly all economic sanctions on Cuba.

They claim that the sanctions hurt the Cuban citizenry and that we must rethink the realist assumptions of international relations (a feminist emphasis).

Note: There is the potential for there to be no plan (?).  
They run critiques on disadvantages.

Fort Hays RS

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions on Cuba.

Observation one: Cuba in bad shape

- Starving
- medical supplies
- all of which are the fault of the sanctions

Observation two: Vision

- Feminist perspective breaks down gender hierarchies in international relations
- Sanctions are gendered.
- sanctions are a gendered instrument of statecraft

Authors: Ann Tickner, Gender in International Relations  
January edition of the review of international studies  
[sorry, there was no name or year]

## Fullerton

### ***LONG BEACH***

Long Beach sr. division

Fullerton's 1AC

Iraq

Same as above +

Adv 3 Feminism

Sanctions are gender-blind, hurt women (?) etc.

### ***USC***

Fullerton WN: Ward and Nielson

Plan: In order to eliminate the disproportionate effects of sanctions on Iraqi womyn the USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the government of the nation state of Iraq. Including the immediate lifting of all economic sanctions and normalizing diplomatic relations

Advantages:

Humanitarian/Genocide/Racism

Women

Fullerton ZD: Zandpour and Dempster

Affirmative

Plan: Iraq, all information provided

Advantages

Bioweapons

Famine

Fullerton's 1AC

Iraq

Adv 1 Famine/humanitarian

Adv 2 Bioweapons

Iraq has bioweapons

Sanctions will lead to a regime collapse

Regime collapse leads to the use of bioweapons

Risks the death of the entire world (causes a new epidemic or something; the card compares it to the influenza epidemic)

We must solve the problem at its root

### ***WAKE***

Cal State Fullerton

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Fullerton NW

vs.: Georgia PQ

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Michele Choe

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Generic Answers:

1. War and security discourse is a key part of gender bias - Grant, Becca Gender and International Relations 1991 p. 14-15
2. Each ballot is key - Edelman, Society, p.131 "Language, Myths, and Rhetoric"
3. Dignity outweighs survival - Callahan Tyranny of Survival 1973, p. 97

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Fullerton NW

vs.: Georgia PQ

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Michele Choe

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

PLAN: IN order to eliminate the disproportionate effects of sanctions on womyn the USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement with the government of the nation-state of Iraq including the immediate lifting of all economic sanctions, normalizing diplomatic relations, and begin to redirecting the funds from the Iraq liberation act to Iraqi womyns groups. Plan is implemented through normal means clarified as Congress legislating and the president executing. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

Observation One: Famine

1. Sanctions deliberately destroy the entire food supply for Iraq, Geoff Simons, The Scourging of Iraq, 96, p. 141
2. Saddam's refusal to help its own citizens does not give us an ethical license to collectively punish the entire population, Eric Hoskins, Political Gain and Civilian Pain, 97
3. Patriarchal order preserves men over womyn in a famine, David Arnold, Famine: social crisis and historical change, 88
4. 4 million people are on the brink, Iraq Action Coalition, 95, <http://leb.net/IAC/famine.html>

Observation Two: Womyn's Place

1. New trends of international relations are likely to as gendered as past trends. Discourse is the first step to tearing down the masculine wall, Cynthia Enloe, Bananas, Beaches and Bases, 90
2. Sanctions are silent on gender, making womyn invisible, this is because sanctions are a subset of international relations, Buck, Gallant and Nossal, Jan. 98, Review of International Studies, p.72-3
3. Deprivation of Iraqi sanctions have fallen overwhelmingly on womyn. Analyzing sanctions from a gender perspective reveals sanction will never create change, same cite
4. Sanctions have set womyn's role in Iraq back decades-opportunities have been lost forever, Halliday, Winter 99, The Journal of Palestine Studies, p. 33-4

Observation Three: Solvency

1. Making gender visible de-genders, Nancy Levitt, The Gender Line, 93, p. 243
2. Must us the master's tools to deconstruct the master's house, Charlesworth, American Journal of International Law, April 99
3. Adding womyn will transform international relations categories and the framework, Peterson, Gendered States, 92, p. 17
4. Adding gender does two things: deconstructs gender bias and reconstructs gender consciousness, same cite



5. Lifting sanctions will not reward Saddam and is the only hope for the Iraqi people, Seattle Times, 2/19/99, "So what are we to do?"
6. Any achievement of the womyn of Iraq is undermined by the embargo, we must lift sanctions, M2 Presswire, 4/14/99, "humanizing heritage"

Observation four: peace

1. Peace is impossible unless gender hierarchies are challenged, Dr. Chew, International Journal on World Peace, Dec. 98, p. 41-2
2. A new mindset of gender equality in foreign policy is crucial for the advancement of world peace and ultimately liberatory for both men and womyn, same cite

Underview

The affirmative uses this round to introduce womyn into international relations dialogue. Your ballot is a tool of alliance. Use it to say "The womyn of Iraq and the world should be heard in international policies. Womyn are not invisible and will not be silenced in any forum." Help us take the first step to make them visible.

1. Feminist analysis of international law has symbolic as well as regulative functions, Charlesworth again
2. Simons concludes to hear Iraqi Womyn

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality-CE

1. CE is a process with many different approaches-we meet this interpretation card
2. This interpretation provides sufficient limits
3. Limiting CE bad
4. Negative definition not exclusive
- b. we don't have to do all things on list of what CE is
5. Aff solvency evidence proves sufficient literature
6. T's not a voter?
7. Including means "inclusive of," and we're inclusive of CE
8. We Meet-government cooperation and normalization of relations. No extra-T violation.
9. CE= method to change regime-we meet Card
10. Reject their view of Topicality that assumes meanings are fixed. Celebrate multiple meanings to words. Card

CP-continue air strikes

1. Permute-do both (2ac said something about not doing CP toward the Middle East, but clarified in CX that the perm was essentially do both)
2. Dispositionality bad
  - a. time constraints
  - b. reciprocity
  - c. justifies affirmative intrinsicness arguments
  - d. destroys uniqueness debate
3. CP not mutually exclusive, only UN sanctions, not US???
4. CP doesn't capture feminism discourse solvency

Disad answers (2ac groups all the DA's)

1. case outweighs-tyranny of survival card
2. gender bias is the root of all war card
3. each thought and discourse key

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

1ar dross-applies Dr. Chew evidence all over the place to answer all the disads and turns

2ar goes for chew ev, feminist discourse outweighs, and neg args realist

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Fullerton BN

vs.: Georgia PQ

Case (country): Iraq

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Spanos

1. No Link
2. We don't argue deontology
3. No rethinking
4. T/ We lift sanctions

AT: CP (Lift ALL Sanctions)

1. Dispositionality Bad
2. PIC's Bad
3. Advantage 1 means solvency deficit
4. Links to the harms

AT: MNC Kritik

1. No Link
2. Plan Solves

AT: T - CE must be reversible

1. We Meet

Cal State Fullerton

School: Cal State Fullerton

Team(s): NB

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: "The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of the nation-state of Iraq, including: Lifting all restrictions on Oil sales, allowing unrestricted imports of food and medicine including encouraging the UN to remove supervision of food and medical distribution, allowing spare parts and manufactured goods to rebuild the infrastructure as proposed by Cortright & Lopez, immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions, replacing dual use restrictions with the export control regime as proposed by Mueller, reducing Iraqi obligations to the war reparation fund, a program of preventive diplomacy and therapeutic feeding as proposed by Halliday, encouraging the investment of foreign capital as proposed by Jenkins, and offering scholarships to Iraqi students. We reserve the right to legislative intent. Nothing in this plan should be construed as a diminishment of the arms embargo."

Advantage I: Famine.

Solvency is IAC, [www.iacenter.org/san22699.htm](http://www.iacenter.org/san22699.htm), Gause May 99 Foreign affairs.

Advantage II: Bioweapons.

Solvency Halliday, Irish Times Dec 19 1998.

Advantage III: Big feminism. Patriarchy bad; same stuff as other team.

School: Cal State Fullerton

Team(s): NW

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: "In order to eliminate the disproportionate effects of sanctions on womyn the USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of the nation state of Iraq including the immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions, excluding normalizing diplomatic relations, and being with redirecting the funds from the Iraq Liberation Act to womyn's groups. Plan is implemented through normal means clarified as congress legislating and the President executing. We reserve the right to clarify intent."

Obs I is famine

Obs II is feminism (sanctions are gender blind, the impact is sanctions oppress womyn)

Obs III is solvency. Cites are Levitt 93 "The Gender Line," Charlesworth April 99 American Journal of International Law, Halliday Feb 19 99 Seattle Times, Saad "M2 Presswire" April 14 99.

Observation IV is peach, claiming big patriarchy bad impacts.

## ***UNI***

Fullerton NW

Plan: A policy of constructive engagement with Iraq including: lift all restrictions on oil sales, allowing unrestricted imports on food and medicine including encouraging the United Nations to remove supervision of food and medicine distribution, allowing spare parts and manufactured goods to rebuild infrastructure, immediate lifting nearly all economic sanctions, replacing dual use restrictions with export control regime, reducing Iraqi war reparation fund, a program of preventative diplomacy and therapeutic feeding, encouraging the investment of foreign capital, and offer scholarships to Iraqi students.

Advantage one: Famine

-sanctions are genocidal

-Chomsky ? genocide outweighs all

Advantage two: Biological Weapons

-if Saddam gets backed into a corner he will use biological weapons

Advantage three: Gender

-Iraq sanctions are gendered. Must reject cost/benefit analysis and move towards a feminist perspective (Beckman)

Affirmative Authors

Holliday

Cartright and Lopez

Mueller (export controls)

Jinking (sp?) (scholarships)

Note: Beckman evidence rejects the realist mode of cost/benefit analysis

Fullerton BN

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of the nation state of Iraq. Including: lifting all restrictions on oil sales, allowing unrestricted imports of food and medicine including encouraging the United Nations to remove supervision of food and medical distribution, allowing spare parts and manufactured goods to rebuild the infrastructure as proposed by Cartwright and Lopez. Immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions, replacing dual use restriction with the export control regime as proposed by Mueller and Mueller. Reducing Iraqi obligations to the war reparation fund. A program of preventative diplomacy and therapeutic feeding as proposed by Holliday. Encouraging the investment of foreign capital as proposed by Jenkins, and offering scholarships to Iraqi students. We reserve the right to legislate intent. Nothing in this plan should be construed as a diminishment of the arms embargo.

Advantage one: Famine

- sanctions destroy food supply
- 5000 children are dead from starvation/malnutrition
- 4 million on the brink
- deaths go unreported- could be as much as 30 times higher
- # of deaths exceed that from weapons of mass destruction through out history (the foreign affairs evidence)
- sanctions = genocidal mass murder
- genocide outweighs all
- lifting sanctions is the only solution
- lifting sanctions = stability

Advantage two: Biological weapons

- Iraq has biological weapons
- Iraq will collapse
- collapse = lashout
- biological weapons worse than nuclear weapons
- lifting sanctions is the only hope

Advantage three: Feminism

- sanctions entrench heirchies
- status quo sanctions color blind
- without challenging status quo heirchies - war is inevitable
- must adopt a feminist view

## George Mason

### *LONG BEACH*

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** GMU AH **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Long Beach **Round 2 Judge**

Diane Waryas

**Plan Text:**

North Korea

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Scn1 – Chem + Bio warfare

Scn2 – War (brinkmanship)

Scn3 - Transition

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 Japan: NU – Japan policy in SQ changes

Trade friction in SQ

Berlin NU

NK can destroy alliance in SQ

### *USC*

GMU IT: Igiel and Tufts

Cuba

Plan: USFG will lift all or nearly all economic sanctions and adopt a policy of constructive engagement with CBM's including but not limited to military contacts, scientific and technical cooperation on energy and environmental issues, info sharing will be pursued. Negotiate strategies similar to KEDO, repatriate requested citizens in accordance with previously negotiated agreements.

Advantages:

War/Economic Transition

Return Llano to is Dad/ Dehumanization Impact.

Juruagua- Plan makes it safe.

Morality Underview

GMU HA: Hausrath and Atwood

Affirmative

Plan: The USFG shall adopt a policy of CE with the nation-state of NK through the immediate removal of nearly all econ sanctions; give incentives for agricultural and technological innovation and investment; proposal for

CBM's through 4 party talks; fully fund all US obligations under the agreed framework of 1994 and KEDO; removal from the list of countries associated with the TWEA; unfreeze all NK assets seized during the Korean War; and normalization of relations.

Advantages

- North Korea initiated CBW attack
- NK lash out=war
- Transition

**WAKE**

George Mason

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: George Mason IT

vs.: Emory JS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Mika

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Change: negotiate energy development strat like KEDO

Transition will be bad now, CE solves, Juragua bad (Alvarado 98)

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Juragua

If built, coop solves or scrap it - Alvarado 98, - Admiral Carrol

Red Spread

Plan increases credibility, solves

Rice

Cube not key

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: George Mason AH

vs.: Catholic LP

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Berube

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

O1 - Collapse of Perry accords

Perry collapse --> brinksmanship X manning, CFR, 10-27-99 "24 hour warning...need bigger carrot"

Congressional efforts with decreasing framework X jane's defense weekly, 11-10-99 "set for legislative action"

NK perceives US violating agreement X Wright, BAS, 4-99 "serious...problem"

Frozen assets assure brinksmanship X sigal, LA Times, 9-16-99

S1 - Chemical/biological brink

X Wehrtritz and Lee, NW, 10-25-99 "window of opportunity exists for moderating behavior"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton

Turn - collapse bee industry --> agricultural collapse/rapid decline

X Crane, Bees and Beekeeping, 90, p 7

X San Diego T, 12-5-94 "food we eat"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: GMS PV

vs.: Whitman

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: S. Heidt - Emory

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Security Council Credibility

- University Wire, 11-10-99 "well-known examples"

- M2 Presswire, 10-22-99 "credibility of the Security Council"

AT: Oil

- UPI, 9-14-99 "inconceivable happened"

- Moscow News, 10-13-99 "OPEC rigidly regulates output"

- UPI 9-15-99 "continued rise"

- Kern, 6-9 Middle East Policy p. 39

George Mason

School: George Mason University

Team(s): Atwood/Hausrath

Case: North Korea

Affirmative Plan:

This is the plan as it was previously run. According to GMU, they will still run North Korea with civil war/transition advantage but everything else will probably change.

The USFG shall adopt a policy of CE with the nation-state of North Korea through the removal of nearly all economic sanctions; give economic incentives for agricultural and technological investment; proposals for a forum for political, economic, cultural and military confidence building measures; fully fund all US obligations under the Agreed Framework of 1994 and the Korean Economic Development Organizational engagement of North Korea in four-party talks; removal from the lists of countries with the Trade with the Enemy Act; unfreeze all North Korean assets; and create incentives for private-sector investments. Funding and Enforcement are guaranteed. We reserve the right to clarify. Normal Means.

Outline of 1AC and Affirmative Cites:

I. agreed framework will not survive 1999... increases risk of war

– Pedro, Survival, Spring 1999

Clinton cannot maintain kedo

- Reese, Adelphi Paper #323 - Prospects for North Korea's survival, 1998

Nk preceives us in violation of agreed framework... ensures brinksmanship

- Wright, Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, April 1999

Frozen assets ensure future brinksmanship

- Sigal, LA Times, 9/16/99 "Washington was reluctant to move beyond the 1994 accord to greater political and economic engagement."

Piecemeal not solve

- LA TIMES, 9/15/99 - "The administration agreed to buy its way out of a short-term crisis with North Korea"

## II. WAR

Pre-emptive attack coming

- Cha, Security Dialogue, 1998

A New NK War would be terrible - killing millions and spreading all over Northeast Asia

- Kux, Security Studies: The North Korean Nuclear Crisis, Autumn 1998

Even if no lashout occurs, brinksmanship will inevitably expand out of control and become a self-fulfilling prophecy

- Jane's Defence Weekly, June 12, 1996 the DIA is worried that North Korean "war preparations" could escalate uncontrollably

## III. Transition

Transition is inevitable - we must determine the future

- Olsen, The Korean Journal of Defense Analysis, Summer 1997

a crash of the current North Korean state which ensures war on the Korean Peninsula, or a soft landing transfer to an interim regime that allows for peaceful reunification. US engagement policy will determine the future

- Olsen, 1997

Collapse of the regime would cause a terrible civil and external war

- USNWR, October 27, 1997

## IV. Solvency -

US must engage NK now to prevent inter-Korean conflicts and promotes the beginning of peaceful unification, even if there is a North Korean collapse.

- Cha, 1998

Economic engagement is critical to creating an interim regime in Pyongyang by cultivating new economic and technocratic elites, facilitating a soft landing for the transition

- Olsen, 1997

Fully funding KEDO is key to preventing the collapse of the current Agreed Framework and North Korean gaining nuclear weapons

- Cotton, Institute of Int'l Rltns, Nov/Dec 1998

Unilateral CBM's reduce tensions and the risk of conflict in the Koreas

Wilborn, Asian Perspective, Spring/Summer 1997

The US must invest new political will and economic resources to ensure the 4-party talks create a constructive and permanent solution for a soft-landing transition in NK, preventing the risk of a nuke war in North east Asia

Cotton, 1998

## 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT: Clinton

1. not spillover
2. not unique - lots of other issues
3. not affect political capital
4. debt relief = tough sell - Helms Opposes
5. no chance of anything passing

AT: appeasement

1. no spillover
2. no link - not appeasing - just fulfilling past agreements
3. no aggression
4. sq = appeasement
5. checks future actions - future shaping of int'l norms of NK



AT: South Korea Disad

1. not unique - berlin now
2. mead does not assume SK
3. t/ increases coop
4. not unique - will not win now

School: George Mason University

Team(s): Igiel and Tufts

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

USFG will remove economic sanctions on all relevant legislation based on trade, travel, security, and cbm's including, but not limited to military contacts, info sharing, technology on environment and energy

Advantage: War

- a. economic collapse inevitable
- b. us embargo freezes reform
- c. us embargo = intervention

Georgetown

School: Georgetown

Team(s): All

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

No complete information for the teams listed. All aff information for other team combinations:

In consultation with all relevant actors, the Congress will adopt the following:

Statutory support for the removal of nearly all economic sanctions (recently announced by Clinton)

A permanent coordinating mechanism will be established for North Korea policy that will:

- 1.) Consult and coordinate with South Korea and other relevant actors on US policy towards North Korea.
- 2.) Coordinate and develop a cohesive structure engagement policy with North Korea including an outline of conditions or lack thereof to be met for full diplomatic normalization and the lifting of remaining sanctions. Conditions or lack thereof under which sanction shall be re-imposed and/or tightened will also be outlined. The goal of constructive engagement shall be to preserve peace and stability, halt nuclear weapons development and eliminate missile testing, deployment and sales

Congress will fully fund KEDO for the long-term. Executive flexibility for dispersal shall be maintained. All reactor delivery delays will be eliminated.

Third party engagement will no longer be stigmatized if consistent with international obligations.

Outline of 1AC and Affirmative Cites:

Contention One: Current US policy toward NK is unsustainable.

SQ policy is ad-hoc, lacking any coherent organization

This tendency to focus on NK in times of crises guarantees an endless cycle of NK provocations and a permanent state of crisis on Korean Peninsula

As a result, NK is given powerful incentive to nuclearize

Most of Perry's recommendations won't be implemented due to lack of political leadership

The combination of these factors guarantees a permanent state of crisis relations between the US and NK

US fuel-oil commitment to KEDO is constantly in jeopardy of being cut it won't survive the next budget cycle

The perpetual risk of US failure to fulfill its KEDO obligations is the trigger for NK provocations.

Contention Two: Collapse of KEDO is disastrous

Without agreed framework, the floodgate will be open to rampant proliferation

The agreed framework guarantees inspections, ensuring NK non-proliferation

Japanese prolif causes Nuke War

Prolif leads to the death of more than a few people

While moderate prolif might have beneficial effects, fast prolif will kill us all

Benefits of moderate prolif won't come in time--preemptive wars will kill us all

NK touches off war on Korean Peninsula

NK prolif will lead to preemptive wars

Independently continued provocations risk nuclear escalation

Collapse of agreements cause US strikes on NK nuclear facilities

Strikes lead to retaliations, turning the Korean Peninsula into ash

Contention Three: Solvency

The plan breaks out of the current vicious cycle of provocations by offering a coordinated long-term solution

Full implementation of KEDO is impossible without congressional approval(clinton link)

Special envoy would provide consistency and leadership

Accelerating the pace of reactor deliveries speeds up the denuclearization of the Korean peninsula and gives the US a bargaining chip in dealing with NK

Outline of 2AC Answers and Cites:

Lifting Sanctions won't help North Korea's Economy

Ralph A Cossa, [www.csis.org](http://www.csis.org) "The US-DPRK Agreed Framework: Is it Enough?" April 1999

Asia Today October 1999

"raised its 1999 expectations from 4.8 per cent to 8.6 per cent"

South Korea not serious about reform

IHT Sept 25 1999

"Analysts also are hopeful about prospects for major industries"

IMF good-

Inflation crushes the poor-IMF reforms solve

Birdsall Foreign Policy, 1998

"the most avoidable and thus most disappointing source of inequality are policies"

## ***KINGS***

George Mason University VW

Plan: North Korea

Advantages: War à fast time due to short econ-problems in NK ; Bad Transition

## ***UNI***

George Mason AH

Plan: Constructive engagement through 4 party talks, fully fund 1994, KEDO, agricultural reforms, technological reforms, incentives for investments, support for admission to International Institutions.

Advantages:

Collapse / Transition

War

Note: 2AC will attempt to Link Turn both WTO and Clinton

Suspend/Lift (?)

George Mason IT

Plan: After consultation with relevant actors, the United States Federal

Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of nearly all sanctions toward Cuba. Specifically^Å from the relevant legislation^Å restrictions based on trade, travel, and security, or economic sanctions will be repealed. CBMs pursued. Funding and enforcement normal.

They contend that the collapse of the Cuban economy is imminent, and that a bloody transition will occur (whether or not as a result of the collapsed economy is unclear) unless sanctions are lifted and we engage.

They cite: Shulz 1994

Zimbust 1995 Klpak 1995

## Georgetown

### **WAKE**

#### GEORGETOWN

##### 1999 DIXIE CASELIST-AFFIRMATIVE REPORT

Round: 4

Team: Georgetown FW

vs.: Illinois State BV

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Panetta - Georgia

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

India

1. n-u: Berlin Talks

2. plan doesn't cause full normalization

3. no threshold

4. no spillover

5. no risk of conflict

6. deterrence checks

7. coup makes DA inevitable

Economy

1. n-u: NYT, 1999

2. n-u: McNally, Monthly Review, 1999

3. empirically false, Financial Times, 1999

4. no threshold

5. no specific link

6. non-unique: Berlin talks

Georgetown

##### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Georgetown

vs.: Fullerton

Case (country): N.K.

Judge:

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Shunning

1. doesn't solve

2. engage not endorse, Griffiths, Planet Politics, 98

3. ?

4. we cause reformst

5. no link

6. turn-empower moderates

7. sanctions=terrorism

8. sanctions treat people as means to ends

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Georgetown FW

vs.: Emory HH

Case (country): NK

Judge: Wells

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Israel

1. No Threshold
2. No Link - codify only
3. Non-unique
4. Israel wants engagement, LA Times, 9-28-99

AT: Clinton

1. Non-Unique - WTO Won't Pass - WSJ, 11-15-99
2. Plan popular
3. No spillover
4. No specific link

AT: Campbell Kritik

1. Empirically false
2. Plan doesn't reify the state
3. Not competitive

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Georgetown GW

vs.: Northern Iowa BR

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Leeper

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

T-Immediate

1. Only and implication of the plan
2. Stops delay cps
3. We meet-is immediate
4. Sanctions are CE
5. KEDO=CE
6. We act immed.

T-consultation xtraT

1. we meet-are CE
2. Emp. Can consult-Reagan and R.S.A.
3. Would make Iraq non-topical b/c UN sanctions
4. Policy includes implementation
5. No limits implied
6. Only a solvency mech.

T-adopt/vagueness

1. we meet
2. def bad
3. waiving is adopting x

4. counter interp adopt= act
5. sanctions take time to remove
6. cong. Action is topical
7. broad ok
8. kedo is ce
9. purpose irrel.
10. No ground lost
11. Conditions are part of the process
12. Vagueness cuts both ways
13. Diplomacy is indeterminate
14. Not a moving target
15. Vague violation
16. No threshold
17. Fiat is limited
18. Aff= fair ground

Slow is better/SQ solves:

1. Iran add-on-NK will sell missiles to Iran, causing Israeli lashout

Georgetown HF

US Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the DPRK, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions. A permanent US negotiations responsible for coordinating mechanisms with the ROK and other relevant actors. Long-term unlinked funding for KEDO will be created. Third party engagement consistent with the international obligations will no longer be stigmatized. Advantages: KEDO and Proliferation

Georgetown KW

1. Consult and coordinate with South Korea and other relevant actors on US policy to North Korea.
  2. Constructive engagement policy with North Korea including an outline of conditions to be met for full diplomatic normalization and removing of nearly all economic sanctions. The goal will be to preserve peace and stabilize national nuclear development, eliminate missile test, deployment, and sales. Congress will fund KEDO. End stigmatization of third party engagement.
- Advantages: KEDO(Collapsing now), Funding solves collapse, and proliferation kills.

Georgetown GW

US Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the DPRK, including the removal of nearly all economic sanctions a permanent us negotiator responsible for coordinating mechanisms with the ROK and the relevant actors. Long term unlinked funding for KEDO will be enacted. Third party engagement consistent with international obligations will no longer be stigmatized. Advantage: Agreed Framework, KEDO good, Solve prolif.

Georgetown - Affirmative

School: Georgetown

Team(s): WF

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Cont One: Inherency

US North Korea policy is Ad Hoc and not coordinated = collapse of Agreed framework Robert Manning, Japan Times Oct 27 99

crisis mentality=cycle of provocations  
[www.nautilus.org/fora/security/9905A\\_Huntley\\_Savage.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/9905A_Huntley_Savage.html)

NK is given an incentive to nuclearize  
[ftp.nautilus.org/napset/RussiaDPRK/DPRK\\_Report\\_15](http://ftp.nautilus.org/napset/RussiaDPRK/DPRK_Report_15)

KEDO will be slashed Japan Times Oct 23, 1999

CONT II: Collaspe of KEDO is bad

Agree framework collapse = rampant prolif Ralph Cossa, [www.csis.org](http://www.csis.org) "the US-DPRK agreed framework: Is it enough?: April 99

Agreed framework guarentess NK non prolif  
[www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23B\\_wit.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23B_wit.html)

KEDO is modelled Robert Scalapino 97 "Peace and Security in Northeast Asia"

Japanese prolif = Nuc war Nakanishi 90

Prolif = gigadeath Beres 83

Fast prolif Bad Dunn 93, "use of force: Military power and international conflicts"

turns the korean penninsula to ashes International security fall 95

plan :

Statutory support for the immeadiate removal of nearly all economic sanctions recently announced by Clinton

A permanent coordinating mech. will be established for NK policy that will  
1)consult and coordinate with SK an other releveant actors on foreign policy to NK

2)coordiante and develop a cohesive constructive engagment policy with NK including an outline of conditions or lack there of to be met for full diplomatic normalizaion and the lifitng of remaiond economic sanctions. Conditions or lack there of under which sanctions shall be reimposed and or tightend will also be outlined. The goal of c.e. shall be to preserve peace and stablity, halt nuclear weapons development and eliminate missile testing, deployment, and sales

Congress will fully fund KEDO for the long term. Executive frflexibility for dispersal shall be maintained. All reactor delivery delays will be eliminated.

3rd party engagement will no longer be stigmatized if consistent with international obligations.

COnt III : Solvency  
Plan is a long term solution Robert Manning Wilsonian Quarterly Summer 99

KEDO requires congressional approval Ralph Cossa (see above)

Special Envoy good 2 cards from  
[www.heritage.org/library/background/bg1304es.html](http://www.heritage.org/library/background/bg1304es.html)

Cong. intransigence will smoke KEDO KCNA News Agency OCT 23, 99

strong coordination key to avoid nuke as Mark Lanteign "Pacific Focus"  
spring 99

### ***HARVARD***

Tournament: Harvard  
Judge: Ross Smith  
Georgetown WF v. Jess/Charles  
Plan

In consultation with all relevant actors, the Congress will adopt the following:  
Statutory support for the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions [recently announced by Clinton]

A permanent coordinating mechanism will be established for North Korea will:

- 1) Consult and coordinate with South Korea and other relevant actors on US policy toward North Korea.
  - 2) Coordinate and develop a cohesive constructive engagement policy with N. Korea including an outline of conditions or lack thereof to be met for full diplomatic normalization and the lifting of remaining economic sanctions. Conditions or lack thereof under which sanctions shall be re-imposed and/or tightened will also be outlined. The goal of constructive engagement shall be to preserve peace and stability, halt the nuclear weapons development and eliminate missile testing, deployment, and sales. Congress will fully fund KEDO for the long term. Executive flexibility for dispersal shall be maintained. All reactor delivery delay will be eliminated.
- 3rd party engagement will no longer be stigmatized if consistent with international obligations. We'll clarify intent.

They claim to be more hardline or more softline and to either increase or decrease sanctions depending on what you say.

### ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Georgetown HF  
US Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the DPRK, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions.  
A permanent US negotiations responsible for coordinating mechanisms with the ROK and other relevant actors. Long-term unlinked funding for KEDO will be created. Third party engagement consistent with the international obligations will no longer be stigmatized.  
Advantages: KEDO and Proliferation

Georgetown KW  
1. Consult and coordinate with South Korea and other relevant actors on



US policy to North Korea.

2. Constructive engagement policy with North Korea including an outline of conditions to be met for full diplomatic normalization and removing of nearly all economic sanctions. The goal will be to preserve peace and stabilize national nuclear development, eliminate missile test, deployment, and sales. Congress will fund KEDO. End stigmatization of third party engagement.

Advantages: KEDO(Collapsing now), Funding solves collapse, and proliferation kills.

Georgetown GW

US Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the DPRK, including the removal of nearly all economic sanctions a permanent us negotiator responsible for coordinating mechanisms with the ROK and the relevant actors. Long term unlinked funding for KEDO will be enacted. Third party engagement consistent with international obligations will no longer be stigmatized.

Advantage: Agreed Framework, KEDO good, Solve prolif.

## George Washington

### WAKE

George Washington

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: George Washington BS

vs.: UT Dallas JK

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Lynch

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Observation One: The Perry deal is comprehensive.

President's North Korea policy is comprehensive. It includes: a lifting of most trade and investment restrictions, an opening of travel, and a missile deal. A full scale missile solution and diplomatic recognition is being hammered out now.

Asiaweek, October 8, 1999 "The president's actions were quite comprehensive"

In fact on November 15th in Berlin, a new round of talks will focus on lifting more sanctions, creating liaison offices, and completing a full missile deal.

Yonhap News Agency, October 29, 1999 "will discuss the North's development"

Despite this, the US is limited by law from providing NK anything save food aid

Representative Tony Hall, October 27th 1999, FNS, "we're prohibited by law"

Advantage One: Famine

Despite humanitarian efforts and slight increase in food production North Korean famine rolls on they are still short 1.3million tons of food and the US is crucial

M2 Presswire November 10, 1999 "starvation has only been averted"

Three million already dead

John Hall, June 8, 1999 "3 million people dead"

Furthermore, continued pressure on the populace with lack of gov't action leads to military revolt, conflict, nuclear use.

Andrew S Natsios, Boston Herald, 10-25-99, "without popular rebellion"

5 reasons why negative claims of diversion are wrong

1. the army and party elite have preferential access to national ag production
2. China provide food for military
3. arm has its ag production
4. there is a culture of respects for state authority
5. intense regimentation of all sectors of society precludes theft

The food shortage will continue unabated. Food aid is a necessity in the short term and ag reforms are must to eventually

Seoul Yonhap News Agency, BBC Summary of World Broadcasts, 11-3-99

"the shortage is almost half of the total food demand"

Donor fatigue has set in and North Korea wants food security but needs assistance from the US.  
Fortunately, lifting the development sanction solves the crisis.

Ramsay Liem 1999, Peace Review, "donor fatigue in response"

Donor fatigue is setting in, US is key to lifting development sanction

Advantage 2 - DeMilitarize Zone(Species)

Species lost is rampant

Risks entire bio-collapse, each species is key for survival

DMZ provides a nice sanctuary for Species

SK wants DMZ, US is key

KeChung Kim, Science, Oct 10, 1997, "President Kim Young Sam recently proposed"

Advantage 3 - Exercises

Constant US-SK military exercises will trigger an all out 2nd Korean War involving full weapon capabilities. NK sees the exercises as preparation for an invasion. The risk of retaliation is certain.

AFP, 10-27-99 "the forces and equipment involved in the exercise"

The suspended team spirit exercises were recently announced to resume soon - this exercise in particular will trigger a nuclear war

Central Broadcasting Station, Pyongyang, BBC 5-17-99

"The south Korean authorities conduct joint military exercises daily aimed at northward aggression with foreign troops, they also revealed their plan to resume the team spirit joint military exercise, which will bring about a nuclear war on the Korean Peninsula" (entire card)

Military exercises risk the destruction of American cities. NK is convinced the preparations for War have begun cloaked as military training exercises.

KCNA News Agency, Pyongyang 11-3-99, BBC "Foal Eagle"

Canceling exercises has zero impact on readiness of SK and US forces and builds confidence while reducing tension empirically.

Asian Political, March 10, 1997 "defense readiness of the ROK"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: George Washington BS

vs.: Texas at Dallas JK

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Lynch

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT Shunning

Henriksen and Mo 1997  
Journal of Commerce 7-30-97

AT Deep ecology  
Martin Lewis Green Delusions, 1992

AT Japan CP  
Jim Myong Choi 10-22-99

George Washington

School: George Washington  
Team(s): BS  
Case: (North Korea)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The USFG shall adopt a policy of CE, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions with the government of the nation state of North Korea. In addition, the USFG will offer North Korea a package of humanitarian aid, including the continuing monitoring of aid and development assistance. All relevant military exercises will end. The US will facilitate the creation of the Korean peninsula bioreserves system. We'll clarify.

Advantages and Claims :  
Famine  
Hard Landing  
Demilitarized Zone  
Military Exercises

Advocates :  
BBC summary of world broadcasters 11/3/99  
Harrison 1997  
Kim 1997  
Asian Political News 3/10/99

## Georgia

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

GEORGIA EK

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the nation state of Iraq, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions and legislation with the inclusion of economic or military aggression against Iraq.

Observation I: America's War on Civilians (Siddiqui, Toronto Star - 9/12/99 and Mueller, Foreign Affairs - 1999)

Observation II: Pattern of State Violence (Said, 12-21-97 and Simmons in 1996)

Observation III: Solution (Spanos - Use history to deconstruct history; Chomsky, Herman, Said, Zinn - Individual call to action)

### ***WAKE***

Georgia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Georgia PQ

vs.: Augustana BV

Case (country): NK

Judge: Greg Achten

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: Adopt T

1. No impact, we'll defend passive voice
2. Cross-apply inherency
3. Adopt = to put into effective operation

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Georgia PQ

vs.: Dartmouth LS

Case: North Korea

Judge: Kahl, Collin-Michigan

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Clinton

1. NU-budget debt relief
2. No link
3. Can't solve link, doesn't use capl
4. Res = immediate removal
5. No link: empirically removing sanctions doesn't kill Clinton's capital-Lelyveld 9-22-99 Radio Free Europe
6. No impact removing sanctions 99

7. Congress does...link = sq
8. Clinton doesn't use capital on controversial issues-Germond, the Baltimore Sun July 9 99
9. ctbt
10. Congressional outrage increasing 99
11. Not a net ben
12. Inc ev bad
13. WTO destroy = economic collapse-Mastel the Washington Times 10-24-95
14. mead 92
15. US-Sino relations freaks out allies-Waldron 6-29-99 the weekly standard
16. relations low now-unique turn-Houston Chronicle 9-27-99

#### Terrorist List

1. Cp links
2. Not net benefit
3. US sanctions don't solve 98
4. Cp links more
5. Perry should have caused
6. US Sanctions and terrorist policies motivated by morality not effectiveness-Economist 6-13-98
7. US Arbitrary in Assigning Countries to List-Includes Syria while Excludes Israel-BBC 5-9-98
8. Terrorist list not effective-Economist 6-13-98
9. US Not Committed to Terrorist list-Clinton administration caught negotiating with listed countries-Burton 2-8-99 Washington Times

#### CP-Condition Removal on Weapons

1. can't define what missile test is
2. chong evidence on case
3. can't solve kedo...must fully implement kedo, cp doesn't do
4. nuclear war impact they odn't solve
5. cp solvency proves case solves but cp doesn't
6. cp links to clinton
7. they link to the threat-north korea find other ways
8. PICs bad-intellectual piracy, infinitely regressive

#### Gendered IR

1. doesn't indict us
2. turn: SQ locks out voices, we include NK perspective
3. turn: essentialism a. privileging a unique womyn's voice perpetuates on essentialist male/female dichotomy-Hooper, The Man in Question in International Relations 98
4. Essentialism locks womyn into stereotypical roles-Mathison May 97 Communication Theory
5. FIAT does exist
6. perm do fem IR and plan-Whitworth feminism and international relations 94
7. performative contradiction-they run disads, proves the perm solves the kritik
8. rejection locks in a conservative approach-voting to do nothing doesn't change the IR system but the plan or perm does
9. rethinking doesn't change IR-smith the man in question in international relations 98
10. turn plan challenges IR framework-NK enemy for 45 years, we change that
11. Perm-Do plan and advocate the inclusion of womyn's voices-Hirschman Texas Law Review March 92
12. Pragmatism needed to create workable choices for feminism instead of an idealized hypothetical-Hirschman 92

#### Appeasement

1. NU: Clinton lifted
2. No impact
3. No link-we solve
4. NU: sanctions not credible now-Bridge News April 16 99
5. Containing NK fails

6. Turn: SQ destroys credibility 94

7. Sanctions destroy US foreign policy cred-Crane, Statement before the House Small Business Committee on Tax, Finance, and Exports, June 24 99

Georgia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Georgia EK

vs.: Georgetown

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sonja Starr

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the nation-state of Iraq, including removal of all economic sanctions and legislation with the intention of economic or military aggression against Iraq.

Observation 1

Siddiqui, Toronto Star, 9-12-99

Mueller, Foreign Affairs, May/June '99 p. 57

Obsevation 2

Pilger, The Independent, 8-25-98

Carroll, Boston Globe, 10-5-99

Said, Middle East Review, 12-21-97 [<http://middleeast.org/merdec11.htm>] "Apocalypse Now"

Simons, The Scourging of Iraq, 1996 p. 210, 211

Observation 3

Spanos, The End of Education: Pulling Toward Post-Humanism, 1993

Chomsky, Said, Hermann, Zinn, Canadian Dimension, 3-1-99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Georgia PQ

vs.: Gonzaga KM

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Trice - Michigan State

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Four new solvency cards:

- M2 Presswire, 11/10/1999 "nutritional situation in NK"

- Shorrock 1998, [www.foreignpolicy-infocus.org/briefs/vol13/v3n2kor.html](http://www.foreignpolicy-infocus.org/briefs/vol13/v3n2kor.html)

- Shin Yong-Bae, Korea Herald, 11/12/1999

- Koch, Jane's Intelligence Review, 11/10/1999

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

ROK Relations

1. n-u: Berlin Talks

2. n-u: CTBT

3. SK wants relations
4. US-NK relations essential to ROK relations

#### Shunning

1. engagement doesn't violate Human Rights
2. No impact - utility key to rights
3. Case outweighs
4. Engagement key to human rights
5. Talking without acting is immoral

#### T - Constructive Engagement must include carrots and sticks

1. plan is constructive engagement
2. no abuse
3. no limits standard - don't kill predictability
4. no in round abuse
5. effects T inevitable

#### Clinton

1. partisanship now
2. Berlin denies the link
3. Fiat solves the link
4. Clinton avoids political impact
5. N-u: CTBT
6. Impact inevitable: war in Koreas

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Georgia RS

vs.: North Texas FH

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Wiese

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Text: lift all economic sanctions against Iraq (no change)

#### I. Harm/Adv

- a. sanctions kill millions (marcie 99)
  - constructing Iraq as an enemy = violence (int act center 98)
  - we can't blame saddam (simmons 96)
  - masks and justifies state violence like Vietnam (Roberts 99)
- b. discursive impact - our Iraq narrative = violence (edelman 88)
- c. = mask Vietnam (Spanos)

#### II. Solvency

- we = new discursive space (Spanos 93)
- silence = complicity (Chomsky 99)
- if we say they're hostile = airstrikes (McDonald 99)

Underview:

- Zuhns 98 - We sold 'em CBWs
- Air strikes kill - Xinhua News Service 99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Israel

- Saddam attack inevitable
- Illegitimate to bomb Iraq to save Israel



- Israel would follow the US

#### WTO

- fiat solves the link
- can't justify killing
- CTBT - n/u
- Clinton has no capital (lame duck)
- Congress won't backlash in election year
- Winner's win

#### Oil

- link has a long time frame
- OPEC can regulate
- Iraq needs more oil to solve
- Price decreasing n/u
- Turn - need price drop to solve supply crisis

#### CP (Restructure OFF)

- Iraq won't accept
- OPEC controls oil = no solvency

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Georgia RS

vs.: North Texas KH

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: weise

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: clinton wto-

1. fiat takes out the link - normal means equals immediate passage
2. creates a political spectical- 1ac ev.
3. no reason to vote- should not justify killing to save some other lives
4. n/u ctb destroyed
5. extreme partisanship now
6. winners-win

AT: oil

1. increase in world compliance keeps prices down
2. oil prices high now
3. opec regulate prices
4. n/u 126 barrel structure
5. T/must cut prices because prices are so high now
6. All sanctions are violated now

AT: cp constructive engagement

1. vaguanness a. must vote for the clear policy b. solvency means nothing
2. lifting embargo alone solves
3. plan increases revenue- weisse
4. dispostionality bad
5. air strikes kill food supply

AT: Israeli relations DA

1. kills coop for mid east countries
2. x/a solvency
3. wrong to put the interests of Israel above Iraqi people's lives
4. us will always get Israel to go along

At: T- you don't do constructive engagement

1. wm- resolution says to increase economic engagement
2. we provide more ground for the negative
3. wm- we stop air strikes
4. counterinertp- sanctions are engagement- words and phrases 55
5. counterinertp- best interpretation because there are only 5 countries
6. Their interpretation is fx t and mixes burdens
7. No ground loss and they get a good education

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Went hard for must not kill to save lives- the ballot is a death warrant to the Iraqi people.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Georgia PQ

vs.: Dartmouth GS

Case: North Korea

Judge: Smith, Nate-Northwestern

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Consult SK CP

1. No solvency
2. Perm
3. SK says no
4. Consult doesn't solve
5. Consultation angers Japan

Intervention-plan solves

Other disad answers same as previous rounds

Georgia

School: Georgia

Team(s): PQ

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of North Korea including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions (The Executive will make the current lift of some economic sanctions a permanent removal. Congress will remove nearly all of the rest of economic sanctions with North Korea) and full implementation of the Korean Economic Development Organization (KEDO) as per the Agreed Framework.

O1 - Inherency

Sanctions will be reimposed now

Because of congressional backlash: bbc, 9-18-99 (L/N)  
Because of exec. reimposition: Green, 9-17-99, and Larkin 10-8-99 (L/N)

A1 - Kedo

Status Quo won't fund kedo  
Kedo solves nuclear North Korea  
Nuclear North Korea leads to war (A few cards to answer prolif. credibility here)

A2 - Missile test

Reimposition forces NK missile test, NYT, cren, 9-26-99 (l/n)  
Missile test ---> War and humanitarian disaster, burton, 8-5-99 (l/n)  
Reimposition ---> war

A3 - Economy

Current lift won't solve the economy, cnn 9-18-99 (l/n)  
Economic collapse---> war, jordan 99 (washington quarterly)  
war escalates (a few cards)

O2 - solvecny

Full removal is key, deutsche Presse aguntur, 9-25-99  
Solve the economy, Smith, 98 (Economic integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed, Noland)  
Solves famine, Smith 98  
North Korean politics pre-empts, Mazarr 94 and Koh 98

School: Georgia

Team(s): RS

Case: Iraq

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG will establish a policy of CE including the removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions with the Naiton state of Iraq. This will stop enforcing all sanctions. We'll clarify.

Obs. 1: The Harms

1. UNICEF confirms that Children under 5 are dying at twice the rate they did 10 years ago and its directly related to economic sanctions.  
National Catholic Reporter, 8/27/99
2. UN Sanctions kill 300 kids per day.  
Simons, Scourging of Iraq, 1996
3. The US campaign against Iraq is one of hidden truths that is causing big impacts and pinning the blame elsewhere.  
IAC, 1998
4. The US is fighting a silent war against Iraq no.  
Fisk, Independent, Aug. 14, 1999
5. UN sanctions have killed more in Iraq than all nuc, chem, and bio weapons ever used combined.  
Gause, Foreign Affairs, May/June, 1999
6. The US cultural ideology with Iraq is creating another Vietnam.  
Spanos, The End of Education, 1993
7. Sanctions have placed the US at a moral crisis - zero justification for the killing of thousands of infants - we must act now.  
Halliday, Current History, Feb. 1999

Obs. 2 - 5 Justifications for Current US Policy

1. US iraqi policy is designed to remove independence over resources.  
Becker, www.iacenter.org, 1998
2. The goal is to replace the Iraqi regime with a pro western regime  
IAC, 1998
3. US "fear of an arab culture" has brainwashed the public and caused massive culture strife and racism  
Said, www.middleeast.org, 1997
4. The media has blocked out what is happening in Iraq and excludes all views of an Arab culture.  
Said, the politics of dispossession, 1994
5. The US constructs the Iraqi military as a threat to justify arm sales to the middle east.  
Masri, NPR, Sept. 1, 1999

Obs. 3 - The alternative/Role of the Judge

1. Now is the key time to break the silence about Iraq.  
Flounders, 1998, www.iacenter.org
2. 5 Psychological defenses are used to justify the ongoing genocide in Iraq.  
Simons, 1996
3. The US Must act to recognize the imperialism it has been placing upon the iraqi society.  
Spanos, Heidegger and Criticism, 1993
5. Saddam's blame for conditions is irrelevant, we have no possibility of influencing him and cannot continue to hide behind the UN for US foreign policy  
Roberts, National Catholic Reporter, 1999
6. We must oppose the sanctions and support the Iraqi people.  
Zinn, Said, Chomsky, www.zmag.org, 1999
7. You as the judge must vote against sanctions, alt. is complicity to murder,  
Clark, www.middleeast.org, 1997
8. We must challenge the current monolithic view of educationally produced knowledge. Only by recognizing the current process of humanist re-education can we truly reincorporate lost knowledge.  
Spanos, The end of Education, 1993

## ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Georgia PQ

The USFG will remove nearly all economic sanctions with North Korea. The US will extend diplomatic recognition to North Korea including an offer to join ARF Funding for KEDO implemented.  
Advantages: KEDO, Re-imposition bad, Economy

Georgia RK

The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions, with the nation-state of North Korea. Diplomatic recognition, including an offer to join the ASEAN regional forum, will be extended. Funding for KEDO will also be improved. Intent.  
Advantages: republican Backlash and Economic Collapse.

UGA MP

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the removal of nearly all economic sanctions with the nation state of North Korea. Diplomatic Recognition, including the offer to join ASEAN regional forum will be extended. KEDO funding will be guaranteed. We reserve intent.  
Advantages: Congressional Retaliation and Nuclearized N. Korea, Econ reform and Famine

University of Georgia, Athens

The ISFG should adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement, including the

immediate removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions with North Korea. Removed sanctions will include restrictions on MFN, access to generalized section of preference and access to frozen assets. Diplomatic recognition, including an offer to join ASEAN region forum will be extended. Funding for KEDO also allowed. Advantages: Regional Instability and NK economy.

## Georgia State

### **WAKE**

Georgia State

School: Georgia State

Team(s): All

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the Government of Cuba, includign the immediate removal of all sanctions against Cuba and negotiations of a BIT.

Advantages: Imperialism, WTO stablity, Ag. Stablility, Disease

OR

Plan: usfg will adopt a policy of ce w/ the gov't of Cuba including the immediate removal of nearly all sanctions against cuba - we won't remove the leg opp to lending for cuba. Our plan will not be construed as advocacy of any kind of extraterritorial sanctions and negotiate bilateral investment treat as part of ce

Outline of 1AC and Affirmative Cites:

Trade i/l

Bergsten, March/April Foreign Affairs, 99

Arend, fall-winter 98, case wester res jrnl of intl law, "choosing an engagement policy:

Solvency

Dhooge, fall 97, arizona journal of intenrantional law, "how best to accomplish"

Brooklyn Jrnl of Intl Law, 99, "the United States cited communism as"

Economic crisis impact

Gonzalez, 97, Cuban Communism (RAND)

Suchlick, 97, Cuban Communism

Imperialism

Maryland journal of international law and trade, fall 97, "current U.S. policy toward Cuba"

Salbu, 99, Michigan jrnl of intl law, "those who feel victimized"

Ag crisis

Star tribune, 5/18/99, "the fate of american farmers"

Journal of commerce, 12/31/98, "the enormous power of the hedge"

Npr 8/17/99, "GJELTEN: I guess that might be the conclusion that people are coming to, Ray"

Journal of commerce, 2/24/99, "Rural America could face"

Outline of 2AC Answers and Cites:

OPIC CP

- not restrict OPIC in SQ

- assumes Iran, Iraq not Cuba

- no evidence Cuban economy bad

- perm - do plan and actively block OPIC

- not assume Cuba

- PICs bad - steals ground, etc.

- Conditionality bad - unpredictable, destroys education

#### Drugs

- n/u - happens now
- turn - we make it better
- Cuba not key
- turn - Mafia taking over now, drug cooperation solves
- turn - major win for Clinton

#### Clinton

- n/u - NK?
- no link - Clinton not pass plan
- case o/w
- Cubans are dying
- BIT solves link
- Cl can't get UN funds now
- Bipart support for plan
- Cl spins policy
- Winners win
- Causes Congress to rally around Cl

#### Reactor

- n/u - will finish plant
- plan and CE key to solve
- tech solves
- not increase investment in plant

#### Georgia State

School: Georgia State

Team(s): HK, AP

Case: Cuba

#### Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the government of Cuba-Including the immediate removal of all sanctions against Cuba (CDA, Helms Burton, and the presidential embargo) and the negotiation of a BIT

#### Obs 1

US policy treats Cuba like Vietnam

Schwab 99 "embargo is conducted like the Vietnam War"

#### Obs 2 Legal Imperialism

Economic sanctions feed international perception that the US is culturally and legally imperialist

Salbu 99

Conflicts engendered by US imperialism threaten global peace

Salbu 99

#### Obs 3 Current policy will disrupt the WTO

Conflict between US and the EU is brewing, threatening disruption in relations

Latin American Newsletter 99 "Sol Melia...Madrid warns of very energetic measures, block US-EU agreements"

A dispute of this kind is the only threat to the Seattle WTO talks

The Toronto Star 99

The WTO meeting in Seattle will determine the future of the organization  
The Independent 99 "...success will determine.. the 21st century.. trade"

Failure of the US and EU to expand trade = backlash against trade, spurring nationalism and conflict world wide

Bergsten 99

Ending economic sanctions against Cuba bridges US-EU conflict and allow trade cooperation  
Wiat 98 "A shift in policy (Helms Burton) would bridge the impasse that has developed..."

On the other hand, protectionism leads to nuclear war  
Spicer 96 ' "the challenge of world trade or attempting to shut out markets...rigid trade blocks... will eventually erupt in a major war"

Obs 4 A new policy would Avoid Agricultural Disaster  
Growing Ag. crisis is causing social disintegration and mass farm foreclosures  
J of Commerce 99 "a social catastrophe in rural America...thousands of US producers could tumble into bankruptcy this year"

The Ag. sector is in dire straits-Its Fate hinges on export markets  
Star Tribune 99 "with American farmers under severe economic stress, the cruelest possibility would be the loss of American support for open markets.

Continued agricultural decline will destroy the US economy-We must open new markets now  
J of Commerce '98 'if the ag. sector dies an economic death...the rest of the economy is sure to follow'

A US economic decline would cause a global market decline  
Investor's Business Daily 99 'if the US economy stumbles..it will be felt around the world'

If the global market shrinks, nuclear war would result  
Mead 92

Cuba provides a critical market for US agricultural producers  
NPR 99 "farm constituencies...look to Cuba as a possible market..Cuba represents a... market for food sales."

Obs 5 A new policy would alleviate global disease  
US economic sanctions on Cuba prevent the access to the treatments for Hep, Meningitis and AIDS

Schwab 99 "the embargo prevents the US from having access to the innovation"  
Meningitis kills 1/2 million people every year  
NPR 99

Hepatitis kills nearly one million people every year  
Agence France Presse '98

Cuba is two years from an AIDS vaccine  
LA Times '99 One team...has developed a AIDS vaccine that... could be ready for commercial use in two years...

AIDS threatens the future of humanity  
Alternatives 89 "AIDS is one of those critical issues....it is a plague that no knows no boundaries"



Obs 6 Solvency

Repealing HB is the only way to repair our failed Cuba policy

Dhodge 97 "Helms Burton Act...bases US Cuban policy on isolation which will fail without the coop of the international community...Only by seeking such a repeal will" (we) "free" (ourselves) "of the failed policy of unilateralism"

Engaging Cuba by dropping sanctions will lead to a smooth process of democratic transition and economic opening

Wint '98 take up a policy as one that was taken up with China

There is no reason to sanction Cuba. Lifting sanctions spurs many new investment opportunities

Quickenden '97 "...lifting the embargo or normalizing relations... strengthens the argument for the swift conclusion of an agreement..."

Only a financial dispute separates Cuba and the US-A BIT would lead to the normalization of relations

Brooklyn J of International Law '99 'a BIT could be normalized to billions of dollars for investment...."

The plan allows Cuba to rejoin the international system

Schwab '99 "Cuba will be reincorporated into the international community...."

Lifting Sanctions on Cuba is the only way to solve problems associated with revolution, refugees, and drug trafficking

Gonzalez '96

### ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Georgia State HK

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the Government of Cuba, includign the immediate removal of all sanctions against Cuba and negotiations of a BIT.

Advantages: Imperialism, WTO stablity, Ag. Stablility, Disease

## Gonzaga

### **BAYLOR**

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** Gonzaga LE **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Baylor **Round** Semis

**Plan Text:**

Syria

Remove Syria from terrorist list

Remove sanctions against Russia

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Ob1 – peace coming in 2 months

Adv – Peace process (Syrian and palestinian track)

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 Clinton: Russia add-on

A2 Israel: Middle East containment add-on

**2AR/Big Tricks**

concede advantage is n/u...went for add-ons

### **WAKE**

Gonzaga

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8

Team: Gonzaga KM

vs.: Texas SR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Klemz - Southern Illinois

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Topicality - Lifting sanctions on Iraq isn't with Iraq

1. We meet - we only target Iraq
2. No impact to extra-topicality: we can just sever the extra-topical parts
3. Doesn't take out solvency
4. Extra-topicality is good: gives you more ground
5. They don't define constructive engagement
6. Lifting sanctions and normalizing relations is topical
7. We adopt a policy
8. Adopt means to take on
9. Fair limits - provides the most ground
10. Engagement with Iraq necessitates dialogue

Post Colonialism Kritik

1. the kritik relies on the actions of third parties. We're not responsible for what businesses do to Iraq

2. T - sanctions are racist against Iraq
3. Lifting sanctions aren't charity, they're a responsibility
4. Economy has already collapsed
5. They change the fundamental nature of the debate with the introduction of the criticism, so we should be able to clarify our personal advocacy
6. Marxist International Relations theory always fails
7. Status quo forces Capitalism on Iraq - we give them the choice
8. Our intentions are not coopted
9. Attempts to alter our practices require action with rethinking to achieve that goal
10. T - democratic capitalism avoids expansion of imperialism
11. T - sanctions are the worst form of Capitalist imperialism
12. They have to defend the status quo or a policy alternative
13. Sanctions cause class divisions

#### Clinton - WTO

1. No evidence says he needs political capital to pass WTO
2. CP gets the disad
3. N-u: Clinton's political capital screwed
4. T - sanctions drain political capital
5. T - Democratic Unity
  - a) sanctions hurt it
  - b) democratic unity key to political capital
6. airstrikes are unpopular

#### Counterplan - lift all sanctions and bomb the dikes

1. links to the Net Benefit - sanctions can never be reimposed
2. perm - do the counterplan, we only end the current bombing campaign and the dikes are not bombed right now
3. perm - do the plan and lift all economic sanctions
4. no evidence says they'll use concrete bombs
5. PICs are illegitimate
6. Conditionality is illegitimate
7. Textual competition is the best standard
8. Airstrikes will always kill civilians
9. Airstrikes are racist - demonize Saddam
10. Should always be non-violent - reject their violence

#### Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

##### 2AR -

They conceded the Gewirth evidence, we're not responsible for third party actions such as dike bombing, but they are responsible for the deaths caused by the airstrikes they mandate. And we'll win that the permutation is legitimate because the counterplan is "plan-plus"

#### Gonzaga

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

##### Round: 1

Team: Gonzaga LG

vs.: John Carroll SL

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Mancuso

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba by removing nearly all sanctions on Cuba, specifically the Cuban Democracy Act of Helms Burton Act Titles 1,3,4 (Sections 1-110,112). Title 2 will

me modified to allow negotiation of a bilateral investment treaty and foreign/domestic assistance even if Castro remains in power.

O1: Embargo is genocidal.

Geoff Simons. "Imposing Economic Sanctions..." '99.

Humanitarian sanctions immoral on deontological & utilitarian terms.

Jay Gordon. "Ethics and Int'l Affairs" v. 13 '99.

Adv 1: Cuban Civil War.

Embargo = economic stagnation, risks Cuban implosion.

Friedman. New York Times. October 6, 1999.

Sanctions crush democratic transitions/cause civil war.

Enrique Beloyra. Cuba & The Future. '94

Civil war = US intervention.

Smith. <http://www.us.net/cip/imroglio.html> '99

Intervention causes terrorism & spills over into florida.

Walter Mead. World Policy Journal. Fall. '95

War escalates.

Houston Chronicle 7-2-93.

Adv 2: WTO.

Despite agreements, Helms Burton causes trade conflicts.

Smith. <http://www.us.net/cip/19903ipr.html> '99.

EU or NAFTA takes dispute to WTO/US uses national security exemption/crushes WTO.

Anthony Solis. Loyola Los Angeles Journal & Comparative Law Journal. April '97.

WTO key to interdependence/preventing trade war escalation.

Waldman Seattle PI May 11 99.

O2: Solvency.

Removing the embargo causes successful Cuban investment and encourages successful democratic transition.

Dunning. Washington University Jrnl. of Urban & Contemporary Law. Summer '98.

Engaging now is the only way to ensure soft democratic landing.

New York Times. 9-29-99

Gradual lifting fails/ensures Castro crackdown.

Economist 1-17-'98.

Only constructively engaging Cuba solves.

Shulz. Cuba and the Future. 1994.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Gonzaga KM

vs.: Southern Cal HH

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sharp, Jon - West Georgia

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Prostitution can never be endorsed - 3 reasons - Carter 90  
(reasons are numbing and makes sex abuse part of job description)

added OFF preempts :

OFF can't solve, Chomsky 99  
Their authors rooted in biased masculine assumptions  
Some Simmons 96 cards saying that can only get worse in the status quo

Plan :

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
AT : T - CE (including - not just lift sanctions)

1. WM - on face CE
2. Lifting sanctions best for debate
3. Plan is CE - Boston Globe 99

AT : agent specificity

1. just ask in CX
2. can't even articulate abuse
3. not in resolution - don't have to specify
4. normal means good
5. can't put everything in plan
6. still run CP
7. no impact to potential for abuse

AT : Clinton

1. CP gets DA
2. No link - we don't expend political capital
3. no link - congress passes
4. no internal link
5. WTO won't pass now (card)
6. Too many hurdles for Chinese WTO (card)
7. Turn - sanctions drain political capital (card)
8. Turn - democratic unity. Sanctions hurt it, unity is key to political capital.
9. Turn - winners win
10. Turn - agricultural lobby
11. Turn - airstrikes hurt clinton
12. Uses people as means to an end - Gordon
13. Plan bipartisan - IHT 99

AT : reform Oil for Food CP

1. doesn't end airstrikes
2. perm - do both
3. doesn't solve - restrictions on dual use items are immoral
4. dispositionality is illegit
5. airstrikes = vicious cycle of war
6. exemptions always fail - Boston Globe 99
7. ration system doesn't work
8. pharmaceutical companies don't donate necessary drugs
9. sanctions committee still exists - filters out most products BBC99

10. OFF blocks NGO's, =s perception of better solvency now so no one donates
11. Unconditional lifting only way for inspection solvency
12. Impact to inspections is nuclear war - Evening Standard 98
13. Engagement key to reduce tensions

Runs base kick-out add on case, Kuwaiti invasion impace

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Gonzaga KM

vs.: Southern Cal HH

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sharp - West Georgia

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Same as case book except impact to prostitution:

- Carter, Hastings Women's Law Journal, Winter 1999, "prostitute entails the systemic destruction"

And added to plan: the US will engage in dialogue with Iraq over solving the food crisis.

We did not read the Mack Evidence

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton Answers:

- sanctions drain capital, Eisenstadt, FNS, 9/16/1998, "ensuring the effectiveness"
- democratic unity, Tulsa World, 9/14/1999, "democrats have complained"
- National Journal's Congress Daily, 5/24/1999, "congressional democratic leaders"
- Agriculture Lobbies, Orlando Sentinel, 7/29/1999, "agricultural interests"
- Air Strikes, Boston Globe, 2/19/1998, "Open questioned yesterday whether"
- Is bipartisan, IHT, 8/17/999, "bipartisan moments"

Oil for food CP answers

- Boston Globe, 10/5/1999, "Iraq humanitarian exceptions"
- Berrigan, Let Iraq Live, 1998
- BBC WW Monitoring, 10/28/1999, "communicable diseases not seen"
- Masri, Challenge to Genocide, 1998
- Ekeus, Newshour with Jim Lehrer, 1/11/1999, "security council"

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Go big for morality

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Team: Gonzaga KM

Case (country): Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan : the usfg will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions on iraq. This will include the reversal of the u.s. vote in the security council regarding such sanctions. the usfg will also end the policy of continual bombing in a state of undeclared war.

ob-1 : humanitarian disaster

1. u.s. sanctions will continue despite ongoing atrocities (Stephen Zunes, Middle East Policy, january 1999, page 160-1)

2. as a result of these sanctions, 7,000 iraqi's die every month, 250 a day - that breaks down to 12 kids before the end of this round (dayton daily news, 5-29-99)
3. 4 million more are at risk of famine (cordesman and hashim, Iraq: sanctions and beyond, 1997)
4. these estimates are accurate or low (mueller and mueller, foreign affairs, may/june 1999)
5. more people die from sanctions than from nuclear war - iraq is becoming like a concentration camp (George Cappacio, The Progressive, Vol. 80, Issue 17, Page 3, 1997)
6. sanctions force children into labor and women into prostitution - compounding death and disease. (Denis Halliday, Current History, February 1999, page 65-67)
7. sanctions are like torture (mckinney, june 29, 1999, FDCH Political Transcript, house international relations subcommittee)
8. (Alan Gewirth, Theories of Rights, Page 100, 1984)
9. sanctions are immoral - use people as a means to an ends (Joy Gordon, Ethics and International Affairs, V.13, Pg. 1389)
10. sanctions also prevent the cleanup of depleted uranium - causing 500,000 death (Simons 1996, The Scourging of Iraq: Sanctions, Law, and Natural Justice)
11. sanctions lead to the collapse of agriculture - endangering the whole food supply of the middle-east - millions will die (cbc news, 3-2-99, <http://leb.net/iac/disease.html>)
12. death from sanctions is uniquely cruel and painful - worse than nuclear war (ramsey clark, 1996, The Children are Dying: the impact of sanctions, p.113)
13. us has a moral responsibility to act (canberra times, 2-15-99)

Observation II: solvency

1. lifting sanctions allows iraq to rebuild its economy and recieve aid (simons '96)
2. allows in medicine and spare parts (simon 1996)
3. oil-for-food will never solve - even if the ceiling is lifting (chomsky, 1999, january 8, [www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html](http://www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html) "sanctions are weapons of mass destruction")
4. all negative evidence is based on racist interperations of saddam and are rooted in the masculinist, orientalist traditon and are used to justify imperialism (Steve Nivi, The Man Question in International Relations, P. 119)

5.this blaming and racism should be rejected and replaced with mutual understanding (John E. Mack, Pyschodynamics of International Relations Vol 1: Concepts and Theories)

6.lifting sanctions is the only way to end iraq's suffering - doing so is not tantamount to support for the regime but instead the people. (chomsky '99)

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Gonzaga GL

vs.: Kansas State Nr

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Dallas Perkins - Harvard

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Clinton

- Tanter, 1998 Rogue Regimes

- CSM, 11-2-99

- Business Times, 9-28-99

- The Guardian, 11-16-99

- Washington Post, 11-14-99

AT: Rice

- Gannett News Service, 10-20-99

AT: Drugs

- Washington Times, 11-6-99

Gonzaga

School: Gonzaga

Team(s): GL

Case: (Cuba)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Obs 1: US sanctions = genocide. Simmons 99, Imposing Sanctions: Legal Remedy or Genocidal Tool

Sanctions cause Cuban depression. Schwab 1999, Cuba: Confronting the Embargo

Embargo helps hardliners and kills reform. Portes 98, "The American Prospect" May/June

Cuba not a threat and Castro uses sanctions to rally around the flag. Union Tribune, Jan 17, 99

Helms Burton causes economic troubles causes civil war and US military intervention. Smith 99, "The US/Cuba Imbroglia," [www.us.net/cip/imbroglio.html](http://www.us.net/cip/imbroglio.html)

US policy causes violent civil war causes terrorism, refugees, and stops political reform Mead 1995, World Policy Journal Fall, "Rum and Coca Cola: The US and the New Cuba."

Flood of immigration and humanitarian crisis. Tanter 1998, Rogue Regime: Proliferation And Terror



Castro uses nukes or biological weapons during civil war. Houston Chronicle 7/2/93

Obs 2: WTO

Helms Burton conflict inevitable. Smith 99, [www.us.net/cip/19903ipr.htm](http://www.us.net/cip/19903ipr.htm)

US will use national security exemption to defend Helms Burton, undermines WTO and Kills support for US policy. Solis 97, "The Long Arm of the US: The Helms-Burton Act," Loyola Los Angeles Journal of Comparative Law April

Credible WTO key to stop trade wars which cause real wars. Waldman 99, "WTO Important to citizens of City and State." May 11, Seattle PI

Obs 3: Solvency

Lifting embargo causes peaceful transition and leads to investment in Cuba. Dunning 1999, Washington University Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law, Summer

Gradual reform won't solve, immediate lifting key. The Economist 1/17/98

Engaging Cuba causes peaceful political transition. NYT 9/29/99

Immediate action solves, gradual reform blocked and causes civil war. Baloyra 1994, "Where does Cuba stand?" Cuba and the Future Schulz=editor

Threat based policy solidifies regime, constructive engagement causes democracy. Schulz 1994, "Constructive Engagement" Cuba and the Future

Constructive Engagement stops suffering and solves reform, stopping civil war. Schulz Again

Lifting Sanctions causes peaceful transition and democracy. Tanter again

School: Gonzaga

Team(s): KM

Case: (Iraq)

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

Observation 1: Humanitarian disaster

US Sanctions on Iraq will continue despite ongoing atrocities. Zunes, Middle East Policy, January 1997

As a result of these sanctions, seven thousand iraqis die every month. 250 children die a day - that comes out to 12 kids before the end of this round  
Dayton Daily News, 5-29-99

Cordersman and Hashim from the CSIS note an additional four million are at risk of famine  
Iraq: Sanctions and Beyond, 1997

Massive studies conclude these estimates are accurate or low. The damage to the iraqi infrastructure require lifting sanctions to solve  
Mueller, Foreign Affairs, May/June 1999

Our impact outweighs - more people die from sanctions than nuclear war. The pain and suffering caused is like a concentration camp.

Capaccio, The Progressive, Vol 80, Issue 17, 1997

Sanctions force children into slave labor and women into prostitution; compounding death and disease

Halliday, Current History, February 1999

In addition, sanctions are like torture

McKinney, FDCH Political Transcripts: COMMITTEE: HOUSE INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS  
SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL, June 29, 1999

Allowing such torture to continue as a direct result of US sanctions is inexcusable even in light of nuclear holocaust. We are not responsible for actions that occur as a result of third parties. Maintaining the sanctions treats Iraqi citizens as means to a political end which destroys the very meaning and value of human life

Gewirth, Theories of Rights, 1984, p. 100

Sanctions are immoral under deontological and utilitarian systems - they use people as means to an end and subject them to massive suffering

Gordong, Ethics and International Affairs, 1999

Simons notes famine is not the only cause of death. Five hundred thousand die from depleted uranium

The Scourging of Iraq: Sanctions, Law and Natural Justice, 1996

If depleted uranium does not kill them, millions will die from the collapse of the agricultural system.

Sanctions cause the spread of farm disease that will spill over to the whole middle east

CBL News, March 2, 1999 online but the URL is illegible ([http://leb.met/rest/can't read.html](http://leb.met/rest/can't_read.html))

Former US Attorney Ramsey Clark points out in 1996 that such a death is uniquely cruel and painful.

Given the choice, most Iraqis would rather die from war than slow starvation

Ramsey, The Children are Dying: Impact of Sanctions on Iraq, 1996

Finally, United States responsibility and the painful nature of death should guide our decision calculus not the sheer number of deaths

Canberra Times, 2-15-1999, p. 1

They will say that oil for food can solve but even if it is revised in a generous manner, the program has no hope of alleviating the suffering

Chomsky, Said, Zinn, and Herman, [www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html](http://www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html)

The plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate lifting of all trade, civilian, non military, and non international lending institutions sanctions on Iraq and the reversal of the US vote in the UN security council regarding such sanctions on Iraq. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

[This team has also run a plan that ends bombing. They have not replied to us as of this printing so we don't have a text]

Observation Two: Lifting sanctions alleviates the suffering of the people in Iraq

Lifting Sanctions allows Iraq to rebuild their economy and effectively utilize humanitarian aid

Simons, The scourging of Iraq: Sanctions, Law and Natural Justice, 1996

Simons continues saying lifting sanctions allows in food medicine and spare parts. The current policy is genocide and will only get worse

Simons

Chomsky, Zinn, Herman and Said, Professors at MIT, Boston and Penn conclude that we must take moral responsibility for the suffering in Iraq. Lifting Sanctions does not support the regime, but relieves the United States for the responsibility for continual loss of life while easing the pain of the Iraqi people (it's the "this issue must be discussed in every household and every public forum across the country" card)

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Exclude EX-IM Bank funding CP

- They argue that the part of the plan that excludes international lending means that they exclude EX-IM too, because the investors invest overseas
- They argue that leaving sanctions on causes Russia to backlash against our policy, causing Russian aggression
- Sanctions on Iraq decrease UN credibility which will inevitably collapse UN support for peacekeeping operations.
- Oil diversification due to lifting sanctions solves oil shocks

Clinton Good - debt relief

- bunch of shit caused partisan bickering
- Our internal link evidence says Congress is opposed to the bill so it overwhelms the link
- Winners Win - Ornstein
- Congress bashed the Jewish Lobby and sanctions
- Arab American and oil lobbies like the plan
- Business lobbies like the plan
- Lifting sanctions has bipartisan support
- Fiat takes out the link - Congress passes the plan, Clinton not blamed
- Gordon - DA uses Iraqi people as means to an end, immoral
- Confrontation with Iraq hurts US credibility (the card assumes making concessions to Iraq)

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

- goes for the add-ons, outweighs the Clinton impacts and turns the disadvantage because there would be wars in Africa
- Gewirth means your disadvantages don't matter. We're not responsible for third party actions
- Deontology trumps - vote to stop the 12 deaths in this round

## ***OREGON***

University of Oregon Rd. 6

Gonzaga LG (aff) vs. Whitman BS

Affirmative evidence:

Exemptions don't solve

New York Times, October 6, 1999, Friedman

"this tight Cuban system clearly can't take care of its people's needs"

Cuban Civil War Advantage Cites

Embargo prevents peaceful change

Baloyra 1994, Cuba and the Future

Sanctions lead to really bad war

Mead 95

World Policy Journal, Fall "Rum and Coca Cola: The United States and the New Cuba" p. 48-9

Smith

When Castro starts to lose he'll use nuclear or biological weapons

Houston Chronicle 7-2-93

"Strangling a gasping Castro to his last breath"

Some WTO cites

Waldman '99 Seattle PI May 11

"Made great strides in clarifying the rules of the road"

Solvency cites

Dunning

"Congress should enact comprehensive legislations"

New York Times 9-29-99

"By not helping Cuba develop a transition system"

2AC Add-on

SLOCs

(A power deployment add-on: The Cuban military will block the Florida Straits (?) during civil war and block US naval deployment)

Gonz's Cuba Aff (EN)

Obs I Transition

- 1) US sanctions lead to genocide and starvation
- 2) The embargo panders to nationalists
- 3) The embargo gives Castro a scapegoat
- 4) SQ eventually draws the US into a Cuban civil war

Obs II WTO

- 1) The EU will file with the WTO, hurting relations
- 2) WTO solves for free trade and war

Obs III Solvency

- 1) Complete removal of sanctions leads to investment in Cuba (Danning '98)
- 2) SQ props up Castro, only CE leads to democracy
- 3) Immediate lifting of sanctions solves best

Lifting sanctions leads to peaceful US/Castro ties

## ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Gonzaga KMJ (Iraq) vs. Whitman CG

plan text-The USFG should adopt a policy of CE including the immediate lifting of all trade civilian, non-military, and now international lending institutions sanctions on Iraq and the reversal of the vote in UN.

Affirmative Team: Gonzaga JK

Negative Team: Whitman BS

Lewis and Clark Round 4

Aff Case:

Observation One: Humanitarian Disaster

Sanctions will continue

Zunes 99 Middle East Policy

7,000 Iraqis die

Dayton Daily News 5-29-99

"especially the children are twice oppressed"

4 million at risk from famine  
Cordesman and Hashim '97 Iraq Sanctions and Beyond  
Estimates of death are accurate or low  
Mueller '99 Foreign Affairs May/June  
Impact outweighs nuclear war  
Capaccio 97 The Progressive  
"price is an estimated half million children"  
Sanctions lead to children in slave labor and prostitution  
Holiday 99 Current History  
"social cost of sanctions"  
Sanctions are like torture  
McKinney 99  
"human rights abuse, but it's torture"  
Allowing torture inexcusable even in light of nuclear holocaust  
Gewirth 89 Theories of Rights  
Sanctions immoral under deontological and utilitarian systems  
Gordon '99 Ethics & International Affairs  
500 people die from depleted uranium  
Simons '96 The Scourging of Iraq  
Sanctions are causing farm disease  
CBC News "99  
"unintended consequences of international sanctions against Iraq"  
Death is cruel  
Ramsey Clark '96 The Children are Dying  
US responsibility does something to decision calculus  
Canberra Times 2-15-99  
"Iraqi leadership is responsible is to deny the truth"  
Plan:  
The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate lifting of all trade civilian non-military and non-international lending institutions sanctions on Iraq and the reversal of the US vote in the U.N. Security Council regarding such sanctions on Iraq. The US government will also end the policy of continuing bombing in a state of undeclared war. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.  
Collapsing on sanctions leads to rebuilt economy  
Simons '96 The Scourging of Iraq  
Simons continues saying removing sanctions allows in food medicine and spare parts.  
Oil for food can't solve  
Chomsky 99  
[www.suba.com/~sdimine/nytad.html](http://www.suba.com/~sdimine/nytad.html)  
Sanctions are weapons of mass destruction, we must take moral responsibility  
Chomsky, Said, Zinn & Herman '99  
[www.mena.net/morocco/\\_disc27/0000039c.htm](http://www.mena.net/morocco/_disc27/0000039c.htm)

## 2AC Answers

AT: Water Wars

Irrigation projects are increasing

Shahin, The Middle East, p. 10 Jan '99

Jordan deal ensures increased irrigation

,,,

Turn/we solve water contamination

No link

Assumes Israel and Palestine

Charles has my copy of oil block

## 2AC Tricks:

Containment will inevitably collapse

Airstrikes add on

Lewis and Clark Finals  
Affirmative Gonzaga KM (Iraq) vs. Whitman CO  
Kuper  
Stutesman  
Siver  
Plan Text:  
same

1AC outline  
same  
watch out for those orientalism cards

2AC Answers to Off-case positions  
WTO (all carded)  
1. no deal  
2. Buchanan blocks  
3. n/u. bash china on puerto rico  
4. sanctions decrease capital  
5. democratic unity  
it's key to passing the agenda  
6. ag lobby  
plan is bipartisan  
7. confrontation with iraq inevitable and will drain capital

shunning  
1. morally repugnant  
2. must engage evil  
3. sanctions are immoral  
4. morally void  
5. punishment is evil

oil  
1. iraq's in OPEC  
2. iraq can't flood  
3. no risk of impact  
4. no link. Other factors will keep prices high  
5. oil for food increase non-unique  
6. plan key to stability in prices  
7. price spike (inflation)  
8. fluctuation is inevitable  
9. high prices = cheating  
10. shocks  
11. mexican economy is dead

2AR Big Tricks  
your authors are hacks and biased and orientalist

Lewis and Clark Rd 4  
Affirmative Gonzaga SW vs. Whitman CO

Siver

Plan Text:

In order to CE the DPRK, the USFG will institute a comprehensive policy to remove all economic sanctions, normalize relations, and negotiate a CTR treaty, as per Almeida and O'hanlon. All rights reserved. We'll clarify.

1AC outline

Recent moves are symbolic only, BBC 10-7-99

NK wants more, Japan Economic Newswire, 9-22-99

NK is very unstable, Manning, 99

Oh and Hassing, March/April, Asian Survey, p 287-8

Efron, LAT, 7-24-99

Rosenthal, NYT, 12-10-99

Jet Guk Jean, Strategic Forum, p 4 1998

Bracken, Orbis, Winter, 1995, 39:1, p 55

Noland, p 51-2,

Baek, WA Times, 11-15

Mazarr, NK and the Bomb, p 239-40

NK will lash out [www.usip.org](http://www.usip.org)

Arms race escalates, Aaron Frieberg, Intl Security, Winter 93-4, p 25

2AC Answers to Off-case positions

2AR Big Tricks

kick solvency, go for a Japan re-arm turn on the consult stuff and clinton turns

## ***WESTERN SCRIMMAGE***

Gonz GL (Cuba)

Plan: the USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement by immediately removing all its economic and humanitarian sanctions on Cuba (including the CDA and HBA, with the exception of section 111) as per the recommendations of Dunning and Shulz.

They Claim 3 Impacts: 1. The Embargo Causes Deaths, 2. Bloody Transition With US Draw In And Castro Lashout Impacts, 3. WTO (National Security Exemptions Undermine The WTO)

Cites:

Transition-

Geoff Simmons, Imposing Economic Sanctions, 99

Alejandro Portes, American Prospect, May/June 98

Union Tribune (san diego?) Jan 17. 99 (chance of intervention)

Smith 99 [www.us.net/cip/imbroglio.html](http://www.us.net/cip/imbroglio.html) (massive bloodshed)

Raymond Tanter, Rogue Regimes: Proliferation And Terrorism, 1998

Houston Chronicle, 7/2/93 (parallel course) the "out with a bang" card

WTO-

Smith, 97 [www.us.net/cip/19903ipr.htm](http://www.us.net/cip/19903ipr.htm) (does not end ... conflict)

Anthony Solis, Loyola LA Journal Of Comparative Law, April 97 (as a matter of national security)

Raymond Waldman, Seattle PI, May 11, 99 (a trade wars = war card)

Solvency-

Dunning, Washington University Journal Of Urban And Contemporary Law, Summer 98 "The Helms-Burton Act"

Economist 9-17 98 (Castro's Worst Nightmare....End...Embargo)

Enrique Baloyra, in Shulz Cuba And The Future, 94

Shulz, Cuba and The Future, 94

Gonzaga SW

The United States federal government will lift all economic sanctions on the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. All rights reserved. We'll clarify.

Round 6: Gonz. SW (North Korea) vs. Neg

Judge: Kerwien

1AC: lift all econ sanctions, slow normalization

collapse is inevitable, just question of hard fall or soft, cannibalism and selling women into prostitution to survive, dead man's hand impact= WMD lash outs when regime falls

Solvency: normalization leads to small investments stabilizing region, NK will hold up bargains,

**UNI**

Gonzaga KM-J

Plan: The United States Federal Government should temporarily lift all trade, civilian and non-military sanctions on Iraq as per Cartright, Lopez, and Gause. This includes reversing the United States vote on Iraqi sanctions.

Observation one: Won't lift sanctions

- sanctions kill people
- depleted uranium kills people
- these deaths outweigh the disads.

Observation two:

Scenario one: Succession crisis coming = War

We are the only way to solve control \_\_\_\_? \_\_\_\_

Scenario two: Iraq Proliferation

The aff. Allows weapons inspectors = solving war

Solvency:

- allow investment and aid
  - Iraq will cooperate
  - Solves proliferation, saves kids lives
  - key author: Cartright and Lopez, journal of international affairs
- spring 99

Note: 2AC will claim to solve Russian relations = WWII

" " UN credibility = solves war

" " Iraq containment = solves war



## Harvard

### **WAKE**

Harvard

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Harvard CR

vs.: Concordia KT

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Nate Smith

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Plan also ended air strikes.

I. a. Suffering (Oil 4 food preempts here)

b. solve

II. morality

- means-ends imperative

Underview

- WMD prolifer and repression turns are non-unique

- Continued sanctions --> lashout and regional wars

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Russian Oil

1. lifting sanctions increases Russia's revenues (investment) X

2. sanctions don't affect global price X

3. n/u - production skyrocketing now X

4. won't cause price collapse X

WTO

1. no link - decision on its merits X

2. congress won't approve X

3. clinton can bounce back X

4. non-unique he's a lame duck X

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Persuade through clash

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Harvard CW

vs.: MTSU/McNeese

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Jenkins

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Two more cards:

AFP, 10-28-99 "US is blocking contracts under oil for food"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality

contextual ev checks  
no abuse  
we engage - more than just sanctions

Clinton  
bottom of docket  
relations decreasing between US and China inevitable

CP  
Iraq won't take the conditioned sanctions lifting  
Harvard

School: Harvard  
Team(s): WC, CR  
Case: Iraq

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

iraq with a heavy emphasis on morality, don't be a utilitarian, full risk of nuclear war does not outweigh  
mueller and mueller in 98

The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward the government of Iraq by immediately  
lifting all economic sanctions enforced by the general embargo and ending the airstrike campaign unless  
otherwise dictated by UN Security Council mandate. We clarify means.

## Idaho State

### ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Idaho St. AS's case – Cuba

Plan Text: The US Federal government should adopt the following plan of constructive engagement  
repeal sanctions on countries helping to build the juragua plant  
allow free trade with Cuba  
Ban military intervention in Cuba  
Move to normalizing relations

#### 1AC Outline

Obs1) US-Cuban politics (or policies?) aren't changing  
Rennenberger, Director of Cuban Affairs, '99

#### Adv1) Latin American Stability

- 1 – We are at a crossroads  
Hakim and Shifter '99 (FPA.org)
- 2 – Peace is key in transition  
Nuccio '99
- 3 – Transition war (likely/possible?)  
Smith '98, Wayne International Policy Report, ips.org
- 4 – Engagement is key  
Smith '98

#### Adv2) WTO

Washington Report, May 12, '97  
heritage.org

Protectionism leads to a new depression  
Cannaberra Times, July 13 '99

#### Adv3) Health

- 1 – Embargo starves Cuba  
Schwab '99 "Cuba Confronting the US embargo"
- 2 – Embargo hurts Cuban health infrastructure  
Schwab '99 (same as above)

## Illinois State

### **WAKE**

Illinois State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Illinois State VB

vs.: Cal St. Fullerton NW

Case: Iran

Judge: Skillin, Kelly-Baylor

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Answers to T CE:

1. Poor negative interpretation-it is threat constructionist
2. We meet-we don't lift military
3. Counter-definition-political and economic ties
4. Better interpretation
  - a. fair ground division
  - b. negative doesn't divide ground
5. Literature checks abuse
6. No in round abuse
7. This is a 100% voting issue so give us leeway
8. No impact to overlimiting
9. No proof of potential abuse
10. Goals are changed

Illinois State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Illinois State BV

vs.: Fort Hays RS

Case (Iran)

Judge: Sternhagen

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Through any and all normal and necessary means. The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iran including the immediate removal of all economic primary and secondary sanctions. This will include:

- 1st an open apology for US involvement in the 1953 coup attempt
  - 2nd the unfreezing of all Iranian assets currently held in the US
  - 3rd A sincere invitation to dialogue on issues pertinent to the goal of normalizing relations.
- Funding and enforcement through normal means. Aff will clarify

Cards:

-Now key for Khatami's economic reforms

[Milwaukee Journal Sentinel 7/18/99 "crucial political and economic"]

-sanctions prop up a hostile regime

[Chubin, Survival, Vol 38 #4 Winter 96-96 p16]

[Kurzman, Middle East Policy, 6/98, p71]

-plan helps Khatami

[Washington Times 4/19/99 "Iranian Moderates"]

[Fuller, Middle East Policy, 10/98, p 143]

Illinois State

School: Illinois State

Team(s): BV

Case: Iran

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

Plan: Constructive engagement with Iran including immediate removal of All economic sanctions including: open apology for the United States' involvement in the 1953 coup attempt, unfreeze all assets held by the United States, sincere invitation to dialogue on issues pertinent to them, including Iraq.

Advantage areas:

-Threat construction of Iran,

-The affirmative changes our realist conception of foreign policy

-engagement solves world views

Affirmative authors:

-Bill, 1996

-Sick, 1999

-Washington times, 1999

Fuller, 1997

Note: The affirmative claims that there are multiple visions of approaches to democracy The masses will never overtake the oppressors on their own

\*\*\*\*\*Big Threat Construction\*\*\*\*\*

***UNI***

Illinois st BV

Plan: Constructive engagement with Iran including immediate removal of all economic sanctions including: open apology for the United States' involvement in the 1953 coup attempt, unfreeze all assets held by the United States, sincere invitation to dialogue on issues pertinent to them, including Iraq.

Advantage areas:

-Threat construction of Iran,

-The affirmative changes our realist conception of foreign policy

-engagement solves world views

Affirmative authors:

- Bill, 1996
- Sick, 1999
- Washington times, 1999
- Fuller, 1997

Note: The affirmative claims that there are multiple visions of approaches to democracy

The masses will never overtake the oppressors on their own

Illinois st. KP-K

Plan: Remove non-military sanctions

Do HTGR's nuclear research in United States, and give the tech to Iran.

Advantage areas: Proliferation and propping up the NPT

Illinois st. KW

Plan: The United States will constructively engage Iran by offering for sale the peaceful nuclear technology and hardware of the American designed and produced HTGR. In addition, the United States will remove all non-military sanctions from Iran. As necessary, the United States may resume research funding for the "Gas Turbine mode bar helium reactor" to any other commercially feasible type of HTGR in order to effectuate the intentions of this plan. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Observation one: Inherency

- sanctions on Iraq ? 1997
- ignore need for nuclear weapons
- the United States is out money for the HTGR's

Advantage one: Proliferation

- World on the brink ? 1994
- Iran impact
- NPT at risk

Advantage two: Accidents

- accidents happen
- they are bad

Solvency:

- HTGR solves accidents 1994
- HTGR more efficient
- HTGR don't melt down
- HTGR immune from sudden accidents 1997
- HTGR decreases waste
- HTGR Strengthen the NPT = solving proliferation

## Iowa

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

IOWA HM

Plan: Iraq - Lift trade sanctions, stop air strikes, and help some Iraqi kids in doing so (Morality/Just War).

Misc: They read an Iraqi lashout add-on from Ben-Meir in 1996.

### ***USC***

Iowa LR: Langwell and Ryan

Plan: lift sanctions and air strikes on Iraq

Advantages:

Famine

Morality

### ***WAKE***

Iowa

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Iowa LR

vs.: Georgetown FW

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Gottlieb - Harvard

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Plan text: The United States will (immediately was added in cx) lift all economic sanctions against the DPRK, continue to fund the programs guaranteed under the 1994 Agreed Framework, offer a normalization of relations with the DPRK, and accept the North Korean proposal for a negotiation of a Peace Agreement based on a "status of US forces" change in the Korean Peninsula. The change in status or forces does not include the immediate withdrawal of US troops from South Korea.

Observation One: the status quo fails

The US recently eased most of its economic sanctions against North Korea this September, Pyongyang has refused to respond in good faith until the US removes all economic sanctions against North Korea - New York Times, 9/26/1999, "suspend missile testing"

North Korea has called for a complete lifting of economic sanctions before proceeding to future high level talks - BBC, 11/20/1999, "North Korea on Wednesday"

Scenario 1: Agreed Framework

North Korea feels the recent lifting of sanctions fails to live up to the promises in the Agreed Framework - Korea Herald, 10/25/1999, "completely lift U.S. economic sanctions"

The lack of US follow through on lifting of sanctions has left North Korea just short of abandoning the framework - they could ditch it at anytime - Harrison, Survival, Winter 1996-97, "North Korea sees removing"

Republicans are blasting Clinton's Korea policy and are targeting cuts against funding the Agreed Framework - Jane's Defense Weekly, 11/9/1999. "A scathing new report"

Loss of the Agreed Framework will open up a Pandora's box of nuclear conflicts - Kim Myong Chol, [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23C\\_Kim.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/23C_Kim.html), 11/24/1998, "the American government"

#### Scenario 2: Missile Proliferation

The Berlin Agreement failed to end North Korea's ballistic missile export program - The Hindu, 9/15/1999, "under the accord"

The MTCR is effectively slowing the proliferation of missiles with the exception of North Korea - Karp, Arms Control Today, October 1996, p.14, "expanded array of technologies"

The proliferation of ballistic missiles increases the risk of nuclear war around the world - Scheffran, Controlling the International Transfer of Weaponry and Related Technology, 1995, p.76-7, "thus the possession and use"

North Korea is the largest proliferation of missile in the world - Gillman, Federal News Service, 10/13/1999, "the threat to US interests"

#### Scenario 3: Double or Nothing

An invasion of South Korea is only likely if North Korea perceives its economy has no hope vis-à-vis South Korea - Cha, Security Dialogue, 1998, p.478-9, "a renewal of hostilities"

A second Korean War would be catastrophic - Chung, Korean Journal of International Studies, Autumn 1994, p.248, "any North Korean attack"

#### Scenario 4: Miscalculation

The brinkmanship cycle on the North Korean Peninsula could easily lead to a miscalculation leading to a massive war killing an astronomical amount of people. The conclusion of a peace agreement is critical to prevent a new Korean war - Chol, Policy Forum Online, [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/4a\\_DPRKonKA.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/4a_DPRKonKA.html), 5/17/1997, "Given the explosive situation...but one of avoiding further loss"

#### Contention 2: Solvency

Without lifting the remaining economic sanctions there will be no hope of investment or a missile deal - Asia Times Online, <http://atimes.com/koreas/AI21dg01.html>, 9/21/99, "this is essentially"

The US has a historic opportunity to accept a North Korean offer for a peace agreement. Such a gesture is necessary for a long term missile deal - Harrison, LA Times, 11/5/1999, "Although the fighting stopped...testing of long-range missiles"

Lifting sanctions gives North Korea access to critical resources and opens up other countries for North Korea as well - Park, Asian Survey, July 1997, p.632, "one of the strongest motives"



Normalization is fundamental in resolving the Korean crisis - Wright,  
<http://www.nautilus.org/pub/ftp/napsnet/special%5Freports/ucs%5F102298%5Fmeeting.txt>, 3/3/1999,  
"North Korea's primary goal"

Lifting sanctions on loans can help coax North Korea into modifying its behavior and rebuilding its economy - Smith, Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, 1998, p.72 "to this end"

#### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

##### Clinton - Chinese WTO

1. 1AC evidence proves Congress is backslashing in the status quo
2. no link - they can't prove that Congress would backlash against the plan
3. link evidence is pre Perry proposal
4. Congress passes the plan - wouldn't backlash against themselves
5. Winners Win - National Journal 1997
6. No spillover
7. N-u: WTO won't pass
8. N-u: unions block WTO passage
9. No internal link - EU blocks

##### Russia

1. Turn - we increase Russian economic growth
2. Normal means takes out the link
3. Clinton will either veto ABM modifications in the status quo or he won't, either takes out the DA
4. Case solves the original impact to the disad
5. Plan doesn't anger the nationalists
6. Turn - Russia wants North Korea to stop the test - WPS, 8/19/1999, "the expected launching"
7. Solve the impact - failing to cooperate with North Korea crushes US ability to control proliferation elsewhere - United States institute of Peace, November 1998, "The US policy"  
[www.nyu.edu/globalbeat/asia/USIP1198.html](http://www.nyu.edu/globalbeat/asia/USIP1198.html)

##### Counterplan - exclude World Bank loans

1. doesn't solve the case
  - a) 1ac says the status quo is only symbolic
  - b) our 1ac evidence says that international lending is key to the economy
  - c) won't sign a peace treaty without a full lifting
2. no link evidence specific to North Korea and it's non-unique
3. link burden: have to read evidence that North Korea would get loans
4. Full lifting of sanctions makes North Korea secure about economic future - Eberstadt, The US and the Two Koreas, 1998, p.123-4, "on at least three occasions"
5. Turn - famine
  - a) Recent predictions show that North Korea is going to have a massive grain shortfall next year - BBC Worldwide Monitoring, 10/27/1999, "in a quarterly report"
  - b) experts agree that around 2.5 million people have died in North Korea from starvation - Natsios, [www.usip.org](http://www.usip.org), The Politics of Famine, 8/2/1999, "former party ideologue...several independent studies"
  - c) the only way to stop the hunger is long term economic revival through outside investment - New York Times, 4/3/1999, "food aid from abroad...an economic revival"
6. Plan inclusive counterplans illegitimate
7. Conditionality is illegitimate

##### South Korea

1. Turn- we open North-South dialogue
2. Kim will lose in the status quo - the plan's a victory for him - Korea Herald, 11/20/1999, "the ruling camp"
3. T - plan demands North Korean dialogue
4. T - South Korea wants the plan - Global Beat, 12/9/1998 "South Korean President"  
[www.nyu.edu/globalbeat/asia/GIU120998.html](http://www.nyu.edu/globalbeat/asia/GIU120998.html),

5. South Korea doesn't care about engagement - Washington Post, 10/31/1999, "Previous South Korean"
6. No impact - 1ac proves US alone can solve

#### China

1. e/d - pre-Perry
2. normal means solves the link
3. North Korean conflict would involve China
4. China doesn't care

#### Undermines Kim Jong Il

1. assumes no regime solidification - we solve
2. they'll reform
3. CP encourages NK to hold back
4. CP causes blackmail

#### Cards on case that full lifting is key

- reignites brinkmanship and fails to solve missile advantage - "Florida Times-Union, 10/19/1999, "the Clinton administration's latest deal"

#### Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Baxter broke a new aff just so he could go for PICs bad in the 2ar

#### Iowa

School: Iowa

Team(s): LR, MP

Case: Iraq

LR runs both peace and war version. MP runs peace version. The information below is mostly for Iowa LR but may also apply to Iowa MP.

#### Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

##### PLAN (it is the same for peace and war):

The USFG will immediately lift unilateral economic sanctions against Iraq under the 1991 Iraqi Sanctions Act. Air strikes against Iraq will be ended. Pursuant to the UN Participation Act, the USFG will encourage other members of the UN Security Council to approve UN action toward Iraq consistent with plan mandates. Enforcement through normal means. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

#### Peaceful 1AC Outline:

##### Observation 1-- Status quo fails

OFF is a dismal failure, Voices in the Wilderness 10/24/98,

<http://www.nonviolence.org/vitw/sanctions.html>

All evidence shows that even if OFF was run perfectly, it will still only help a fraction of the Iraqi population, Amorim 1999,

<http://www.un.org/depts/oip/panelrep.html>

##### Observation 2-- Starvation

A new UNICEF report shows that 1.7 million people have died as a direct result of sanctions over the past nine years. Two hundred and fifty people will die today. Sloan, 8/12/99, <http://www.iacenter.org/usplan.htm>  
At least six thousand people will die this month and every month until

sanctions are lifted, Becker, 1/7/99, <http://www.iacenter.org/iraqnext.htm>  
Six thousand could be an underestimate. Halliday, 10/6/98,  
<http://www.accuracy.org/halliday.htm>  
The UNICEF study is flawless and supports the affirmative, Inter Press  
Service 8/12/99 kw: UNICEF said it was  
Lastly, sanctions have killed more Iraqis than all uses of weapons of mass  
destruction in history. Mueller and Mueller, Foreign Affairs, May/June 99

#### Observation 3-- Solvency

Lifting sanctions provides money for the economic development of Iraq,  
saving lives without giving Saddam additional advantages, Chomsky 1999,  
<http://www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html>  
Plan gets UN sanctions lifted, New York Times 9/25/99 kw: prospect of  
ending sanctions  
Saddam will distribute food to prevent a public backlash against his  
regime, Plain Dealer 8/16/99 kw: finally coming out of the warehouses  
All UN studies show that Iraqi distribution of food is perfect, Masri,  
NPR, 9/2/99 kw: let's go back to the UN reports  
In fact, sanctions are the reason Iraq can't distribute all the food and  
medicine it gets, National Catholic Reporters, 7/16/99 kw: suitable for  
storing  
Mortality disparities in the North don't prove Iraq won't distribute  
food-- it just proves that US aid is biased toward the Kurds,  
International Herald Tribune, 8/13/99, kw: Bellamy attributed the  
discrepancy  
Iraqi opulence doesn't disprove distribution-- a rich Saddam still cares  
about his people, VITW, same cite above

#### Underview-- Morality (with war, only the A and C sbpts are read)

##### A. Starvation

We have a moral obligation to provide food to the starving, even at the  
risk of our own extinction, Watson, World Hunger and Moral Obligation, ed.  
Aiken and Lafollette, 1977

##### B. Just War

Sanctions against Iraq violate the Non Combatant Immunity Principle of the  
Just War Doctrine, Winkler, Human Rights Quarterly, 99

Support for the NCIP is required for interpersonal morality to express  
support for civilians killed in war, Nagel, Mortal Questions 1979,  
Reprinted in Consequentialism and its Critics, ed. Scheffler (1988)

##### C. Principle of Intervening Action

Moral duty is absolute. The people of Iraq are not morally responsible  
for any actions that result from lifting sanctions, and those negative  
consequences don't absolve us of our moral duty to help them, Gewirth,  
Human Rights, 1982

Rights must be protected to prevent persons being used as a means to an  
end. Cost benefit analysis such as utilitarianism is an inappropriate way  
to view the debate, Smith, Moral Rights and Political Freedom, 1995

Sanctions use people as a means to an end, Norton, 1998,

<http://www.igc.org/infocus/progresp/vol2/prog2n4.html>

Saddam's fault isn't an answer, Simons, Imposing Economic Sanctions, 1999

#### War 1AC Outline:

##### Advantage 1-- Starvation

Both OFF fails cards, First two people die cards, UNICEF card, solvency  
cards, morality read here

Advantage 2-- War

A. Lashout

Continuing air strikes guarantee Saddam will lashout with WMD, Byman, Foreign Affairs, Jan/Feb 99

Also, long term maintenance of sanctions causes Saddam to lashout, causing Iraqi civil war and multiple Middle East wars, Ben-Meir, Middle East Policy, March 1996

B. WMD

Sanctions fatigue will result in the end of sanctions in the status quo, Ritter, Houston Chronicle, 9/10/98 kw: only work in an effective

No UNSCOM is allowing Saddam to rebuild his weapons, International Herald Tribune, 8/16/99, kw: been in disarray

Plan gets UNSCOM into Iraq, Ekeus, NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, 12/28/98 kw: no way out

Inspections solve better than sanctions, Gause, Foreign Affairs, May/June 99

C. Power Projection

Sanctions and air strikes increase Arab nationalism and destabilizes allied regimes, Fandy, The World and I, September 98

Nationalism kicks the US out of its Middle East bases, Gause, Washington Quarterly, Winter 97

Impact is global war, Baltimore Sun, 12/23/94, kw: Pandora's box of regional wars

D. Readiness

Kosovo and funding cuts have stretched readiness to the limit-- we must decrease missions to be ready, Barnes, Defense and Foreign Affairs Strategic Policy, Sept 99, kw: high pace of operations

Money for air strikes comes from the ONM budget, killing readiness, National Journal 8/22/98 kw: can't budget for such sudden

Military readiness prevents global wars, Kagan, Orbis, Spring 97

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Reform OFF CP

- not solve - recommendations conclude even perfect OFF not solve
- links to Clinton - more softline
- perm - do both - allows monitoring of funds
- international fiat bad - number of agents, no global decisionmaker, DAs enough check, aff topic already smaller, voting issue
- CP immoral - masks genocide
- dispositionality bad - advocacy, time skew, voting issue for fairness
- CP causes lashout because maintains sanctions
- CP causes US to be kicked out of bases - Arab nationalists backlash against sanctions

Appeasement DA

- n/u - NK
- no spillover - still negotiate the same way
- no scenario for impact
- cause o/w
- CP links

Clinton DA

- n/u - NK
- not pass x
- winners win x

- fiat solves
- repugnant

#### Oil Prices DA

- link longterm - takes time to reconstruct industry
- flux inevitable
- n/u - produce oil now x
- not hurt prices - OPEC will check x
- prices too high now x
- CP links

#### Topicality CE

- counterinterp - functions as CE
- we meet - remove barriers to CE
- gramm flawed
- best limit - depth
- no unfair division of ground
- best brightline
- leeway - no certain abuse
- we meet - end air strikes

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

2AR goes for more Iraqis have died than all WMD used in history and the conclusion of the oil for food panel recommendation that says reforming it won't significantly reduce Iraqi suffering.

### ***UNI***

University of Iowa CL-H

Plan: The United States Federal Government will lift Unilateral economic sanctions against the importing of Iraqi goods and exporting of United States Goods to Iraq. Exemptions to dual use technology sanctions will be granted on a humanitarian basis. Air strikes against Iraq will be ended and will only be resumed with the full consent of the United Nations Security Council. The United States and Iraq will discuss strategies to resolve United Nations sanctions. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Observation one: Oil for Food Fails due to both the deterioration of the oil infrastructure, the inadequacy of the United Nations approach, and the intrinsic limitations upon the oil for food idea.

Observation two: Starvation

They read the Foreign Affairs article that associates United States economic sanctions with weapons of mass destruction.

They claim that hundreds die daily and thousands monthly, and that a considerable number of deaths go unreported.

They critique current UNICEF studies

Observation three: Solvency

- Lifting sanctions is key to economic development
- Sanctions only hurt citizens
- Restructuring sanctions is key

Two different underviews:

Underview A: Morality

1. feeding the hungry is a moral imperative
  - Watson evidence
2. Iraq sanctions violate the just war theory
3. Must reject the using of individual as a means to an end  
a utilitarian conception of individual rights is bad.

Under-view B: -Iraq has zero ability to engage in war

-five reasons why the risk of a large international war are nonexistent.

University of Iowa MP

EXACTLY THE SAME IN EVERY RESPECT AS THE IOWA AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE AND BELOW.

University of Iowa AW

EXACTLY THE SAME IN EVERY RESPECT AS THE IOWA AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE AND BELOW

University of Iowa MR

EXACTLY THE SAME IN EVERY RESPECT AS THE IOWA AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE AND BELOW

University of Iowa LR

EXACTLY THE SAME IN EVERY RESPECT AS THE IOWA AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE AND BELOW

University of Iowa ChH

EXACTLY THE SAME IN EVERY RESPECT AS THE IOWA AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE AND BELOW

University of Iowa CF

EXACTLY THE SAME IN EVERY RESPECT AS THE IOWA AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE AND BELOW

University of Iowa JV

Plan: The United States Federal Government will lift unilateral sanctions against the importing of Iraq goods and exporting of United States goods to Iraq. Exemptions to sanctions upon dual use technology will be granted on a humanitarian basis. Air strikes against Iraq will be ended and will only be resumed with the full consent of the United Nations Security Council. The United States and Iraq will discuss strategies to resolve United Nations sanctions. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Observation one: Oil for food programs fail in the status quo

Observation two: Starvation

- 250 people die each day
- 6000 people die each month
- United States economic sanctions in Iraq have killed more people than all the weapons of mass destruction have throughout history
- Observation three: Solvency
  - humanitarian aid will be distributed
  - more distribution evidence
- Morality under-view: 1. feeding the hungry is a moral imperative
  - Watson evidence
- 2. Iraq sanctions violate the just war theory
- 3. Must reject the using of individual as a means to an end
  - a utilitarian conception of individual rights is bad.
- Generic under-view: -Iraq has zero ability to engage in war
  - five reasons why the risk of a large international war are nonexistent.

Note: In response to the consultation counterplan, this team will run a Europe add-on, which has something to do with a hardline policy crushing United States ? Europe cooperation, which is key to middle-east peace stability.

## John Carrol

### **WAKE**

John Carroll

School: John Carroll University

Team(s): LS, BC

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: Through all normal means, including contractual consultation with relevant partners, the USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions, with the govt. of North Korea. All economic sanctions will be removed. The Constructive engagement policy precludes the transfer of US mutations, proliferation tech or other military weaponry. Request for dual-use technology will be evaluated case-by-case basis, and limited to licensed agreements. The US will compensate North Korea for economic loss of permanently shutting down its missile industry, including production, testing and sales. The US will offer to sign a peace treaty with North Korea, contingent on a military build-down on a continued rejection of nuclear weapons on the Peninsula. Nothing in this plan should be interpreted as a reduction in US security ties to South Korea or the region. The US will also offer North Korea a trade agreement, including duty free exports and guaranteed trade levels, contingent upon improved North-South relations. We will fully support the agreed framework on KEDO and end war games. We will compensate North Korea 3 billion dollars over 3 years.

Observation one: Inherency

-policy changes in the status quo have been small and purely symbolic

Advantage one: Economic Collapse

-it will happen soon.

-this causes starvation, medicine shortage, and war with

South Korea.

-North Korea needs aid and foreign loans, the new agreements preclude this

Advantage two: Proliferation

-development of weapons undermines United States

Leadership.

-United States leadership key to solving wars.

-engagement solve North Korea's need to develop weapons

Solvency Advocates: Wright '99

Sigal '99

Chol '99

AT: South Korea Disad:

1. N/U Economic recession is occurring now
2. N/U and Turn : South Korea is consulted in the Status Quo
3. Turn: Kim wants the US to normalize relations with NK
4. Turn: Status Quo risks missile tests and rallies hardliners -we solve
5. N/U Kim already lost credibility

AT: Terrorism Disad:



1. N/U We already eased sanctions
2. Turn: NK prolif fuels terrorist activities - Birnendijk, The Washington Quarterly, Autumn 1999, pg. L/N
3. NK sells missile tech now - Sigal, [www.csis.org/pacfor/pac4498.html](http://www.csis.org/pacfor/pac4498.html), 1998
4. Impact subsumes Uniqueness

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

They will probably kick out of their starvation advantage.

John Carroll

School: John Carroll

Team(s): All

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Contention 1: INH

Despite the "New Deal" U.S. / NK relations are not normalized.

John Diamon Sept 18, 1999, Chicago Tribune

The new deal leaves economic sanctions in place needed to normalize relations including the TWEA.

NAPSNet Daily Report Sept 17, 1999

New war games put us on the brink of war

AFP Oct. 27, 1999

North Korea; Brink of war

Plan:

Through all normal means, including contractual consultation with relevant partners, the US Fed Gov't will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of economic sanctions, with the gov't of NK. NK will be removed from the enemy and terrorists lists. All economic sanctions will be removed. The Constructive Engagement policy precludes the transfer of U.S munitions, prolif tech, or other military weaponry. Requests for dual use tech transfers will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and limited to licensed agreements. The U.S. will compensate NK for the economic loss of shutting down its missile industry with 3billion over three years as advocated by Sigol, including production testing and sales. The US will offer to sign a peace treaty with NK, contingent on a military build down on and a continued rejection of nuclear weapons on the peninsula. Nothing in this plan should be interpreted as a reduction in US security ties to South Korea or the region. The US will also offer NK a trade agreement, including duty free exports and guaranteed trade levels, contingent upon improved north-south relations. We will fully support the Agreed framework and KEDO to end war games. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Scenario One Prolif

New deal wont stop prolif

Washington Times, September 18, LN, "Pakistan, Iran"

Sparks new war

Diamon, previously cited

Goes nuclear

Chol, October 22, "US DPRK Will end up in Shotgun Marriage," Nautilus Institute  
"wipe out off the world map"

Scenario Two - Brinkspersonship

Lack of trade = brinksmanship

Ap online, September 18, LN, "further concessions"

Force war of miscalculation

UIP, 1998, Special Rept on Mistrust and the Korean Peninsula,  
[www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr\\_korea.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr_korea.html)

Causes war

Flake, 1998, [www.csis.org/pacfor/pac3298.html](http://www.csis.org/pacfor/pac3298.html)

Scenario 3 - famine

North Korea dying of famine

Reed, 1999, Arkansas Democrat-Gazette, LN, August 15, "23 million people died"

Food aid not solving

Detroit News, September 12, LN, "more food supply problems"

This economic collapse leads to war within 5 years

The Economist, July, 1999

Solvency

Constructive Engagement would end the economic crises: First, it would open new markets

David Wright March/ April 1999

[www.bullatonsci.org/issues/1999/ma99/ma99wright.html](http://www.bullatonsci.org/issues/1999/ma99/ma99wright.html)

second, constructive engagement is the only way to solve, as it normalizes relations and hastens reforms.

Thomas Henrikson 1998

[www-hoover.stanford.edu/publications/digest/984/henriksen.html](http://www-hoover.stanford.edu/publications/digest/984/henriksen.html)

CE will eliminate and solve the motive for missile threats

Chol, previously cited

Solves Brinkspersonship

Glen Baek, CSIS, 1998, [www.csis.org/html/op98115.html](http://www.csis.org/html/op98115.html)

CE solves prolifer/ brinkspersonship

Baltimore Sun, August 19, p. LN, "troops and weapons"

US CE is critical

Sigal, [www.ceip.org/programs/npp/sigal.html](http://www.ceip.org/programs/npp/sigal.html)

Moral obligation to end famine

Forbes, 86, Global Hunger: A Look at Problems and Potential Solutions, p. 13

**UNI**

John Carroll BC

Plan: The United States Federal Government will constructively engage North Korea by removing all remaining economic sanctions that prohibit foreign assistance and international loans to North Korea. The United States will provide a meaningful economic aid package as part of a reform package to preserve the North Korean economy. The United States will compensate the three billion dollars in projected revenue for North Korea's abolition of its missile export program. The United States will recognize normal relations with North Korea and begin working on a peace treaty. The plan will not remove military sanctions on weapons technology. North Korea will be removed from the Enemies and Terrorist list.

Observation one: Inherency  
-policy changes in the status quo have been small and purely symbolic

Advantage one: Economic Collapse  
-it will happen soon.  
-this causes starvation, medicine shortage, and war with South Korea.  
-North Korea needs aid and foreign loans, the new agreements preclude this

Advantage two: Proliferation  
-development of weapons undermines United States Leadership.  
-United States leadership key to solving wars.  
-engagement solve North Korea's need to develop weapons

Note: They claim that the status quo lift of sanctions was inadequate, and that it proves the negatives disadvantages non-unique.

John Carroll PS

Plan text is identical to the above John Carroll BC

Inherency: Current efforts at easing sanctions piecemeal  
Advantage one: A North Korean economic collapse will cause a lashout and famine. Aid is key to solving and reform.  
Advantage two: Missile Proliferation  
The new deal did not require stopping proliferation, plan solves the motive, and this is good because they claim that missile proliferation crushes United States Hegemony.

Note: They contend that China wants North Korean Peace.

## Johnson County

### *UCO*

#### JCCC MR

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the govt. of Iraq. Including the following package of policy actions:

The president under his authority embodied in the IEEPA and in consultation with the legislative branch of the US government will immediately:

- lift all unilat. Econ. SX against Iraq including the current embargo on oil.
- Cease ongoing air strikes
- Remove its support of SX against Iraq in the UN Sec. Council
- Increase contributions of humanitarian aid including food stuffs and medical necessities

All policy actions will be used as an incentive for Iraq to resume weapons inspections, but not conditioned on coop. Any action including sanctions, taken against Iraq for what the US considers "objectionable" behavior will be diplomatic or military in nature. All policy actions will be taken in accordance with the advocacy of Geoff Simmons and the IAC. F & E guaranteed through normal means.

Advantages: Humanitarian

1AC Cites:

Contention I - Current Policy = isolation, zunes 1999^Å

Contention II - SX = Govt reliance, Halliday^ÅSX prop up Saddam, Zunes 1999^ÅSQ = repressive future regime, Roberts 1999^ÅSX crush the people, Kelly 1999.

Contention III - Sanctions condemn life, Simons 1999^ÅSX kll more than WMD, Hamre 1999^ÅUS solely responsible, Simons 1999^ÅMust act now, Black 1999^Åcant ignore crisis, Simons 1999.

Contention IV - Lift econ. & Milit. SX

2AC Tricks

### *UNI*

Johnson County ALL:

Plan: The president under his authority embodied in the IEEPA and in consultation with the legislative branch of the United States Government will immediately remove North Korea from the Trading with the Enemy Act with the stipulation that they will be reinstated under that act in the event of a missile launce. Current bilateral food aid will be increased and redirected to the United Nations world food program currently operating in coordination with the North Korean government. Current diplomatic efforts including KEDO, 4 party talks, and support for the recent Perry proposal will continue so long as they do not interfere with the previous policy stipulations. All policy actions will be take in consultation with normal regional actors and in accordance with the advocacy of Liem, Cha, Green, Sigal. Funding and enforcement will be guaranteed. Future speeches will serve as legislative intent.

Advantage areas:

- Humanitarian Impacts
- Simons 99
- Halliday 99
- Black 99
- Hamre 99

Solvency: must end sanctions: International Action Center, 1999

Note: Disclosure sheets failed to draw distinctions between the 2 Johnson County teams^Å other possible scenarios this school could be running are as follows.

It is however unspecified whether these scenarios will be run under a policy affecting Iraq, or some other country.

Famine:

- economic disaster fostering an implosion within the country
- blame famine on status quo isolation
- moral and ethical obligation to stop famine
- agricultural aid necessary

Transition:

- Failure of the regime inevitable, only question is how it will occur
- collapse = conflict ? engagement key to solving
- civil war has the potential to go nuclear
- engagement key to solving transition

## Kansas

### ***DARTMOUTH***

Round four:

Kansas (Iraq) vs Mich State (neg).

1AC: plan changed: the usfg will push for the immediate lifting of un sanctions restricting import and export of civilian goods under UN resolution 661 and immediately lift us sanctions which function pursuant to UN resolution 661. The US will ensure cessation of all military and nonmilitary aggression against the government of Iraq and we will initiate dialogue and information sharing on reduction of damage from US military actions.

1NC: cp was a pic to cease aggression per the plan but not lift sanctions; ntr, trade deficit da, appeasement, hollow inspections, kritik of ontological violence,

2nr: aggression turns, hollow inspections, trade deficit da,

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

KANSAS RS

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba, including immediately removing nearly all economic sanctions and normalization of relations.

Advantages: Health and Medicine, WTO, Transition.

Underview: Sanctions are immoral (Target innocents).

### ***WAKE***

Kansas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Kansas EM

vs.: Iowa LR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Deatherage

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Topicality - Just Lifting Sanctions Not CE

Plan restructures sanctions, does dialogue

We meet - stop ban on travel, trade and investment

CE not need all elements

Lifting sanctions is a policy of CE

Ending bombings meets

Diplomacy and ties established by plan

CE not key to DA links

T is a ground issue - no abuse - lead to CE  
Grammar outweighs limits  
Stop sanctions on all civilian goods  
Lifting sanctions is CE  
Causes level of diplomacy - SF Chronicle  
We meet - establish relations  
C/Interp - just lifting solves  
Fair and predict  
Neg distorts grammatical context of resolution  
Lifting sanctions meets  
Including is limited term  
Enough ground  
Enough education - 5 countries  
Interpretation more accurate  
BL - aff must lift all sanctions  
Within jurisdiction

CP - End Air Strikes Instead of Suspending Them  
Not compete - plan suspends, CP goes farther than that and ends all current strikes  
Not violate non combatant immunity -  
No NB - same direction as plan  
Both allow in future - if major crisis erupted, plan and CP both allow resumption of strikes  
Not re-imposed - no evidence aff is temporary  
Specification - aff gets more leeway because they established ground in the 1AC  
Textuality important -  
Perm - suspend and end -  
Suspend = cause to stop or cease  
Perm - do the CP - severs bad  
PICs bad  
Dispositionality bad

Kansas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Kansas EM

vs.: Lewis & Clark HC

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Kahl

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq by immediately removing and pushing for removal of nearly all economic sanctions. The US will suspend air strikes on Iraq. Aff speeches clarify intent.

New 1AC cards:

- [Inter Press Service 8/12/99 "cover the autonomous"]
- Oil for Food fails [4/99, [www.exparrot.com/casi/newslet/apr9.txt](http://www.exparrot.com/casi/newslet/apr9.txt)]
- Sanctions treat civilians as expendable goods [2/6/98 [www.igc.org/infocus/progrep/vol2/prog2n4.html](http://www.igc.org/infocus/progrep/vol2/prog2n4.html)]
- Not removing sanctions causes lashout [Gulf Security & Regional Threats 1997, Phebe Marr, p50]
- Bombing -> WMD Lashout [Zunes, Middle East Policy Vol 4 #1, 1998 p93]
- 1000's die [[www.cato.org/dailys/12-18-98.html](http://www.cato.org/dailys/12-18-98.html)]
- Saddam won't accept conditions [ International Herald Tribune 9/22/99 "position of strength"]
- Forward deployment checks aggression [Moynihan Gulf Security in the 21st Century 1997 p69]

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Appeasement

- Ev not assumes Iraq
- Not end appeasement -> humanitarian
- People means to end
- T/ Sanctions kill US leadership Simons 99
- Non unique North Korea
- No Impact specific to Iraq

Russian Elections

- No I/L on Iraq not change elections on US sanctions policy
- Support End sanctions [Cox News Service 11/15/98 "Russia has resolutely opposed"]
- \*\*\*ADD ON\*\*\*
- Airstrikes Kill US - Russian Relations [AA Statesman 2/13/98 "stepped up its headstrong campaign"]
- Poor Relations cause prolif [Washington Quarterly Winter 98 "If NATO continues to expand"]
- INC impact ev is bad

Clinton - WTO

- No Link delay vote until spring
- Embassy solves US - Ch relations
- WTO Accession -> protectionism Mastel 99
- Protect -> Nuke War Spizer 96
- 1AR - WTO not check protectionism
- Clinton no push
- Bottom docket
- Majority passage - fiat

Caspian

- Russia control not bad
- No impact to d/a Cohen ev bad
- Sanctions ow death - slow death
- UN C/P links
- No I/L toff
- Link = inev

C/P - UN lift multilateral sanctions

- not solve US complicity [diamond 99]
- russia = addon
- not solve airstrikes
- PIC kills ground, inf regressive
- Disp illigit skew 2AC
- Intl Fiat Illigit

Israel

- PP fails
- Long term negotiations inevitably fail
- No link PP on negotiations not Iraq
- Israel defers to US policy
- No escalation
- Muller and Muller WMD not used in Mid East

Case

- Saddam distributes
- No Veto



1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Kansas EM

vs.: Alabama

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Greg Achten

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Aggression Advantage

Sanctions don't solve Saddam's WMD program

J. Alexander, Their Fletcher Forum of World Affairs v23 n2 Fall pg 5

Hundreds of thousands could die

Carpenter, "Washington's Unnecessary Persian Gulf Obsession" Dec 18, 1998

<http://www.cato.org/dailys/12-18-98>

Bombings increases risk of unconventional use of WMD

Stephen Zunes "Confrontation with Iraq: A bankrupt US policy" Middle East Policy volume VI no. 1 June pg 93

Failure to remove sanctions causes Iraqi lash out

Phebe Marr, Gulf security and regional threats p50 1997

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Feminism Answers

1. No evidence why all foreign policies are gender blind
2. You don't know what you're voting for when you rethink
3. Neg=SQ
4. Not a dejustification to lift nearly all
5. Critique justification for suffering
6. Perm Do the plan and embrace a Critical theoretical approach to establish a balance between theory and action  
Jill Steans, Gender and International Relations 1998
7. Sanctions are gendered, we challenge the state
8. States aren't inherently patriarchal
9. Pragmatic reforms only way to change

Fem IR Cites:

Rorty, Pragmatism and its consequences, 1982, p162

Rorty, Truth, politics, and postmodernism, 1997, p35

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

1AR Feminism...more perm cards

No single value should deterministically control foreign policy - Perm best

Robert Jackson, '95 (Ethics and Statecraft: The moral dimension of International Affairs, pg 25-6)

Theoretical imperfections don't dejustify the pragmatic advantages of the plan

Susan Bordo, 90, Feminism/PostModernism, ed. Linda J. Nicholson, p150-1/153

Kansas

School: Kansas  
Team(s): Eber and Mckeehan  
Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement which restructures sanctions policy with Iraq by immediately removing and pushing for removal of nearly all nonmilitary economic sanctions on civilian good as outlined by the parts of the Cortright and Lopez evidence we read in our solvency. We maintain financial sanctions which target the assets of the Iraqi government. The US will suspend airstrikes on Iraq. The plan does not prohibit export sanctions designed to promote health and safety of the Iraqi people and does not require the provision of loan support programs. All speeches clarify intent.

A1: Suffering

1000's deaths now  
[Denis Halliday, Winter 99, Journal of Palestine Studies]

Lots of kids die  
[National Catholic Reporter, 5/21/99 "exactly how many"]

Psychological devastation  
[Eric Hoskins, Political Gain & Civilian Pain 1997 p125]

Poor Economy hurts civilians not Saddam  
[Hoskins]

A2: Morality

Sanctions = genocide  
[Simons, Imposing Economic Sanctions 1999 p180]

Sanctions instrumentalize citizens  
[www.igc.org/infocus/progprep/vol2/prog2n4.html, 2/6/98]

Certain death of Iraqis immoral  
[Dennis Halliday, Feb 1994, Current History, p65]

Innocents Targeted  
[Canberra(?) Times 2/15/99 "called genocide openly"]  
[Tyranny of Survival David Callahan, 1973 p91-2]

Saddam's responsibility not absolve the US  
[Jay Gordon, Ethics & International Affairs 1999, v. 13 p131-33]

Target Innocents unacceptable  
[Smith, Ethics & International Affairs 1997, v. 11 p12]

Solvency:

Targeted Sanctions Solve  
[Cortright & Lopez]

Oil for Food not Solve

[Halliday 99]

Sanctions kill humanitarian assistance

[Hoskins 99]

Lifting Solves

[Center for Economic and Social Rights, UN Sanctioned Suffering May 96]

Saddam not responsible

[Plain Dealer 8/16/99 "presented last week"]

Case outweighs

[Mueller & Mueller, Foreign Affairs May/June 1999]

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Oil For Food:

-Not Solve because not lift Dual Use Sanctions [[www.iraqaction.org/students.html](http://www.iraqaction.org/students.html)]

-Price Devaluation Turn [Geoff Simons, 1998 The Scourging of Iraq]

-Terrorist Backlash [Ivan Eland, Middle East Policy June 1998 p23]

-Disease Epidemics [CBC News 3/2/99 [leebet/1ac/disease.html](http://leebet/1ac/disease.html) "alarming new evidence"]

-Oil for food fails [[www.un.org/depts/oil/panelrep.html](http://www.un.org/depts/oil/panelrep.html) Report of Second Panel 1999]

-Not Stop bombing

-Links to all the D/A's

Appeasement:

-Sanctions undermine US proliferation credibility

[Middle East Review of International Affairs, Steinberg, Sept 1998]

-Sanctions don't solve WMD development

[Gause Foreign Affairs v78 #3]

[Theilr(?) Fletcher Forum of World Affairs v23 #2 Fall 1999 p194]

[Clawson New Republic 10/7/98]

T (Constructive Engagement is more than lifting sanctions):

-We Meet: Targeted Sanctions are Constructive Engagement - [UPI International 9/12/85 "active constructive sanctions"]

[6 Cardozo J. Int'l & Comp L. 183 "sanctions against the apartheid"]

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

They go for mortality impact outweighs prolif and emphasize the low risk and impact of WMD use (Mueller and Mueller).

School: Kansas

Team(s): Rodriguez and Seymour

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Cuba including the immediate removal of economic sanctions and the establishment of a bilateral investment treaty.

Advantages: WTO, Human Suffering, Transition

1AC Cites:

News and Record 7-4-99...Weiss, Political Gain and Civillian Pain, 1997, Pg.235...St. Louis Post Dispatch, 2-7-99...San Diego Union Tribune, 10-18-99, <http://www.us.net/cip/9903ipr.htm> , Solis, 1997, Seattle Post Intelligencer, 8-11-99...Financial Times 5-12-99...Cuba and the future, 1994...Berry, The sword of justice 1998...Cohen, International Ethics, 1985...Garfield Forum for applied Research and Public Policy, v14, Summer 1999.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

IMF CP

- perm - not textually compete
- not solve economy
- economic growth key to reforms x
- n/u - economy bad now
- Cuba won't get loans
- Disp bad - advocacy shift, not reciprocal
- PICs bad - infinitely regressive

Reactor

- n/u - Russia will finish it now x
- embargo decreasing US influence x
- early warning solves accident x
- US CE solves x

Drug Coop

- n/u - increasing now
- not prop up more
- no impact
- drug coop increasing x
- heroin crackdowns now x
- heroin busts now x
- not immediately increase coop

MAI

- no link - ILSA checks
- no impact - not increase smoking
- means to end - must reject
- n/u - will buy now
- n/u - embargo will be lifted inevitably x Shulz 1994
- case o/w

*UCO*

Kansas RS

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE w/ the Govt of Cuba including the immediate removal of eco. SX and the establishment of a B.I.T.

Advantages: WTO, Human Suffering, Transition

1AC Cites:

News and Record 7-4-99^ÅWeiss, Political Gain and Civillian Pain, 1997,

Pg.235^ÅSt. Louis Post Dispatch, 2-7-99^ÅSan Diego Union Tribune, 10-18-99,  
<http://www.us.net/cip/9903ipr.htm> , Solis, 1997, Seattle Post Intelligencer,  
8-11-99^ÅFinancial Times 5-12-99^ÅCuba and the future, 1994^ÅBerry, The sword of  
justice 1998^ÅCohen, International Ethics, 1985^ÅGarfield Forum for applied  
Research and Public Policy, v14, Summer 1999.

2AC Tricks:

Kansas ST

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement which  
restructures sanctions toward Iraq by immediately removing the general trade  
embargo & pushing for removal of all non military sanctions on civilian  
goods. US will stop air strikes that target civilians & prohibit funding of  
Iraqi opposition groups. Reperation requirements will be suspended until  
Iraq's economy has recovered.

Advantages:

1AC Cites: Courtright & Lopez 1999, Hoskins, Halliday

2AC Tricks:

## ***UNI***

Kansas BW:

Plan: The United States Government will adopt a policy of constructive  
engagement with Cuba by lifting all economic sanctions. All actions  
through normal means.

Scenario one: sanctions stop humanitarian food aid, resulting in  
death. This is  
deontologically wrong.

Scenario two: Political and economic transition is inevitable,  
resulting in civil  
war, refugees, and United States Military involvement.

Solvency: Political reform and gradualism fails, constructive  
engagement key.

Smooths the hardships of the transition.

Authors: Preeg 1999

Radliff (sp?) 1994

Shultz 1994

Carroll Jr. 1996

Note: the 1AR goes for you have a deontological moral imperative to  
save lives.

Claim that the embargo hurts U.S. leadership in the status quo against  
HEG

Argue that shunning and Clinton = a double turn w/ advocating increased  
relations with China.

Kansas EM

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by removing and eliminating its support for economic sanctions to Iraq. Aff speeches will clarify intent.

Observation one: Sanctions = a humanitarian crisis

- Halliday, Journal of Palestinian Studies, v.28, n2, pg.30, winter 1999
- Simons, Imposing Economic Sanctions 1999
- Hoskins, Political Gain and Civilian Pain, 97

Observation two: Sanctions Immoral

- Normand, www.igc.org
- Halliday, Current History, Feb. 1999
- Gordon, ethics and international affairs, v.13, 1999

>>>Kansas EM:

>>>

>>>USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by removing and  
>>>eliminating its support for economic sanctions to Iraq.

>>>

>>>sanctions instrumentalize Iraqi citizens -- Normand 2/6/98;

>>>www.igc.org/infocus/progrep/vol2/prog2n4.html

>>>

>>>no moral justification -- Halliday 2/99; Current History

>>>

>>>Saddam's actions don't absolve us of our moral duty -- Gordon and

>>>Gordon '99; Ethics and International Affairs; v.13; "shifting of moral

>>>agency"

>>>

>>>targeting innocents unacceptable -- Smith '97; Ethics and International

>>>Affairs; v.11

>>>

>>>genocide vs. Iraq (Simons '99)

>>>

>>>biowar vs. Iraq (Simons '97)

>>>

>>>kills thousands (Halliday '99)

>>>

>>>4500 kids per month -- Roberts 5/21/99; National Catholic Reporter;

>>>"most conservative estimates"

>>>

>>>psychological devastation -- Hoskins '97; Political Gain and Civilian

>>>Pain: Humanitarian Impacts of Economic Sanctions

>>>

>>>oil-for-food fails (Halliday '99)

>>>

>>>4 page long Mueller and Mueller card that says that even if they win a

>>>full war with WMD, the case outweighs -- May/June '99 Foreign Affairs

>>>

>>>even utilitarians don't think that the ends outweigh the means --

>>>Elfstrom '90; Ethics for a Shrinking World

Kansas RS

Plan: The United States will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba by immediately lifting economic sanctions.

Advantage areas:

- Morality / Health
- Simons, 1999
- Human Rights Watch, 1999
- Barry, 1998
- Transition
- Smith, 1997
- Balogra, 1994
- Font, 1996
- Halcim (sp?), 1996

Kansas ST

Plan: The United States government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement towards the nation-state of Syria by elimination of all official characterizations of them as a state-sponsor of terrorism. All sanction based on that designation will be removed.

Advantage area: Labeling Syria as terrorist state = threat construction and

Dehumanization

Removal = Peace

Main source: Zulaika and Douglass, Terror and Taboo

## Kansas State

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

KANSAS STATE HZ

Plan: Lift nearly all sanctions on Cuba and negotiate a Bilateral Investment Treaty.

Advantages: Health, Prostitution, Transition.

KANSAS STATE NR

Plan: North Korea - Food aid, lift all sanctions.

Advantages: Famine, Missile Proliferation, Terror Talk.

KANSAS STATE ST

Plan: Cuba. Lift sanctions, repeal Helmes-Burton, pass the Cuban Democracy Act.

Advantages: Transition, Sharing Food is Moral, Providing Health Crisis is Good.

Solvency: McDermott, Preeg, DeWalt, Watson.

### ***WAKE***

Kansas State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Kansas State HZ

vs.: Emory BG

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Danielle Weise

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: exclude juragua sanction CP

1. perm add exemption

2. not say solves

3. other investment

4. only solve us funding

5. links other da's

6. PIC's bad

7. Disposition bad

AT: sustainable ag

1. link is bad

2. no reverse causality



3. t/ allow people to buy
  4. t/ blocks sustainable development
- BriarPatch 1-1-99 "Cooperative farmers are in the forefront of Cuba's efforts to move from conventional"
5. no link - castro banned pesticides
- Gannett News Service, sept. 15, 1999

AT: juragua

1. tourism blocks
2. tf = longterm
3. no material
4. no ev. Any investment
5. impact is overstated - not say dead
6. nobody will invest
7. t/ alternative energy

AT: WTO

1. not assume controversial win
2. mann is emp. Denied
3. no spillover
4. mult. factors block WTO
5. no link - lifting embargo does not require big risk
6. president can divert focus
7. t/ winners-win
8. taiwan hurts US/China relations

AT: red spread

1. t/ --> democracy
2. no ev. For signal
3. not specific evidence
4. not unique - ties w/ cuba despite embargo - Journal of Commerce, Dec. 12, 1997
5. cuba is not a threat to latin american security Aronson, FDCH, March 25, 1999, "Latin America" "consolidated" "conviction or necessity"
6. t/ takes away best weapon - University Newswire, January 21, 1999
7. t/ rally anti-american sentiment - University Newswire again

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Castro is open to us involvement

Kansas State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Kansas State HW

vs.: Mercer DH

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Kelley Skillin

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Journal of World Affairs Fall 1998

Cuba and the Future 1994

St. Petersburg Times, Sept. 27, 1999

[www.ifconews.org/aawh.html](http://www.ifconews.org/aawh.html)

Int'l J. of Health Services, 1994

[www.igc.apc.org/cubasoli/uscumedi.html](http://www.igc.apc.org/cubasoli/uscumedi.html)

Independent Task Force Report: US-Cuban Relations in the 21st Century 1998  
NYT, 11/2/99  
St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 10/26/99  
David Baldwin, Techniques of Statecraft, 1985  
California Western Int'l L. J., 1998  
FNS, May 7, 1998

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Abortion Rider

1. no link - don't create legislation
2. c/a clinton evidence
3. food (watson 77) outweighs

AT: pesticides

1. no ev. Specific to Cuba
2. other nations have pesticides
3. lifted sanctions before
4. we solve environment
5. already have pesticides Boston Globe 1999
6. pesticides key to Cuban economy, BBC, March 28, 1998
7. Turn - cuba can't sell clean food to US - Sullivan 1999
8. Can't prove trade-off

AT: just lift sanctions not topical

1. we meet - snctns = CE
2. CE = opportunity for change
3. We meet - allow opportunity
4. Contextual best
5. Including - words and phrases, 1959
6. We remove snctns
7. Topicality only mentions lifting sanctions
8. Don't moot words
9. CE = general term
10. Snctns Outweigh topicality

Feminist IR

1. no discourse link - assumes normal means
2. plan not proactive
3. SQ links
4. Overarching Pattern destroys solvency
5. US snctns on Cuba are masculine

AT: Clinton

1. no link for political capital
2. cuban legislation not spend political capital
3. no internal link - presidents don't get political capital
4. repeal H-B key to relations w/ china Solis 1998
5. WTO = war - seattle post intelligencer, may 1999
6. Food trumps all (watson 1977)
7. Entrenches gender

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Kansas State GS

vs.: Dartmouth

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Giroux

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Clinton 2AC:

- multiple factors block China entry
- Congress supports normalization
- Biz lobbies support plan
- winners win
- SQ=risk destroying WTO
- China not get in - not abide by the rules

Juragua 2AC:

- money is there now
- will be completed now
- Russia funding now
- plan is the only way to get effective oversight
- US can check catastrophic impact with early warning system

Constructive Engagement T 2AC:

- decrease sanctions and dialogue is CE
- including is a word of limitation
- lifting sanctions is CE

Sustainable Agriculture 2AC:

- Morality on case
- NL: not force NGOs to Cuba
- Cuba is bad WW model
- overcentralization killing Cuban ag
- decrease govt control economy key to small farmers
- SQ stops financing to Cuba for ag development

Kansas State

School: Kansas State

Team: GS

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation One: Inherency

- HBA embedded in law; Pres. can't change (Smith '98)
- Embargo increases the likelihood of violence (Gunn '94)

Observation II: Health

- No food and medicine exemptions for Cuba (St. Petersburg Times '99)
- CDA restricts food and medicine; four reasons (Bourne, '97)
- US market dominance increases costs (Kuntz '94)
- Embargo uses food and medicine as a weapon (Kuntz '94)
- Embargo causes 1000 deaths a year to breast cancer (?)
- Embargo increase risk from AIDS (Bourne)
- Embargo hurts clean water (Bourne)
- Cuba has only meningitis B vaccine (?)
- Embargo prevents export; 35000 die a year (?)
- Embargo is a deliberate policy of extermination (Kuntz '94)

Plan:

The USFG will immediately lift all economic sanctions against Cuba in order

to move to constructive dialogue engagement and constructive economic engagement. Special emphasis will be made on lifting the Helms-Burton Act and the Cuban Democracy Act.

Observation III: Solvency

- Now is the time to change...(NY Times '99)
- Never use food and medicine as a weapon (McDermott '99)
- Total repeal key (Cooper '98)
- Step by step fails (Preeg '99)

-Amato, Arendt, and a few other cards

School: Kansas State  
Team: HZ

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN:

Lift all sanctions except for IMF and OPIC and negotiate a Bilateral Investment Treaty with Cuba

1AC:

Obs 1: Inherency.

Helms Burton embedded and president has no authority to lift.  
Smith 98, Orbis Journal of World Affairs Fall

Adv 1: Food

Embargo uses food and medicine as a weapon against people.  
Kuntz 1994, International Journal of Health Services  
Because of US market dominance the embargo eliminates medical supplies.  
Kuntz again  
Licenses to sell don't happen.  
Heitzer, Guild Practitioner vol 55  
Embargo is the deliberate murder of cubans.  
eitzer again  
Sharing food swamps all other concerns.  
Watson, World Hunger and Moral Obligations 1977

Adv 2: Transition

Castro's exit causes power vacuum causes civil war.  
Dewah, Post Gazette 1994  
Rigid US policy prevents soft landing, increases violence.  
Gunn 1994 Cuba and the Future  
Status Quo policy will cause violent transition, causing US military intervention.  
Gunn  
Bad relations between Cuba and the US causes military involvement.  
Schulz, Cuba and The Future 1994

Adv 3: Prostitution

US embargo and Helms Burton perpetuates prostitution.  
Kenna 1998, Toronto Star 3/1/98, pg A2  
Economic reasons cause prostitution.  
Ferguson 1995, Times Picayune pg A8, 10/9/95  
Girls as young as 11 years old.  
Insall 5/10/98 The People  
Many women have two options, starve or prostitution.  
McKinley 1/11/99 NYT pg A1  
Prostitution causes STDs and AIDS.  
Acosta 98 Inter Press Service 11/20

Girls and women are victims of HIV, is a sex-based holocaust.  
M2 Presswire 3/2/99  
Child prostitution=worst of all abuses.  
Africa News 7/26/99

Obs 2: Solvency  
BITs solve property issues and help the economy. Dunning summer 1998, Washington  
University Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law  
Normalized trade relationship can't happen without BIT.  
Vinas 1996, Journal of Transnational Law and Policy, Spring  
Cuba will negotiate but US must act first.  
Smith 1994, FDCH March 17  
BITs increases protection that increases relations and investment.  
Bourque 1995, Boston University International Law Review, Spring

School: Kansas State  
Team: NR

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN:

the usfg will enact legislation to constructively engage the government of  
the nation state of north korea by, lifting nearly all economic sanctions,  
including the peace corp act, the agricultural assistance act and  
development act, and the foreign assistance act. as part of this  
constructive engagement we will also authorize the implementation of a peace  
corp, who will immediately authorize the immediate shipment of food aid.  
this organization will also teach the north koreans agricultural techniques.

advantages: famine

solvency authors: namsoo, 98. plunke, 97.

School: Kansas State  
Team: NW

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN

The USFG shall immediately adopt a policy to CE the govt of NK elim  
nearly all econ. SX towards NK including the Agriculture Trade, & Development  
Act, & Peace corps act, set up peace corp for food distr. & Ag. Trading.

Advantages: Famine

1AC CITES

Observation I Inherency  
Agence France Presse, 9/17/99

Observation II Famine  
Cho, LA Times, 8/20/99  
Sullivan, Wash Post, 3/13/99  
Usher, Dallas Morning News, 7/15/99  
Times Newspaper Limited, 1/3/99  
Hall, Tampa Tribune, 9/1/99  
Harrison, North Korea After Kim II Sung, 1998

Boston Herald, 10/25/99  
Cox, Congressional Press Release, 10/14/99  
Struck, Famine in NK Easing, 8/16/99

Kansas State

School: Kansas State  
Team: HZ

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Obs 1: Inherency

Adv 1: Health

A. Breast Cancer

B. HIV

Adv 2: Transition

Adv 3: Prostitution

Plan: The USFG should immediately lift nearly all economic sanctions toward cuba by lifting the following sanctions:

The TWEA

Sec. 507 and 523 of the Omnibus appropriations act

Sec. 85 of the ISDCA

Sec 321 of the Anti-Terrorism act of 96

Sec 507 and 523 of the Foreign operations act of 96

Sec 620, 116, and 502b of the foreign assistance act

Sec 65 of the Export administration act

The CDA and HBA

and the will enter into negotiations of a bilateral investment treaty.

Funding and enforcement as necessary. We'll Clarify.

Obs 2: Solvency

Cites:

Jeffery Dunning, Washington University Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law, Summer 1998

Francisco Vinas, Journal of Transnational Law and Policy, Spring 1996

Wayne Smith, FDCH March 17, 1994

Sherri-Ellen Bourque Boston University International Law Review, Spring 1995

Lucien Dhooge, Journal of International and Comparative Law, Fall 1997

Michelle Arendt, Case Western Journal of International Law, Winter 1998

Thomas Friedman, Chattanooga Times, 9-30-99

The Economist Jan 17, 1998

*UCO*

Kansas State NW

Plan: The USFG shall immediately adopt a policy to CE the govt of NK elim nearly all econ. SX towards NK including the Agriculture Trade, & Development Act, & Peace corps act, set up peace corp for food distr. & Ag. Trading.

Advantages: Famine

1AC Cites:

Observation I Inherency - Agence France Presse, Sept. 17th, 1999.

Observation II Famine - Cho, la times, aug. 20th 1998^ÅSullivan, Wash Post, March 13th, 1999^Å Usher, Dallas Morning News, Jul. 15th 1999^Å Times newspaper limited, 1/3/99^ÅHall, Tampa Tribune, 9/1/99^ÅHarrison, North korea after Kim II Sung, 1998^ÅBoston Herald, October 25th 1999, Cox, Cong. Press Release, October 14th 1999^ÅStruck, Famine in NK easing, Aug. 16th, 1999.

2AC Tricks: Hypnosis

## **UNI**

Kansas State HZ

Plan: The United States Federal Government will end all economic sanctions against Cuba, including but not limited to removal of the Helms-Burton Act and the CDA. And enter into Negotiation of a Bilateral Investment Treaty.

Observation one: Food and Medicine

- The United States uses food as a weapon
- food from other countries is too expensive
- starvation of Cuba is comparable to murder
- Food is a key concern^Å trumping other issues

Observation two: Transition

- Castro is leaving power^Å facilitating a transition crisis
- a transition will be bloody
- transition will result in a mass migration of Cuban immigrants to the United States, as well as United States military intervention in Cuba, resulting in more deaths.

Observation three: Prostitution

- lack of income amongst the Cuban citizenry forces women into prostitution ? starve or sell your body
- suffering of women in Cuba is a "dire crisis"
- small children are being sold by their parents

Observation four: Solvency

1. Bilateral Investment Treaty solves the Cuban economy and brings economic prosperity  
Dunning, Washington University Journal of Urban Contemporary Law, summer 1998
2. Bilateral Investment Treaty good for Free Trade  
Vinas, Journal of Transnational Law and Policy, spring, 1996
3. The United States must act first  
Smith, FDCH 3-17-94 ^Ñour Cuba policy^Ò
4. Normalizing Relations solves

Dhooge, Arizona Journal of International and Comparative Law, fall 1997

5. Engagement solves property claims

Arendt, Case Western Journal of International Law, winter, 1998

6. Bilateral Investment Treaty will increase investment

Bourgue, B.U. International Law Review, spring 95

Kansas st. RN

Plan: The United States Federal Government will eliminate all economic

sanctions toward North Korea and implement a policy authorizing the creation of a peace corp who will who will over see the immediate shipment and distribution of food aid. This agency will provide agricultural training and farming techniques to the citizens of North Korea. Also, the United States will discontinue the laying of landmines in the Demilitarized Zone on the Korean Peninsula.

Scenario one: Collapse inevitable

Scenario two: Land mines

-discontinuing mine usage = United States signing the "OSLO Treaty" ? which snowballs ? leading to other nations signing.

Dickinson Journal of International Law  
spring 98 or 99 (I cant read the writing)

Scenario three: Food Aid

-military siphons aid in the status quo

-agricultural training key to long term food solvency

Solvency authors:

-Korea Focus, March-April 1999 "Removal solves nuclear brinkmanship"

-Harrison, Foreign Policy, Spring 1997 "removal increases investment in North Korea to save economy"

Note: they argue that while the recent reforms in North Korea non-unique the disads,  
they argue that the agriculture provisions of the affirmative are key.

They read moral obligations off of hunger ie: that it outweighs extinction

Kansas st. RW

Plan: The United States Congress shall repeal both the Helms-Burton and Cuban

democracy act, with remaining economic sanctions lifted as well. They reserve the right to clarify.

Observation one: Cuban embargo is failing, Helms-Burton insures sanctions

Observation two

Scenario one: Helms-Burton stops the export of food and medicine (as well as medical technology), the restrictions threaten to exterminate the Cuban people, also Cuba has the cure to meningitis which kills 35,000 people very year, also food-sharing is a moral obligation.

Scenario two: Civil war is coming when Castro dies = United States intervention.



Solvency: Cuba will embrace change, American businesses want to invest in Cuba, U.S. investment is key to rebuilding the Cuban economy, constructive engagement and removing Helms-Burton insures a peaceful transition.

Affirmative Cites

- Schulz, 1994 Cuba and the Future
- Barnes, 1998 Federal News Service, May 7th
- Tanter, 1998, Rogue Regimes
- Casey, 1995 FDCH June 30th
- Dewalt, 1999 post-gazette
- Smith, 1998 Orbis fall

## Kentucky

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

KENTUCKY BW

Plan: Lift all unilateral sanctions and shift position on UN sanctions.

Advantages: Humanitarian Solvency, Morality Underview.

Advocates: Dworkin 78, Chomsky and Said 99, Gause 99, Mueller and Mueller 99, Halliday 98, Cortright 98.

### ***WAKE***

Kentucky

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Kentucky JR

vs.: Emory HeMa

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Mike Ducher

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Added an advantage

Adv.3 - bilateral relations

Constructive Engagement boosts bilateral relations - it's a positive feedback mechanism - Chubin, Middle Eastern Affairs, Winter 1996-7

Engagement key to boosting relations - Bahgat, Security Dialogue, vol. 28(4), 1997, p. 453-464

US/Iran relations key to global stability - Middle East Newsfile, 6/20/98

"Washington has understood that a whole host of issues cannot be effectively addressed without having Iran on board."

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: india/pakistan

1. link is not pakistan specific

2. not unique - 2001

3. not unique - nk

4. t/ CE = tool for change

5. sanctions are not an effective signal

Washington Times, 5/26/98 "including the president himself, have sought instead to restrain the potential of international trade through a blizzard of foreign policy sanctions."

6. t/ w/o allied support, it won't work

Huntington, Foreign Affairs, 1999, p35 (no month there... sorry)

7. no influence over pakistan

8. not unique - no leverage now

Austin American Statesman, 10/14/99

Christian Science Monitor, 10/20/99

9. deterrence is not effective

The Statesman 10/30/99

AT: Peace Process

1. Barak not committed
2. Not unique - 2001
3. Should have changed when Khatami elected
4. T/ iran will moderate
5. No link - israel follows the US lead on Iran
- BBC Summaries, 8/14/99 "pointed to an internal change in Iran"
6. No link and turn - Israel avoids independent foreign policy same source
7. No mpx - relations resilient - Investor's Business Daily, 12/21/98 "the most enduring friendship between nations in history"
8. Case solves Lebanon

AT: WTO Clinton

1. not immediate
2. congress not backlash against itself
3. not willing to push
4. Clinton's political capital is resilient x,x
5. Unlimited supply x,x
6. Not unique - other issues kill relations w/ china x,x
7. No internal link - external factors don't matter to chinese foreign policy
8. Not unique - can't pass now
9. Can't pass - Hindu, 11/99
10. T/ --> global protectionism
11. Protectionism kills global economy
12. T/ solve afghanistan - Middle East Executive Reports, 7/1998 "the case for continuing along the path toward normalization"
13. Isol. Inevitable

AT: condition CP

1. dispositionality bad
  - a. time skew
  - b. depth analysis
  - c. advocacy
  - d. irresponsible
2. perm - not textually competitive
3. can't solve oil - step by step fails - Preeg evidence
4. can't solve prolif
5. Khatami leverage limited - CP delays Preeg, Feeling good or Doing Good, 1999, p. 83-4
6. T/ collapse relations
7. Iran will say no
- Dhooge, Denver J. Int'l L. and Policy, 1998, "the best chance of modifying Iranian behavior"
- Atlanta J. and Constitution, Oct. 31, 1999
- Deutsche Presse Agentur, Nov. 4, 1999 "Iran also blames Washington for blocking lucrative oil and gas deals"
- New York Times, Nov. 5, 1999 "there was one point on which even those most genially disposed toward the United States seemed to concur"
- Financial Times, Nov. 5, 1999
8. T/ reactor increases risk of prolif

AT: Russia "turn"

1. lots of other issues
2. Russia does not claim
- Hale, Orbis, Winter 1999
3. T/

- a. block pipeline --> Russian dominance  
Amuzegar, Middle East Journal, Spring 1997, p. 155
- b. destabilize  
Stephen Blank, Orbis, Summer 1995, p.383-4

AT: prices "turn"

- 1. not unique - IAC evidence
  - 2. not unique - cheating now
  - 3. more evidence saying cheating now
  - 4. not unique - high prices = OPEC cheating
- no link

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)  
On CP - read lots and lots of no solvency cards in 1AR on the CP

Kentucky

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Kentucky BN

vs.: Liberty CM

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Katsulas

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

The USFG will lift nearly all its economic sanctions towards Iraq and change its vote in the UNSC to lift nearly all economic sanctions on Iraq and will engage in open-ended dialogue and the US will back the escrow accounting provisions of the French-Dutch proposal in the UN.

Advantages: Disintegration, Lives, Oil

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT Israel peace process

no link - we pressure Iraq with military sanctions

breakdown of sanctions now

peace process alliance theory is flawed X

non-unique - relations are resilient X

Iraq doesn't threaten Israel X

alliance doesn't solve - peace failing now X

peace process is emp denied

military containment solves

AT Clinton - WTO

no link - not soft on Saddam (military sanctions remain in place)

non-unique - Clinton pushed the WTO already

non-unique - other FP failures X

pushing legislation = political capital usage X

winner's win X

China's power in WTO decreasing X

no impact - US-China relations aren't key

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

"Go for what they drop and get lucky" - team member of Kentucky BN

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Kentucky JR

vs.: Kansas RS

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Brey

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

1AC has been restructured; plan is the same

Contention One - SQ

-US Sanctions will inevitably collapse

[Ed Shirley, Middle East Quarterly, 1998 p 72]

-Domestic support for sanctions will erode

[FNS, 7/23/97, "sanctions also impose"]

Advantage One: Oil

A) Central Asian Development

-SQ sanctions block oil and gas investment

[Monshipouri - 1st case list]

-Continued Investment key to geopolitical stability and global energy diversification. Solves regional nuclear war

[Schilling, Aussenpolitik, 1998]

B) Geopolitical influence

-Only removal of US sanctions allows oil & gas presence in Iran

[Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good 1999]

-US Geopolitical influence solves Persian Gulf nuclear war

[Posen & Ross, International Security, Winter 1997 p19]

C) Central Asian Pipeline

-US Sanctions prevent a pipeline through Iran

[Boston Globe 10/4/98 "fully tap"]

-Pipeline key to Central Asian Development

[Oil & Gas Journal - 1st caselist]

-Central Asian energy development key to global economic stability

[Heritage - 1st caselist]

-Mead 92

D) East Asian Growth

-Pipeline inevitable in the Status Quo. The existing plan will cause supply disruptions and collapse East Asian economic recovery-Iran pipeline solves this scenario

[Middle East Executive Reports, 12/97 "congestion and risks"]

-Inhibited East Asian Oil Access causes global collapse

[Wash Quarterly Autumn 1996 "Asian energy demand"]

-Prices skyrocketing in the SQ, making supply expansion inevitable. Cheating will tube prices  
[Nations 10/25/99 "prices cannot be sustained"]

Advantage 2: Prolif

-Iran seeking nukes in the SQ

[Kalilzad Survival Summer 1995 p104]

First Caselist:

-Cordesman

-Kemp

-Gerges

Miscalc

[Chubin Iran's National Security Policy 1994]

Iran 1st strike Israel

[Beres Midstream 9-10/98]

Israel 1st strike Iran

[Beres Strategic Review 1993]

Solvency

-Amuzegar

-Plan helps Khatami engagement overcomes opposition

[Hunter Washington Quarterly 21:4 1998 p40]

Rest of solvency is the same

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Fed Sanctions

-Impacts inev

-Not want sanctions on Iran

-Court will strike down

-Turn [Schur 97] we solve intl relations

-No threshold

C/P

-Plan doesn't lift import restrictions

-Not solve pipeline

-Isolations

-Conditionality Bad

-Not solve relations

-Will say no

[Sick, Survival 40:1 1998]

Clinton

-Next congress

-not blsh vs self

-no spillover

-Clinton teflon pres

-capital not limited

-other issues collapse other than WTO

-not key to foreign policy -> inev

-WTO not pass now - plan not effect

-WTO accession = protectionism

-Protectionism Bad

Khatami

- HL now
- Sell as win
- No HL backlash
- Khatami weak because pro US now
- [Middle East Insight 3-4/99 p11]
- US kill containment = win khatami
- [Middle East Insight 9-10/98 p22]
- no hl backlash
- hl kill moderates now

Grand Negotiator

- Mpx inev
- NK = non unique
- Food exemption
- Sanc hurt allies
- Sanc not cause change
- Cross app solvency
- Sanctions hi-light US weakness

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Kentucky JR

vs.: UMKC BC

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Kimbrell - Case Western

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton - Chinese WTO

1. Bottom of the docket takes out the disad, but doesn't make us non-topical since immediate modifies lifting sanctions, not adopting a policy
2. Congress wouldn't backlash against itself
3. No spillover
4. No internal link - they have to prove Clinton would expend political capital
5. Popularity is resilient
6. Political capital is resilient
7. T - WTO admission causes global protectionism
8. N-u: relations issues will collapse regardless of WTO
9. WTO won't pass in the status quo
10. Clinton won't expend political capital
11. More evidence
12. WTO is screwed now
13. No internal link to the impact - external factors aren't key to the outcome
14. Status quo sanctions on Iran collapse US-EU relations - The Tech-MIT Institute, [www-tech.mit.edu/V115/N28/hove.28c.html](http://www-tech.mit.edu/V115/N28/hove.28c.html), 6/28/1995, "European and Asian diplomats"
15. Relations key to geopolitical stability -Eisenstadt, FDCH Congressional Testimony, 6-16-1999, "repeatedly, we have shown that"

Israel - Peace Process

1. case solves the impact
2. bilateral relations are key to solve
3. Barak actions prove no peace process deal in the status quo
4. N-u: Pollard case destroyed US-Israeli relations, motive for the peace process
5. T - engagement moderates Israel

6. Israel follows Iran
7. Relations are resilient
8. Israel avoids independent foreign policy and supports dialogue with Iran
9. No impact to the peace process
10. Extraterritorial application of ILSA causes protectionism - Dunning, University of Pennsylvania Journal of Economic Law, 1998, "ILSA probably does not violate"
11. Worldwide trade blocs cause conflict - Spicer

#### Khatami

1. n-u and turn: Hardliners are in control now, engaging Iran solves hardliner backlash
2. plan gives Khatami a win
3. no impact to hardliner backlash
4. n-u and turn: Political capital
  - a) Khatami is weak in the sq
  - b) Plan's a win for Khatami
5. n-u: hardliners gaining momentum
6. T - engagement emboldens Khatami
7. ILSA causes NATO alliance friction - Haass, Economic Sanctions and American Diplomacy, 1998, p. 97, "last and most important"
8. Lack of NATO cohesion causes European instability - Duffield, Political Science Quarterly, Vol 109, No 5, 1995, p. 769-770, "risking an expansion of hostilities"
9. Good relations cause access to East Asia solves Afghanistan prolif - Pelletreau, Middle East Executive Reports, 7/1998, "Iran, with its significant oil"
10. Afghanistan conflict spills over

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

lar overview on Khatami - not a net impact to the disad, and they have to win that Khatami directly controls foreign policy to win an impact, proves no spillover  
- argues that the Afghanistan turn on the bottom of the debate turns back all his terrorism arguments

2AR goes for the US-EU relations add-on and the Afghanistan Add-on to outweigh the case turns

#### Kentucky

School: Kentucky  
Team(s): AT, BN  
Case: Iraq

Information here is on Kentucky AT. We know Kentucky BN runs Iraq but don't know if they run the same plan or advantages as AT.

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG will remove nearly all of its unilateral economic sanctions against Iraq. The USFG will also drop its support for UN economic sanctions against Iraq. US will request through a dialogue that Iraq allow the return of intrusive on the ground inspections of WMD programs, paralleling UNSCOM. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. We'll clarify intent.

Observation One - Status Quo Fails

Current US policy not differentiate economic and military - hurts export controls  
Telhami, LA Times, 11/30/97

Saddam will accept inspections even if doesn't increase containment - Gause



UN inspections solve breakout - Eisenstadt - Iraq Strategy Review 98

Inspections key to prevent WMD deployment - Carapico 98

Advantage 1 Prolif

A) Regional prolif

Without monitoring WMD inevitable and sanctions lift inevitable  
Kazemzadeh, Middle East Policy, June 98, p 83?

Iraqi WMD ensures use, Cordesman 97

Iraqi WMD breakout causes regional prolif and war, Cordesman, Middle East Policy, June 98, p 42?

B) Global prolif

Collapse of UN inspections risks global WMD prolif - CSM, 11/28/97, "crisis could signal"

Nuclearized Iraq = regional prolif, Kemp, Powder Keg in the Middle East, 95

Advantage 2 - Humanitarian Suffering

Sanctions kill 4500 every month, Chomsky & Said - independent, 1/21/99

Sanctions kill more than all WMD in history - Mueller

Advantage 3 - Oil

Maximum Iraqi oil production key to long-term price stability, Cord & Hashim, Iraq: Sanction and Beyond, p 180-1

Energy shortfalls kill the global economy

Iraqi oil key to meet rising demand, NYT, 11/30/96, "world cannot produce"

Iraq can increase production, Middle East Executive Reports, 2/99, Chalabi

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Topicality - Constructive Engagement not dialogue on specific issues

1. Neg interp doesn't limit - open dialogue
2. C-Interp - open dialogue
3. We meet - UNSCOM
4. C-Interp better - allows more than just 5 cases
5. T not voter - requires judge intervention
6. Literature checks abuse
7. Timesuck = bad debate
8. No IR abuse - plenty of ground and links

Clinton Good - China WTO 2AC

1. NU - WTO talks will fail [x]
2. NU - no deal or Congressional approval [x SCMP]
3. No US China deal coming
4. Clinton is a lame duck [x]

5. Japan blocks WTO entry [x]
6. Clinton won't use capital on China WTO
7. China won't invade Taiwan - 4 reasons
8. NU - China relations bad now and embassy bombing ED
9. Turn - China wants sanctions on Iraq lifted - external impact [x]
10. Turn - China WTO entry kills global growth [x]
11. WTO entry causes global protectionism [x]

#### US-Israel Relations 2AC

1. NU - relations collapsing (Sheinbein trial) [x 9/3/99]
2. Turn - we strengthen military containment of Iraq
3. No threat???
4. Relations inevitable - no IL to collapse
5. Israel will lashout with WMD now
6. Impact NU - Iraq WMD legitimates Mideast WMD
7. Turn - sanctions divide the US and Arab allies [x]
8. Turn - Arab allies key to oil access [x]

#### Feminism Kritik 2AC

1. Permute and they are stuck with the counterplan (exclude IMF)
2. No discourse impact
3. Performative contradiction = goes away
4. Gender differences cause totalitarianism [x Davis]
5. Patriarchy doesn't cause war
6. Feminism causes backlash [x]
7. Backlash against women causes war [x]
8. Policymakers should be pragmatic [x]

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

May kick their case and go extensively for theory arguments against CPs in rebuttals.

School: Kentucky

Team(s): HK

Case: Syria

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions, with the government of the nation state Syria. Specifically: Removal of nearly all economic sanctions imposed against Syria under relevant United States laws. Offer Syria a package of trade and aid in exchange for progress on Israeli/Syria peace talks. Funding and enforcement through minimally sufficient means. Aff clarifies intent.

Observation one: Sanctions on Syria destroy a Golan deal, we have a window of opportunity to strike a deal.

Observation two: Without a peace agreement a war over the Golan is inevitable, Syria will use chemical weapons in the fight, that causes Israel to respond with nuclear weapons.

Observation three: Removing sanctions spurs an agreement over the Golan, the United States is key to bartering an agreement.

School: Kentucky  
Team(s): JR  
Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN: THE US WILL ADOPT A POLICY OF CONSTRUCTIVE ENGAGEMENT WITH IRAN, INCLUDING THE IMMEDIATE REMOVAL OF NEARLY ALL ECONOMIC SANCTIONS. WE WILL ENGAGE IN A LOW-KEY DIALOGUE AS PER OUR BILL EVIDENCE IN SOLVENCY. INTENT.

#### ADVANTAGE 1: OIL

In the medium term, demand will outstrip supply, causing price shocks, C.J. Campbell National Interest Spring 98 pg 53-4

oil price shocks devastate global econ growth, Richard Cooper, Harvard International Review Summer 1997 pg 21

oil price shocks risk military conflict, csm 7/11/96 kw: cushion the country

in the long term, oil scarcity will occur, putting the global economy at risk, Herrere, OPEC Bulletin Feb 96 pg 10

oil scarcity causes a global depression, "Beyond the Petroleum Age" WW Paper 100 Flavin and Lenssen 1990 pg 5

insert depression impact of choice.....usually mead rhetoric....

in the mid term, lifting sanctions allows US market access increasing Iran oil production, USA Today 1/99 kw: oil and engineering installations

expanded iranian oil production is inevitable -- but us involvement causes a fuller and faster increase, Oil & Gas Journal 1/26/98 kw: time frame without american technology

iran oil key to global oil demand, Iran: dilemmas of dual containment Cordesman & Hashim 1997 pg 91

us sanctions block investment in development of oil projects in central asia Mahmood Monshipouri Middle East Policy Oct 98 pg 106

us oil investment in central asia is critical to stability and energy diversification solving nuclear war, Walter Schilling Aussenpolitik 1998 pg 52

us sanctions prevent oil pipelines from central asia through iran collapsing development of central asian energy, Boston Globe 10/4/98 kw: fully tap

pipeline key to central asia energy development, Oil & Gas Journal 04/19/99 kw transportation costs

central asian energy critical to global economic and geopolitical stability Heritage Foundation Reports 1/25/96 kw fueling the global economy

SQ pipeline collapses east asian energy access, Middle East Exec. Rpts 12/97 kw risks of tanker accidents

east asian oil access key to global economy, Wash Quart fall 96 kw shortage of energy

#### ADVANTAGE 2: PROLIF

sanctions give incentive to nuclearize -- isolation backfires, Geoffrey Kemp, Middle East Quarterly June 98 pg 70

oil sanctions push iran towards nuclear power -- causing nucs access, Cordesman & Hashim again

miscalc impact, shahram chubin Iran's Natl Security Policy 94 pg64

## SOLVENCY

sanctions won't enduce behavior change, Jahangir Amuzegar Middle East Journal Spring 97 pg 194

economic engagement allows khatami to overcome opposition to a political dialogue, Washington Quarterly 21: 4 Shireen Hunter 1998 kw bolstering his popular support

economic isolation backfires -- causing a hostile iran and permanent warfare in the persian gulf --economic ties critical to solve, James Bill Middle East Policy Vol II:3 1993 pg 104-6

economic engagement allows a political dialogue and bolsters regional security cooperation, Fawaz Gerges Survival Winter 96-97 pg 10-11

## 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Topicality - Nearly All

1. WM ILSA 50 percent
2. Counterinterpretation - we do all of these (List)
3. Distinction trivial - economic vs military sanctions
4. Don't specify what ground - all but dual-use and military
5. Just engagement CP available
6. ILSA centerpiece
7. No evidence establishing all sanctions
8. Overlimit 5 cases
9. Interpretation not exclusive - in between solves

Appeasement

1. NU - North Korea
2. NU - food and medicine exemption
3. Turn - we solve terrorism
4. NU and turn - sanctions anger allies
5. NU and turn - CE solves
6. Sanctions don't solve - Washington Times 98
7. Without allied force, sanctions highlight US weakness
8. Sanctions destroy hegemony
9. Turn - plan solves WMD prolif - Indo Pak
10. Economic collapse causes war

Condition Counterplan (Preeg)

1. No ev ILSA key to oil and gas
2. Bill 1ac slow and gradual not solve
3. Conditionality bad
4. Condition CP bad - not solve oil advantage and we turn Khatami
5. PICs bad - moving target
6. No neg fiat
7. Khatami bargaining power limited
8. Not solve advantage - policy must be without conditions or will fail

9. Iran says no

Clinton

1. CP not net benefit - unpopular lift part of sanctions
2. No impact - give money not solve
3. Political capital links horrible
4. Internal link bad - no spillover
5. Bottom of docket - no political capital expenditure
6. Fiat takes out link - magic wand
7. Clinton agenda won't pass
8. NU - lame duck Commercial Appeal 9/21/99
9. NU - Russia scandals, Waco, etc
10. Clinton resilient popular
11. Legislative success snowballs - increases party unity
12. Legislation boosts influence (Sullivan 94/91)
13. Turn - humanitarian assistance has bipart support
14. NU - bipart dead now
15. Debt funding dead because bipart dead
16. No coop now (St Pete Times 9/99 "have sufficient strength" "continue through 2000 elections")

**UNI**

Kentucky AT

Plan: The United States will remove nearly all unilateral economic sanctions against Iraq. The United States Federal Government will also drop its support for United Nations Economic sanctions against Iraq. The United States will request through a dialogue that Iraq allow the return of intrusive on the ground inspectors of weapons of mass destruction programs, paralleling UNSCOM.

Observation one: Sanctions fail to stop Saddam

Observation two: Lifting sanctions solves

- Saddam will accept weapons inspectors
- Inspectors will solve weapons of mass destruction threat

Advantage one: Weapons of Mass Destruction

- Iraq development causes war and escalation
- global signal for proliferation

Advantage two: Humanitarian Impacts ? Sanctions kill more than weapons of mass destruction

Underview: we increase containment

- increased international support for airstrikes = deterrence
- Export controls / lifting sanctions rejuvenates Saddam^Os

opposition

- Arms embargo solves for risk of military buildup absent sanctions

Oil for food proves ? it allowed more to be produced and traded than Iraq could muster.

Kentucky BW

THE DESCRIPTION OFFERED FOR THIS AFFIRMATIVE WAS IDENTICAL TO THAT WHICH WAS OFFERED TO EXPLAIN KENTUCKY AT^OS AFFIRMATIVE PLEASE SEE ABOVE^A.

## Kentucky HK

Plan: The United States will immediately remove nearly all its economic sanctions on Iran and implement a "low key dialogue" as per Bill. funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Observation one: U.S. sanctions will collapse inevitably, but we should lift now

during a window of time for moderating Khatami.

Advantage one: Oil supply and demand shocks.

- lack of exploration
- Caspian pipeline
- middle east stability

Advantage two: Nuclearization

- sanctions are a motive for proliferation
- lack of Iranian oil revenue = hostility

Observation three: Solvency

### Affirmative Cites:

Komp, Middle East Quarterly, 6-98

Cordesman and Hashim, 1997 Iran and the Dilemmas of Dual Containment.

Beres, Midstream, 9-10-98

Beres, Strategic Review, spring 1993

Mattair, Middle East Insight, 7-8-95

West, NYT, 11/30/96

Flavin and Lenssen, 1990

Monshipouri, Middle East Policy, 10/98

Schilling, Aussenpolitik, 2/98

Hess, Boston Globe, 10/4/98

Cohen, Heritage Foundation Report 1125

Campbell, National Interest, Spring 1998

CSM 7/11/96

Cohen, Federal News Service, 3/17/98

Mossavar-Rahmani, Oil and Gas Journal, 1/26/98

Griffiths, USA Today, 1/99

Laherrere, OPEC Bulliten, 2/96

Shirley, Middle East Quarterly, 6/98

Schott, Federal News Service, 7/23/97

## Kentucky HK has also run^A

Plan: The United States Federal Government shall adopt a policy of constructive

engagement, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions, with the government of the nation state Syria.

Specifically: Removal of nearly all economic sanctions imposed against Syria under relevant United States laws. Offer Syria a package of trade and aid in exchange for progress on Israeli/Syria peace talks. Funding and enforcement through minimally sufficient means. Aff clarifies intent.

Observation one: Sanctions on Syria destroy a Golan deal, we have a window of opportunity to strike a deal.

Observation two: Without a peace agreement a war over the Golan is inevitable,

Syria will use chemical weapons in the fight, that causes Israel to respond with nuclear weapons.

Observation three: Removing sanctions spurs an agreement over the Golan, the

United States is key to bartering an agreement.

#### Kentucky JR

Plan: The United States Government will immediately remove nearly all its

economic sanctions on Iran and implement a "low key" dialogue as per Bill. Funding and Enforcement guaranteed. We reserve intent.

Observation one: absent constructive engagement, sanctions will inevitably collapse in the status quo, boosting the legitimacy of hardliners within Iran. We have a window of opportunity.

American engagement necessary to solve

Advantage areas: Caspian pipeline  
-Sanctions inhibit multilateral involvement in the Turkey/Caspian pipeline^O

-We will suffer an oil shortfall within 20 years that will rock the global economy ? Caspian oil pipeline key to stability and global economy.

-Oil price explosion = war

-United States involvement key to stability

Nukes:

Sanctions increasing Iranian Nuclear development, should hardliners come to power this will enable a first strike against Israel.

Solvency:

-Dialogue checks hardliners rise to power

-Status quo will backfire into war^A low level dialogue key

-Containment will squash reform ? economic ties key to solvency

Note: Their advocacy that sanctions will inevitably collapse in one year makes all the

negatives disadvantages inevitable, but leaves them the advantage of checking hardliner^Os rise to power.

## Lewis and Clark

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL*** LEWIS AND CLARK CH

Plan: USFG does constructive engagement including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions, normalization of diplomatic relations and mobilization of unconditional international food and medical aid towards the DPRK.

Advantages: Famine and Collapse Solvency.

### ***WAKE***

Lewis and Clark

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Lewis and Clark HC

vs.: Kansas MM

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: D. Heidt

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

gradualism ans (on the case)

1. grad not food aid
2. grad not cause normalization, k to conflict
3. conditionality of sq destroys solvency
4. no formal diplomatic ties coming in the sq-plan key
5. perry fails in sq b/c missile tests and conditionality

cp-executive order for food aid

1. links to clinton, aid
2. solvency def-norm and famine
3. no norm means aid divers
4. norm key solve brinkmanship
5. pics bad: time, no solvency adv, artif comp, warrant for res, plan vagueness, ground, kills policy disc
6. perm-both
7. no solvency for collapse
8. ? card

south korea

1. no link ass rok
2. engage now key before elections
3. not solve missile deal, only plan does
4. non unique and turn, sk supports engage
5. t/sk wants plan
6. n/u berlin
7. n/u collapse in near future
8. no eve dprk can solve alnoe
9. n/l normal means consult
10. nil-won't effect bilateral rels



11. bilateral rels flexible-plan won't hurt
12. no thresh
13. no link-us norm key to stab
14. ?
15. ? card

clinton

1. n/u loosen sanctions now
2. labor blocks ntr now
3. eu and canada blocks
4. cong won't approve
5. mult issues hurt us-sino rels
6. china still join wto even if no ntr
7. winners win
8. new pol climate means cl can only win by lifting sanctions
9. clinton's power decreaser-he's a loser
10. no link-engage nk now will hold of opponents in congress
11. no impact-wto won't effect china's econ

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

CP/SQ solvency deficit due to no normalization:

1. food stability key to solve future waves of famine-normalization solves in the long run
2. normalization uniquely solves brinkmanship and miscalculation, especially in the longer run
3. missile deal requires normalization
4. Link turns-South Korea wants full normalization

2ar relies heavily on this distinction between plan and CP/SQ

Lewis and Clark

School: Lewis and Clark

Team(s): HC

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

INH:

US lacks formal diplomatic relations with NK, preventing reform and risking catastrophe (Huntley and Savage 99)

Berlin lifting was inadequate- still lack formal relations (Milwaukee Journal Sentinel 9/19/99)

PLAN: The USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions, excluding dual use restrictions and support for international loans, normalization of diplomatic relations and mobilization of unconditional international food and medical aid efforts toward the government of North Korea as per Satterwhite and Natsios,

Funding, implementation and enforcement guaranteed through normal means - we'll clarify intent just ask

Adv 1:Famine

Has killed 2million people- millions more are at risk (Usher 7/15/99)

Aid now is key- poor Chinese harvests ensure new waves of deaths without immediate assistance (Natsios 8/2/99)

Without immediate action millions more will die (CQ Weekly 9/21/98)

Despite production increases more aid is necessary- 3 million tons before next year (Korea Herald 10/28/98)

Famine ravages the people, womyn are sold to feed their families and those who flee are caught and beaten (Tampa Tribune 9/1/99)

Adv 2: Collapse

Collapse inev without econ reform- they will engage to secure needed benefits (Ahn 97)

Failure to give subst. aid prevents soft landing and internal reform, ensuring civil war that will draw in the US (Harrison 97)

Korean peninsula is the most dangerous region in the world, a conventional war would kill hundreds of thousands (Stanley Foundation 10/29/98)

Risk of miscalculation is high (US Institute of Peace Oct 98)

Conflict would erupt into thermonuclear war (Myong Chol 1/6/98)

SOLVENCY:

Must immediately establish diplomatic relations to reduce the risk of miscalculation, war, proliferation, economic crisis and collapse (Satterwhite 96)

Food aid key to prevent collapse and conflict- aid won't increase the threat, just props up the regime until reform occurs (Gustavson and Lee Rudolph 97)

Normalization of diplomatic relations is key to strengthen reformers and prevent future crisis (Beal 98)

Conditionality undermines reform and is morally indefensible (Harrison 97)

ROK supports engagement now, but without US lead all bets are off (Feffer 99)

Unconditional food aid is the only morally acceptable policy (Gustavson and Lee Rudolph 97)

School: Lewis and Clark

Team(s): HS

Case: Iran

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:  
Plan

The USFG will adopt a policy of CE by lifting nearly all of its economic and diplomatic sanctions including the unfreezing of Iranian assets. Current export controls on dual use technology will reemain in place.

OB I - inherency

AD I - relations

AD II- moderates

AD III- prolif

AD IV- caspian sea

Major authors: Anthony Cordesman, Lucien Dhooge, Council on Foreign Relations, Ann Jaffe and Robert Manning.

## ***OREGON***

University of Oregon

Lewis and Clark HC (aff) vs. Whitman BS

50 years of action have failed and our recent actions don't go far enough

Obs. I Inherency US continues to lack diplomatic normalization preventing reform

Huntley and Savage, March '99

[www.nautilus.org/for a/security/9905A\\_Huntlet\\_Savage.html](http://www.nautilus.org/for_a/security/9905A_Huntlet_Savage.html)

Sanctions easing inadequate-US needs formal diplomatic ties and provide development assistance to North Korea

Milwaukee Journal Sentinel September 19

"The sad fact is, the U.S. generally focuses its attention on North Korea"

Plan:

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions (excluding dual-use technology and support for international loans) and in consultation with all relevant regional actors will normalize diplomatic relations and mobilize unconditional international food and medical efforts toward the government of North Korea as per Harvon, Satterwhite and Natros. Funding, implementation and enforcement guaranteed thru normal means. We'll clarify intent, just ask.

Harms

Famine killed over 2 million

Usher, Dallas Morning News, July 15, 1999

"government stocks of basic grains had run out in many places"

Aid now is key-poor Chinese harvests lead to new deaths

Natsios, August 2, 1999 The Politics of Famine in North Korea

[www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr990802.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr990802.html)

Containment leads to a lashout during collapse

Wright, March/April 1999 Bulletin of Atomic Scientists

No food=no soft landing

Harrison '97

"promoting a soft landing in Korea"

Foreign Policy, Spring 1997

Conventional war kills 100,000s

Stanley Foundation, 1998  
"Us relations with North Korea: Prospects for engagement"  
www.stanleyfdn.org

North Korea famine leads to prostitution  
Tampa Tribune 9/1/99  
"famine in North Korea has become so severe"

Observation III Solvency  
US must establish relations to prevent war  
Satterwhite 96  
North Korea in the New World Order, p. 37-40

Millions will die from famine w/out action  
CQ weekly, Nov 21, 1998  
"much of North Korea's rural population is being sustained by food shipments"

Food aid key to prevent collapse  
Gustavson and Lee-Rudolph  
North Korea after Kim Il Sung: Continuity or Change, p. 146-7

Collapse of North Korea inevitable without economic reforms they will engage to secure benefits  
Ann '97, North Korea after Kim Il Sung: Continuity or Change, pg. 90-1

Engagement and normalization key to strengthen reformers and lessen the risk of war  
Beal '98  
New Zealand International Review "North Korea: from confrontation to communication"

Conditional aid undermines reforms and is immoral  
Harrison '97 ""

South Korea supports engagement but without US leadership, all bets are off  
Feffer '99 July 15, "North Korea's predicament as economically based"

Unconditional food aid only defensible policy  
Gustavson and Lee-Rudolph ""

### ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Lewis and Clark Rd 5  
Affirmative Lewis and Clark HS vs. Whitman CO  
Erik Corneillier  
Plan Text:  
Same

1AC outline  
same with two extra cards about how Iran will be able to more efficiently export oil and boost their economy

2AC Answers to Off-case positions  
Oil

1. n/u—ILSA not hurt oil <Dhooge, 98>
2. Norway n/u. price falling <LAT, 10-5-99>
3. Uniqueness overwhelms the link
4. already waived secondary sanctions
5. n/u. development inevitable

6. no threshold
7. impact empirically denied
8. Mexico not key to the world economy

#### Shunning

1. not cause violations
2. no link. Can do both
3. not anti-semitic. Proves no implication
4. khatami solves
5. vote to stop evil
6. perm
7. we stop hardliners
8. self fulfilling prophecy of labelling (Chomsky)
9. (some card about shunning not being a voting issue)
10. you label Jewish spies
11. you decrease human rights

#### Realism

1. performative contradiction
2. sanctions are realist
3. no pre-fiat
4. not specific to iran
5. their ev is bad
6. banning aid is realist < >

#### WTO bad

1. Uniqueness overwhelms the link
2. businesses push now
3. china will get in
4. AFX
5. n/u—business
6. clinton has business support
7. clinton needs capital
8. clinton has limited capital
9. no internal...quick entry

#### 2AR Big Tricks

T/ on shunning

Claim that turkey will not get a pipeline

Team: LC CZ Tournament LC Round 6 Judge Aaron Roblan

Plan Text: See Nikki and Scott's report of their round against Owen and Brad at the same tournament

IAC Outline/Cites:

See Nikki and Scott's report of their round against Owen and Brad at the same tournament

#### 2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:

Exclude exclude Juragua CP:

- juragua won't be built now
- Perm - ask Juragua not to build the plan
- PICs are bad

MAI

- no chance of passing

- alt causes, like ILSA etc.
- free trade good

2AR/Big Tricks just went for perm and non uniques to our juragua net benefits

Lewis and Clark CZ's Aff – Cuba

Plan: The US Federal government shall constructively engage Cuba, including lifting all economic sanctions, save those prohibiting nuclear energy, and providing humanitarian aid.

1AC Outline

Adv1) Transition

Adv2) Health

Adv3) Caribbean Security

US-Cuban relationship leads to integration with the Caribbean

Rafeal Hernandez '97 "Cuba and Caribbean Region"

2 – Cuban integration with the Caribbean leads to stability

ibid

3 – Solves for the dumping of Nuclear waste in the Caribbean

Foreign Ministry of the Bahamas, [greenpeace.org](http://greenpeace.org)

## ***WESTERN SCRIMMAGE***

Lewis and Clark CH (North Korea)

Plan Text:

The United States federal government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement in consultation with relevant regional actors including the immediate removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions, normalization of diplomatic relations with the government of North Korea and the mobilization of unconditional international food emergency aid efforts as per Harrison, Natsias, and Aaltola. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. We'll clarify intent.

Claim Famine, Poverty, And That Prolonged Famine Causes Regime Collapse That Could Escalate To War

Round 5- Neg vs. L&C CH (North Korea)

Other people already had the 1AC flow.

2AC AT: Japan

- 1) N/U: Clinton eased sanctions on NK
- 2) Japan wouldn't feel excluded
- 3) Normalizing NK policy key to ease regional tension -----
- 4) Need cooperation in the region -----
- 5) No link, have to prove Japan wouldn't like the plan
- 6) Japan like CE with NK -----
- 7) No scenario for strategic alliances impact

8) Backing out of the framework hurts relations ----- (They claim this is a straight turn in the 1AR)

9) Japan doesn't like sanctions on NK

2AC AT: Topicality (immediate, on the consultation stuff)

1) We remove sanctions immediately upon constructive engagement, don't have to do CE immediately

2) We clarify = we meet

3) Counter-interpretation, do consultation as a part of constructive engagement

4) Counter-standards

a) we do CE

b) resolution says CE includes but not limited to sanctions

c) we immediately lift sanctions upon constructive engagement

5) Both sides have similar definitions

6) No abuse = no voter

7) Case = contextually defines consultation as part of CE

8) Neg overlimits

Round 4: L&C HS (Iran) vs. Neg

Judge: Veillon, Paul

1AC: claim Clinton's reaching out to Iran non-uniques all our Das

adv1- improved relations

adv2- help moderates

adv3- prolif

adv4- caspian oil (claim Iran route good and Rus route bad)

solvency

1AC sites: Indyk 99, Jehl 99, Pelletreau 98, Teicher 99, Council on Foreign Relations Jan 98, Dhooge 98, Mufson 99, Nathan 98, Beres 96, Hiro 98, Zuckerman 99, Sullivan 99, Cohen (Ariel) 96, Jaffe/Manning 99

Lewis and Clark ZC

Plan text:

The US Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba, including constitutionally lifting economic sanctions and providing humanitarian aid. Funding and enforcement through normal means. We'll clarify intent.

1AC outline:

Obs 1

1) Sanctions stop constructive engagement

2) US won't lift food/medical sanctions. It wouldn't help anyway – Gibb '99 (Guardian For. Press, Aug 18) "prices are well beyond most Cuban salaries"

Adv1 – Transition

1) Scenario 1 – civil war

- a) US policy prevents transition – Gilian '94 (Cuba and the Future)
- a) US\_\_\_\_\_ - Gunn, Gillian '94
- 2) Scenario 2 – Refugee
  - a) increased refugee flow to the US leads to military intervention – Aronson and Rogers '99  
<http://www.foreignrelations.org/public/pubs/us Cuba2.html>
  - b) Met by military force – Leogrande Feb. '97 Journal of L. A. Studies
- 3) Scenario 3 – Caribbean Stability
  - a) Hernandez '97 Cuba and the Caribbean Regional Issues and Trends in Post-Cold War Era pg. 134-135, pg. 136-137

2AC answers to off case

Topicality: immediate is not conditional

- 1) Case immediately remove
- 2) There are other salient issues in the debate
- 3) No justification for voicing issue

Anti-Capitalism (these aren't blocked)

- 1) No salient impact
- 2) No threshold
- 3) Some impact blip
- 4) Prefer case's specific solvency (morphs into "sanctions are evil")
- 5) Not unique – capitalism exists
- 6) Castro liberalization happening now
- 7) No impact – no in-round distinction
- 8) Helms-Burton increases investment

MAI

- 1) Link isn't reverse causal
  - 2) Non-unique: we're easing sanctions now
  - 3) Non-unique: MAI won't pass – Selinger '98 "A much criticized international investment rule book"
  - 4) "If you buy their shell game Uniqueness story that MAI is still alive, then they will keep spinning policy and disguising the MAI until it inevitably passes, our\_\_\_\_\_ and coop with Europe is (alive?!)"
  - 5) Non-unique: the French already withdrew from MAI negotiations – Bridges Weekly Trade News Digest October '98 "France last week made the sudden announcement"
  - 6) ILSA disproves
  - 7) US-European conflicts over Cuba will never escalate – Roy '99 "Europe: Cuba, the U.S. embargo and the Helms-Burton law" Transatlantic Tensions: The U.S., Europe, and the Helms-Burton Law
  - 8) MAI won't pass anytime soon – Canner (or Lanner) '99 "MAI: win, lose, or draw for the US"
- Y4: IN 8116: As 8/2, pg. 33

Aff: Lewis and Clark MP

Neg: Whitman WW

Plan text: see Brian and Thad's round report against Owen and Brad, it's the same (but Owen claims that his plan now no longer conditions aid)

IAC outline/cites: outline: OB1: A. Sanctions prevent engagement (James cooper, California Western International Law Journal, Spring 98, p391)

The Cubans can't buy food and medical supplies

Adv1

Scn1

A. Sanctions will lead to civil war, mass immigration to the US and humanitarian problems

B. US policy prevents democracy

Gillian Gunn, Cuba and the Future, 1994

Scn2

A. an increased flood of immigration will come from humanitarian problems



B. immigration to the US will cause the usage of military force and war

Adv2

A. Food crisis exists

B. Sanctions are a violation of the universal morality

C. Sanctions = genocide (Geoff Simons, Imposing Economic Sanctions: Legal Remedy or Genocidal Tool)

Solvency

A. Constructive Engagement leads to internal reforms

B. should lift the embargo

C. empirically - sanctions enforce Castro

D. will cause a bloody explosion

Other cites (sorry I screwed up and forgot to correlate these with the cards)

Raymond Tanter, Rogue Regime: Proliferation and Terrorism, 1998

Hon Bernard Aronson and Hon William Rogers, <http://www.foreignrelations.org/public/pubs/uscuba2.html>

Andrew Zimbalist, Cuba and the Future: Cuba's Economic and Political Crisis, 1994

Williard Workman, FDCH Congressional Testimony, June 1, "evidence of the positive effects of the US presence"

Lewis and Clark HS

Text:

In consultation with all relevant actors, the US Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of nearly all economic and diplomatic sanctions with the Republic of Iran. Assets will be unfrozen and future assistance will be asked for a *s quid pro quo*. All current export controls and sanctions on dual-use technology will remain in place. We reserve the right to clarify intent. Funding and enforcement is guaranteed.

1AC outline/cites:

- 1) Clinton lifted sanctions – DA is non-unique – Indyk '99
- 2) above rescission was not enough – Jehl '99
- 3) both countries (US/Iran) are willing to constructively engage – Pelletreau, July '98
- 4) Relations Advantage
  - a) sanctions hurt relations – Teicher '99
  - b) increased relations solve problems – Jan '99
- 5) Khatami Advantage
  - b) Iran at crossroads – Cordesman '99
  - c) SQ will lead to nationalism, not a solution – Dhooge '98
- 6) Prolif Advantage
  - a) Iran will acquire WMD – Mafson '99
  - b) Constructive engagement leads to Middle East peace – Nathan '98
  - c) Quick prolif will lead to a first strike – Benes '96
- 7) Caspian Advantage
  - a) Iranian pipeline is preferred. Sanctions kill it – Dilip Hero 11-29-98 Inter Press Service
  - b) There will be no Baku-Ceyhan pipeline, leaving only Iran and Russia as options – Ruckerman '99

- c) Russian control of the pipeline would be bad – Sullivan '99
- d) Wars would escalate – Cohen '96
- e) Jaffe and Manning (card in our Iran 1AC)

2AC answers to off cases

Caspian

- 1) Development inevitable
- 2) Iran pipeline inevitable
- 3) No Turkey pipeline
- 4) Case prevents Russian domination/Russian pipeline is bad!

2AR/big tricks

Both Iran and Turkey pipeline inevitable

## Liberty

### **WAKE**

Liberty

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Liberty AF

vs.: Concordia AT

Case: Iraq

Judge: Delaughder, Ken-Emporia

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Oil for food is inadequate-Gause 99

Muller and Mueller 99

Sanctions immoral-Kochler 99

Airstrikes bad-Economist 99

Sanctions kill-Sheram 97

Embargo blocks supplies-Newman 99

Lifting sanctions solves-Liapson 99

Saddam hands out food-Sullivan 99

Lifting sanctions is moral-Gause 99

Liberty

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Liberty CM

vs.: Kansas MM

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Parcher

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan lifts all sanctions, including military

Advantages are humanitarian crisis and morality

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Liberty AF

vs.: Cornell NW

Case (country):Iraq

Judge: Von Burg

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Same as pre-tournament supplement caselist with the edition of Sullivan '99 evidence in the first advantage.

(Food will get distributed)

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Liberty HS

vs.: Kansas RS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sternhagen, Fred - Concordia

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan - The USFG will adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions against Iraq. Further CE will include ending bombings and ending all bombings (that's what it says - maybe a policy redundancy add-on?) and ending all US security council votes contrary to the Aff plan.

- Health/Morality adv.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT : Clinton WTO

1. Clinton lame duck now
2. Fiat takes out the link
3. N/u - US-Sino relations low now
4. No WTO vote until June
5. Case outweighs
6. Clinton won't push the plan

T w/ government

1. resolution says including, not that you have to engage government
2. no ground loss
3. our interp best bright line
4. no right to DA ground
5. lit checks abuse
6. no potential abuse

AT : reparations DA

sanctions violate international law

1. we increase int law
2. no link

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Liberty AF

vs.: North Texas GD

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Corndog

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Oil for food inadequate - gauge 98

Get Wmds - no inherency- meuller 99

Smokescreen- kochler 99

Adv 1-

Air strikes bad- economist 99

Death smreeram 97

Embargo blocks needs- newman 99

Lift embargo solves- liapson 99

Saddam hands out food - sullivan 91

Adv 2- violent law- kocher 99

Akin to rodney king

More moral- gauge 99

Vote aff without solvency- kocher 99

Liberty

School: Liberty

Team(s): AH

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: CE Iran, consistent with a post-realist philosophy

Adv: Post-Realism offers the only real solution

Adv: MidEast Stability

Iran is key to stability, conflict in mideast is likely

WMD - sanctions help hardliners = nuclear proliferation and terrorism, Iran will use WMD

Cites: Chicago Tribune, 98 "constructive role", Foreign Aff 6/97, Bill -Iran in the Gulf: search for stability '96, Chubin Arms Procurement in Iran 97, Connery 94, LA Times 5/99 "key concern", Iran briefs 97 "significant lower threshold", Chubin, engaging Iran: US strategy, Sick, WashPost 99 "reconciliation", Jane's Intell. Review 99, "precondition", Dickinson Aug 98

School: Liberty

Team(s): FY, CM

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward North Korea, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions except dual use and sensitive military technology, as well as sending food aid and normalizing our trade status with North Korea.

Advantage one: Famine

Advantage two: War and proliferation

School: Liberty

Team(s): GR, CS

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions excluding the sale of sensitive and dual use mil equip. with the h-s of Iraq as advocated. We should end Iraq's obligation to the Gulf War compensation fund, end UN supervision of the purchase and distributions of food and medicine and lift the limits of Iraq's ability to produce and sell oil. Further rollbacks contingent upon cooperation with UN inspection teams.

Advantages: WMD, Suffering

Cites: (WMD) - Crause '99, Burns '99, SanFran Chronicle '99 ; (Suff.) -

Chomsky '99, Shreeram '97, Mueller '99, Halliday '98

School: Liberty

Team(s): WR

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of Constructive engagement with the government of Cuba, including the removal of nearly all economic sanctions, as well as an aid program for the distribution of appropriate United States Medical technology information, food, and medicine. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Observation one: Inherency

Advantage one: Health

Embargo stops medical supplies

Lack of adequate medical care à 25,000 deaths/yr from breast cancer done

US embargo is morally unacceptable

Breast cancer, starvation and death.

Morality evidence.

Advantage two: US Leadership

Sanctions are the biggest barrier to increased cooperation and better relations with our allies. If we don't increase relations Canada will bring suit in WTO. We will lose, killing United States leadership.

Observation three: solvency

-Repeal of embargo solves medical harms

Affirmative Cites:

New York Times 1-6-99

Schwab, Cuba: Confronting the Embargo 1999

Moakly, Congressional Digest, 3-99

Cooper, California Western International Law Journal

Spring 1998

Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good with Sanctions, 1999

Pisano, Tulane Journal of International and comparative

Law, 235, 1998

Dhooge, Arizona Journal of international and comparative

Law, 575, 1997

Morici, current history, 2-97

Nye, Washington Quarterly, 1996

Khalizad

News and Observer 4-19-99

Liberty - Affirmative

School: Liberty

Team(s): AF

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement, which immediately removes all economic sanctions against the nation-state of Iraq and ends the US bombing of Iraq, with the nation-state of Iraq.

Plan will occur through normal means. Affirmative will clarify intent.

Inherency:

Oil For Food Inadequate - Gause '99 and IAC '99

Mueller and Mueller '99

Advantage One: Death

Airstrikes

Lots of Death - Shreeram '97  
Lifting Sanctions Solves - Liapson, Clawson, Parasiliti, and Francke, '99

Advantage Two: Morality  
Genocide - Kochler '99  
Moral Move is to lift - Gause '99  
Sanctioned Into Non-Existence - Chomsky '99

School: Liberty  
Team(s): CM  
Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:  
Plan: The usfg will immediately lift nearly all economic sanctions on North Korea and normalize trade relations. The us will constructively engage NK by increasing necessary humanitarian and agricultural aid. Nothing in this plan should be interpreted as a change in the us stance on IMF loans. Funding and enforcement guaranteed through normal means. Aff speeches will clarify intent.

Observation 1  
Inherancy  
Perry Meaningless  
CNN 9/17/1999  
Missile launch w/o complete lifting of econ sanctions  
Wren 99

Advantage One-Famine  
Millions Dead  
Crossette 99  
Khang 99

Advantage Two-War  
Instability/Famine leads to war  
Kempster 98  
Harrison 98  
NK Could kill millions  
Minneapolis Star Tribune 99  
Omicinski 99  
Draw in China  
Bodansky 99

Observation 2-Solvency  
Lift. Sanctions leads to econ liberalization  
Harrison 98  
Solve war  
Sigal 99  
NK accept  
Sigal 98  
Food aid provides stability  
Natsios 97

School: Liberty  
Team(s): HS  
Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:  
Obs1- for the past 10 years, sanctions on iraq have killed over a million

iraqi people

Plan text: the usfg will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions against the government of the nation state of iraq. Further constructive engagement will include ending bombing and all u.s. security council votes contrary to the aff plan. Funding and enforcement/ clarification jazz

Obs2:

An entire generation has been sanctioned into non-existence  
4,500 kids die every month-it is sanctioned mass murder  
More iraqis have died due to sanctions than all people who have died from wmd's throughout history  
Can't tinker w/ sq sanctions-must lift all  
Saddam is handing out food  
Delinking economic and military sanctions only confuses the issue: all sanctions must be lifted

Obs3:

The sanctions regime constitutes genocide and a crime against humanity  
Only the u.s. can be blamed for the iraqi tragedy  
We are conscious architects of genocide  
The goal of this policy is to bring iraq into line w/ western ideals  
Must reject these medieval methods of seige  
Sanctions put iraqis into coffins-must lift all

Main authors:

Chomsky, Gause, Simons, Kochler, International Action Center, Gordon, Mueller & Mueller

School: Liberty

Team(s): RW

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan Text- the USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government, of Cuba, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions, as well as an aid program for the distribution of appropriate US medical technology, information, and medicine. Funding and enforcement through normal means. Aff speeches clarify.

Clinton admin refuses to make any major changes to US-Cuba policy

Adv 1-Medicine

The embargo= complete denial of all US medicine and tech  
Licensing requirements block medicine  
Starvation and death result  
This kills 25,000 women a year from breast cancer  
The embargo is immoral

Adv 2- Leadership

Sanctions kills credibility in the UN and EU  
Sancts violate intl law  
Inconsistent enforcement kills US leadership  
Continuing the embargo will invite isolationism  
Sancts kill relations with our allies



Bilat relats key to US leadership  
US leadership key to check global nuke war

Solvency

Repealing the embargo gives Cuba needed medicine -Cooper 98

Donations alone won't solve -Moakly 99

Only the US can solve -Moakly 99

Reforming Cuba policy is the last step in re-establishing US leadership

## ***KINGS***

Liberty University MN

Plan: LU Iraq

Adv: Continuing sanctions = millions die

WMD

Decrease sanctions = return of inspections, sanctions increase  
support for Saddam, lifting sanctions helps humanitarian problems

Cites: Chomsky, K. Shreeram '97, Bennis 1999, 2 magazine, Gaude Foreign  
Affairs June 1999, Burns, SanFran Chronicle Courtwright and Lopez, US Govt  
white pages

Liberty University RW

Plan: CE to Cuba by lifting nearly all economic sanctions, US will give aid  
to assist in the distribution of medical supplies

Adv: 1. Health

- Embargo stops medical supplies

- Lack of adequate medical care à 25,000 deaths/yr from breast cancer done

- US embargo is morally unacceptable

2. US leadership - 5 internal links

- embargo à WTO backlash on H-B Act

EU trading partners backlash

Violates int'l law

Inconsistent foreign policy kills bilateral relationships

Liberty University CS

Plan: Same as LU GR

Adv: WMD, famine, disaster

Cites: Gause '99, Cortwright and Lopez '99, Mueller and Mueller '99, Bennis  
'99, Vorter '98

Liberty University CE

Plan: Remove sanctions except for dual-use technology on NK

Adv: avoid WMD proliferation of use

Liberty University FY, CM

Plan: NK

Adv: Famine, War

Liberty University GR

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions excluding the sale of sensitive and dual use mil equip. with the h-s of Iraq as advocated. We should end Iraq's obligation to the Gulf War compensation fund, end UN supervision of the purchase and distributions of food and medicine and lift the limits of Iraq's ability to produce and sell oil. Further rollbacks contingent upon cooperation with UN inspection teams.

Advantages: WMD, Suffering

Cites: (WMD) - Crause '99, Burns '99, SanFran Chronicle '99 ; (Suff.) - Chomsky '99, Shreeram '97, Mueller '99, Halliday '98

Liberty University AH

Plan: CE Iran, consistent with a post-realist philosophy

Adv: Post-Realism offers the only real solution

Liberty University BJ

Plan: CE with Iran

Adv: MidEast Stability - Iran is key to stability, conflict in mideast is likely

WMD - sanctions help hardliners = nuclear proliferation and terrorism, Iran will use WMD

Cites: Chicago Tribune, 98 "constructive role", Foreign Aff 6/97, Bill - Iran in the Gulf: search for stability '96, Chubin Arms Procurement in Iran 97, Connery 94, LA Times 5/99 "key concern", Iran briefs 97 "significant lower threshold", Chubin, engaging Iran: US strategy, Sick, WashPost 99 "reconciliation", Jane's Intell. Review 99, "precondition", Dickinson Aug 98

**UNI**

Liberty CS

Plan: As per the suggestion of F. Gregory Gause, the United States federal government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the removal of economic sanctions, exempting the sale of military equipment and dual-use technology with the government of Iraq and suspend Iraq's obligation to the gulf war compensation fund, end U.N. supervision of the purchase and distribution of food and medicine, and lift the limits on Iraq's ability to produce and sell oil. Further rollbacks contingent upon cooperation with United Nation's inspections teams.

Advantage one: Humanitarian Crisis ? lots of kids are starving and suffering from disease.

Advantage two: Big wars ? sanctions cause Iraq nuclear and biological proliferation.

#### Liberty FY

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward North Korea, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions except dual use and sensitive military technology, as well as sending food aid and normalizing our trade status with North Korea.

Advantage one: Famine

Advantage two: War and proliferation

#### Liberty RW

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of Constructive engagement with the government of Cuba, including the removal of nearly all economic sanctions, as well as an aid program for the distribution of appropriate United States Medical technology information, food, and medicine. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Observation one: Inherency

Advantage one: Drugs

-Breast cancer, starvation and death.

-Morality evidence.

Advantage two: Khalizad

-Sanctions are the biggest barrier to increased cooperation and better relations with our allies. If we don't

increase relations Canada will bring suit in WTO. We will lose.

Killing United States Leadership.

Observation three: solvency

-Repeal of embargo solves medical harms

Affirmative Cites: New York Times 1-6-99

Schwab, Cuba: Confronting the Embargo 1999

Moakly, Congressional Digest, 3-99

Cooper, California Western International Law Journal

Spring 1998

Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good with Sanctions, 1999

Pisano, Tulane Journal of International and comparative

Law, 235, 1998

Dhooge, Arizona Journal of international and comparative

Law, 575, 1997

Morici, current history, 2-97

Nye, Washington Quarterly, 1996

Khalizad

News and Observer 4-19-99

## Louisville

### *USC*

Louisville CC: Charles and Cunningham

Plan: USFG should adopt a policy of CE towards Iraq including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions including but not limited to sanctions on food, humanitarian, medical supplies, and dual-use items. Consistent with the advocacy of our authors. This lift will exclude allowing the importation of items that would violate international laws. The US will reverse its support of sanctions in the UN. Further, our policy of CE will include the immediate termination of the military campaign aimed at the Iraqi government. We guarantee funding and enforcement of the plan. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

Advantages:

Deaths because no food or medicine

Louisville WL: Westbrook and Lee

Plan: USFG should adopt a policy of CE towards the government of Iraq including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions including but not limited to sanctions on food and humanitarian and medical supplies and dual use items consistent with the advocacy of our authors. This lift will exclude allowing the exportation of items that violate international law. The US will reverse its support for sanctions in the UN. Further, our policy of constructive engagement will include the immediate termination of the military campaign aimed at the Iraqi government.

Advantages:

Thousands of kids die, genocide, US blames Saddam and manipulates dual use  
Iraqi WMD threat is constructed, moral obligation to lift sanctions

### *WAKE*

Louisville

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Louisville RW

vs.: Michigan BS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: David Chesier

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Same as casebook - except organizational changes

1. inherency - we're targeting Saddam now
2. genocide - kills kurds, OFF indicts
3. immoral

- worse than Hiroshima and Nagasaki
- targets kids
- WMD fears exaggerated
- Certain sanction deaths are greater than theoretical WMD concerns

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

ADD - ON -- Sanctions are racist

AT: NATO EXPANSION DA

1. not unique - NK
2. shell concedes that NATO cohesive now
3. n/u - will ease Iraq sanctions either way - NYT 9/16/99
4. US public opposes power projection - x,x
5. Case outweighs

AT: OFF CP

1. tried before and failed
2. fixes of OFF can't solve for genocide
3. do both - CP gets DA's
4. OFF fixes are superficial

AT: Democracy Consolidation

1. democracy promotion increases patriarchy ( Kate Nash, Universal Difference, 1998, p.87

AT: Terrorism List DA

1. no link - assumes lifting military sanctions
2. impacts = hysteria
3. case outweighs
4. terrorism threats exaggerated

AT: appease Saddam case turns

1. T/ focused sanctions contain better - Gause, Foreign Affairs, May/June 1999
2. No perception internally

Louisville

School: Louisville

Team: LH

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

KENTUCKY PLAN:

The USFG will lift nearly all economic sanctions against Iraq. (They don't do any other type of constructive engagement).

DIXIE-STYLE PLAN

The United States Federal Government will remove nearly all of its economic sanctions toward Iraq, including but not limited to trade sanctions on food and medical supplies. A policy of constructive engagement focusing on positive economic inducements, as per the recommendations of Zacheus Selden (sp?) will be adopted. Funding problems will be charged to Dr. Warner's credit card, enforcement by Rafael Bito as always. We reserve the right to clarify.

1AC:

Observation One: United States currently hardline vs Iraq  
-perpetuates a cycle of confrontation.

Observation two: Pain

- warfare against the citizens of Iraq
- lots of kids dying
- genocide equivalent to the holocaust
- genocide/morality arguments
- must commit to stopping genocide.

Observation three: Solvency

- must replace sanctions with constructive engagement
- only engagement solves the moral and legal ramifications of existing sanctions.
- engagement with Saddam can work
- decreasing aggressive stance a precondition for solvency
- constructive engagement solves via economic interdependence of Iraq.

Observation four: Weighing the round

- realist impact evaluation bad
- negative impacts are inevitable ? realism insures the impacts
- realism increases the propensity for violence

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

T CE

- we meet - increase economic ties
- we meet - adopt a policy
- not hurt grammar - must include, not do more
- must prove in-round abuse
- limits bad
- no bright line
- resolution requires lifting
- plan text in a vacuum
- turn - imposing definitions bad, masculinizes debate, ballot must discursively resist

Reform OFF CP

- dispositionality bad
- empirically won't accept
- perm - both
- prices low - can't solve
- not solve diseases - pesticides needed
- US must lift sanctions to solve

Israel

- empirically denied
- no internal link
- no link - not softline
- not unique - multiple disputes
- turn - allows better US-Palestine relations
- PP not successful
- PP causes wars

Clinton

- political debates shouldn't be weighed x
- normal means solve
- n/u - NK

- n/u - PP
- winners win

#### Oil Prices

- impact e/d - flux
- n/u - oil increasing now
- no link - not cause price drop
- e/d - OFF
- n/u - Y2K

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

#### 2AC/1AR

Either speech may collapse to a Feminist Critique of rational economic arguments, realist arguments, nuclear strategy, public private distinctions, or anything else to do with the nation state in general... since such excludes and oppresses women. This is what leads to the inevitability of the negative impacts.

Rejection both individually and structurally

#### 2AR

Went for argument that topicality definitions masculinize debate. (2NR did not go for T)

### **UNI**

>>>Louisville's Iraq Aff:

>>>

>>>USFG should remove all economic sanctions towards Iraq including but  
>>>not limited to trade sanctions on food and medical supplies. A policy  
>>>of constructive engagement focusing on positive economic inducements as  
>>>per Zachary Selden will be adopted.

>>>

>>>won't engage now -- Bahgat 4/97; International Relations

>>>

>>>must fight sanctions -- Bonnici '98; Children Are Dying: Impact of  
>>>Economic Sanctions on Iraq

>>>

>>>must constructively engage -- Haass 11/97; For Affrs.

>>>

>>>Ritter '99; Endgame

>>>

>>>Halliday 2/99; Current History

>>>

>>>Halliday '99; Journal of Palestinian Studies XXVIII

>>>

>>>Halliday 2/19/99; Seattle Times

>>>

>>>Cortright and Lopez Spring '99; Journal of International Affairs;

>>>

>>>Gause May/June '99; For. Affrs. "pauperization"

>>>

>>>Mueller and Mueller May/June '99; For. Affrs.

>>>

>>>Alkadiri 1/99; The World Today

>>>

>>>Clark '98; Challenge to Genocide

>>>

#### Louisville CC

Plan: The United States Federal Government will remove nearly all of its economic sanctions toward Iraq, including but not limited to trade sanctions on food and medical supplies. A policy of constructive engagement focusing on positive economic inducements, as per the recommendations of Zacheus Selden (sp?) will be adopted. Funding problems will be charged to Dr. Warner's credit card, enforcement by Rafael Bito as always. We reserve the right to clarify.

Observation one: United States currently hardline v.s. Iraq's perpetuating a cycle of confrontation.

Observation two: Pain

- warfare against the citizens of Iraq
- lots of kids dieing
- genocide equivelant to the holocaust
- genocide/morality arguments
- must commit to stopping genocide.

Observation three: Solvency

- must replace sanctions with constructive engagement
- only engagement solves the moral and legal ramifications of existing sanctions.
- engagement with Saddam can work
- decreasing aggressive stance a precondition for solvency
- constructive engagement solves via economic interdependence of Iraq.

Observation four: Weighing the round

- realist impact evaluation bad
- negative impacts are inevitable ? realism insures the mpx
- realism increases the propensity for violence

Note: The 2AC may collapse to a Feminist Critique of rational economic arguments, realist arguments, nuclear strategy, public private distinctions, or anything else to do with the nation state in general's since such excludes and oppresses women. This is what leads to the inevitability of the negative impacts. Rejection both individually and structurally is key to fighting the patriarchy. A similar kritik they may run pertains to race instead of gender.

#### Louisville HW

Plan: Lift sanctions against Iraq including trade sanctions as per Zach Seldon

Observation one: Inherency

Advantage one: sanctions cause death

Advantage two: Arms Race

-Constructive engagement key to stopping weapons of mass destruction.

Observation three: solvency



Louisville LW

Plan: The United States Federal Government will lift nearly all sanction to Iraq including but not limited to trade and economic sanctions on food and medical supplies. A policy of constructive engagement thru positive economic inducements will be adopted per solvency authors (Seldon).

Advantage areas:

- malnutrition
- Biological warfare = genocide

Underview: Critique of realism

Note: Will use feminist international relations theory stuff to turn CTBT

## Macalester

### *UCO*

Macalester KW

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy CE, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sx. With the govt of Iraq as per the recs of Denis Halliday and the IAC. This will include the following:

All non military sanctions will be lifted. The US will veto any UN proposal to do the same. The US will stop bombing Iraq w/o the consent of the entirety of the UN security council as per UN policy. The USFG will reclassify those items on the dual use list as per the standards currently in other nations.

The US will allow trade assistance by NGO's, and investment as per Halliday and the IAC.

Advantages: See Below^

IAC Cites:

Observation I - OFF cant solve humanitarian needs, Amorim, 1999^ SX deliberately destroy food supply, Simons 1996^ 4500 children per month, Ward 1998^ Lack of pesticides a new threat to food supply for entire Middle East, CBS news 1998^ No Moral Justification for killing children, Halliday 1999^ Genocidal stat sponsored mass murder, Chomsky 1999

Observation II - Non Mil. SX don't reward saddam only hope for people, Halliday 1999^ Must lift sx and encourage trade to rebuild infrastructure, IAC, 1999^ OFF proves problem = sx. Iraq will give food. South News, 1999^ sanctions to stop BW legitimates them, Simons 1996^ international consensus, Gause 1999^ Moral Practical move, Gause 1999.

Underview - Dual use = ploy, Simons 1996^ Absolute prohibitions must take precedent, Nagel 1994^ Iraqi non compliance = attempt to avoid blame, Simons 1996^ food distribution = highest moral goal, Watson 1977, Consequences don't matter (MLK card), Gerwirth 1994.

2AC Tricks:

### *UNI*

Macalester BB

Plan: The United States will lift sanctions on Iraq, Iran, North Korea, Syria, and Cuba.

Advantages: Humanism Bad and Spanos in 1993

Macalester KW

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions towards Iraq, as per the recommendations of Dennis Holliday and the international action center, including the following: all non-military, economic sanctions will be lifted, the United States will not veto any UN proposal to do the same. Additionally, the united

states will allow trade, assistance by NGO^Os, and investment as per Halliday and the IAC.

Observation one: Death

- food supply and infrastructure deteriorating
- lots of children dieing
- Lack of available pesticides kills food supply
- immoral not to lift the sanctions
- sanctions are a gendered policy

Observation two: Sex

- sanctions are gendered, decreasing potential for overthrow
- no revolt because of the suppression of women
- individual rejection key to critique

Solvency:

- Plan helps Iraq enjoy female participation in democracy
- only engagement can solve
- on balance the lifting of the sanctions is a moral good
- lifting embargo = cooperation

## **Marist**

### ***KINGS***

Marist College ??

Plan: Cuba

Advantages: stop violent transition, stop nuclear plant

Sites:

## Mary Washington

### ***KINGS***

Mary Washington College ??

Plan: US will CE with Cuba , drop all sanctions

Advantages: Democracy, WTO collapse

## Mercer

### WAKE

Mercer

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Mercer DT

vs.: George Mason IT

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Kerr

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

a2 religious freedom

1. no link lift sanction dhoes not equal religious persecution
2. sanctions do not cause religious persecution
3. no link - plan just...
4. moral obligation to solve
5. plan does not liegitimize human rights abuses
6. should shun sanctions on iran
7. perm
8. turn - delven 99 solvency evidence says plan solves human rights
9. turn - economic sanctions equal human rights abuse gibson 99 ev

a2 khutami

1. n/u hardliners opposing human rights now evidence
2. no link iran public supports plan
3. no link why any plan equals backlash
4. turn - plan undermines hardliners
5. emp denied journal of commerce = we have exemptions
6. plan increases khutami cred + other assorted analytics

a2 cwc: n/u exemptions now

1. journal of commerce 99 evidence
2. no sillover
3. n/u north korea
4. empirically denied - us undermining cwc cred
5. case solves prolif
6. sanctions do not cause
7. us key to cwc cred, csm 98
8. no impact one is not effective laxs 99
9. turn - constructive enagement boosts treaty nyt 99

a2 turkey

1. n/u iran prolif now and perceived by turkey
2. summit increased us/turkey ties, thus, no brink
3. alternate causaulity - syria sponsors pkc?
4. turkey does not fear iran 95
5. iran not key to pkc? support 99
6. peace process does not solve and leads to terrorism

Mercer

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Mercer DT

vs.: Northwestern FG

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Heather Hall

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Observation 1: US Companies to sanction Iran despite Iran's move to a more moderate government

Regardless of the recent thaw in relations with Iran, the US continues economic sanctions

Agence France Presse, Nov. 8 '99

Although exemptions were given in the past, they were given on a case by case basis and no government sales were allowed.

Journal of Commerce in 99

Sanctions deter much needed foreign investment

Wurlitzer, Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, July 18 '99, pg. 3

Unfreezing Iranian assets and lifting sanctions are needed to engage

Fuller, Middle East Policy, v. 6, #2, October, 1998, pg. 190

Scenario 1: Caspian Oil

Sanctions prevent investment in a "silk road" strategy for oil development and prevents successful development of Caspian Basin Oil reserves

Bremmer, Insight on the News, July 20, '98 L/N

In order to normalize relations, the US must end its opposition to an Iranian Caspian oil pipeline

Agence France Presse, October 3, 1998

Improved US/Iran relations needed to increase Gulf and Central Asian Stability

Hunter, US-Iran Relations: Areas of Tension and Mutual Interest, '94, pg.

13

A pipeline through Iran is the best way to ensure internal political stability, and prevent environmental disasters

Dallas Morning News, Sept. 20 '98

Scenario II - Iranian encirclement-

Sanctions against Iran make them feel encircled and make nuclear development inevitable in the status quo

Chubin, 1994, Iran's National Security Policy: Intentions Capabilities and Impact,

Pg. 53

Iran is proliferating Now

NYT Sept. 5, 99

This military build up exacerbates regional tensions and risks nuclear use due to miscalculation, preemption, or deterrence

Chubin 94

Iranian nuclear weapons development is a political decision which is reversible; however, the status quo containment policy will cause weapons acquisition making conflict with the US inevitable

Chubin 94

Observation II - solvency-

Constructive Engagement ensures regional stability and contains the Iraqi threat  
Telcher, LA Times, March 1, 1999

The US must increase economic engagement while maintaining focus on terrorism and weapons of mass destruction  
Rugh, Middle East Policy, vol. V, No. 2, 1997

Engagement policies decrease support for Iranian conservativesw  
Chubin, [www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/Lobby/3163/Chubin2.html](http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/Lobby/3163/Chubin2.html)//sy  
10-11-98

International Community doesn't support sanctions  
Haas, Sept. 9 '99

Normalized US/Iranian relations saves billions of US dollars  
Preeg, '99

Lifting economic sanctions jumpstarts the Iranian economy and accelerates reform  
Eiland, Middle East Policy, vol. 6, No. 2, 1998

Constructive engagement increases relations and solves objectives better than isolation  
DeLuca, May 1999

Status quo ILSA prevents joint ventures between Iran and US  
Business Times, Nov. 17, 97

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Mercer DH

vs.: Michigan State MD

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Lisa Jordan

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

In Addition to our own personal advocacy, and because we have to, we propose the following idea as a possible policy of constructive engagement:

The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea by no longer acting toward the construction of the people of North Korea as a Terrorist Threat, including removing the DPRK from Section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act, otherwise known as the State Department's Terrorist List and all other economic sanctions. We'll clarify intent.

Now Let's talk, for here's our story...

Despite the recent lifting of economic sanctions, the US still refuses to remove North Korea from the Terrorist List

Babington, Washington Post Online, September 17, 1999 "Clinton this morning partially lifted economic sanctions against North Korea"



This list is tragic for it labels and condemns North Korea to actions the US classifies as evil.

Dobkin, *Tales of Terror*, 1992, 39-40

Labeled North Korea as Terrorist since 1950,

Newcomb, June 10, 1997, hearings before the subcommittee on crime,

[www.fas.org/irp/congress/1997\\_hr/hju48095\\_0.htm](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/1997_hr/hju48095_0.htm)

North Korea is not involved in terrorism - State Department admits

AP Online August 5, 1999, lexis "North Korea has not been linked to an international terrorist incident since 1987,"

Having North Korea on the list stigmatizes them as a rogue nation,

China Daily, July 19, 1999, lexis, "There is a long list of countries stigmatized as terrorist"

The Language of Terrorist is a form of rhetoric. Its grounding in the political makes the "terrorist list" uniquely rhetorical

Leeman, *The Rhetoric of Terrorism and Counter-Terrorism*, 1991, 13-14

The way we talk about terrorism influences the way that we think

Dobkin again, p. 67?

SQ policy of labeling North Korea with the "terrorist" list is actually a rhetorical policy aimed at maintaining control, despite the very real and material consequences that it has on the people of North Korea

Leeman again, p. 19

Interestingly, Common misconceptions of "terrorism" blind us to other explanations and they dupe us into not questioning how the rhetoric of terrorism is a form of enemy construction.

Dobkin, p. 39

The rhetoric of "terrorism" constructs a political crisis, or a fractured relationship between two governments, used to justify American policies

Dobkin, p. 33

The Rhetoric of "terrorism" is a form of enemy labeling. Not only does this prevent a more accurate and fair understanding of North Korea, but it also justifies actual american violence in the name of protecting the US against the labeled, so-called "barbaric terrorists."

Leeman again, p. 73-4

Tragically, this mislabeling during the act of "terrorist" rhetoric justifies american military action and violence

Leeman, p. 74

By embracing our idea, we can forge new relationships, even if discursively, which will promote greater understanding and less reliance on violence

Ijaz, June 10 1997, hearings before the subcommittee on crime

By abandoning the label of terrorist list, we can re-articulate our own being as it relates to foreign policy, thereby shedding insight onto the nature of violence and relationships.

Campbell, *Writing Security*, 1992, p.252

Through a Praxis approach we can rearticulate our being absent the false constructions of "terror" and discover a more meaningful existence

Charland, "rehabilitating rhetoric: confronting blindspots in discourse and social theory" contemporary rhetorical theory: a reader, eds. Joh l. Lucates, Celeste M. Candit and Sally Caudill

The Negative will no doubt want to take issue with our story and kritik of the rhetoric of terrorism. IN so doing, they will most likely use evidence which should be questioned since it will be premised on the same labeling assumptions that occurs with the rhetoric of "terrorism"

Herman, 1993, Violent Persuasions: The Politics and Imagery of Terrorism, ed. By David Brown and Robert Merrill, 57-8

Biased sources are:

1. academic institutions
2. journalists
3. government sources
4. mass media
5. think tanks
6. "experts"
7. Hoover Institute
8. AEI
9. CSIS
10. Heritage
11. Rand

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: do plan, but not lift export sanctions

1. 1AC = performance - CP can't solve this
2. dispositionality = insincere
3. exact act key x,x
4. must engage in liberating discourse - aff does this
5. can't catch 100% solvency of case
6. targetting sanctions cannot solve x,x
7. disposition bad - neg can kick, insincere, voter for ground and debatability
8. can't solve morality x,x,

AT: Tobacco

1. other countries
2. not unique - NK has tobacco x,x
3. nobody will invest in NK x,x
4. no impact articulated

AT: RICE

1. development discourse --> bias against womyn x,x
2. must break down patriarchy x,x
3. no link

AT: Clinton

1. no link
2. not unique - NTR x,x
3. insincerity - mpx = threat construction
4. clinton is always sucking political capital, AFX-Asia 11/18/99
5. africa trade bill denies the impact - journal of commerce 11/9/99
6. poor bill hurts clinton - St. Petersburg Times, 11/6/99

AT: Toxic waste DA

1. no link - not NK evidence
2. t/ neg legitimizes violence
3. no link - nobody will invest

AT: pesticides

1. other countries
2. empirically denied - companies export around sanctions
3. they are speaking for the other - they can't do this

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Mercer KW

vs.: Emory JK

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Todd Lyden

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Through all relevant normal means, Congress will immediately codify the existing executive sanctions agreement of the Berlin Deal as well as legislate the removal of all remaining economic sanctions on the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, promote discussions with South Korea, Japan, Israel, and other concerned nations on a package of aid and trade conditioned on concessions by North Korea forming a peace corp for North Korea, and appointing a senior US Negotiator as a special envoy to North Korea, and encourage North Korea to engage in high level peace talks with South Korea. We reserve the right to clarify

Obs. 1 inherency - there will be a rollback of current WAIVED sanctions and it was only to appease - Plunk, Heritage Backgrounder, Oct. 7, 1999

Obs. 2. - 6 scenarios for disaster

I. North Korea will implode

II. Hardline policy --> Civil War

III. Famine

IV. Missile Prolif

V. Nuke Acquisition

VI. Hegemony good

Obs. 3 - Solvency - main author is plunk

Other cites

Jongchul, "US Policy toward North Korea," Journal of East Asian Affairs, 1998

Jei Guk Jeon, Dec. 1998, [www.ndu.edu/inss/strforum/forum152.html](http://www.ndu.edu/inss/strforum/forum152.html)

Joo, "Korean Foreign Relations," American Asian Review, Fall 1998

AFP, Nov. 9, 1999

Boston Herald, Oct. 25, 1999

Liem, "Silent Famine in North Korea," Peace Review, June 1999, lexis

Fresno, 7/18/99

Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, March/April 1999

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

There were no DA's in the round... but these are the big arguments from the case that they extended

- \* we're appeasing now
- \* food aid = hegemony
- \* increasing ties blocks regional war
- \* not propping up North Korea
- \* must stop economic decline to prevent regime collapse

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Mercer KW  
vs.: Berkely KS  
Case: North Korea  
Judge: Stevenson, Ron-Wayne State  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please not any changes from original caselist)  
Inherency  
Current Policy is Appeasement  
Congress will rollback the Berlin Agreement

Ad. 1

1. Implosion-regime collapse = civil war, war in Korea escalates
2. Hardline lashout-econ decline - NK lashout, invasion of SK escalates
3. Famine-military takeover causes war, millions die from starvation
4. Missile prolifer-NK sells missiles which destabilizes Asia
5. Nuke Acquisition-NK will proliferate which destroys the non-prolif regime
6. Hegemony-Agreed Framework decreases US influence, US hege stops war

Solvency

Lifting sanctions improves US/NK relations, CE key to insuring peace in Korea, engaging NK increases US leadership, only the US can solve, moral imperative to act because of famine

--Wright 99 Bulletin of Atomic Scientists March/April

--Plunk 99 [www.heritage.org/library/background/by1333.html](http://www.heritage.org/library/background/by1333.html)

--Fuelner 99 2/10 Congressional Testimony House Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific Committee on International Affairs

--Lofevor 99 America's Imperial Burdon is the Past Prologue

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton

1. Congressional approval unneeded for normal trade relations
2. Agreed Framework decreases credibility
3. Turn-plan increases US leadership
4. Congress is angry at current NK policy
5. Turn-winners win
6. No spillover
7. No specific link

Consult SK CP

1. plan does consultation
2. Perm: do plan and give SK veto power
3. SK agrees to do plan
4. Perm do CP then plan
5. No solvency advocate (ind. VI)
6. Perm-Consult and do the plan regardless

Mercer

School: Mercer

Team: DH

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN:

USFG will constructively engage the people of the DPRK by removing all economic sanctions, especially removing them from the State Department's

List of Terrorist States. Intent.

CASE:

One Observation -- Terror Talk is bad.

North Korea is labeled as a Terrorist State. We should not do that.

Through critical discourse we can reach a better understanding of Terror/Threat Construction

We claim the following authors:

Dobkin '93 Terrorism and the Media

Charland '95 Critical discourse is vital to unmasking power hierarchies

Mercer

School: Mercer

Team: DT

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with Iran by immediately removing all economic sanctions on Iran, including amending all sections and sanctions under the Iran Libya Sanctions Act to exclude Iran entirely from the act. The plan, however, will not affect or change the Iranian non-proliferation Act. Funding and Enforcement thru normal means. We'll clarify.

Solvency cites:

Business Times, 11-17-97

Marshall Deluca, May 1999, "the us sanctions were imposed following accusations"

Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good With Sanctions, 1999

Richard Haass, statement before the senate task force on economic sanctions, 9-9-98

Chubin, 10-11-98, Survival

Rugh, Middle East Policy, 1997

Teicher, Los Angeles Times, 3-1-99

School: Mercer

Team: KW

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE, as per Wright and Plunk, with the DPRK. Specifically, the US will, through all normal means, immediately lift all remaining economic sanctions on North Korea, promote discussions with South Korea, Japan, Israel and other concerned nations on a package of aid as well as trade offers to North Korea, condition future aid on concessions by North Korea, encourage North Korea to engage in high-level peace talks with South Korea, consult all concerned nations about forming a peace corps for North Korea and appoint a senior US negotiator as a special envoy to Pyongyang.

Solvency cites:

Plunk, 1999, <http://www.heritage.org/library/backgrounder/bg1333.html>

Wright, 1999,

<http://www.bullatomsci.org/issues/1999/ma99/ma99wright.html>

## ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Mercer DT

The USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement with Iran by

removing all economic sanctions by amending ILSA to exempt Iran entirely for the Iran act.

Advantages: Caspian Oil and Encirclement.

Mercer DM

The USFG should remove the DPRk from the section G(j) of the Export Department of State's terrorist list. Clarify intent.

Advantages: Terror Talk

Mercer DW

We endorse, and as a matter of policy debate, offer the following plan:

Under the existential framework, The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by removing all sanctioned nation states.

Funding and enforcement, if any, will occur through normal means. We will clarify intent.

Advantages: Subjective World vision, overcomes objective dehumanization, and a positive alternative to realism in the status quo.

## Methodist

### WAKE

Methodist College

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Methodist BG

vs.: Pepperdine MZ

Aff case: Syria

Judge: Berube, David-South Carolina

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan: The conference report on foreign assistance appropriaties will be amended, forwarded, and signed by the president to require the immediate release of all blocked Syrian funds held in US banks, the payment of a minimum of \$200 million in unconditional ESF monies to Syria to be extended indefinitely consistent with the plan intent as defined by affirmative speeches and amend the FAA 1961 and the Fiscal 99 Foreign Operations Act accordingly to normalize US-Syrian relations lifting all economic sanctions toward Syria.

Inherency

Wpost 7/17/97 "barred by law"

Wpost 12/17/95 "strong resistance from Confress

Sc. 1 Succession

A. Stability risked by shaky succession Robinson ME Policy 1/98

B. Risks Civil War-Zisser ME Quarterly 9/95 p.65

C. Several Groups Will Clash-Me Policy 1/98 Durran

D. Civil War-Robinson "bloody struggle"

E. Regional War will destroy security-'95

Sc. 2 Economic Crisis

A. Economic Reforms Wearing Off-Richardson ME Policy 2/99

B. Asad too distracted by Peace to Notice Poor Economic Policy-Milton Viorst Nation 7/26/99

C. Elite against reforms that could help Syria-Robinson

D. If not Asad's regime, Syria will not fully integrate into world economy-Zisser New Republic 10-5-99

E. Syria trying to solve problem by entering world economy-Hafizullah emadi Contemporary Review Dec. 98

F. Syrian Economy is not ready, is closed, and is inefficient-Economist 1-17-99

G. Effects are multiplied when peace process is finally concluded-Robinson 98

Solvency

A. US can help-Hinnesbusch, Ray, 3rd World Quarterly June 97

B. US is experienced in helping these matters-Bird, Eugene Washington Report of ME Affairs, April/May 95 p. 90-1

C. Asad is clearing the way for his son-ABC NEWS 7-21-99

D. Asad needs time to ensure regime stability for his son-Viorst 99 p.22

E. Normalized relations and assistance would help the current regime and promote further stability Zisser 95 p.64

F. Economically, aid has helped Syria in the past-ME Report, [www.meip.org/hisham.html](http://www.meip.org/hisham.html) '97

G. Asad wants all aid and will pursue it realistically-NYT 9-5-99 p.A10

H. Asad's regime will make changes especially with peace-Viorst 99

I. US ESF Assistance is correct tool to help Syria now-Zimmerman Dollars, Diplomacy, Dependency 93

J. Engagement increases US influence and helps Syria-Defense Magazine 1-92

K. Reverses 12 year policy-Indyk speaking before NY Council on Foreign Relations-April 23 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Methodist BG

vs.: Southern Cal HV

Case: Syria

Judge: Fritch, John--SMS

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please not any changes from original caselist)

New plan from round one:

Plank 1: the President will sign an executive order to immediately release all blocked Syrian funds held by U.S. banks, the payment of a minimum of \$200 million per year in unconditional ESF to be extended indefinitely, and to lift all economic sanctions toward Syria to normalize trade and relations.

The case is the same

Methodist College

School: Methodist

Team(s): BG

Case: Syria

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: Give 200 million in ESF to Syria and lift sanctions to normalize relations

Advantages:

1. Asad Succession Crisis--basically Asad needs backing or regime won't survive

2. Economic crisis-economy is really bad and if it goes down tubes before peace, will prevent peace, if after, will destroy peace

Solvency



## Miami

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

#### MIAMI AG

Plan: Lift all sanctions on North Korea and constructively engage through normalization.

Advantages: Food, Proliferation - India/Pakistan and Iran.

Advocate: Sigal in 1998.

#### MIAMI PB

Plan: The US policy coordination for North Korea should recommend and Congress should approve a policy of constructive engagement in negotiations with Kim Jung Il or Kang Sok Unu, including: the immediate removal of all economic sanctions, the resumption of US foreign aid, including assistance from the Peace Corps and the Export/Import Bank, The authorization of financial transactions between the US banks and the normalization of trade and diplomatic relations, including those blocked by the Trading with the Enemy Act.

Advantages: Hunger, Missile Sales to Pakistan, Missile Sales to Iran = Israel First Strike.

### ***WAKE***

Miami (FL)

School: Miami

Team(s): AG, PB

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

In consultation with all relevant actors, the US policy coordinator for North Korea should recommend and Congress should approve a policy of constructive engagement in negotiations with Kim Il Jung including

The immediate and unconditional lifting of nearly all sanctions

Rejection of the use or threat of force

The normalization of trade and diplomatic relations including those blocked by the "trading with enemy" act

Resumption of foreign aid and loan

The policy should contain a waiver for the maintainance of trade restrictions on dual-use technology, military equipment, pesticides, and and product whose trade would violate international agreements on the control of dangerous products. Funding and Enforcement gauranteed.

Advantages: Hardline lashout, Sex Slave trade, Famine, India/Pakistan, small pox

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments:

AT: Appeasement

1. emp disproven - perry = appeasement
2. impacts = lie - threat construction
3. plan stops blackmail
4. plan gives them what they want - no incentive to do anything bad
5. nk not stupid - not go to war
6. appeasement not true - three reasons
7. turn - reject plan = worst signal

AT: SK

1. normal means = consultation
2. SK supports
3. SK wants sanctions lifted
4. Sunshine policy - lifting sanctions
5. Not internal link to economy
6. Turn: --> relations w/ SK
7. Not unique - did not consult w/ SK until after the Berlin agreement

## ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Miami GR

In consultation with all relevant actor, the IS policy coordinator for North Korea should recommend and congress should approve a policy of constructive engagement in negotiations with Kim Jung: 1. The immediate removal and unconditional lifting of nearly all economic sanctions, 2. Unfreezing of the DPRK assets by the US in Korean War. 3. Resumption of foreign aid and US support for international loan and 4. Normalization of trade and diplomatic relations, including removing the DPRK from the enemy list under the trading with the enemy act. The policy should contain a waiver for the maintenance and trade restrictions on Dual-use technology, military equipment, pesticides, and any product whose trade would violate international agreement on the control of dangerous products.

Advantages: famine, NK aggression, and Missile Exports.

University of Miami PB

In consultation with all relevant actors, the US policy coordinator for North Korea should recommend and Congress should approve a policy of constructive engagement in negotiations with Kim Il Jung including  
? The immediate and unconditional lifting of nearly all sanctions  
? Rejection of the use or threat of force  
? The normalization of trade and diplomatic relations including those blocked by the ^Ótrading with enemy^Ô act  
? Resumption of foreign aid and loan

The policy should contain a waiver for the maintainance of trade restrictions on dual-use technology, military equipment, pesticides, and and product whose trade would violate international agreements on the control of dangerous products. Funding and Enforcement gauranteed.

Advantages: Hardline lashout, Sex Slave trade, Famine, India/Pakistan

University of Miami AA

In consultation with all relevant actors, the US policy coordinator for North Korea should recommend and Congress should approve a policy of constructive engagement in negotiations towards DPRK including

- ? The immediate and unconditional lifting of nearly all sanctions
- ? The unfreezing of DPRK assets seized by the US in the Korean War
- ? The normalization of trade and and remove DPRK from ^Óenemy list^Ô
- ? Resumption of foreign aid and loan

The policy contains a waiver of trade that violates agreements on control of dangerous products. Advantages: Small Pox, Sex Slave trade, Famine, India/Pakistan

## Miami Ohio

### *UNI*

Miami of Ohio CM

Plan: The United States Federal Government shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the resumption of trading relations and the transfer of desalination technology to Syria. Syria will be removed from the United States Federal Government's terrorist list in order to end United States sanctions against the government of Syria.

Scenario one: Water wars

Scenario two: Middle East meltdown

-Sanctions hurt the peace process.

Author's cited: Haass

Lelyveld, Journal of Commerce, July 11, 1997

Miami of Ohio ST

Plan: In order to foster constructive engagement with Cuba, the United states government will remove all sanctions against Cuba, including but not limited to the Helms Burton Act, the CDA and its amendments and the Embargo made by President Kennedy. Additionally, the United States will end its military presence at Guantanamo Bay, and arrange for the eventual return of the land. Enforcement through normal means, any questions, will be answered in the upcoming speeches.

Observation one: Inherency

-United States does piecemeal reforms

-Guantanamo Bay will be kept indefinitely

Advantage one: Power Plant

-many scenarios for a meltdown within existing plant

-energy crisis forces its completion

-meltdown will destroy Cuban population and threatens

America.

-Castro seeking an out, but the United States makes this impossible.

-ending embargo would allow the United States to buy out the power plant.

Advantage two: Guantanamo Bay

-last representative of United States Imperialism

-facilitates Cuban distrust of the United States

-a civil war will kill tens of thousands

-a civil war insures United States military intervention

-return on Guantanamo Bay to Cuba restores sovereignty

-ideological dislike of Cuba turns Caribbean nations into foreign policy tools (?).

Miami of Ohio HS

Plan: Cuba: In order to foster constructive engagement with Cuba, the

United

States Federal Government will remove all sanctions against Cuba, including but not limited to the Helms-Burton Act, The CDA, and its Amendments, and the Embargo made by President Kennedy. Additionally, the United States will end its military presence at Guantanamo Bay, and arrange for the eventual return of the land. Enforcement through normal means. Any questions, John will end up answering.

Observation one: Inherency

- we have an embargo
- we in Guantanamo Bay

Observation two: Reactor Meltdown

- huge construction problems (journal of commerce, 1990)
- energy crisis forcing completion
- inevitable meltdown will affect 80 million
- Castro looking for alternative but the US. embargo checks
- lifting embargo will allow a U.S. buyout

Observation three: Imperialist Dogs

- Civil war with U.S. interventionism impacts

Note: They use the Lifton/Falk in 82 evidence to kritik the rhetoric of CTBT

They use the kritik of western defined human rights to answer shunning

- Schwab, 1989

## Michigan

### ***DARTMOUTH***

Michigan (North Korea) West Georgia (neg)

1ac: the plan was adjusted, presumably to link less to West Georgia's Kritik.

The plan gave food aid, lifted sanctions, and we are not sure if they still backed the sunshine policy. There was only one advantage, famine. There were about 5 mins of Kritik pre-empts.

1nc: Gendertivity, T- "Constructive Engagement" / "with" - must establish political ties with the government of another nation. NGOs - plan trades off with ngo's, one schlag on the casee - spun as a role confusion argument in the 1nr.

2nr: Gendertivity

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

MICHIGAN BS

Plan: North Korea

Misc: Straight impact turn on China WTO Clinton argument - WTO admittance = devaluation and leads to depression.

MICHIGAN MS

Plan: Lift all Cuban embargo to allow foreign investment, tourism, and trade, except investment in nuclear projects.

Advantages: US-EU Relations, Health, Transition.

Advocates: Preeg, Dunning.

### ***USC***

Michigan CO: Cannon and Oden

Plan: Fund the Agreed Framework. Support Solving for Agriculture system. CE to support coordination on multilateral agmt. Support negotiations on abduction of Japanese national. Restrict UNSCOM trade restrictions. Need to guard against challenge and super structure. Not privilege realism, nations or monolithic truth.

Advantages:

Starvation/Famine

Underview Help Civilians Starving

Discourse Reflective not determinant.

## **WAKE**

Michigan

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Michigan PS

vs.: Emory HL

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Repko, Will - Michigan State

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Contention one - South Korea's engagement with the north shows signs of working, but will be undermined by current US efforts to promote peace.

South Korean President Kim Dae Jung's Sunshine Policy to engage North Korea has will solve the Korean crisis. - The Korea Herald, October 6, 1999 - "The council announced a five-point proposal to the government concerning"

Future

Contention Two - the collapse of South Korean engagement would be devastating

A. The second Korean War

A reversal of South Korea's policy would trigger a conflict on the peninsula. Center for Nonproliferation Studies DPRK Report number 16, [cns.miis.edu/pubs/dprkrprt/99janfeb.htm](http://cns.miis.edu/pubs/dprkrprt/99janfeb.htm); "Russian officials give very positive appraisals of Seoul's present"

While the war option is unlikely under current conditions, a reversal to South Korean containment assures a Northern strike. Choi Sung, Vantage Point, pg 45, July 1999 - "To be sure, for the Korean peninsula, the containment policy would be"

The downward relations spiral and the perception of imminent regime instability would make a strike on Seoul a rational option for North Korean leaders - Victor Cha, 1998 - Security Dialogue, pg 480 - "The North could try to stop short of all-out war"

Even absent nuclear use, a conflict would risk five million Koreans in Seoul within minutes, half of all Koreans in days. George Wehrfritz and B.J. Lee, Newsweek, October 25, 1999, pg 68 - "Seoul's doomsday scenario begins at Shingye, a secret North Korean military base"

Even a limited Korean conflict would cost millions of lives and guarantees great power draw-in and escalation. Nicholas Eberstadt, 1995 - Korea Approaches Reunification, 141-142

Sunshine Policy addresses each nation's fundamental security needs while building inter-Korean cooperation. Young-ho Park, 1999 --Vantage Point, March, pg 24 - "In conclusion, inter-Korean relations can progress in a meaningful way"

B. Starvation

An August Special report by the US Institute for Peace estimates the death toll is nearing one million Koreans per year. Andrew Natsios, August 2, 1999 - [www.usip.org](http://www.usip.org) - "The outside world will likely not have access to conclusive mortality rate"

The USIP report does not rely on North Korean government estimates, but instead uses multiple, independent measures to arrive at their conclusions. Natsios (as above) - "In addition to field reports, seven other sources"

Despite recent increases in North Korean based agriculture, the World Food Program reports that output will be millions of tons short. Yonhap News Agency, October 27, 1999 - "Citing a WFP official, the IFAD official said North Korea will still"

South Korea is uniquely placed to solve North Korea's agricultural problems. Bong-uk Chong, February 1999, Vantage Point, pg 16

"The success of programs to restructure the agricultural sector and develop"

Given appropriate agricultural assistance, North Korea could feed itself using indigenous farming methods. Pilju, vantage point, June 1999, pg 11 - "I am convinced that North Korea's agricultural sector can increase its products"

Despite recent reports, there is no evidence of diversion of food assistance. International Herald Tribune, October 11, 1999, pg 7 - "We have no evidence of significant diversion of food assistance to non-target populations"

Finally, of the food that has been diverted in the past, a majority reaches citizens markets assuring distribution. Natsios, August 2, 1999, www.usip.org, "food aid, diverted from the aid program by corrupt officials"

Plan - Through normal means the US Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with North Korea including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions. This provision does not preclude the US government from adopting trade restrictions on specific products for non-punitive purposes. The US Federal government will fully fund the Agreed Framework and support the provision of appropriate agricultural assistance either directly or by other governments. Additional constructive engagement by the United States will support and be conditioned on North Korea's willingness to negotiate multilateral engagement, peace and agricultural cooperation directly and primarily with South Korea. Prior consultation with TCOG members shall be institutionalized. Funding and enforcement as necessary.

#### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT : appeasement

1. Mazer evidence is bad
2. All inherency evidence answers
3. Non-unique and no link - sunshine policy
4. No link
5. No link - only lift some sanctions
6. Non-unique
7. No link and turn - card
8. Turn - North Korea wants plan
9. Turn - we solve economic crisis
10. Lifting sanctions stops presumption against US resolve - card
11. Card
12. N/u - CTBT failed
13. Turn - sanctions increase support of hostile regimes

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Michigan PS

vs.: Emory HeMc

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Perkins - Harvard

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Plan Text:



Pursuant to the November 8 meeting of the Trilateral Coordination and Oversight Group, the USFG Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with North Korea including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions. This provision does not preclude the US government from adopting trade restrictions on specific products for non-punitive purposes. The US federal government will fully fund the Agreed Framework and support the provision of appropriate agricultural assistance either directly or by other governments. Additional constructive engagement by the United States will support and be conditioned on North Korea's willingness to negotiate (1) multilateral engagement, peace and agricultural cooperation directly and primarily with South Korea, and (2) the abduction of Japanese nationals with Japan. Prior consultation with the TCOG shall be institutionalized. Funding and enforcement as necessary.

They read an additional "North Korea won't accept unless all sanctions are lifted" card - Korea Herald, 11/10/1999

## 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

### Clinton - NTR status for China

1. lifting sanctions doesn't sap massive political capital
2. comprehensive approach doesn't cause a backlash
3. multiple sources of opposition to WTO
4. T - Civil unrest
  - a) WTO entry causes civil unrest and instability
  - b) Causes a Chinese pullout of WTO, collapsing the agreement
  - c) Unrest hurts US-Sino relations
5. T - dollar devaluation
  - a) Chinese WTO causes dollar devaluation
  - b) Causes massive economic depression
6. T - protectionism
  - a) Chinese WTO causes protectionism
  - b) Protectionism causes war - Spicer

### South Asia

1. disagreements on issues
2. only lift 2 sanctions
3. n-u: Berlin
4. no link and turn: North Korean reciprocation
5. n-u: US not perceived as credible
6. North Korea doesn't sponsor terrorism
7. T - sanctions increase support for hostile regimes

### Trilateralism

1. no reason that Japan would break the alliance
2. we've consulted before
3. secret deal coming now
4. Japan supports the plan
5. Counterplan causes delay
6. Change can happen
7. Only lift a few sanctions
8. Constitution checks
9. New deal coming
10. Japan supports normalization
11. US conciliatory stance solves
12. Disad turns appeasement
13. Japan and North Korea negotiating
14. Normal means means we've consulted already
15. Diet likes lifting sanctions
16. South Korea likes the plan

17. Perry wasn't coordinated

Counterplan - implement Perry Proposal

1. dispositionality illegitimate
  - justifies intrinsicness and here it is - we'll consult with Japan and SK
2. CP makes Kim Dae Jung worse
3. short term food aid impact
4. Perry excludes SK dialogue
5. NK won't accept Perry proposal
6. Rejecting Perry causes US containment
7. CP undermines prolif support, causes conflict

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

2AR:

North Korea won't accept the CP

- Not a full lifting
- Conditions on missile sales, not missile tests

But, if they accept the CP, it undermines the regime and leads to a collapse and a lashout

CP is too slow doesn't solve SK

- doesn't consult on missiles
- diverts critical components of the deal
- crowds them out of the negotiations

any risk of either of these three arguments causes reversion to containment and war.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Michigan BS

vs.: Kansas EM

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Tim O'donnell

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Critical geopolitics

1. not assume borders or concept of security
2. turn-vote neg=stagnation, can't refer to countries, no food aid
3. ridiculous-no unique mindset link
4. perm-criticize geopolitical discourse and endorse plan
5. thought before round
6. ident pol no alternative
7. prob/sol?
8. crit theory and prob/sol same point
9. pragmatic action can solve problem without establishing framework
10. ?
11. attempts to rethink fail b/c security not defined

cp-diplomatic engagagment

1. c/a eve must tie aid or else conflict, key to n/s coop
2. 2000 election scen da to cp, no beacon of support, impact new containment
3. not solve famine-coop key
4. more evidence
5. nk will crowd out sk in negotiations
6. disp illegit-clinton pr:
7. pics illegit
8. never accept sq, won't give up missile ambition
9. perry proposal-->nk prolif

clinton-wto

1. rels impact emp false-bombing pr:
- 2.
3. plan solves impact
4. chinese entry bad-devalue currency
5. deval-->econ depr
6. ?
7. chinese admit. Kills free trade
8. free trade key solve nuke war, spicer

## Michigan

### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Michigan MS

vs.: MTSU GW

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Christi Siver

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Inflation

1. no internal link - interest rates fluctuate
2. rate increase impact is empirically denied
3. fed will prevent
4. other issues cause an increase
5. protectionism inevitable
6. not unique - fed will increase rates
7. not unique - rate hike in SQ
8. not unique - Y2K
9. empirically economic policies act as shock absorbers
10. turn - trade deficit --> rate hike

AT: Drug War

1. not unique - coop in SQ
2. no int. link - don't increase interdiction
3. no link - congress does not want coop - won't increase
4. no plan to increase cooperation
5. cp links - increasing engagement - case solves back the impact
6. not unique - coop in SQ
7. not unique - coop in SQ Adams, 99

AT: Gonzalez Grand Bargain CP

1. can't solve transition - no influx of US ideas
2. can't solve relations - want entire embargo lifted - Damien Fernandez, Cuba at the Crossroads, 1994
3. turn - CP worsens humanitarian crisis
4. perm - do plan and CBM's, diplomacy, and Guantanamo
5. PIC's BAD - violate ground, best policy does not justify, VI
6. Conditionality bad - time abuse, violates advocacy, legitimize conditional aff and intrinsicness responses

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

EU outweighs

### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Michigan BS  
vs.: USC HH  
Case (country): North Korea  
Judge: Mika  
2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
NATO  
-won't disband  
[Mordecai Roshwald, International Journal World Peace vol 15 #3 Sept 98]

Clinton - WTO  
-Criticize Now  
[Bulletin's Frontrunner 11/4/99 "house republican task force"]  
-Uniqueness overwhelms the link  
[National Journal Congress Daily 11/16/99 "back of the envelope head count"]  
-Spring 2000  
[Hong Kong Standard 11/16/99 "The US Congress is expected"]

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2  
Team: Michigan MS  
vs.: Michigan State DM  
Case (country): Cuba  
Judge: Russell - Dartmouth  
2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
Clinton - Taiwan Security and Enhancement Act  
1. TSEA delayed  
2. N-u: GOP divided on TSEA  
3. CTBT hurt Clinton  
4. Clinton has no political capital  
5. No unique link - North Korea  
6. Clinton won't touch the plan  
7. Winners Win - Ornstein

Counterplan - exclude exports  
1. Perm - do both; Counterplan would have to lift entire embargo and then add restrictions  
2. Doesn't solve relations - countries would like the total lifting better  
3. embargo hurts UN credibility  
4. embargo is what the UN challenges us on  
5. Doesn't solve the transition  
6. Links to Clinton  
7. Plan Inclusive Counterplans are bad

Toxic Waste  
1. moral obligation trumps the disad  
2. case outweighs  
3. no evidence says we'd export toxic waste  
4. plan provides tons of investment, no incentive to export waste  
5. plenty of markets now  
6. toxic waste won't be exported  
7. impact assumes Dominican Republic, not specific to Cuba

Rice  
1. no evidence says the Asian economy is increasing  
2. Cuba isn't key to the rice revenue  
3. Asian collapse empirically denies the impact

4. The relations advantage solves the impact
5. rice prices declining now
6. water shortages will cause price drops
7. only 6.6% rice traded globally
8. Governments can intervene to check the impacts
9. too much rice will decrease prices - the impact's inevitable

#### Organic Farming

1. pesticides already exported
2. largest donor of humanitarian aid
3. plan doesn't send food technology or pesticides
4. Cuba would only export organic foods as a result of the plan
5. They can't prove farmers would use the pesticides
6. Cuba committed to organic agriculture, overwhelms the link
7. Morality claims are false
  - A) banned pesticides are registered in other nations
  - B) other countries don't feel a right to food
8. Turn - organochloride shift
  - a) Banned US pesticides are organochlorides and are on balance safer than the alternative, organophosphate pesticides - Colopy, Journal of Environmental Law, 13:167, 1995, p. 123
  - b) organo-phosphates reduce fertility and cause cancer - Daily Mail, 6/16/1996, "recent tests carried out"

#### Kritik of Ontological Violence

1. ontology isn't a reason to reject the affirmative
2. doesn't provide a foundation to reject
3. we don't rely on concepts of security
4. performative contradiction - they run disads and a counterplan
5. Case outweighs
6. T - we reject status quo concepts of violence
7. We reflected before we wrote the aff
8. Kritik is infinitely regressive
9. Kritik isn't a forced game
  - a) we can do both at the same time
  - b) can use the intended effects of plan

#### Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

##### 1AR reads

- 1) Rorty evidence against the kritik
- 2) argues that the kritik props up violence and guarantees oppression
- 3) that the kritik is relativist

2AR goes for the permutation to get out of the net benefits, and argues dollar dichotomies as a solvency deficit to the counterplan

#### Michigan

School: Michigan

Team: MS

Case: Cuba

#### Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation One: Our Cuba Policy is in Shambles

The US embargo is Castro's greatest ally. Castro uses the embargo as a scapegoat to maintain public support. (Donna Kaplowitz, Anatomy of a Failed Embargo: US Sanctions Against Cuba, 1998, p.49-50)

The US embargo is a 30 year failure. It is unable to deter Castro, it increases US opposition on the island and hurts US business. (Kaplowitz, p.9)

Thus the plan: The US will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the Cuban government including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions; including the repeal of the Helms-Burton Act and repeal any portion of the US embargo that prohibits US investment, travel or trade to or from Cuba. This provision does not preclude the US government from banning the export of specific products for environmental, health or safety reasons. A Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) will be created with Cuba. The provisions of this policy will not apply to any foreign or domestic investment in any current or future Cuban nuclear energy projects. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

#### Observation Two: Transatlantic Relations

##### Subpoint A- US-European Relations

Conflict over Helms-Burton (HB) spillover to other areas of US-European cooperation (Richard Nuccio, Transatlantic Tensions: US, Europe. And Problem Countries, 1999, p.14)

Transatlantic cooperation key to solving terrorism, WMD proliferation and controlling global flashpoints (Peter Rodman, [www.nixoncenter.org](http://www.nixoncenter.org), 1999)

##### Subpoint B- Global Free Trade

Despite tensions with Europe over bananas and beef, these issues are not the key to free trade. The credibility of the WTO and the ability to manage trade conflicts is key (Newsday 9/13/99)

US rejection of a WTO ruling or US resignation from the WTO would crush any future hopes of dispute settlement and free trade (San Diego LR 1998 p. 940)

Collapse of trade causes a nuclear war (Spicer 96)

##### Subpoint C- Solvency

US engagement of Cuba solves tensions with our allies (Michelle Arendt, Case Western Univ LR 1998, p. lexis)

#### Observation Three: The Island

The embargo is pushing Cuba toward a violent transition with many casualties. Lifting the embargo and engaging Cuba is the best way to smooth the transition by solving economic dichotomies and health problems on the island. (Ernest Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good With Sanctions? US Unilateral Economic Sanctions and US National Interest, 1999)

Prospects for stability after Castro are slim- even Raul Castro will not suffice (The Edmonton Sun 5/5/99)

In a transition crisis, Castro will launch biological, chemical and possibly nuclear weapons at the US. Lifting the embargo eliminates Castro's scapegoat and paves the way to a smooth transition which eliminates the risk of Castro's use of the Samson option. (Houston Chronicle 7/2/93)

The US embargo is inhumane and immoral because it is designed to attack innocent people- this is morally unacceptable (Jose Serrano, Dallas Morning News, 5/30/99)

The embargo uses the Cuban populace as a means to an end. This is morally unacceptable and must be rejected. Joy Gordon, *Ethics and International Affairs*, 1999, p. 128)

Observation Four: Solvency

By lifting parts of the embargo the US and Cuba people will be able to pressure Castro into political and economic reforms, allowing for a peaceful transition. Jeffrey Dunning, 54 *Wash U J Urb & Contemp L* 213, 1998, p. lexis)

There is a near consensus among experts- lifting the embargo won't help Castro, it will weaken him (Preeg, p.43)

Castro uses the embargo to his advantage, engagement is the best way to pave the way to lasting reforms (NYT, 9/29/99)

Castro would have no choice but to accept US investment or fall from power like the Berlin Wall (James Glassman, [www.aei.org/oti/oti8885.htm](http://www.aei.org/oti/oti8885.htm), 1998)

School: Michigan

Team: PS, BS

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN:

In consultation with all relevant actors, the USFG will adopt a policy of CE with NK, including the immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions. This provision does not preclude the US government from banning the export of specific products for environmental, health, or safety reasons. The USFG will fully meet its commitments under the Agreed Framework and facilitate agricultural assistance either directly or by other governments. Further aspects of constructive engagement will parallel South Korean engagement with North Korea, and will be tied to North Korea's willingness to negotiate peace and agricultural cooperation with South Korea. Funding and enforcement as necessary.

1AC OUTLINE AND CITES:

Contention One: Inherency

1. Sunshine policy working in Koreas  
(The Korea Herald, 10/6/1999)
2. Berlin has begun to shift North's attention to the US  
(The Korea Herald, 9/14/1999)
3. Future bilateral deals with the US will split the North and South  
(Korea Economic Weekly, 9/20/1999)  
(The Washington Times, 10/19/1999)
4. Without renewed US support, Sunshine will collapse by early 2000  
(The Korea Herald, 10/9/1999)

Contention Two: Sunshine Collapse is a Bad Thing

A. War:

1. Reversal of the Sunshine Policy will trigger a Korean war.  
(CNS DPRK Report #16, Jan/Feb 1999)
2. Reversal to South Korean containment assures conflict.  
(Choi Sung, Vantage Point, July 1999, pg. 45)
3. Downward relations makes a North Korean lashout likely.  
(Victor Cha, Security Dialogue, 1998, pg. 480)
4. Even absent nuclear use, conflict kills millions.  
(Wherfritz and Lee, Newsweek, 10/25/1999)
5. Even limited conflict assures great power draw in.  
(Eberstadt, Korea Approaches Unification, 1995, pg. 141)

6. The Sunshine policy prevents conflict.  
(Young-Ho Park, Vantage Point, March 1999, pg. 24)

B. Famine

1. One million starve per year.  
(Robert Natsios, The Politics of Famine, August 2, 1999, www.usip.org)
2. Multiple studies conclude aff.  
(Natsios, 1999)
3. Despite recent North Korean output, they are millions of tons short.  
(Yonhap News Agency, 10/27/1999)
4. South Korea uniquely solves famine.  
(Bong-uk Chong, Vantage Point, February 1999, pg. 16)
5. With development, North Korea can feed itself.  
(Pilju, Vantage Point, June 1999, pg. 11)
6. No evidence of food diversion.  
(International Herald Tribune, 10/11/1999)
7. Any diverted food goes to public markets.  
(Natsios, 1999)

Contention Three: Our Plan is a Good Thing

1. Linkage to north-south dialogue is essential for cooperation.  
(Lee Hun-Kyung, Korea Focus, August 1999, pg. 19)
2. Must lift remaining sanctions.  
(The Choson Ilbs, 9/25/1999)
3. South Korean engagement is key component to North-South peace.  
(Kim sung-Han, Vantage Point, September 1999, pg. 42)
4. South Korea must lead.  
(Byung-Joon Ahn, Korean Peninsula Security and the US-Japan Defense Guidelines, October 1998, pg. 13)
5. Parallel US policy key to domestic support for Kim dae Jung.  
(Scott Snyder, June 1998, www.usip.org)
6. Now is the key time to boost the Sunshine Policy.  
(Yeon-Chul Kim, Vantage Point, August 1999, pg. 43)
7. North Korea wont accept if all remaining sanctions are not lifted.  
(KNCA News Agency, 10/4/1999)

**UNI**

Michigan BS

Plan: Pursuant to its recent commitments in Berlin of the Perry proposal, the

United States federal government ^Å the United Nations travel limit, the trade embargo under the trading with the enemy act, removal of North Korea from section 307 of foreign assistance act of 1761, the export administration act of 1979, section 704 of defense production act of 1950, sections 507 and 525 of foreign operations, export financing and related programs act. Plan doesn^Òt affect military or non-proliferation related sanctions. The United States federal government shall fully meet its commitment under the Geneva Agreed Framework, and facilitate the provision of expanded agricultural assistance directly or by other governments. The United States shall negotiate to receive a package of comprehensive and verifiable controls on North Korean Production, possession and sale of weapons of mass destruction, production,



development, and sale of advanced missile technology and other steps toward the production of military threats on the peninsula, such as CBMs. United States incentives in those negotiations shall include, but not limited to, full diplomatic recognition and normalization of relations, economic assistance, NTR, and support of North Korea. We advocate the use of 6 party talks as advocated by the president of South Korea to discuss security issues on the peninsula. Funding

They argue that the Berlin agreement too piecemeal; congress won't implement it or KEDO, or food aid.

Advantage areas:

- Famine ? one million die each year
- Miscalculation ? North Korea will lashout if left no other options
- KEDO collapse

Michigan MS

Plan: The United States will repeal Title one, two, three, and four of the Helms-Burton law, and repeal any portion of the United States embargo that prohibits United States investment, travel, or trade to or from Cuba, including United States subsidiaries. Conditions on humanitarian aid will be removed. A Bilateral Investment Treaty will be established with Cuba.

Advantage: Trans-Atlantic relationships.

- Helms-Burton damages our good relations with Europe, and damages the credibility of the WTO.
- Public Health in Cuba
- Transition in Cuba

Michigan PS

They run either what Michigan BS runs (described above) or what Michigan MO runs (described below).

Advantage areas:

- famine
- miscalculation war
- engagement solves, 6 party talks solve, KEDO solves

Note: Against Japan they argue that not only do the 6 party talks solve, but a rearm is inevitable. Additionally, they claim that China likes the affirmative in relation to WTO scenarios, and on case they claim that they solve for the inevitable collapse of the North Korean Regime.

Michigan MO

Plan: Pursuant to Berlin/Perry remove UN travel limit, 307 FAA, Export Administration Act of 1979, 507 and 523 of Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and related programs Act, Geneva agreed framework, increase

agricultural assistance, and negotiate to end the production of weapons of mass destruction.

Scenarios are Famine and Miscalculation / War

Solvency evidence assumes Bandow and LA Times 9-7-99 stuff.

Other cites:

-Wayne Smith

-San Diego Law Review

Note: Plan adds KEDO and cultural aid and 6 power agreement to current agreement.

#### Michigan MP-G

Plan: The United States will repeal titles one, two, three, and four of the Helms-

Burton law, and repeal any portion of the United States embargo that prohibits United States investment, travel, or trade to or from Cuba, including United States subsidiaries. Conditions on humanitarian aid will be removed. A Bilateral Investment Treaty will be established with Cuba.

Observation one: United States policy is stuck in the Cold War.

The embargo is Castro's ally.

The embargo is a failure.

Observation two: Trans-Atlantic tensions

1. Helms-Burton undermines our ties with allies
2. Helms-Burton is on the brink of being enforced
3. Impacted with loss of United States/Europe relations over conflicts about the spillover affects of Helms-Burton.
4. this hurts our cooperative efforts to check terrorism and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction

Observation three: The Island

Subpoint A: Health

1. the embargo undermines the health of the public
2. such is genocidal

Subpoint B: Transition

Plan is key to solving a bloody transition within the island

Observation four: Solvency

1. Plan increases relations
2. plan leads Cubans to pressure for reforms
3. Castro must accept

## Michigan Dearborn

### **WAKE**

Michigan Dearborn

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Michigan Dearborn

vs.: Concordia KN

Case (country): Syria

Judge: leeper

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

IR feminism

CP consult Israel and Engage Syria using gender and Development model

US israeli relations

Michigan Dearborn

School: Michigan Dearborn

Team(s): HR

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan:

The US federal government should establish a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions, with Iraq.

Advantages:

Starvation

Feminism

\*Moral Obligation

Solvency authors:

Goetz

Halliday

### **UNI**

Mich-Dearborn HR

Plan: Cuba as per Dunning 1998: repeal Helms Burton sections 1-4,  
amend 2 to

allow engagement even if Castro remains in power.

Advantage areas

- nuclear power plant
- economic collapse and civil strife

Solvency is essentially the Dunning article

Underview: sanctions fail and the embargo is harmful to women,  
"justifying the  
rejection of sanctions from a feminist perspective.  
"

## Michigan State

### ***DARTMOUTH***

Round 7

Aff      neg      judge

Mich State   Emory      Mancuso

MSU added a line to their plan that was something to the effect: The president can and will reimpose sanctions in the event of North Korean aggression / provocation. This was clarified in C-X as encompassing all the sanctions lifted by the plan and Berlin. Aggression was clarified as an invasion of the South or the launching of a missile with a warhead...not a missile designed for satellite purposes.

Emory's INC Arguments

CP to signal to NK through high level talks that they must fulfill their obligations under the Agreed Framework. Larry was adamant in C-X that the CP was not a "conditioning" CP. There was an appeasement DA read with the counterplan that had both an NK invasion impact and a China-taiwan impact.

Clinton DA w/ Peace Process Impact

SK elections DA w/ a fund KEDO Impact

Topicality "remove" = permanent / can't reimpose sanctions

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

MICHIGAN STATE LM

Plan: The USFG should immediately lift nearly all unilateral economic sanctions toward Iraq. Further, the US should rescind its support for UN economic sanctions on Iraq.

Advantages: Death, Morality.

Advocates: Dreze and Gazdar, Gordon.

MICHIGAN STATE OS

Plan: In consultation with all relevant actors, the USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, by immediately removing all currently existing US economic sanctions against the government of the DPRK.

Advantages: Miscalculation, Famine.

### ***WAKE***

Michigan State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Michigan State VW

vs.: Concordia KT

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Tim Mahoney - Pace

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

2AC Add-On: Taiwan

- They argue that secondary sanctions from ILSA will cause instability in the Taiwan region

AT: Clinton

1. NTR won't pass in the SQ
2. CTBT makes it non-unique
3. Plan increases capital - business lobby
4. Winners-win

AT: Hegemony

1. CTBT makes it non-unique
2. Non-Unique, food and medicine sanctions have been lifted
3. SQ sanctions kill US hegemony

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Michigan State LM

vs.: UNI BR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Eric Morris

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: Topicality - constructive engagement

C/I - lift sanctions key aspect of CE

Limits - only allow one piece

We increase predictability

W/M - lifting sanctions increases economic engagement

Cross EX checks vagueness violation

No abuse, no right to exclusionary counterplan

Not take out solvency

AT: Israel -

Saudi Arabia is already highly unstable - their evidence is just govt. rhetoric

Anchorage Daily News, March 29, 1995

This year will be even worse - The Saudi economy is already bad

The Economist, March 6, 1999

Turn:/ We must diversify our supply to guard against effect of Saudi collapse

David, Foreign Affairs, February, 1999

Oil Turn :

a. High oil prices increase the trade deficit, hurt the dollar, cause a rate hike, and lower stock prices, this kills the economy

- Broward Daily Business Review, October 26, 1999

b. Economic Decline causes nuclear war

- Mead '92

Asian Recovery Turn:

a. High prices prevent Asian economic growth

- Broward Daily Business Review, April 13, '99

c. Southeast Asian Depression Causes war

- Baucus, Washington Times, January 18, 1998

Momentum of restructuring must be maintained - Financial pressure from low prices is key- only reform can solve the economy in the long term

MEED Weekly Special Report, June 11, 1999

AT: Israel -

N/U relations down now- no compromise

No link - military containment/deterrence still exist post the plan

No int. link - resilience

Lift sanctions key to avoid destabilizing Jordan which is critical to the peace process

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Michigan State MD

vs.: Lewis and Clark HC

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Rollins

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Topicality-consultation

1. consult not xtra like funding, impl
2. plan immed consult while impl
3. no abuse, no da spikes
4. no ev CE just with one country
5. only mpx is sever

Geopolitics K

1. sq is construction
2. not solvency takeout
3. arg circular
4. t/ indicts of sq-sancitons aggressive statist actions
5. no k implication-must defend sq-and key to educ
6. plan key to spur change and influence others

CP-consult SK and Japan

1. perm-consult then plan
2. perm-consult-disp makes illegit
3. j
4. consult illegit
  - a. time
  - b. j
  - c. fiat indeterminate
5. not solve
  - a. japan and sk want sq
  - b. timeframe-famine outweigh
6. disp illegit
  - a. time
  - b. adv burdern
7. links to Das
8. consult is normal means
9. ?card
10. coop with Japan crushes coop w/ China-card
11. n/u not consult japan and sk now-card

Clinton-WTO

1. fiat solves the link
2. no spillover-pol cap limited
3. n/u perry proposal
4. t/ winners win-natl jrnal 97
5. ctbt proves cl has no pol cap
6. no reason why sanctions cause decr in pol cap
7. cong not appr wto now

Kim credibility

1. ev ass sk actions, not us
2. n/u kim supports now
3. no thresh b/w plan and sq
4. t/ engagement key to kim's cred
5. nk missile launch crush kim-cp can't solve
6. no impact to sk nationalism

Appeasement

1. no spillover
2. no nk blackmail-sq is brinkmanship
3. titfortat, not blackmail
4. conditions fail-hard line is failing now
5. engage not appeasement, causes more change
6. engage solve nk

Russian elections

1. n/u berlin
2. nk not key
3. no thresh
4. emp denied-russia collapse or us china policy
5. ?
6. us sanctions only cause more nationalism
7. rels w/ russia destroyed now-nationalism high

Case:

Gradualism

1. us will slash kedo funding now, killing agreed framework
2. conditions fail
3. lack of good faith b/w countries blocks
4. crisis-focused only-causes more crises

Regime turn

1. would moderate new regime
2. doesn't matter?

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Consultation solves the disads (neg kicked CP in 2nr)

KEDO funding will be cut in status quo, creating huge solvency deficit

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8

Team: MSU DM

vs.: West Georgia

Case: North Carolina

Judge: Rhodes, Clay-UMKC



Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

No changes except this round we put in a permutation card for kritiks of realism-Linklater, Andrew 89  
Beyond Realism and Marxism p. 32

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Gendertivity

1. Perm-DuBoter
2. Turn-Sanctions are gendered
3. Pragmatism-Rorty 93, Hypatia Spring
4. Feminizing is political-Elstain 95 International Theory: Critical Investigations ed. James Der Derian
5. Standpoint Feminism ignores interconnectedness-Christine Sylvester The Global Economy as Political Space 94 ed. Stephen Rosow
6. Feminist epistemic privilege is double standard-Elstein, above
7. Crossing boundaries opens up new ways of seeing-Stephen Rosow Global
8. Economy as Political Space 94
9. Kritik is an excuse for inaction-Varadhara Exotic Paradise 95

Michigan State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: MSU VW

vs.: Liberty

Case (country): Iran

Judge: Sherry Hall

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Obs. 1 - same as before

Adv. 1 - Journal of Commerce (as before)

- Newest agreement on Caspian was only political - final decisions must  
Still be made

Deutsche Presse - Agentor

Defense and Security (as before)

- eliminated Blanche '97 evidence

- continued instability in Caucus risks nuclear conflict and world war

Tsepikalo '98

Adv. II - same as before

Obs. II - eliminated Fuller and Brezinski evidence

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

At: Gradualism Counterplan

\* perm - plan + grad. Lifting

\* we solve impact to prolif

\* CP doesn't lift dual use sanctions

\* Dispositionality bad

\* PICS bad

\* Not solve Caspian adv.

\* Iran won't make concessions

\* We solve prolif - c/a Brezinski '97

\* Hardliners reject plan

AT: T- Nearly All

- \* ILSA is primary sanction
- \* Nearly all means scope
- \* Int. best
- \* Fair ground
- \* Could lift all but ilsa
- \* Ilsa 80% of Iran's economy
- \* no ground loss
- \* literature checks abuse
- \* no voter

AT: Clinton

- \* relations decline inevitable
- \* abortion proves he has no capital
- \* North Korea n/u
- \* N/U CTBT
- \* Public not key to agenda
- \* No spillover
- \* Winners win - National Journal '97

AT: Israel:

- \* decline in relations inevitable
- \* iran/israel increasing relations now
- \* no spillover
- \* Iran will reassure Israel
- \* Plan solves the impact - Chubin and Green
- \* Solve peace process
- \* resiliency

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: MSU DM

vs.: USC SS

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Stevens

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Obs. 1 - Perry Fails

(same as before, but here are our new cites)

Korea Herald, 11-10-99 "request that Washington lift additional"

Newsday, 10-19-99 "rejection of the nuclear test ban treaty"

Myong Kim, 10-2-99, [ftp.nautilus.org](http://ftp.nautilus.org)

Adv. 1 - Nukes

(same as before, but here are our new cites)

Michael Mazarr, The Us and the 2 Koreas: A New Triangle, 1999 p. 93-4

Adv. 2 - Famine

(same as before, but here are our new cites)

Korea Times, 11-11-99 "vicious cycle of hunger"

John Linantud, San-Diego Union Tribune, 10-7-99 "diversionary attack on the south"

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Consult SK CP

1. Perm - False consultation
2. Perm - Do the CP
3. Perm - Consult on the Plan
4. NK rejects consultation
5. Dispositionality bad
6. PIC's Bad

AT: Spanos

1. T/ Sanctions are humanist
2. Rejection solves inaction
3. Humanism inevitable
4. No Link - Plan is a subtraction

AT: Shunning

1. Consequentialism best
2. T/ CE reforms NK
3. T/ Moral obligation not to shun starving people
4. Self-preservation outweighs duty to shun

AT: Clinton - Chinese MFN

1. Fiat solves
2. T/ Sanctions unpopular and lifting doesn't cost capital
3. MFN Won't pass now
4. CTBT hurt political capital
5. Winners win
6. Clinton died today - NYT, 11-19-99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Michigan State ML

vs.: Kansas NR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Ron Vonburgh

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Obs. 1 -

- Sanctions in Iraq kill without solving sanctions are not achieving their goal
- Oil for Food is inadequate

Obs 2 - Morality

- commits sure evil - Grisez, Absolutism and it's Consequentialist Critics, 1994
- intentional genocide - Clark, Challenge to Genocide: Late Iraq Live, 1998
- Sanctions are racist - Moorehead
- Racism must be deontologically rejected - Post, 1991
- Response cannot be shifted - still have to try - Aiken, 1977
- intervening actors - Gewirth, Absolutism and it's Consequentialist Critics, 1994
- survival of tyranny - Callahan, 1994
- moral obligation to solve starvation - Watson, 1994
- side constraints - nabel, 1994

Obs 3. Solvency

- lifting sanctions key - Dreze and Gazda, 1992
- US key to get sanctions lifted - Gordon, The Nation, March 22, 1999
- Impossible to prevent WMD acquisition and containment and deterrence will check - mueller and mueller, 1999
- Sanctions kill more than all WMD - Mueller and Mueller, 1999

- Neg evidence is flawed - Keeble, 1997

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: condition CP

1. PIC's BAD

2. T/ US/RUSSIA

A. conditional removal of sanctions hurts US/Russia relations

B. relations key to solve conflict

AT: Clinton

1. t/ SQ policy kills political capital

2. t/ democrats hate sanctions

3. t/ winners-win

AT: MARXISM

1. transition perm

2. ideology of self-interest kritik

AT: Israel

1. resilient

2. lift sanctions key to contain Iran

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Michigan State BC

vs.: Pace KP

Case (country): Iran

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Power Politics

1. N/U Preeg evidence - we're increasing relations already

2. N/U LA Times '99 - we've already been soft on Cuba, Lybia, etc.

3. C/A Bakat evidence

4. power politics is flawed - only plan solves

AT: Track 2 Dialogue Counterplan:

1. no link - we only offer dialogue, not mandate

2. do plan and do track two - track two would suck up any negative disads to the plan

3. C/A last solvency card, low-level talks lead to miscommunication and increase risk of backlash

4. No evidence says track two can solve the containment advantage

5. The counterplan doesn't lift ilsa, only exempts it - this causes backlash

AT: Khatami

1. sanctions are scapegoat

2. election turn -

only the plan prevents the hardliners from winning the february elections

3. khatami will distance himself from the diplomacy

Synoptic Delusion Kritik Ans:

1. specific solvency overcomes non-applicable evidence

2. perm - reject academia and pass the plan

3. perm solves best

4. performative contradiction

a. run a counterplan

b. reject them

5. no link to excluding voices, totalizing claims are more excuses not to act

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Michigan MD

vs.: Dartmouth BG

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Josh Hoe

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

New card on inherency

New military and security establishment maintains sanctions by constructing North Korea as a threat. A construction that only serves to maintain an unsupportable SQ.

Swomley, The Humanist, May/June 1999

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Clinton

1. fiat solves - instantly pass and clinton does not push
2. no spillover
3. not unique - perry
4. turn - winners-win
5. not unique - CTBT
6. no effort to push
7. no capital for WTO

AT: Consult SK

1. perm - lie to them
2. perm
3. CP abusive
  - a. plan-inclusive
  - b. infinitely aggressive
  - c. can't determine the fiat
4. Can't solve
  - a. probabalistic
  - b. consultation takes forever
  - c. don't fiat congress
5. Dispositionality bad
  - a. time
  - b. advocacy
  - c. voter
6. CP links to Kritik - performative contradiction
7. Links to DA's
8. Normal means
9. No solvency - SK will reject
10. Empirically denied - did not consult on Berlin
11. No evidence about nature of genuine consultation

AT: Dartmouth's Kritik of Rigged Game

1. Kritik cards = indict of SQ - proves case = good idea b/c step away from SQ state
2. Plan = necessary - allows rethink
3. No implication - at best solvency t/o
4. No link to policymaking
5. Static description
6. Questioning does nothing - need an alternative

7. Inevitable - only plan = move away
8. Kritik = excuse for inaction

AT: SK DA

1. no ev. That SK needs veto
2. SK will not sever alliance - no reason
3. SK asks us to lift all
4. Not apply to Kim
5. Policy coordination impossible - disagreements inevitable x,x
6. ROK alliance = resilient x,x

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Timeframe

Solvency differential

Michigan State

School: Michigan State

Team(s): BC

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Inherency:

Relations up, ILSA still in effect

Clinton takes pro-Iran actions, waives food sanctions

Sanctions used to justify hardliners

Adv I Dual Containment

Adv 2 Caspian

Adv 3 Encirclement

Solvency:

Lifting sanctions and dialogue solves

Iranian pipeline rules

Lifting sanctions leads to Iran p-line

Plan leads to transparency

Plan solves prolif

Here are the cites, not necessarily in this order (just cut and paste)

Inherency

-Preeg '99 \_Feeling Good or Doing Good with...\_

-NYT 4/27/99 "Clinton Administration w/10 sancitons w/10 Iran"

-Sanctions block Khatami's reforms (1AC preempt)

Katz, SAIS Review, 1998 [available online from the wilson select database]

"Khatami finds himself in a dilemma similar to that faced by Mikhail Gorbachev"

Solvency cites

Unilateral lifting of sanctions key to solving (article also has our inherency)

Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good with Sanctions, 1999 [p58-59]

Engagement builds regional confidence

Chubin and Green, Survival, Autumn 1998

Plan solves nuclear weapons buildup

Gergez, Survival, Winter 1997 [p.9]

Engagement solves Caspian Conflicts

Starr, National Interest, 1997 [available online through wilson select database]

"policy of isolating iran has given tehran and its neighbors"

Sanctions block the Iranian pipeline

Clawson, Economic Sanctions and American Diplomacy, 1998

Dual Containment Adv. cites

Sanctions on Iran = key part of Dual Containment strategy

Cordesman '98 <http://www.csis.org/mideast/gulfspch.html>

DC = Iran/Iraq alliance

Gause, Foreign Affairs, March/April, 1994

"The major logical flaw in dual containment"

Iran/Iraq alliance = miscalc and war

Cordesman, Iran and the Gulf: A Search for Stability, 1996 [p.285]

Encirclement Advantage

SQ containment = conventional military buildup and intentional/accidental war with US

Blanche, Jane's Navy International, March 1 1997

"iran has been fortifying the islands and has deployed missile on them"

US/ Iran war goes nuclear

Cordesman, Iran and the Gulf [p.277]

Caspian advantage

East West Pipeline will be built in the Status Quo

Journal of Commerce, 4/23/1999

"pipeline has become a centerpiece in Washington's policy in the region"

East-West pipeline = regional militarization and war

Defense and Security, 3/30/1998

"could provoke some countries to use force"

Regional conflicts go nuclear

Tsepikalo, Foreign Affairs, March/April, 1998

"Central Asia and the Caucasus are rife with flash points"

Plan:

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iran including an offer of dialogue and the immediate removal of the following sanctions under Title 31, Part 560 of the U.S. Code:

- Importing from Iran
- Investment in Iran
- Petroleum sector
- Financial Restrictions
- Assets
- Travel
- Iran-Libya Sanctions Act
- ExImbank and OPIC restrictions
- IFI loans

Funding and enforcement guaranteed. Questions...we'll answer.

School: Michigan State

Team(s): DM

Case: (North Korea)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation 1: Inherency

First, on September 17th, Clinton relaxed some limited economic sanctions, but made them conditional on North Korean behavior

United Press International, 9-17-99 ("step is a limited economic agreement")

This won't satisfy the North--they want a complete removal of economic sanctions before they will deal with us (and Obuchi...) in good faith

New York Times 9-26-99

In addition, any relaxation of the sanctions is bound to be overturned in the next administration

BBC 9-18-99 ("opposes any measures to ease US sanctions")

Also CTBT failure hoses the US worse than Ross Smith at the 20-40

Hold 'Em tables in Chicago

Newsday Oct 19, 1999 ('nuclear test ban treaty')

The Plan:

The United States Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with North Korea, reaffirming our commitment to the Agreed Framework and unconditionally lifting all appropriate U.S. non-military economic and humanitarian sanctions or embargoes imposed against North Korea. We will clarify our intent.

Advantage 1-Nuclear Diplomacy

North Korea believes the U.S. lacks the political will to negotiate in Good Faith-Failure to lift sanctions completely has caused N.K. to resort to brinksmanship and threats, eroding the agreed Framework

Wright 99 (Mar/Apr. Bulletin of Atomic Sci. David. pg 54-8)

This Brinksmanship risks miscalculation and escalating war

US institute for Peace in '98



([http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr\\_korea.html#questioning](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/sr_korea.html#questioning))

Failure of the Agreed Framework guarantees nuke prolif by the North  
Council on Foreign Relations 99 ([www.foreignrelations.com](http://www.foreignrelations.com) "U.S.  
Policy toward North Korea: A Second Look)

North Korean Nuclearization would lead to a preemptive war on the  
Korean Peninsula and nuclear proliferation throughout asia  
Suk Jung Lee. \_Ending the Last Cold War: Korean Arms Control and  
Security in Northeast Asia\_ 1997

This is the biggest fucking thing ever--it would kill the god damn  
planet with lots and lots of nukes and shit going everywhere  
Myong Chol Kim. "The Future of The Agreed Framework" nov. 24.  
[ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special\\_reports](ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special_reports)

#### Advantage Two: Collapse

Famine in NK is at an epidemic level. Food Shortages are at a  
critical threshold, risking the death of millions  
Ramsay Liem. Peace Review. June 1999. Vol 11, issue 2. pg 325  
("food crisis of staggering proportions")

The leadership has adopted a policy of "muddling through" the current  
crisis, but it will fail, guaranteeing collapse, chaos, and a suicidal  
lashout at the south  
Choong-Nam Kim. Korea and World Affairs. Winter. pg 630

The refugee explosion from the collapse would cause an international  
conflict  
Andrew Natsios 99 ("The Politics of Famine in North Korea"  
[ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special\\_reports](ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special_reports)

A war in Korea would escalate to global nuclear confrontation, also  
possibly upsetting Andy Ryan's toupee..  
Tashimura Ogura. April 97. Monthly Review. "Nuclear Clouds over  
the Korean Peninsula and Japan"

#### Solvency

A Genuine commitment to Engagement through ending economic sanctions  
is necessary for agreed framework success  
Wade Huntley and Tim Savage. "Agreed Framework at the crossroads"  
March 11 1999. [ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special\\_reports](ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special_reports)

Lifting Economic Sanctions is the only way to restore U.S. diplomacy  
with North Korea--it will pave the way for increased openness and  
reform in Korea, solving collapse  
Huntley and savage (same as above)

Ending Sanctions is necessary to prevent a korean conflict--economic  
ties are a prerequisite to a successful conclusion of peace talks  
Leon Sigal. "For Sale: North Korea's Missile Program" Nov 11 1998  
[ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special\\_reports](ftp://ftp.nautilus.org/napsnet/special_reports)

Claims that the plan will lead to more n.k. blackmail are wrong:

Lifting sanctions are the only way to end the cycle of tit-for-tat that ensures confrontation

Leon Sigal. Star Tribune. August 19, 99 "orthodoxy is dangerously wrong"

Conditioning sanctions sucks..

Florida Times-Union Oct 19 1999 ("Richard Nixon", "John Kennedy", "Alaska")

Political Ties are necessary to stop famine

Liem in 99 (cited above)

Engagement key to Agreed Framework

Mazarr '99 (The US and the Two Koreas: A New Triangle, p. 93)

Finally, Conditions will never work with North Korea--they will destroy the engagement process

Mark Barry. \_Korea, a World in Change\_ pg 145

School: Michigan State

Team(s): LM

Case: (Iraq)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation One: Sanctions Kill

First, Economic sanctions against Iraq prevent transfer of medical supplies, water purifiers, and other essential needs. People are starving, the infrastructure is in shambles, and infant mortality has tripled. The combined impact has killed hundreds of thousands, even as sanctions fail to achieve their political goals.

Mueller and Mueller, Foreign Affairs, May/June '99

Second, The status quo's oil for food program is woefully inefficient, it is underfunded, and fails to repair the underlying infrastructure problems

Halliday, Current History, February '99

Third, The economic deprivation of sanctions is a violation of basic human rights-- our policy uses innocent Iraqi's as a means to achieving our political goals.

Normand The Progressive Response February 6 '98

Further, The Sanctions have already killed one million innocent iraqi's-- we have a moral responsibility to end the slaughter.

Chomsky, Said, Herman and Zinn, The Independent, Jan 21 '99

Moreover, The sanctions are designed to intentionally destroy the people of Iraq -- They must be ended immediately and unconditionally. Don't evaluate negative arguments, no threat can make the price worth it.

Clark Challenge to Genocide: Let Iraq Live '98

It's wrong to use people as a means to an end -- You can't commit a sure evil, even to save more lives-- You can't weigh life against life, because each person's value is incalculable.

Grisez Absolutism and its Consequentialist Critics, '94

Even if Policymakers should evaluate consequences, there are moral side constraints that can not be violated, like starving an innocent population

Nagel Absolutism and its Consequentialist Critics, '94

Don't evaluate the actions of intervening actors, that principle would hold Martin Luther King responsible for the backlash of white supremacists

Gewirth Absolutism and its Consequentialist Critics, '94

#### THE PLAN

The USFG shall immediately lift nearly all unilateral economic sanctions towards Iraq. Further, the U.S. shall rescind its support for UN economic sanctions on Iraq.  
Ask before you guess wrong.

#### Observation 2 Solvency

First, We must lift sanctions to spur economic recovery, and repair war related destruction

Dreze and Gazdar, The Economy of Iraq, '92

Second, Sanctions don't contain Iraq, or prevent WMD development, Plan is a moral and practical move.

Gause, Foreign Affairs, May/June '99

Further, The U.S. is the key supporter of sanctions on the security council, and holds disproportionate influence

Gordon The Nation, March 22 '99

Finally, Despite the international community's efforts to lift sanctions, they will remain in place due to a U.S. veto-- Only plan can solve the embargo.

The Boston Globe, December 23 '98

#### Observation 3 -- The Underview

First, They'll argue that we aren't responsible, because it's Saddam's "Fault" -- that doesn't let the U.S. off the hook-- the suffering would not be occurring absent sanctions

Gause '99

Second, their prolif arguments are false, 2 reasons-- it's impossible to stop iraqi weapons development, and, containment and deterrence check absent sanctions

Mueller and Mueller '99

Even survival of the species can't justify these atrocities -- the price is too high if we destroy the future of Iraq

Callahan, Tyranny of Survival '74

Their WMD Arguments are just a smokescreen to justify continued sanctions and mask our responsibility

Normand '98

The Iraq threat isn't real-- it was invented by the U.S. to replace the Soviet Union

Keeble, Secret State, Silent Press, '97

Don't evaluate their low-risk disads-- Preoccupation with worst case scenarios prevents us from accurate policy evaluations

Rescher '83

Sanctions have killed more people in Iraq than weapons of mass destruction through out all of history, case outweighs any disad

Mueller and Mueller '99

Their evidence is flawed-- the press only serves new militarism, we must challenge this to prevent future violence

Keeble '97

Even improving sanctions isn't ethical -- only masks responsibility

Gordon, Ethics and International Affairs, '99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

The underview sometimes varies from round to round, but that's usually the extent of it. Common 2ac arguments are typical Iraq add-ons, including inspections, lashout, etc.

School: Michigan State

Team(s): VW

Case: (Iran)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Ob. 1 sanctions fail

ILSA fails--lacks international support and stops investment

Dhooge, Denver Journal of International Law and Policy, Fall 98

ILSA blocks economic reforms and boosts the hardliners

Katz, SAIS Review, Summer/Fall 98

PLAN: THE USFG WILL ADOPT A POLICY OF CONSTRUCTIVE ENGAGEMENT WITH IRAN WHICH WILL INCLUDE THE IMMEDIATE REMOVAL OF ALL PORTIONS OF THE IRAN-LIBYA SANCTIONS ACT PERTAINING TO IRAN AND ANY ADDITIONAL CURRENTLY EXISTING CONFLICTING LEGISLATION RESTRICTING INVESTMENT IN IRAN'S OIL AND GAS SECTORS. THE USFG WILL ALSO EXTEND AN OFFER OF FORMAL DIALOGUE WITH THE IRANIAN GOVERNMENT. LOGISTICS PER NORMAL. WE RESERVE THE RIGHT TO CLARIFY INTENT.

#### ADV.1 CASPIAN OIL

pipeline inevitable, current proposal is for east-west route thru turkey  
Journal of Commerce, April 23, 99

nations militarizing over Turkish route, risking war  
Defense and Security, March 30, 98

Iran's naval buildup risks accidental war with the US  
Blanche, Jane's Navy International, March 1, 97

current containment policy risks regional conflict and Russian nationalism.  
engagement thru investment key to solve  
Starr, National Interest, Spring 97

sanctions key obstacle to investment  
Dhooge 98

#### ADV. 2 DUAL CONTAINMENT

ILSA part of Dual Containment strategy toward Iran and Iraq  
Cordesman March 16, 98 [www.csis.org/mideast/gulspch.html](http://www.csis.org/mideast/gulspch.html)

Dual containment fostering alliance between Iran and Iraq  
Gause, Foreign Affairs, March/April 94

alliance offsets regional balance of power, causing miscalc and war  
Cordesman, Iran and the Gulf: A Search for Stability, 1996 p. 285

containment causes Iran to develop nukes  
Gerges, Survival, Winter 97

constructive engagement solves  
Cordesman, Iran: Dilemmas of Dual Containment, 1997 p. 322

#### OB 2. SOLVENCY

unilateral lifting of sxns key--increases relations and solves case  
Preeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good With Sanctions, 1999 p.83-4

engagement fosters additional transparency measures  
Chubin and Green, Survival, Autumn 1998

cooperation solves peace process, prolif, and terrorism  
Fuller, Middle East Policy, October 98

#### *UCO*

Michigan State SO

Plan: In consultation with all relevant actors the USFG will adopt a policy  
of CE with the Govt of DPRK by immediately lifting all currently existing  
economic SX on the DPRK. Logistics guaranteed.

Advantages: Famine, Nuclearization.

1AC Cites:

Observation I Inherency - SQ fails noth Korea want sx lifted, Korea Times 9-26-99^ÅNK sees Quid pro Quo as unacceptable, Kim 10-22-99.

Advantage I Famine - Famine increasing now, à collapse, Womyn suffer most, Immoral to starve.

Advantage II Nuclearization - Brinkpersonship increasing, à war, à failure of AF, à escalating war

Solvency - Commit to engage solves AF, sanctions à famine, contacts à change, Lift solves brinkpersonship, Unconditional lift solves, Lift solves SK/US relations, NK doesn't like sx.

Michigan State PB

Plan:

Advantages: ß ß ß Same as Michigan State OS

## ***UNI***

Michigan State BC

Plan: Lift the ILSA and all conflicting legislation. Initiate government to government dialogue.

Advantage one: Dual containment^Å Iran/Iraq alliance bad

Advantage two: Caspian oil^Å Iran pipeline key to solving geopolitical struggle and war.

Advantage three: Encirclement

-containment facilitates modernization and conflict with the United States.

Michigan State BP

Plan: The United States Federal Government shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement by lifting all status quo sanctions against the DPRK and conducting an open dialogue with the government.

Observation one: Clinton did not lift all sanctions v.s. North Korea [UPI 9-14-99]

Advantage one: Miscalculation resulting from irrational actions taken by North Korea due to their isolation.

Brinkmanship will escalate into war.

Advantage two: Famine

-occurring despite present food aid, three million will die, the famine will collapse the regime sparking a lashout.

-sanctions preventing food aid are morally wrong.

Solvency: Ending all sanctions are key to peace talks, increasing North Korea^Os economy, stopping the famine, foreign investment.

United States action key to solving North Korean threat.

Plan will not be perceived as blackmail.

Affirmative authors:

Sigal 11-11-98, [ftp.nautilus.org](http://ftp.nautilus.org)

Moo-Kwan, VP 7-98

Barry 1998 Two Koreas in Transition: Implications for U.S. policy

Sigal 1999, Star Tribune, 8-19

Hong Soon-Young, Foreign Affairs May/June 1999

Michigan State DM

Plan: The United States Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with North Korea reaffirming our commitment to the agreed framework by unconditionally lifting all appropriate U.S. non-military, economic and humanitarian sanctions or embargoes imposed against North Korea.

Advantage one: Nuclear diplomacy

-isolation creates brinkmanship, which endangers the agreed framework and leads to Asian Proliferation.

Advantage two: Famine

-Crisis leads to massive deaths, regime collapse, refugee crisis, and suicidal attack.

Affirmative cites:

UPI, 9-17-99

UPI, 9-13-99

March/April Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, Wright 1999 pg 54

Council on Foreign Relations, 1999 [www.foreignrelations.com](http://www.foreignrelations.com)

Lee 1997. Ending the Last Cold War: Korean Arms Control and Security in Northeast Asia.

Kim 1998. The Future of Agreed Framework (web page)

Liem, 1999. "silent famine in north korea" Peace Review 6-1999, pg. 325

PF. Kim 1996. Korea and World Affairs. Pg. 630

Huntley and Savage 1999. [URL] "agreed framework @ the crossroads," March 11.

Sigal 1998. Nov. 11 [URL] "For Sale: North Korea's missile program."

Michigan State LM

Plan: The United States Federal Government shall immediately lift all unilateral economic sanctions toward Iraq, including relevant sections of the Iraqi Sanctions Regulation Act. Further, the United States shall cease its support of United Nations economic sanctions against Iraq and will actively promote the immediate removal of these sanctions.

Observation one: sanctions are immorally killing millions

Solvency: Must lift sanctions to stop suffering, the United States is key, veto

Michigan State VW

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of Constructive engagement with Iran which will include the immediate removal of all portions of the ILSA pertaining to Iran. Any additional currently existing conflicting legislation which restricts trade and investment in

non-military goods, will also be lifted.

Advantage one: [sorry, I can't read the writing]

Advantage two: Caspian Oil

Advantage three: Dual Containment

Note: they turn political capital (Clinton/WTO) w/ winners win

They turn Russia disadvantage impact with START 2 bad evidence.



## MTSU

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY BC

Plan: Lift all sanctions but IMF on North Korea, support for confederal government, unfreeze assets, fund KEDO, remove North Korea from the terrorist list.

Advantages: Verification, Famine, Agreed Framework.

Advocates: Dujurvie 1998, Harrison 1999, Swanley, United Press Internation 1998.

### ***WAKE***

MTSU

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: MTSU GW

vs.: Northwestern

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Arnett

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Grand Negotiator

- trade leadership key - Khalilzad 95

Incremental CP

- now is key time - Moakley 99

- only way to get competition is plan

Shunning

- double-turns WTO

- empirically not solve

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Clinton turns, WTO advantage, theory arguments

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: MTSU GW

vs.: Fullerton BN

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Sullivan

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Condition CP

- hegemony turns - Workman 99, Dhooge 96, Khalizad 95, Against the Guardian 99, Congressional Digest 99, Solis 97

- breast cancer and agriculture add-on - Waitzkin 97, Cuba Solidarity 98, Pittsburgh Post-Gazette 98, Journal of Commerce 99, NPR 99, Cleberg 99

MTSU

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: MTSU GW

vs.: Michigan Dearborn HR

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Gabrielle Prisco

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

WTO Advantage -

A Dispute Panel will be created - the US will claim national security - Morici 1997

--> prolif and terrorism - Solis 1997

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Feminist IR Kritik

1. Tickner is a strawmen, Murray (??)
2. K// mimics oppression by assuming only womyn can have a feminist perspective, Murray
3. Plan accounts for K// -- must act now, Murray
4. Perm - do both (Rorty)
5. Politicized gender identities = perpetual norm of oppression of womyn (Barnes ?)
6. Feminism is a flawed philosophy
7. Identity politics is oppressive
8. Inaction stops social change (Gordon and Gordon)
9. Pre-fiat bad
10. Double-bind w/ Topicality

AT: Juragua

1. Cuba wants to work w/ the US
2. Castro willing to give up Juragua to work w/ US on energy alternatives
3. Russia will complete in SQ

AT: Globalization

1. not unique
2. empirically denied
3. globalization key to world peace - several forms
4. isolation increases risk of war, Khalilzad, 95

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: MTSU GW

vs.: Northwestern PS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Sean Harris - UMKC

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Agent Specificity

1. Resolution just says FG
2. Cross-Ex clarifies
3. We meet - congressional oversight is normal means
4. No abuse - Doesn't hurt their ground

AT: Iranian Sanctions

1. No Spillover, Cuba to Iran
2. No Specific Link
3. No Threshold
4. Link evidence concedes that Libyan sanctions also need to be lifted to trigger the link
5. Battle now between hard-liners and moderates in Iran, Peterson 1999
6. US engagement crushes Khatami, Washington Times, 1999
7. Fundamentalism spurs terrorist attacks, Davis 1994

AT: Clinton - WTO

1. Fiat takes out the link - plan goes to the bottom of the docket
2. No specific link
3. Case has systemic impacts, outweighs the probabilistic disad impact
4. Uniqueness always overwhelms the link
5. No threshold
6. Can't predict politics, Edelmann, 1988
7. China won't get in the WTO now, Agence France Presse, 11-17-99
8. Winners-win, Ornstein, 1993
9. Trade unions check WTO entrance x
10. Non-unique, Republicans won't pass MFN just to embarrass Clinton
11. No back-lash
12. Winning uphill battles causes wins x

AT: Court CP

1. PIC's Bad (with a 'Topical CP's bad' subpoint)
2. No Negative Fiat - no actor
3. Conditionality bad - moving target
4. Permute: Congress passes plan after the court rules that it is unconstitutional.
5. The Court has no enforcement mechanism
6. No empirical solvency

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

They go for the Iran turn and the case.

MTSU/McNeese

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: MTSU/McNeese BH

vs.: North Texas HS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Berube

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Obs. 1, Inherency:

George W. isn't the only one smoking up, policymakers refuse to rescind the embargo even though Cuba is no threat to the US

Schwab '99, Confronting the US Embargo, p. 15

US is at the crossroads, We must change our policy  
Barnes '99

Adv. Bay of Pigs, 2:  
Sanctions and pressure to oust Castro leave no alternative to bloodshed and US intervention  
Smith, "Our Dysfunctional Cuban Embargo", ORBIS, Fall, 1998, INFOTRAC

US invasion causes massive death and suffering comparable to WWII  
Moore, Caribbean Quarterly, March 1, '96, pg. 14-29

US invasion would be a tragedy written in blood and place Cuban exiles into power  
Moore, '96,

White exile leadership in Cuba causes Massive violence, Human Rights Abuses, and Increases racism  
Moore '96

Adv. II: Hunger  
The US has a responsibility to end Cuban suffering  
Moakley '99

The AAWH outlines a smelly laundry list of the suffering caused by the embargo,  
American Association for World Health in March, 97  
<http://www.ifconews.org/aawh.html>

Cubans can't wait one minute more, the embargo denies crucial AIDS medications  
AAWH, 97

They'll say the status quo solves, but licensing practices fail  
Moakley, '99

US is key to supplying medicines to Cuba  
Moakley '99

Adv. III: Trade  
The EU is starting to create a dispute panel in the WTO against Helms-Burton. When it is formed, the US will claim a national security exemption  
Morici '97 , "The US World Trade, and the HB Act"

Invoking the national security exemption will destroy the WTO and nuclear non-proliferation and terrorist reduction  
Solis, Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Journal,  
April, '97

PLAN:  
The USFG will immediately lift the embargo on Cuba, including the repeal of Titles I,III,IV of the HB Act, and the modification of Title II to allow US economic assistance to Cuba. The US will also negotiate a Bilateral Investment Treaty with the Government of Cuba. F/E guaranteed.

Observation II: solvency  
US should adopt a three-part plan that repeals the embargo and negotiates a BIT with Cuba, as well as provides economic assistance ---it solves for human rights, political reforms and property claims  
Dunning, Washington U. Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law,  
Summer '98, pg. 213

We must engage Cuba to ever effect political change and bring democratic ideals to the people  
Moakley, Congressional Digest, March '99, pg. 78-80

BIT s prevent state interference in investment  
Vandavelde, The American Society of International Law, "The Political Economy of a Bilateral Investment Treaty", October 1999

Seven reasons to lift the embargo and that plan has best solvency  
Barnes, Congressional Digest, March '99, pg. 82-84

US policy must stop focusing on Castro and work for a peaceful transition  
Aronson and Rogers, Independent Task Force Report, Us-Cuban Relations in the 21st Century, April 15, 1999,  
<http://www.foreignrelations.org/public/pubs/uscuba2.html>

Only way to subvert Cuba's govt. system is through lifting the embargo  
Rodriguez and Vasquez '98, <http://www.cato.org/pubs/handbook/hb105-50.html>

Even if Castro manipulates, engagement is still the best option  
Friedman, New York Times, "Give That man a cigar", Sept. 29, 1999

Free flow of ideas and people is key to liberation in Cuba  
Hakim, Brookings Review, "US Policy Towards Cuba", Winter, '96

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

They use transition evidence as often as possible and they like to harp on Barius evidence in solvency contention and Vanderbilt evidence on secured investment.

MTSU

School: MTSU/McNeece  
Team(s): BH  
Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

O1- inherency.  
Schwab 1999

Advantage 1: Health and Human Rights (including prostitution and moral obligation)  
Advantage 2: WTO  
Advantage 3: Transition/Exiles Return

Plan--Lift sanctions. Do a BIT.

O2--solvency  
dunning 1998, vandavelde 1998 (?), Smith 1998, Moakley 1999

School: MTSU  
Team(s): GW  
Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The United States federal government will immediately lift the economic embargo on Cuba including the repeal of titles I, III, and, IV of Helms-Burton, and the modification of Title II to allow US economic assistance to Cuba. The USFG will also negotiate a bilateral investment treaty with the government of Cuba. Funding and Enforcement Guaranteed. We'll clarify intent

Observation One: Inherency

We have expanded the embargo even though Cuba is not a threat  
Schwab

Stasis prevents a change in policies even if they fail  
Weiss, Cortright, Lopez, Minnear, Political Gain and Civilian Pain, 1997,

Current economic sanctions are equivalent to economic attacks and military wars  
Schwab

Now is the key time for US to change policy toward Cuba  
Aronson and Rogers, "Independent Task Force US-Cuban relations in the 21st century", Council on Foreign Relations, January 1999

Advantage One: Health and Human Rights

Sanctions have caused a Cuban health care crisis leaving a massive shortage in supplies needed to stop AIDS and other deadly diseases  
Moakley, Congressional Digest, March 1999

US has been destroying Cuban human rights and attempts to destroy their medical advances  
Schwab

Embargo is a massive violation of human rights and is imposing a new holocaust on Cuba  
Schwab

Now is the key time, Cuba's medical program is in crisis  
Kristina Stefanova, Washington Times, August 17, 1999

US embargo means that the members of Cuba's Chernobyl program cannot get necessary medicine or heal as many children  
Mandel, The Toronto Sun, March 1, 1998

Economic crisis in Cuba is causing Cuba to stop its treatment of children impacted by Chernobyl, to gain money they are going to turn it into a tourist spa  
Mandel

Must lift sanctions because they are the moral equivalent to a neutron bomb  
Hufbauer, Economic Casualties, 1999

Advantage Two: WTO

Advantage Three: Invasion

Continued US Embargo and pressure to oust Castro will result in massive bloodshed and US intervention  
Wayne Smith, Orbis, Fall 1998

US invasion of Cuba will cause Hundreds of thousands of deaths and lead to biggest bloodbath since WWII

Carlos Moore 1996, Caribbean Quarterly, March 1, 1996,

US invasion of Cuba will be a tragedy written in blood and will place Cuba exiles into power  
Moore

White Exile leadership causes massive violence, human rights abuses, and racism  
Moore

Observation Three: Solvency

US should adopt a three part plan of repealing embargo on Cuba, implementing a BIT and giving Cuba economic assistance, it will allow political reforms, increased human rights and solve for property claims  
Dunning, Washington University Journal of Urban and Contemporary Law, Summer 1998

Must give up on idea of Cuba as a democracy and work for a peaceful transition with the US's support  
Smith

BIT causes increased Cuban economic growth and decreasing Cuban refugees  
Borquez, Boston University International Law Journal, Spring 1995

Castro won't accept aid as long as US is pushing for his removal  
Kaplowitz, Anatomy of a failed Embargo, 1998

Constructive Engagement solves  
Moore

Sanctions cannot be justified because they are immoral means of action  
Barry, Sword of Justice: ethics and coercion in international politics, 1998

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Clinton Good - WTO

- 1) Clinton dead in the status quo
- 2) Chinese WTO divides GOP, causes Gore to win in 2000
- 3) Gore will pass the Kyoto treaty - wrecks the economy

Against PICS, they'll argue that the CP doesn't solve the case because it doesn't lift all sanctions, and that the risk of racism outweighs the net benefit

## Navy

### **WAKE**

Navy

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Navy BJ

vs.: MTSU/McNeese BH

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Kelly Young

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Cuba still suffers from the Cold War Relic embargo imposed by the United States. The time has come to end the embargo toward Cuba and employ a policy of constructive engagement.

Aronson and Rogers '99

Advantage 1: Health

Embargo increases breast cancer and denies 2 million children clean water

M2 Presswire, 10/15/98

Problems are gonna get worse

M2 Presswire, 10/15/99

Advantage 2: US Isolation

The embargo has isolated the US from its allies and trading partners. This is ultimately more harmful to the US than any policy Cuba has carried out over the last 40 years since the embargo

Baltimore Sun May 2, 1999

US sanctions on Cuba undermine US leadership and alliances

Hishmeh, Mideast Mirror, June 12, 1998

US leadership prevents terrorism

Volgy, Imwalle, and Corntassel, 1997

New terrorists will use weapons of mass destruction

Ivan Eland, Dec. 17, 1998, [www.cato.org](http://www.cato.org)

Advantage 3: Growth

Sanctions have tremendous impact: Overturning them leads to growth

Foreign Affairs, Sep/Oct. '99

Decreasing growth leads to Nazism and war

Silk, Foreign Affairs, 1993, pg. 172-173

Advantage 4: Stopping the International Drug Racket

Now is the key time to engage cuba on drug interdiction

Washington Post, August 12, 1999



Drugs kill US citizens

National Household Survey on Drug Abuse, 1998, [www.dare-america.com](http://www.dare-america.com)

Drug use in Cuba is a growing problem that needs to be fixed

Farah, Washington Post, May 25, 1999

Drug Abuse is rising in Cuba

The Economist, Sept. 11, 1999, [www.economist.com](http://www.economist.com)

#### THE PLAN:

The USFG shall adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions, with the government nation-state of Cuba. Specifically, the USFG shall remove economic sanctions imposed against Cuba under relative laws, including but not necessarily limited to, the IEEPA, the Libertad Act, and the Cuban Democracy Act. The US will adopt a comprehensive policy of cooperation on drug interdiction with the relevant law enforcement agencies in Cuba. The US will also adopt a policy of baseball and softball diplomacy towards Cuba including, but not limited to, games played by the US major league teams and the Cuban National team.

Solvency:

Legislation is the only way to solve for the Cuban economy, human rights, and tourism

Dunning '98

Constructive Engagement using baseball plus removing sanctions solves

NYT 10/3/99

Plan is the only solution to save relations

The Japan Times, Jan. 10, 1999

Coop with Cuba is the only way to solve for drugs

Farah, '99

Coop with US could dramatically enhance Cuba's ability to stop drugs and thus will ultimately help the Cuban people

Farah '99

Baseball provides purity and communication

Talk of the Nation, NPR May 4, 1999

Sports Diplomacy empirically works

Sun-Sentinel, March 10, 1999

Navy

School: Navy

Team(s): BJ

Case: (Cuba)

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

Plan : USFG shall adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions against Cuba. US will adopt a policy of cooperations on drug interdiction with the relevant law enforcement

agencies in Cuba. The US will also adopt a policy of baseball and softball diplomacy toward Cuba involving but not limited to games played by the US major league teams and the Cuban National Team.

Advantages :

1. Health
2. Isolation
3. Growth
4. Drug war

Authors : Dunning 98, NYT 99, Japan Times 99, Sun 99, Farah 99, National Public Radio 99

### ***KINGS***

U.S. Naval Academy ??

Plan: lift sanctions, baseball diplomacy (Cuba)

Advantages: Solves for health and human rights peaceful transition to democracy

Sites: Garfield, Santana 97 ; Smith 98

U.S. Naval Academy BJ

Plan: Cuba

Adv: health care - sanctions = genocide  
Civil war - sanctions increase chance instability  
Nuke power - coop = alts to nuke energy  
US - Ally relations - sanctions hurt US credibility

Wayne State University (Angelita/Holly) ??

Plan: ending economic sanctions against Iraq

Advantages: sanctions failed to achieve stated goals, economic sanctions against Iraq are counterproduction, sanctions have resulted in death, led to destruction of Iraqi people and society, removal of sanctions prevents unjust suffering of Iraqi people and offer greater hope for future constructive relations

### ***UNI***

Navy BJ

Plan: the United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including lifting sanctions against Cuba. Specifically to include baseball games between the two countries.

Advantage one: Health crisis  
Advantage two: Violent Transition  
Advantage three: Nuclear reactor

Advantage four: Trade relations

Navy SS

Plan: The United States federal government should immediately end all sanctions against Cuba. It will also mandate that major league baseball full include Cuba in its operations to include the immediate addition of 2 expansion teams in Cuba including minor league teams and also include Cuba in United States Little League play.

Intro: Narrative from "Shoeless Joe Jackson" about why baseball is great

Observation one: Baseball is in decline  
-diversity is key to being a good athlete

Plan intent is to reinstate love of the game

Observation two: Baseball is a country all in itself  
-baseball endemic in American society  
-baseball is symbolic of life  
-equality among the races  
-valuable lessons to be learned from baseball  
-baseball a pure form passed down generation to generation

Other advantage areas:

- Health and Human rights
- Revolution / Transition
- Nuclear power plant

## Northern Illinois

***WILLIAM JEWELL***  
NORTHERN ILLINOIS MK

Plan: Constructive engagement with Iran, remove all economic sanctions, help Iran with International Development Bank, remove Iran from the terrorist list, give Iran 3B telecom equipment.

Advantages: Saving the Iranian economy saves Khatami; Stop terrorist threat construction (Gupta, International Studies, 1998); Deconstruct US neo-imperialist stereotyping (Rocka Shoam, Com Theory, 1996)

## Northern Iowa

### **WAKE**

Northern Iowa

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: UNI BR

vs.: Kansas State GS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Katsulas

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

rice answers:

1. no internal link - Business World, October '99
2. non/unique - BBC '99
3. no link - Japan Econ Newswire, July 6, 1999
4. non/unique - Independent 11-7-99 Lean
5. non/unique - Saigon Times Daily 10-11-99

Coral reefs/Ecotourism answers:

1. Mother Jones May/June 98 pg. 60
2. Journal of Commerce, 10-28-99

Northern Iowa

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: UNI BR

vs.: Louisville RW

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Michele Choe

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Same as casebook, but they added some gender pre-empts from Tickner in 1992 for this round

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Race IR Kritik

1. SQ crucifies
2. SQ key to stopping repression
3. Apply perm from feminism Kritik
4. Moral obligation dropped
5. Embargo hurts black cubans Essence, July 1999, p. 90
6. Realist focus on security - Tickner 1992

AT: feminism Kritik

1. realist focus b/t military and security
2. fiat real
3. perm - approach aff from feminist mindset - Burke 1954

4. T/ CP can't solve
5. CP operates w/in flawed framework
6. T/ men dominate realism, aff prevents snctns
7. T/ key symbol of hegemony

Import Substitution

1. no impact
2. increase interaction necessary for transition
3. moral obligation
4. aff allows for peaceful transition

Pres. Waiver CP

1. can't solve
2. no NB
3. can't solve - no evidence
4. sanctions key to peaceful transition

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Northern Iowa BR

vs.: Michigan MS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Stables

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Helms-Burton add-on - plan solves seattle round (WTO)

Northern Iowa

School: UNI

Team(s): All

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Cuba aff

Obs 1 inh

a) the united states congress is attempting to lift food and medicine sanctions on Cuba - la times, 8-21-99

b)unfortunately, the house killed the bill, abbott, 9-22-99, dailynews.yahoo.com

c)additionally, clinton's revisions to the embargo have been inadequate in lessening the suffering of the cuban people - Moakley, house of representatives hearing of the subcommittee on trade, us economic and trade policy toward cuba, may 7, 1998

d)furthermore, the passage of helms-burton only upholds the oppressive castro regime - torres, same hearing as above, may 12, 1998

e)in fact, castro's rule has only gotten stronger the longer the embargo continues - cooper, calif. western international law journal spring 1998

Scenario one

health

a)there are four reasons why sanctios have undermined Cuba's medical health structure to the point of disaster - [www.usaengage.org](http://www.usaengage.org), 1997

b)health harms as a direct result of the embargo are devastating - moakley, cited above.

plan

the usfg should adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions with the government of Cuba through the following provisions

a)the foreign asst act of 1961 will be amended to repeal the embargo on trade with cuba and trade relations shall be normalized

b)any prohibitions on exports to cuba under the export administration act of 1979 shall cease to be effective

c)the CDA / libertad / helms-burton acts shall be repealed.

d)banning travel to and from cuba shall be prohibited

e)the president shall take all necessary steps to constructively engage cuba and to negotiate the protection of internationally recognized human rights

f) cuba shall be afforded a political and diplomatic ambassador and embassy

g) the us govt as a show of good faith will offer the necessary food and medical aid required to offset the great suffering in the country

normal means

Solvency

a)lifting the embargo coupled with constructive engagement leads to economic and political reform in cuba - arendt, case western reserve journal of international law, winter 1998

b)repealing the embargo lessens the harsh totalitarianism in cuba - kittredge, house of reps, sept. 10, 1998

c) the time is ripe for ending the cuban embargo - dunning, washington university journal of urban and contemporary law, summer, 1998

d)lifting travel restrictions opens up free flow of ideas - [usaengage.org](http://usaengage.org), 1997

e)engagement is the only means by which to stop Castro's oppresive regime, arendt, cited above

f)engagement would not uphold the regime - [usaengage.org](http://usaengage.org), 1998

g)moral obligatio to stop the embargo and its consequences, arendt, cited above

## North Texas

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS FG

Plan: Same as all year.

### ***WAKE***

North Texas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: North Texas FH

vs.: Emory JS

Case: Iraq

Judge: Renegar, Val-Kansas

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Oil

- non-unique: iraqi return to full production is inevitable-barkho 99 atlanta journal and constitution
- no link: lifting production circling on iraq doesn't depress process-richardson, fdch testimony march 17 99
- no link-iraq won't be the enemy...will work with OPEC
- multiple outside factors kill uniqueness: hakes fdch testimony march 26 99
- opec will check prices
- if we don't increase production, US inflation will increase causing another rate hike decreasing jobs and causing wwide recessoin-carpenter ap 11/19/99
- need to keep prices low-or they will get out of control NYT 11/16/99
- if we don't increase production, oil markets will get out of control-NYT 11/16/99
- Meade card

Kritik

- we need to reject the hegemony of philosophical discourse-irigaray 85 this sex which is not one
- theoretical deconstruction is incomplete-it fails to account for individual factors-cook harvard law review 90

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: North Texas GG

vs.: Northwestern GS

Case: Iraq

Judge: Cheshier, David-Georgia State

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Clinton

1. NTR won't pass-political opposition Bulletin's Frontrunner Nov. 16 99
2. Labor will Crush NTR-San Diego Union Tribune 11/17/99
3. Non-Unique and Link-Inspections pleases Congress-Pittsburgh Post-Gazette Sept. 26 99
4. Winners Win-The Independent 11-1-99



CP-Condition before lifting

1. Doesn't do diplomatic engagement
2. CP is the SQ
3. CP is immoral
4. PICs BAD-ground, literature
5. Dispositionality bad-prep time, 2AC time allocation, moving target
6. Perm: textual competition
7. Dual-Use Key
8. Don't maintain Kurdish exception
9. Links to Clinton

Israel

1. Non-Unique and Turn-the lack of inspections in Iraq is fueling Israeli fears now, return of inspections solves-Jerusalem Post 8-17-99
2. Non-Unique-US-Security credibility has been crushed-Israel perceives this multiple reasons-Jerusalem Post Oct. 15 99
3. Non-Unique and Turn-Extend the Zunes 98 and Gause in 99 1AC evidence-Saddam uses divisions in the UN to gain support, the plan unifies support for containing Saddam this would solve Israeli security concerns.
4. Normal means = no link. Part of US foreign policy would be to reassure Israel of our security commitments post removal of sanctions
5. Non-Unique-Israel perceives Iran as a threat and failure of US sanctions in preventing Irani prolif-Jerusalem Post June 19 99
6. Non-Unique and Turn-Gause 99 says sanctions are propaganda for Saddam to gain Arab support, the plan reverses this ensuring Arab support for containment
7. Non-Unique-Iraq is proliferating now.

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)  
Conceded morality-went for utilitarian world.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: North Texas FH

vs.: Kentucky HK

Case: Iraq

Judge: Repko, Biza-Michigan

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

CTBT add-on advantage

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8

Team: North Texas GG

vs.: Michigan BS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Dan Davis

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Terrorism

1. n/u libya sanctions lift
2. chem and bio terr continue
3. iraqi terrorist lashout turn
4. rally round the flag, magnifying terrorism
5. no internal link, no spillover

Renewable Energy

1. co2 not cause warming
2. n/u speculation ensure price volatility
3. not increase production, inflation will spur rate hike, killing econ
4. multiple reasons why price is bugged?
5. Iraq will conform to quotas
6. Clinton's spr announcement will get perception

Democratic Consolidation

1. c/a libya ev
2. ?
3. n/u and turn, only lift sanct preserves us heg

OFF CP

1. OFF only illeviate, no elimiate
2. Becker dual use
3. Permute-controls using OFF
4. ?
5. rejection CTBT hurt US negotiating ability, now key time to restore
6. failure of CTBT hurt prolif leadership, must send new signal
7. plan is clear signal, unify security council
8. don't get inspections debate-
9. OFF strengthen Saddam Hussein
10. War reparations and costs block solvency

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Reads non-violence obligation argument on case turns.

Although 1ac has observation with sanctions are immoral cards they agree that morality should be trumped by a utilitarian calculus.

North Texas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: North Texas HS

vs.: Michigan State ML

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Jared Ellis

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

The plan changes after the third star - this paragraph is the only different, the last 2 paragraphs as the same

Finally, the US will condition the continuation of the Constructive Engagement represented by the plan on a new WMD inspection regime and military export regime as described by Gause and Zunes and based around the UNCIM proposed by Great Britain as well as a continuation of Operation Northern Watch (or it's equivalent) and cooperation from Iraq on a continuation of the "share the wealth" oil profit portions of UNSC resolution 688.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

on oil they read a big turn saying that new oil is critical to save the world economy w/ a mead impact - Lawrence Kudlow, Bakersfield CalifornianJournal, 1999

Lashout Add-on

David Albright, 1998 - [www.isis-online.org/publications/iraq/pr121798.htm](http://www.isis-online.org/publications/iraq/pr121798.htm)  
John Hillen FNS, 3/10/99  
Michael Fox, Environmental Ethics, vol. 9, 1987  
Noam Chomsky, Frontline, 1/18/99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3  
Team: UNT GG  
vs.: Georgia State HK  
Case (country): Iraq  
Judge: McBride, Brian - Northwestern  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Same but added new solvency card - UNCIM will solve, Black - June 19, 1999

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
David Carpenter, AP, 11/19/1999  
The Independent, 11/1/1999  
Richard Son 1999, FDCH Congressional Testimony, March 17

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)  
Goes for "utilitarianism not morality" (not sure - I don't speak caveman)

North Texas

School: North Texas  
Team: FH (Fortner and Hildebrand)  
Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

this is the plan text for University of North Texas FH (Holly Fortner and Lauren Hildebrand)

the USFG, as part of a "constructive engagement policy," and in consultation with all relevant allies and the United Nations Security Council will offer the following diplomatic recognition to Iraq in combination with:

- \* the immediate removal of non-military sanctions- including but not limited to- lifting all limits n Iraq's Ability to sell or produce oil

- \* suspension of all Iraqi obligations for Gulf War Compensation

- \* the removal of all restrictions on dual-use requirements for food, infrastructure, or medicine.

finally, the US will condition the continuation of the sanctions relief represented by the plan on a new WMD inspection regime and military export control regime as described by Gregory Gause, and Stephen Zunes as well as the continuation of Operation Northern Watch (or its equivalent) and cooperation from Iraq on a continuation of the "share the wealth" oil profit portions of UNSC resolution 688.

we will defend the plan as removing all sanctions requirements in UNSC resolutions 661, 665, 687 and substantially modifying requirements under UNSC 688- with exceptions noted.

all enforcement and funding through normal means and all speeches serve as intent. have a nice day. :)

\*\*\*\*\*  
here come the cites....

Institute for public accuracy 11/13/98, [www.accuracy.org/iraq](http://www.accuracy.org/iraq)

Geoff Simons, the scourging of iraq: sanctions. law and natural justice. 1996

Denis Halliday, middle east report, 1998, <http://www.merip.org/hallid.htm>

Abbas Medhi, the washington report on Middle East affairs, june 1999

Masri 1998 [my cite in incomplete-- i will try and get you the rest of it ASAP, sorry about the inconvenience]

Barbara Crossette, 8/5/99 p.5 International Herald Tribune

Elizabeth Sullivan, Cleveland Plain Dealer, 8/16/99 p.7B

FAO 98, Food and agricultural organization, UN, Terminal Statement, the impact of sanctions on Iraq, Peter L. Pellett

UNSC report 1/30/99, report of the second panel by Res. Sec. council on the current humanitarian situation in iraq

John Mueller and Karl Mueller, Foreign Affairs, v. 78 may/june 1999

Ramsey Clark, 1998 In Challenge of Genocide: Let Iraq Live, [www.iacenter.org/fireice](http://www.iacenter.org/fireice)

F. Gregory Gause, foreign affairs, may/june 1999

Becker 98 [also incomplete--- see above]

Stephen Zunes, june 1998, Middle east review. 6

Scott Ritter march 31, 1999, Policy Watch #377, [www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/Policywatch1999/377](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/Policywatch1999/377)

David Wurmser 1999, tyranny's Ally: American Failure to defeat Saddam Hussein

Rend Rahim Francke, Middle East Policy, v. 6, february 1999

International Herald Tribune, 8/16/99 (NYT service)

School: North Texas  
Team: GG (Gagnon, Gottbreht)

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Ob 1: Inherency

1. Sanctions fail, 5000 lives a month

Halliday Nov. 2 99 L/N

2. Sanctions prevent rebuilding of economy

Masri 98

Ob 2: SQ Doesn't Solve

1. Saddam not hoarding food

Sullivan 99

2. Oil for Food fails

FAO 98

3. Modified oil for food can't solve

UN Security Council Report 99

Ob 3: Impact Calculus

1. Sanctions immoral

Gordon 99

2. Total deaths equal to all WMD deaths

Mueller and Mueller 99

Plan: The USFG, as part of a constructive engagement policy, and in consultation with all relevant allies and the UN security council, will offer diplomatic recognition to Iraq in combination with:

-removal of all non-military sanctions-including all limits on Iraq's ability to sell and produce oil

-suspension of all Iraqi obligations for Gulf War compensations

-the removal of all restrictions on dual use requirements for food, infrastructure, or medicine

Finally, the US will condition the continuation of the sanctions relief represented by the plan on a new wmd inspection regime and military export control regime as described by Gregory Gause and Stephen Zunes as well as a continuation of Operation Northern Watch (or its equivalent) and cooperation from Iraq on a continuation of the "share the wealth" oil profit portions of UNSC resolution 688.

we will defend the plan as removing nearly all sanctions requirements in UNSC resolutions 661, 665, and 687 and substantially modifying requirements under UNSC 688- with exceptions noted.

all enforcement and funding through normal means and all speeches serve as intent. Have a nice day.

Obs #3 solvency

allow to trade goods freely

Simons 96

allow ethical and realistic approaches  
gause 99

maintain dual use wont solve  
becker 98

maintain kurdish create un security council unity  
francke 99

disconnecting civilian and military sanct rebuild coalition support  
zunes 98

unity of security council force saddam to sign on  
international herald tribune 99

diplomatic recognition = saddam accept  
ritter 99

the sq bombs b/c the us doesnt have inspections  
jane's intelligence review 99

School: North Texas  
Team: SH (Sykes/Hines)  
Case: Iraq

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

plan text and lac cites for unt sykes/hines:

therefore, the plan:

the united states federal government, as part of a "constructive engagement policy," and in consultation with all relevant allies and the united nations security council, will offer diplomatic recognition to Iraq in combination with:

--> the immediate removal of nearly all non-military sanctions--including, but not limited to--lifting all limits on Iraq's ability to sell or produce oil,

--> suspension of all Iraqi obligations for gulf war compensation, and

--> the removal of all restrictions on dual-use requirements for food, infrastructure, or medicine.

finally, the united states will condition the continuation of sanctions relief represented by the plan on a new weapons of mass destruction inspections regime and military export control regime as described by Gregory Gause and Stephen Zunes as well as the continuation of operation northern watch (or its equivalent) and cooperation from Iraq on a continuation of the "share the wealth" oil profit portions of unsc resolution 688.

we will defend the plan as removing all sanctions requirements

in unsc resolutions 661, 665, and 687 and substantially modifying requirements under unsc resolution 688--with exceptions noted.

all enforcement and funding guaranteed by normal means and all speeches will serve as intent. have a nice day :)

cites:

inherency:

institute for public accuracy 1998 (won't remove until saddam dies or is removed)

harms:

gordon 1998

masri 1998 (4500 kids a month)

mueller/mueller 1999 (more than wmd's)

clark 1998 (status quo anesthetizes your conscience)

sullivan 1999 (saddam distributing now)

fao 1998 (oil for food)

un security council report (oil for food)

solvency:

simons 1996

franke 99

zunes 99

gause 99

ritter 99

international herald tribune 99 (unifies security council?)

jane's intell. review 99 (bombing b/c no inspections)

## *UCO*

North Texas HS

Plan: The usfg, as part of a constructive engagement policy, and in consultation with all relevant allies and the united nations security council, will immediately offer diplomatic recognition to Iraq in combination with:

- the removal of nearly all or all non - military sanctions - including but not limited to - lifting of all limits on Iraq's ability to produce or sell oil.

- Suspension of all Iraqi obligations for gulf war compensation

- The removal of all restrictions on dual-use requirements for food, infrastructure or medicine

Finally the united states will condition the continuation of the sanctions relief represented by the plan on a new wmd inspection regime as describes by gregory gause and stephen zunes as well as a continuation of the "share the wealth" oil profit portions of unsc resolution 688.

We will defend the plan as removing all sanctions requirements in unsc resolutions 661, 665, and 687 and substantially modifying requirements under unsc 688- with exceptions noted.

All enforcement and funding through normal means and all speeches serve as intent.

Advantages: Humanitarian Disaster ( 4500 kids a day)

1AC Cites:

Halliday 1999, Masri 1998, Sullivan 1999, FAO 1998, UN security council reporter 1-30-99, Gordon 1999, Meuller & Meuller 1999, Simons 1996, Becker 1998, Janes Intelligence Review 1999, Zunes 1998, Gause 1999, IHT 1999, Ritter ^Å [www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/policy/policywatch1999/377](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/policy/policywatch1999/377)

2AC Tricks: They don't do that.

North Texas FH

Plan:

Advantages: Same as North Texas HS

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

North Texas GG

Plan:

Advantages: Same as North Texas HS



## Northwestern

### ***USC***

Northwestern PS: Paul and Sparacino

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the government of NK including the immediate removal of economic sanctions that prohibit NK access to International lending institutions or prevent NK from receiving assistance. Assets will be unfrozen and NK will be removed from the terrorist list.

Advantages:

Famine

Leadership

Korean War

### ***WAKE***

Northwestern

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Northwestern PS

vs.: SMS VP

Case: North Korea

Judge: Dunbar, Kelly--Baylor

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Clinton Good TSEA

1. FIAT takes out link
2. Clinton's political capital is low
3. Congress won't backlash against itself
4. No spillover
5. GOP critical of NK policy won't spillover

Diplomacy/Mediocre (Hendriksen)

1. Negotiating credibility dead
2. No link-Hendriksen
3. No spillover
4. Turn: We increase hege

Perry CP

1. Perm
2. Doesn't solve agreed framework
3. Famine add-on
4. Won't solve case-international lending and terrorist list are key which the CP doesn't do

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Northwestern FG

vs.: Pace KP

Case (country): North Korea  
Judge: McIntosh  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)  
CTB defeat leads to brinkmanship-  
Kirk 10/19/99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments  
AT: consult Japan and SK cp  
Perm- violate conditions  
Conditionality bad  
NK will reject policy  
Turn- unconditional removal destroys US-SK relations  
Relations key to leadership  
NK destroy counterplan  
Conditionality destroys reform  
Pics bad  
Full engagement kills solvency- sq fails

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7  
Team: Northwestern PS  
vs.: Dartmouth BG  
Case (country): North Korea  
Judge: Dan Davis  
2AC Answers to Negative Arguments  
Kritik:  
Double turns CP  
Prevents DA to worldview  
NL - our advocacy not block rethinking  
Alternative not feasible  
Sanctions cause conformity x  
We don't justify repression  
No impact - commitment allows support  
Specific solvency outweighs  
We should reform state policies that are flawed x  
Permute - use plan to rethink realist values: Booth, Critical Security Studies, 97, p 107-8, also a card on "area studies"  
Ontological questions not outweigh the case: Rose, World Politics, Oct 98, p 145, 147

Clinton-WTO Ans:  
Uniqueness overwhelms link - biz lobby never push plan  
WTO uncertain  
Fiat solves link - attitude  
Disad preposterous  
Can't prove spillover Korea and NTR  
CP links worse S Korea veto  
GOP backlash on Korea doesn't escalate [LA Times, 10/6/99, "offering incentives"]  
China WTO inevitable can't prove NTR key only bilateral

Consult South Korea CP Ans:  
Not textually competitive - do both  
Perm doesn't have to be topical  
Consult overwhelms link

Future fiat illegitimate  
Turn- rising expectations  
Perm - plan when back in session  
Turn - Daily Yomiuri  
Consultation not solve  
Consultation not solve - South Korea blocks  
North Korea will reject - fear of coalition  
North Korea will block - empirically oppose  
South Korea will say no - Perry excludes terrorism after consultation  
South Korea will say no x  
Dispositionality illegitimate  
PICs illegitimate

South Korean Alliance DA:  
Empirically false - ties bad x  
Non-unique - secret deal now x  
Consultation overwhelm link other issues  
Emp false - KEDO disputes  
Cement American engagement in Asia  
NL - can't prove ties collapse

Northwestern

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1  
Team: Northwestern FG  
vs.: Pace KP  
Case (country): North Korea  
Judge: Bill Newman  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Plan:

The US government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of North Korea, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions that prohibit North Korean access to international lending institutions or prevent North Korea from receiving assistance. North Korean assets will be unfrozen. North Korea will be removed from the "terrorist" list.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1  
Team: Northwestern PS  
vs.: Northern Iowa BR  
Aff case: North Korea  
Judge: Stevens, Monte-Kansas State  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Plan: The US government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of North Korea, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions that prohibit NK access to international lending institutions or prevent North Korea from receiving assistance. North Korean assets will be unfrozen. NK will be removed from the "terrorist" list.

AD ONE Prolif

NK missile tests = rapid nuclear prolif thru Asia Mainichi Daily News 9-15-99  
NK is key-prolif there opens up the pandora's box to massive global prolif-nakasone, former japanese pm  
8-22-99

Poor command and control systems guarantee crisis escalation and nuclear war miller foreign affairs  
june/july 93  
Prolif in Northeast Asia causes miscalculation and war-Jordan korean unification: implications for  
northeast asia 93

#### AD TWO Leadership

Full normalization of US-North Korean relations is critical to reasserting American leadership and  
influence in Asia-Bedeski [www.nautilus.org/for a/security/7a\\_Bedeski.html](http://www.nautilus.org/for a/security/7a_Bedeski.html) 97  
Collapse of US-NK engagement crushes American credibility thru Asia-Snyder  
[www.usip.org/os/sr/korea96.html](http://www.usip.org/os/sr/korea96.html) 96  
Collapse of US influence in Asia causes global nuclear war-khalilzad 95

#### AD THREE Korean War

Isolation of NK makes war inevitable-Jeon economic integration of the korean peninsula 98  
Miscalc and war with NK goes global-kim [www.nautilus.org/for a/security\\_23C\\_Kim.html](http://www.nautilus.org/for a/security_23C_Kim.html) 98

#### AD FOUR Brinksmanship

Most critical sanctions still on books-only congressional action keeps engagement rolling-korea herald 9-  
21-99 "the core element...  
Congressional criticism stifles diplomacy-newsweek 10-25-99  
Perry doesn't have large enough carrot to solve-wpost 10-25-99 "evident the new policy...  
CTBT defeat = brinksmanship-newsday 10-19-99 "rejection of the test ban...  
Incrementalism = diplomatic stalemate Intl Herl Tribune 10-28-99  
Current lift of syns and don't solve-no investment BBC 10-7-99  
Next crisis escalates-kim 10-22-99 [www.nautilus.org](http://www.nautilus.org)

#### Solv

Unilateral removal of remaining econ sanc without conditions is critical to normalizing US-North Korean  
relations-Moody 9-28-99 [www.nautilus.org/for a/security/9907E\\_Eberstadt\\_Discussion.html](http://www.nautilus.org/for a/security/9907E_Eberstadt_Discussion.html)

Only complete removal solves brinksmanship-korea tms 9-26-99 "in fact....

Lifting aid and lending restrictions rebuilds NK's infrastructure and locks NK into the int'l system-smith  
economic integration of the korean peninsula 98 ed. Noland

Full normalization and economic contract with NK increases US leverage and solves the risk of war-  
Mazaar change and challenge on the Korean peninsula august 96

#### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Ans to the reunification disad

1. no impact
2. moodey evidence in 1AC turns the disad
3. plan solves reunification
4. KEDO increases N/S relations-plain dealer
5. End sanctions key to N/S ties-Moody
6. SK will collapse w/o ending sanctions-korea economic weekly 9-20-99
7. N/S relations decreasing now-Korea Herald 11-12-99
8. Turn-we increase sunshine policy-Reese
9. Reunification not inevitable SK/N block it-Lee
10. SK blocks
11. NK blocks-Karg 99

#### Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

All impacts are inevitable in SQ because of brinksmanship and leadership-only hope is the plan.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Northwestern FK

vs.: SMS VP

Aff case: North Korea

Judge: Falconer, Russ--Emory

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

New card in prolif--NK missile tests = rapid nuclear prolif thru Asia Mainichi Daily News 9-15-99

New hege scenario

Full normalization of US-North Korean relations is critical to reasserting American leadership and influence in Asia-Bedeski [www.nautilus.org/for a/security/7a\\_Bedeski.html](http://www.nautilus.org/for a/security/7a_Bedeski.html) 97

Collapse of US-NK engagement crushes American credibility thru Asia-Snyder [www.usip.org/os/sr/korea96.html](http://www.usip.org/os/sr/korea96.html) 96

Collapse of US influence in Asia causes global nuclear war-khalilzad 95

Korean war

Isolation of NK makes war inevitable-Jeon economic integration of the korean peninsula 98

Miscalc and war with NK goes global-kim [www.nautilus.org/for a/security\\_23C\\_Kim.html](http://www.nautilus.org/for a/security_23C_Kim.html) 98

New Brinksmanship Scenario

Most critical sanctions still on books-only congressional action keeps engagement rolling-korea herald 9-21-99 "the core element...

Congressional criticism stifles diplomacy-newsweek 10-25-99

Perry doesn't have large enough carrot to solve-wpost 10-25-99 "evident the new policy...

CTBT defeat = brinksmanship-newsday 10-19-99 "rejection of the test ban...

Incrementalism = diplomatic stalemate Intl Herl Tribune 10-28-99

Current lift of syns and don't solve-no investment BBC 10-7-99

Next crisis escalates-kim 10-22-99 [www.nautilus.org](http://www.nautilus.org)

New solvency card: Moody 9-28-99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton

WTO won't pass

Plan kills capl

Pol capl key WTO

Winners lose

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Northwestern GS

vs.: Cal State Fullerton

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Bill Lawrence

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Contention 1-

Perry not enough - Manning 99

Current lift doesn't solve brinksmanship

Next crisis will destroy CE - Kim 99

S1 - prolif

NK key to worldwide prolif - Nakasung 99

Leads to war - Miller 93

Miscalculation - Miller 93

S2 - War

NK isolation makes war inevitable  
miscalculation goes global

S3 - Famine

Kills thousands  
Plan solves economy, engagement and famine

C2 - Solvency

Unilateral lift without conditions key - Moody 99  
Only complete removal solves - Korea Times 99

Underview

Pragmatism best - James 96  
Prag avoids essentialism - McCarthy 94

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
CP - fulfill Berlin gradually

Conditions fuel animosity - Chung 98  
Negotiations prove NK reject - O'Harlon 99  
Negotiations breakdown --> collapse - Brown 99

Terrorism

No impact - more likely to get hit by lightening - FL Times Picayune 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Northwestern PS

vs.: Emory HeMc

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Dave Arnett - Berkeley

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

On Case, AT: SQ Solves

Critical sanctions still in place, Korea Herald, 11-10-99

AT: Japan

1. no link - no perception
2. japan didn't care with perry deal
3. case makes impact inevitable - heg advantage
4. us-japan alliance hasn't broken down in the past
5. consultation doesn't solve security fears
6. no link - perry deal allows diverse policy approaches
7. non-unique, japan and sk locked out of bilateral talks now
8. no spillover of issues

AT: Pakistan (Rogue Appeasement)

1. emp false, libya
2. clinton's negotiating posture is dead
3. clinton's negotiating. posture is dead
4. plan increases credibility on nk policy
5. sanctions don't enhance credibility

AT: Clinton

1. not nb to cp
2. deal will pass now
3. no internal link - deal on politics now
4. vote is a long way off
5. clinton can rebound
6. fiat takes out link
7. no spillover
8. congressional back-lash won't escalate
9. winners win

AT: CP (Don't remove from terrorist list, allow access to all int'l lending i

1. Doesn't compete - Terrorist list is key to lending institution.
2. Appeasement isn't a NB
3. PIC's Bad
4. Dispositionality Bad
5. CP is illegal
  - A. Doesn't Solve
  - B. Fiat Abuse
6. CP doesn't solve AF collapse
7. NK won't accept unless they're taken off of the list
8. Must take complete steps to solve
9. CP can't solve, must increase credit rating to get loans - terrorist list still checks
10. CP can't solve brinksmanship
11. Famine
  - A. food crisis now
  - B. causes war
  - C. 80000 a year
  - D. lifting int'l financial sanctions key to solve
  - E. CP is illegal, us is required by law to take nk off the list to allow lending

Northwestern

School: Northwestern University

Team(s): Flaig & Garen

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

C. I War

SQ lifting of sanctions fails b/c it does not remove trade sanctions.  
NYT 9/22/99

This ignores the prescence of economic reform in North Korea.  
Cotton, '98 (North Korean Foreign Relations, ed. Kim, p.227)

Failure to respond to North Korean efforts by unfreezing assets and lifting remaining sanctions risks future North Korean Brinksmanship.  
Sigal, LAT, 8/16/99

Current Perry Deal and U.S. failure to comply with the Agreed Framework fuel NK provactions, unravelling engagement.  
Manning, 10/25/99

Scenario 1: Korean War

Current economic decline makes NK regime collapse and war inevitable.

Foster-Carter, '98 Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland

Current isolation from int'l community makes war inevitable.

Jeon, '98 Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland

Fear of Collapse risks lashout.

Jeon, same cite

Cycle of Brinksmanship increase risk of miscalc and war.

Baek, '98 ([www.csis.org/html/op981115.html](http://www.csis.org/html/op981115.html))

Conflicts escalate

Jeon as above

Goes global.

Eberstadt, '95 (Korea Approaches Reunification)

Global Nuclear war

Shuja, '98 (East Asian Studies, Winter)

Scen. 2: Famine

New food crisis coming, risks diversionary war.

Journal of Commerce, 10/8/99

Famine kills 800,000/year

Oh, April '99, Asian Survey

Reforms solve famine.

Smith, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Plan:

U.S. will adopt a policy of C.E., including the imm. rem. of international lending sanctions, foreign assistance sanctions and sanctions prohibiting unauthorized financial transactions. The U.S. will release frozen assets and fully fund its obligations under the Agreed Framework.

Cont. II: Peace

Lifting aid and lending sanctions is critical to fulfill agreed framework obligations and rebuild the economy.

Harrison, 3/22/97 (Foreign Policy)

Lifting lending sanctions allows infrastructure development and economic reform.

Smith, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Allowing free business contacts with risk insurance boosts the NK economy.

Hayes, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Economic reform stops collapse.

Namkoong, Summer '96 (Korea and World Affairs)

Plan does not undermine Kim Jong Il

Park, '98 The U.S. and the Two Koreas



Only a complete lifting solves brinksmanship and ensures relations  
Korea Times, 9/26/99

Fully funding KEDO promotes stability  
Cossa, Spring 99 Korea and World Affairs

Plan increases chance of solving in a crisis.  
Mazarr, '96 (Change and Challenge on the Korean Peninsula:)

Some teams read this . . .  
Underview: Deterrence is overrated

The united states has already achieved a maximum state of deterrence with North Korea: this deterrence overkill of the status quo poses the greatest danger of conflict  
Roy, Survival, Winter 1997

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

All Northwestern Teams run US Hegemony Good Add-On's and claim that US Hegemony will solve the impacts to the case turns:

US softpower credibility is vital to avert global nuclear exchange  
Khalilzad

Boosted US-North Korean engagement is critical to cementing American leadership in Asia in the 21st century Shuja

A perception of failed US-North Korean diplomatic engagement undermines the credibility of the American military presence in Asia  
Snyder, A Coming Crisis on the Korean Peninsula?, October 1996, [www.usip.org/oc/sr/korea96.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/korea96.html)

All of the teams will also use the Berlin Agreements to make disads and turns Non-Unique.

Northwestern Flaig & Johnson:

AT: IMET/Deterrence DA

1. no link - the IMET sanction is a subset of a FP sanction, we don't lift it
2. any impact is non unique because of the hegemony debate and we solve the impact
3. no specific North Korea evidence
4. IMET is a military sanction
5. Economic sanctions aren't military sanctions
6. Interpretation is better - it's the only definition of sanctions in the round and it proves we're topical
7. Taw evidence (IMET makes more efficient killers) doesn't assume North Korea
8. No reason the US would give IMET
9. The IMET card is from 1972, assumes the Cold War
10. No ev says Korea would accept IMET
11. Assumes IMET to our allies
12. Weissetler ev is from 1983, assumes the Cold War
13. Generic impact, not specific to North Korea

AT: Exclude Section 502B of the Foreign Assistance Act CP

1. permute - do both: textually competitive
2. permute - do the counterplan, the sanction is a subset of a foreign policy sanction which is the equivalent of adding an IMET sanction, permute that
3. cross apply the IMET arguments
4. PICs bad

5. Dispositionality Bad

AT: Clinton - Debt Relief

1. Fiat's a magic wand
2. Bottom of the docket
3. The Perry deal non uniques the DA
4. Congress wouldn't backlash
5. Uniqueness concedes there are roadblocks to Debt Relief
6. Winners Win
7. Clinton must lose credibility to govern
8. No spillover
9. GOP supports the Agreed Framework
10. Clinton wouldn't get the blame
11. Congress passes the plan - solves the link
12. Congress wouldn't backlash
13. Clinton isn't held accountable

AT: South Korean Politics DA

1. Instability in North Korea now
2. Famine causes North Korean regime collapse
3. There will be a North Korean regime collapse now

AT: Reimposition of Sanctions Good Turn

1. The Berlin talks don't solve

School: Northwestern University

Team(s): Swiatek & Gordon

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

C. I War

SQ lifting of sanctions fails b/c it does not remove trade sanctions.  
NYT 9/22/99

This ignores the prescence of economic reform in North Korea.  
Cotton, '98 (North Korean Foreign Relations, ed. Kim, p.227)

Failure to respond to North Korean efforts by unfreezing assets and lifting remaining sanctions risks future North Korean Brinksmanship.  
Sigal, LAT, 8/16/99

Current Perry Deal and U.S. failure to comply with the Agreed Framework fuel NK provactions, unravelling engagement.  
Manning, 10/25/99

Scenario 1: Korean War

Current economic decline makes NK regime collapse and war inevitable.  
Foster-Carter, '98 Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland

Current isolation from int'l community makes war inevitable.  
Jeon, '98 Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland

Fear of Collapse risks lashout.

Jeon, same cite

Cycle of Brinksmanship increase risk of miscalc and war.  
Baek, '98 ([www.csis.org/html/op981115.html](http://www.csis.org/html/op981115.html))

Conflicts escalate  
Jeon as above

Goes global.  
Eberstadt, '95 (Korea Approaches Reunification)

Global Nuclear war  
Shuja, '98 (East Asian Studies, Winter)

Scen. 2: Famine

New food crisis coming, risks diversionary war.  
Journal of Commerce, 10/8/99

Famine kills 800,000/year  
Oh, April '99, Asian Survey

Reforms solve famine.  
Smith, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)  
Plan:  
U.S. will adopt a policy of C.E., including the imm. rem. of international lending sanctions, foreign assistance sanctions and sanctions prohibiting unauthorized financial transactions. The U.S. will release frozen assets and fully fund its obligations under the Agreed Framework.

Cont. II: Peace

Lifting aid and lending sanctions is critical to fulfill agreed framework obligations and rebuild the economy.  
Harrison, 3/22/97 (Foreign Policy)

Lifting lending sanctions allows infrastructure development and economic reform.  
Smith, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Allowing free business contacts with risk insurance boosts the NK economy.  
Hayes, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Economic reform stops collapse.  
Namkoong, Summer '96 (Korea and World Affairs)

Plan does not undermine Kim Jong Il  
Park, '98 The U.S. and the Two Koreas

Only a complete lifting solves brinksmanship and ensures relations  
Korea Times, 9/26/99

Fully funding KEDO promotes stability  
Cossa, Spring 99 Korea and World Affairs

Plan increases chance of solving in a crisis.  
Mazarr, '96 (Change and Challenge on the Korean Peninsula:)

Some teams read this . . .

Underview: Deterrence is overrated

The united states has already achieved a maximum state of deterrence with North Korea: this deterrence overkill of the status quo poses the greatest danger of conflict

Roy, Survival, Winter 1997

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

All Northwestern Teams run US Hegemony Good Add-On's and claim that US Hegemony will solve the impacts to the case turns:

US softpower credibility is vital to avert global nuclear exchange  
Khalilzad

Boosted US-North Korean engagement is critical to cementing American leadership in Asia in the 21st century Shuja

A perception of failed US-North Korean diplomatic engagement undermines the credibility of the American military presence in Asia

Snyder, A Coming Crisis on the Korean Peninsula?, October 1996, [www.usip.org/oc/sr/korea96.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/korea96.html)

All of the teams will also use the Berlin Agreements to make disads and turns Non-Unique.

Northwestern Gordon & Swiatek:

AT: Exclude Ex-IM bank CP

- PICs bad
- Doesn't solve, investment is key to leverage
- Conditionality bad
- Doesn't solve, investment key

AT: Ex-IM bank DA

- your evidence isn't specific to North Korea
- Lots of OPIC good cards that stem off of OPIC being necessary for investment ( key to reforms, US softpower)

AT: Clinton Good - Debt Relief

- uniqueness evidence is terrible - optimistic at best
- no link: Congress won't oppose the plan
- no link: Congress supports engagement
- no link: Congress will give Clinton leverage
- hegemony solves the DA impact
- Winners Win (Newsday, 3-23-1999, "a whimper is still a whimper", USN&WR, 8-31-1998, "erosion of his credibility", Buffalo News, 12-22-1996, "the best presidents have the ability", NYT, 11-6-1996, "aides say nothing else")
- Non-unique: education killed clinton
- Non-unique: Clinton pushing CTBT and it will be a loss
- Non-unique: Puerto Rico
- Non-Unique: WTO
- Non-Unique: Tax Cuts

School: Northwestern University

Team(s): Sparacino & Paul / Foster & Kay-Oliphant

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

C. I War

SQ lifting of sanctions fails b/c it does not remove trade sanctions.  
NYT 9/22/99

This ignores the prescence of economic reform in North Korea.  
Cotton, '98 (North Korean Foreign Relations, ed. Kim, p.227)

Failure to respond to North Korean efforts by unfreezing assets and lifting remaining sanctions risks future North Korean Brinksmanship.  
Sigal, LAT, 8/16/99

Current Perry Deal and U.S. failure to comply with the Agreed Framework fuel NK provactions, unravelling engagement.  
Manning, 10/25/99

Scenario 1: Korean War

Current economic decline makes NK regime collapse and war inevitable.  
Foster-Carter, '98 Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland

Current isolation from int'l community makes war inevitable.  
Jeon, '98 Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland

Fear of Collapse risks lashout.  
Jeon, same cite

Cycle of Brinksmanship increase risk of miscalc and war.  
Baek, '98 ([www.csis.org/html/op981115.html](http://www.csis.org/html/op981115.html))

Conflicts escalate  
Jeon as above

Goes global.  
Eberstadt, '95 (Korea Approaches Reunification)

Global Nuclear war  
Shuja, '98 (East Asian Studies, Winter)

Scen. 2: Famine

New food crisis coming, risks diversionary war.  
Journal of Commerce, 10/8/99

Famine kills 800,000/year  
Oh, April '99, Asian Survey

Reforms solve famine.  
Smith, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)  
Plan:

U.S. will adopt a policy of C.E., including the imm. rem. of international lending sanctions, foreign assistance sanctions and sanctions prohibiting unauthorized financial transactions. The U.S. will release frozen assets and fully fund its obligations under the Agreed Framework.

Cont. II: Peace

Lifting aid and lending sanctions is critical to fulfill agreed framework obligations and rebuild the economy.

Harrison, 3/22/97 (Foreign Policy)

Lifting lending sanctions allows infrastructure development and economic reform.

Smith, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Allowing free business contacts with risk insurance boosts the NK economy.

Hayes, '98 (Economic Integration of the Korean Peninsula, ed. Marcus Noland)

Economic reform stops collapse.

Namkoong, Summer '96 (Korea and World Affairs)

Plan does not undermine Kim Jong Il

Park, '98 The U.S. and the Two Koreas

Only a complete lifting solves brinksmanship and ensures relations

Korea Times, 9/26/99

Fully funding KEDO promotes stability

Cossa, Spring 99 Korea and World Affairs

Plan increases chance of solving in a crisis.

Mazarr, '96 (Change and Challenge on the Korean Peninsula:)

Some teams read this . . .

Underview: Deterrence is overrated

The united states has already achieved a maximum state of deterrence with North Korea: this deterrence overkill of the status quo poses the greatest danger of conflict

Roy, Survival, Winter 1997

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

All Northwestern Teams run US Hegemony Good Add-On's and claim that US Hegemony will solve the impacts to the case turns:

US softpower credibility is vital to avert global nuclear exchange

Khalilzad

Boosted US-North Korean engagement is critical to cementing American leadership in Asia in the 21st century Shuja

A perception of failed US-North Korean diplomatic engagement undermines the credibility of the American military presence in Asia

Snyder, A Coming Crisis on the Korean Peninsula?, October 1996, [www.usip.org/oc/sr/korea96.html](http://www.usip.org/oc/sr/korea96.html)

All of the teams will also use the Berlin Agreements to make disads and turns Non-Unique.

**UNI**

Northwestern University FJ

Plan: The United States Government will remove all economic sanctions against the government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. The United States Government will fully fund America's commitment to the Korean Energy Development Organization.

Observation one: the status quo deal is an empty promise. It won't go to Congress.

Scenario one: North Korea Lashout resulting from their stagnant economy

Scenario two: United States Leadership ? failure to live up to agreed framework destroy United States hegemony.

Observation two: lifting all sanctions solves.

Notes: They use the status quo deal as a uniqueness answer

They will advocate that just funding the Korean Energy Development Organization solves case.

Northwestern JS

Plan: United States Government will lift all economic sanctions against the DPRK. The United States Government will fully fund the American obligations under KEDO.

Inherency: [sorry, I just can't read the writing]

Scenario one: Korean peninsula war escalates into global nuclear war

Scenario two: United States Leadership is undermined

Solvency: Solves political climate between the North and the South Koreans

Kick starts the North Korean economy

Note: Last 1AC card used to answer turn stories by claiming that plan increases the chances for success, even if it doesn't completely solve.

The affirmative talks a lot about the cycle of brinkmanship as well as a possible United States/Japan conflict

Northwestern K-OS

Plan: The United States Government will remove all economic sanctions against the DPRK. The United States Government will fund American commitments to KEDO.

Observation one: Inherency

- Congress won't follow through on sanctions lift.
- New deal doesn't solve brinkmanship.

Scenario one: Korean War

- Brinkmanship facilitates a North/South Korean war
- Global escalation

Scenario two: Leadership

- Not funding KEDO hurts U.S. leadership
- U.S. Hegemony key to stopping proliferation.

Observation two: Solvency

- Lifting of sanctions will result in an economic boom
- heightened commitment to Asia good.

Note: They claim that U.S. involvement in Asia solves the impacts to the case turns.

Northwestern GS

Plan: The United States Government will remove all economic sanctions against the government of the DPRK. The United States government will fully fund the American commitment to KEDO.

Advantage areas:

- famine
- war
- hegemony

Northwestern GR

Plan: The United States Government will remove all economic sanctions against the DPRK. The United States government will fund American commitments to KEDO.

Contention one: Congress won't follow through on sanctions lift  
New deal doesn't solve brinkmanship

Scenario one: Korean War

- brinkmanship = North v. South Korean war
- Global escalation

Scenario two: Leadership

- Lack of KEDO funding hurts U.S. leadership
- U.S. Heg key to prolif

Contention two: solvency

- sanction lift = market boom
- increased u.s involvement in asia solves the case turns



**NYU**

***WILLIAM JEWELL***

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY BG

Plan: The USFG will lift all economic and military sanctions and consult with North Korea to stepwise dealert nuclear weapons.

Advantage: Solve for global nuclear catastrophe.

***UCO***

NYU GG

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE w/ the govt of NK through the immediate removal of all economic & military SX & stepwise dealerting of all US nuclear forces as per Blair along w/ consult. W/ the NK govt. The US will also diplomatically engage the NK govt in dialogues encouraging future coop on nuclear arms control and disarm. Policies. Intent.

Advantages: Deterrence

1AC Cites: Dalby, Lifton, Klare, Lipschutz, Blair,

2AC Tricks:

***KINGS***

NYU GG

Plan: C.E. with the govt of NK

Advantages: deterence

Sites: Blair, Lipshutz, Dalby

Penn State University ??

Plan: remove all economic sanctions against Cuba

Advantages: Health and starvation, prostitution, civil war

Cites: Schwab 99 - Cuba confronting the US embargo, Golden 98 - Cuban communism, Davidson 96 - race and class 38/1 pp 39, Davidson 98 - Prostitution power and freedom, Gunn 94 - Cuba and the future - Zimbalist 95 - Cuba in int'l system

## Oregon

### ***WESTERN WASHINGTON***

WWU-round 2

U of O BC vs Whitman BC, judged by Steve Hunt

2AC AT: NTR

1-China won't get into WTO (Fin Times 1/11)

2-NU, sanctions were relaxed

3-NU, relaxed sanctions on Iran

4-Turn, Biz lobby

5-violates deontology, crossapply Gordon

6- violates deontology, crossapply Gewirth

7-No vote until 2000

8-Democrats key

9-Demo's like the plan

10-Winners win

11-Turn, bombing is unpopular

12-NU, TSEA

2AC AT: Economy/Spending

1-No Brink

2-No Threshold

3-Can use budget surplus

4-Save money from stopping bombing

5-deontology

6-No impact (Chomsky)

7-Lifting sanctions key to world stability

8-NU, hiked Interest rates

### ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Oregon Co vs. Whitman CG

same plan text Iraq

claim to end airstrikes

2ac-

wto- 3 non-uniques

war criminals- neg. advocacy = genocide, shun = injustice, national security, must end sanct.

mexico- n/u cheat on prices, no link infrastructure, no I to instability, dep=war ( Chomsky)

than LSR ( dedev)

### ***WESTERN SCRIMMAGE***

Round 4- Neg vs. Oregon BC (Iraq)

1AC Outline (spelling on cites is made up by me)

Plan: the USFG will diplomatically negotiate an end to the war with Iraq through a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions.

Observation 1: Inherency

Future conflict -- Galia? '99

Must lift sanctions ----- '98

Observation 2: Genocide

1.5 million deaths -----

Genocide -- Chomsky '99

Sanctions = genocide -----

Regional war -----

Must end it -- Defense Monitor '99

More genocide stuff -- MuellerX2

Observation 3: Solvency

Only lifting sanctions solves -----

Constructive engagement solves ---

Lifting sanctions = only way to solve ----

Only way to stop genocide -----

2AC AT: Economy

1) No brink (is really a no internal link answer, from growth to inflation)

2) Turn: we help the economy, the link card doesn't say inflation

3) Their ev. says the economy = volatile

4) No Brink (zero warrant)

5) N/U: oil for food

6) N/U: recent rate cuts

7) Case outweighs

8) Vote on genocide

9) Turn: Sanctions upset Russia and break down relations -----

10) Air raids hurt relations -----

11) Turn: Sanctions are counterproductive -----

12) Good relations key to Russian Y2K preparedness -----

2AC AT: Oil (Mexico)

- 1) No internal link, Iraq can't meet production goals
- 2) N/U: Iraqi production
- 3) No internal link, they don't have the infrastructure to produce oil b/c bombing ----- 9/99
- 4) OPEC will keep the prices low to stop use of renewal energy -----
- 5) Link ev = bad
- 6) No internal link how much oil they'd have to produce to cause lower prices
- 7) N/U: somebody cheated and would have => the impact
- 8) the timeframe for the election is too short for the timeframe for the link

Oregon BC

Plan Text:

The US Federal Government will diplomatically negotiate an end to the war with Iraq through a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions

1AC outline/cites

- 1) We bomb Iraq – Nikki Vander Gaag, Sept '99, New Internationalist pg. 87
- 2) SQ doesn't solve – Coberg '99, [www.zmag.org/crisiscurevts/irq/nego.htm](http://www.zmag.org/crisiscurevts/irq/nego.htm)

Obs II

- 1) Sanctions kill 1.5 million – Clark, [www.iacenter.org/fireice.htm](http://www.iacenter.org/fireice.htm)
- 2) War on Iraq is genocidal
- 3) Sanctions cause a hostile political environment – Halliday '98
- 4) Sanctions cause fanaticism – Halliday '98
- 5) Sanctions lead to nuclear war – Defense Monitor, Vol XXVIII #4, pg. 7, 1999
- 6) Case certainly outweighs – Mueller and Mueller '99

Obs III – Solvency

- 1) lifting sanctions solves – Gordon '99
- 2) Constructive engagement solves – Strand and Ritter '99
- 3) Lifting sanctions solves – IAC '99
- 4) Complicity – Chomsky, Said, et al '99

2AC answers to off case

Shunning

- 1) Turn – case stops genocide

Oil

- 1) prices are low
- 2) bombing decreases infrastructure

WTO

- 1) 4 analytics
- 2) MAI double turn
  - a) free trade snowball
  - b) winners win
  - c) leadership

cites

The Nation, 2-8-99 "Clinton's speech was ripe"

Yes! Journal, Spring '99, pg. 54

Mattern, Democracy, vol 58, issue 4, July/August '98

Security Council

- 1) case follows security council advice
- 2) no brink
- 3) non-unique: we violate the SC all the time
- 4) we outweigh
- 5) sanctions hurt US/Russia relations
- 6) air strikes link to US/Russia relations

2AR/big tricks

"It's not really a double-turn, we promise"

## Pace

### ***USC***

Pace KP: Kloster and Peterson

Plan: USFG should adopt a policy of CE including the immediate removal of all non-military economic sanctions with NK as per Harrison. To achieve a missile deal and end the state of war with NK, the US should increase diplomatic relations and accept North Korea's proposal for a trilateral peace mechanism to replace the military armistice created at the end of the Korean War.

Advantages:

Brinkmanship

Northern Limit Line: Maritime alliance

Proliferation

Added Card to AFF: Cold war structure=violence and perpetuates masculine norms

Cite: Lee Hyun-Sook August '99-website:  
[www.peacekorea.org/eng/korecneyes/eyes100t.htm](http://www.peacekorea.org/eng/korecneyes/eyes100t.htm)

### ***WAKE***

Pace

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Pace KP

vs.: Michigan State DM

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Kerr - Catholic

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Counterplan - condition the agreement on North-South peace treaty

1. links to Clinton
2. assumes a peace treaty, not a peace arrangement, there's a crucial distinction
3. solvency doesn't assume North-South treaty
4. T - peace agreement key to North-South agreement
5. No solvency: North Korea won't comply
6. Plan solves reunification
7. Trilateral mechanism key to solve proliferation
8. Permutation - do plan now then the counterplan's condition
9. treaty doesn't do anything
10. conditions won't solve
11. they have to stick with the counterplan: kicking it's illegitimate

Clinton - Taiwan Security Act

1. no evidence says Clinton will make concessions on TSA
2. NK is the biggest war - case swamps the disad

3. T - Political capital
  - a) plan saps Clinton's political capital
  - b) capital's limited, will trade off
4. no reason why Clinton's pushing the unpopular policy
5. Congress wants limited engagement

#### US-ROK relations

1. evidence assumes a peace treaty, not a peace arrangement
2. our 1ac guarantees status quo won't solve
3. wedge in relations now
4. relations resilient
5. Trilateral mechanism solves the DA
6. Trilateral approach doesn't hurt relations
7. Accommodations kill the alliance
8. North Korea won't back down

#### Obuchi

1. Counterplan gets it
2. Japan already announced the package
3. Empirically denied: Berlin
4. Case outweighs
5. T - Plan helps the Japanese economy
6. Popularity getting crushed in the status quo - only risk of a turn

#### Kritik of Ontological Violence

1. plan removes security
2. no specific scenario
3. no alternative
4. T - moving away from the public solves security
5. Essence comes first - not all claims of ontology come first
6. Permutation - evaluate the plan in a non-security framework
7. Moving away breaks down states
8. Pragmatism is the best way to act
9. Misunderstand rationalization

#### Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

##### The 1AR:

- spends the majority of time on the kritik
- argues that North Korean war would destroy the economy so they capture the Mead impact on Obuchi
- goes for the "North Korea is the biggest war" argument to outweigh Clinton

##### The 2AR:

- goes for engagement moves away from security turns on the kritik
- argues that the case impacts make the disad impacts inevitable and happen in the short term
- goes for missile proliferation as a solvency deficit to the counterplan

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

##### Round: 7

Team: Pace BL

vs.: SMS VP

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Harris - UMKC

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Perry CP

1. doesn't solve maritime brinksmanship

2. normalization not sufficient
3. counterplan doesn't change military posture
4. perm - do both
5. NK won't accept Perry proposal

#### Appeasement

1. no spillover
2. n-u: Berlin
3. t - plan solves NK missiles
4. Hendriksen concludes aff
5. Power diplomacy causes isolationism
6. CTBT makes prolif inevitable
7. Sanctions destroy US leadership

#### Pace

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Pace KP

vs.: Michigan State VW

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Val Renegar

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

New plan text:

The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all non-military economic sanctions, with North Korea as per Harrison. To achieve a missile deal and end the state of war with North Korea the US should increase diplomatic relations and accept North Korea's proposal for a Trilateral Peace Mechanism to replace the military armistice created at the end of the Korean War.

A few new cards...

SQ talks not predictive

[AFP 11/18/99 "Even if talks resume soon"]

US threat kills negotiation

[UPI 11/15/99 "North Korea asked the United States to arrange"]

Solvency

[LA Times 11/5/99 - talks about missile deal]

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Obuchi

1. We don't do a peace treaty
2. SQ = concessions
3. North Korea flips economic impact
4. Support for engagement
5. Political support for engagement now
6. Obuchi is weak

AT: Clinton - WTO

1. case outweighs - biggest risk of nuke war ever



2. not unique - relations are cyclical
3. fiat flips
4. no link - no peace treaty
5. n/u partisanship - fast track
6. lobbies block
7. status quo = partisanship and no spillover
8. turn - winners win

AT: South Korean Relations

1. SQ Fails - war coming
2. Relations resilient
3. Not hurt relations - olsen '98

AT: CP to condition on bilateral talks

1. conditionality bad
2. plan + delay
3. immediately in resolution not equal timeframe for constructive engagement, only sanctions
4. mutual security commitments solve
5. perm - do both
6. conditions bad
  - a. increases hardliners
  - b. proliferation
7. won't agree on conditions

Pace

School: Pace  
Team(s): KP, BL  
Case: (North Korea)

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation 1: Crisis Management fails -

Our failure to lift all econ. sanctions and our piecemeal approach to the missile issue has demonstrated our lack of good faith - SQ talks will collapse - fueling brinkmanship  
Korea Times 9-26-99 "North Korea has felt betrayed by the United States..."

Sanction relief is only symbolic - brinkmanship will resume when North Korea realizes that we are all talk  
AP Online 9-18-99 "Pryzstup also does not see North Korea..."

Adv. 1 is Open Conflict

Subpoint A is North Korean modernization

NK perceives US intervention on the Korean peninsula as inevitable - the foreign minister admits that NK has no choice but to modernize its military at the expense of feeding its people  
Washington Times 9-26-99 "North Korean Foreign Minister Paek Nam-sun..."

New joint military exercises between the US and S. Korea will undermine US-NK negotiations - NK perceives increased aggression  
UPI 10-26-99 "The United States and South Korea began Tuesday..."

Now is a critical time b/c NK is increasing its massive deployment of artillery and rocket launchers near the DMZ  
AFP 10-27-99 "North Korea has recently sped up a massive deployment..."

It is not entirely impossible that NK might view war as a rational option  
Cha Dec. '98 SECURITY DIALOGUE

The B Subpoint is Miscalculation

Brinkmanship will inevitably lead to war - NK won't be able to back down  
Flake 8/18/98 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/19A\\_Flake.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/19A_Flake.html)

Cycles of brinkmanship guarantee miscalculated conflict  
Baek 11/15/98 [www.csis.org/html/op981115.html](http://www.csis.org/html/op981115.html)

The New US policy does not address North Korean attempts to extract further concessions over the maritime border - this will shatter the US-S. Korea Alliance and force a US troop withdrawal  
Hy-Sang Lee, FEER, 10-21-99 "North Korea has agreed to suspend longrange missile tests..."

Even fully normalizing relations with North Korea won't prevent inevitable accidents and miscalculation - a peace treaty is needed  
Chol '97 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/2b\\_armisticelegal\\_disc.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/2b_armisticelegal_disc.html)

A Conflict on the peninsula started by miscalculation would dwarf World War 2  
Chol '97 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/4a\\_DPRKonKA.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/4a_DPRKonKA.html)

Advantage 2 is Proliferation

Normalizing relations and concluding a peace treaty is the only way to prevent nuclear proliferation in Asia and inadvertent transgressions that will escalate into open conflict  
Hayes and Noerper - 1997 [Peace and Security in Northeast Asia]

Nuclear proliferation risks multiple scenarios for Nuclear War  
Friedberg 1994 [Int'l Security, Winter 93/94, p.24-5]

Plan:

The United States federal government should adapt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all non-military economic sanctions, with North Korea as per Harrison. To achieve a missile deal with North Korea the United States should increase diplomatic relations and accept North Korea's proposal for a Trilateral Peace Mechanism to replace the military armistice created at the end of the Korean War. The staged process will culminate in the ratification of a peace treaty with North Korea. If you have questions, please ask.

Observation 2 is Solvency -

The United States must lift all economic sanctions and sign a peace deal to secure North Korean cooperation  
BBC 10-6-99 "US official announcement that it would lift..."

A US-North Korean Security Commission would augment the North-South Joint Commission - would prevent all conflicts on the DMZ  
Harrison Spring 1997 [Foreign Policy] "Replacing the Armistice..."

Establishing a peace agreement and increasing political and economic ties are key to meaningful military disengagement  
Sigal 1999 [www.ceip.org/programs/npp/sigal.htm](http://www.ceip.org/programs/npp/sigal.htm)

A peace treaty will boost North-South ties  
Chol 1997 [www.nautilus.org/fora/security/4a\\_DPRKonKA.html](http://www.nautilus.org/fora/security/4a_DPRKonKA.html)

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:  
Addons - Plan solves famine

## **UNI**

Pace BL

Plan: The United State Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of economic sanctions on non-strategic trade and investments with North Korea. North Korea will no longer be subject to the Foreign Assistance Control Regulations. To achieve a missile deal with North Korea the United States Federal Government will increase diplomatic relations and accept North Korea's proposal for a peace treaty to replace the 1953 Armistice that the military armistice commission created at the end of the Korean War.

Observation one: Crisis management fails

- Berlin deal won't stop export or production of missiles
- just reinvigorates the cycle of brinkmanship
- brinkmanship = inevitable war

Advantage one: Missile Deal

- Brinkmanship continuity
- missile development a tool of North Korean leverage
- development of missile and nuclear technology is inevitable
- must engage to solve proliferation threat

Advantage two: Miscalculation

-North Korea is at a highly volatile flash point - lack of a peace treaty may result in war breaking out on the peninsula.

Chol, 1997. [www.nautilus.org](http://www.nautilus.org)

Harrison, 1999 [www.\\_\\_\\_\\_\\_.org](http://www._____.org)  
(can't read the writing)

Satoshi, Japan Echo, Feb. 1999 p. 25

Solvency: Peace agreement and political/economic engagement = military

disengagement on the peninsula.

Sigal, 1999 [www.\\_\\_\\_\\_\\_.org](http://www._____.org)

-Must address North Korean security concerns to solve missile conflicts

Harrison, 1999 (above)

-Normalizing relations end North Korean Brinkmanship and miscalc. War

Beck, 1998 [www.csis.org/html/op981115.html](http://www.csis.org/html/op981115.html)  
-removing sanctions stops collapse and leads to reform  
Harrison, 1998 Washington Post, 6-21-98

Pace KP

THIS AFFIRMATIVES DESCRIPTION WAS IDENTICLE TO THE ONE  
GIVEN FOR THE PACE BL TEAM ABOVE

## **Penn State**

***KINGS***

PSU MR

Plan: Cuba

Adv: Fem, global patriarchy

Syracuse University/Marist College MS

Plan: Cuba

## Pepperdine

### *USC*

USC jr. division

Pepperdine's 1AC

Iraq (Cortright and Lopez)

Adv 1: humanitarianism  
Moral implications

Adv 2: get the inspectors back in

### **Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

#### **Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** Pepperdine RK **Tournament USC Round 1 Judge** Lisa Kawamura

**Plan Text:** The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq by immediately removing economic sanctions, excluding trade and investment rights, for civilian good while maintaining military sanctions, including the arms embargo, and maintaining financial capture as per the recommendations of Cortright and Lopez. Enforcement will be guaranteed.

#### **IAC Outline/Cites:**

Advantage: health

Morality underview3

Solvency – Cortright and Lopez, Halliday, The Plain Dealer, August 16, 1999

Pepperdine SM: Sun and Manakides

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE with Iraq by immediately removing economic sanctions, extending trade and investment rights for civilian goods, while maintaining military sanctions including the arms embargo, and maintaining financial capture sanctions as per Cartwright and Lopez.

Advantages:

Famine

Morality

Negative Positions

Security Council CP

Israel DA

Int'l Law DA

Case T/

Pepperdine RK: Reid and Krasemann

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE w/Iraq by immediately removing economic sanctions and extending trade and investment rights for civilians goods while maintaining military sanctions, including the arms embargo, and maintaining

financial capture sanctions as per the recommendations of Cartright and Lopez. Enforcement will be guaranteed.

Advantages:

Humanitarian

Morality

## ***WAKE***

Pepperdine

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Pepperdine MZ

vs.: North Texas GG

Case: Iraq

Judge: Sharpe, Jon-West Georgia

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Oil Prices

1. No link-Iraq can't produce enough oil
2. Case outweighs
3. Won't flood market-Kemp and Stern
4. No internal link-OPEC will check
5. Caspian oil exports make disad inevitable-Matloff 99
6. Systematically non-unique-25 year low-Petroleum Economist 99

Clinton

1. No bipartisanship-Bulletin's Frontrunner 11/19/99
2. Clinton weak-Miller 11/22/99
3. WTO deal takes 6 months-Economist 11/20/99
4. No spillover
5. No majority backlash
6. No reason Clinton has to push plan
7. WTO will definitely pass-Ranhim 99

Pepperdine

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Pepperdine MZ

vs.: Northwestern FK

Aff case: Iraq

Judge: Zompetti, Joe-Mercer

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Clinton

1. weak now

2. no bipartisanship now-bulletin front runner 11-19-99
3. 6 month timeframe on vote-11-20-99 economist
4. winners win-bond and fleischer 1990

CP

1. inspections don't solve-new statesman 98
2. russia will veto the cp-economist 11-6-99
3. UNSCOM didn't intend to solve this way-clawson 98

Israel

1. Emp. Denied
2. N/U: relationship is on a roller coaster-lewis 99
3. Sanctions kill US credibility-sack 99
4. US credibility is key to ME peace-ozell 95

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Pepperdine MZ

vs.: Kentucky HK

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Trice - Michigan State

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

NATO Counterplan

1. consult with NATO in the status quo means that consultation is normal means
2. no international link to Iraq
3. no threshold - what degree of consultation is necessary
4. other consultation checks
5. future consultation solves
6. timeframe solvency differential - case impact outweighs the net benefit

Israel

1. empirically denied: Oil for food
2. n-u: still have military containment
3. no link: Israel won't get mad
4. empirically denied: US-Israel relations declined during Netanyahu administration - Kempster 1999
5. N-U: Severe strains inevitable. Strong personal relations between Barak and Clinton prevent collapse - Lewis 1999

Pepperdine

School: Pepperdine

Team(s): MZ

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The affirmative is Iraq. Plan lifts all non-military, economic sanctions and lifts the caps on the oil for food program. The advantage is humanitarian crisis in Iraq with a complicity/moral imperative claim in addition to the people are dying kind of impact. It is very similar to the Iraq cases almost everyone else runs.



## Pittsburg

### WAKE

Pittsburgh

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Pittsburg CT

vs.: Southern Illinois MS

Aff case: Iran

Judge: Glaser, Sarah-Kansas State

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality

1. No abuse-5 other arguments
2. WM: plan says immediate
3. WM: plan doesn't condition
4. C/I: immediate means the passage of a policy, not its implementation
5. CX checks abuse
6. Literature checks

CP

1. Conditionality is bad-skews time
2. CP doesn't solve in time-must preserve Khatami now
3. CP is topical-violates ADA rules, infinitely regressive, skews time, justifies intrinsicness
4. Non-intrinsic Clinton pass WTO
5. Non-intrinsic Iran move opp
6. Voting neg = illegit
7. Oil sanctions must be lifted be4 dialogue
8. Perm do both
9. CP screws Khatami-it makes him give in which our scenario says makes look weaker than the plan would

Peace Process

1. We solve-Israel is threatened in the SQ but we solve Iranian terrorism and threats
2. Turn-Moderating Iran solves prolif and terrorism-nathan the montgomery advertiser july 15 '98
3. Turn-We solve root cause of Israel's problems and world wide modelling
4. Rationality key to prevent conflict
5. Non-Unique ME Peace impossible without Iran-Freedman
6. Peace Process=Israeli 1st strike
7. Peace process= increases Israeli aggression
8. Peace process = 1st strike
9. Statehood = war
10. Peace process= terrorism
11. Iran moderating toward Israel-new york times march 4 99

WTO

1. Clinton = victory buffalo news june 28 99
2. Link isn't diplomatic
3. Turn-Clinton will spin a win-edwards and wayne 94 presidential leadership
4. No link-plan isn't perceived

5. Public supports international engagement-nathan foreign policy making and the american political agenda 94
6. Popularity Key Presidential agenda-glenn public opinion and policy making 99
7. No link-assumes lifting military not economic
8. T/USA Engage is powerful lobby. They'll buy the capital to turn your disad-silverstein mother jones july 98
9. No link-biz lobbies pressure-Clawson 98 [www.washingtoninstitute.org/pub/iranintr.htm](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/pub/iranintr.htm)
10. Turn lifting Iran sanctions popular in congress-taylor and rosenberg  
[www.iraniantrade.org/publications/public14.htm](http://www.iraniantrade.org/publications/public14.htm) 99
11. Turn: plan locks in clinton's popular legacy as a sanctions buster giacomone reuters sept 19 99
12. Election year = no WTO-AFP 99
13. WTO not pass 11-19-99

#### Spanos

1. CP links-this is a voting issue
2. Dialogue solves root of problem-we do discourse
3. We advocate Iran style dialogue
4. Dialogue = rethinking
5. Academic Approach is Self-Serving

#### 1AR:

went for conditionality and PICs bad  
performative contradiction on the Kritik

#### 2AR:

went for intrinsicness

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

#### Round: 2

Team: Pittsburgh DC

vs.: SMS WH

Aff case: Iran

Judge: Hayman, Jethro--Cornell

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

#### Clinton

1. Non-Unique-Clinton is burning tons of capital on colombian aid dallas morning news 11-19-99
2. No link-their link assumes lifting military sanctions
3. Turn: President uses info control to spin policy as win-edwards and wayne 1994 presidential leadership
4. Big biz, gop, think tanks, farmers, bureaucrats like lifting sanctions-lelyveld sept 22 99 bglobe
5. Link emp. Denied-gilman inh ev. proves
6. Turn: Clinton uses pseudo-event to swamp your link-buffalo news june 28 99
7. Lifting iran sanctions popular in Congress-taylor and rosenberg 99  
[www.iraniantrade.org/publications/public114.htm](http://www.iraniantrade.org/publications/public114.htm)
8. Turn USA Engage = all the power-silverstein mother jones july 98
9. No link evidence that capl lost by plan was capl he would use
10. Turn plan locks clinton's legacy as a sanctions buster-giacomone reuters sept 19 00
11. No link the plan is not perceived as friendly by iran. Clinton does a favor for businessworld
12. Congress changed mind about sanctions-Clawson [www.washingtoninstitute.org/pubs/iranintr.htm](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/pubs/iranintr.htm)

#### CP-Gradual Lifting of Sanctions

1. Turn they cause collective suicide on mass scale. Cross apply Jehl evidence in 1AC.
2. Turn they cause Israeli Nuclear War. Khatami must deliver on promises of economic reform. Sanctions hurt Iran's economy-Dickstein Bglobe august 8 98
3. They don't solve for Khatami's credibility. Must lift sanctions to do that. Darwish april 98 the middle east

4. Extend Khatami in 98 card. Lifting sanctions is a prerequisite to dialogue.
5. Lifting all sanctions key to any relations-penketh AFP sept 22 98
6. They don't lift VISA restrictions-this means they don't cause a full dialogue between Iran and US
7. Tehran won't commence dialogue until sanctions are lifted and assets frozen-reuters 10/27/99
8. The cp is the Sq and it fails

#### Hege Good Frontline

1. Non-Unique China/Russia/India Coop rising to oppose US hege-with nuclear implications-Marshall Sept. 28 99 Bglobe
2. No impact-Hardliners are coming to power in Iran. In their opinion "Death to America" is the best response to US hege. Only Khatami can prevent case impacts.
3. Turn-Sanctions kill US Hege-Multiple reasons why-Hufbauer, [www.iie.com/testimony/spchsanc.htm](http://www.iie.com/testimony/spchsanc.htm) 98
4. No link-No evidence US-Iranian sanctions are key to US hege worldwide
5. No internal Propensity-there is zero evidence or analysis as to how hege is created or destroyed. Predicting an effect is inadequate.
6. No Uniqueness: lack of military recruits killing readiness-Bglobe Sept. 28 99
7. Turn: Kassam cards prove US foreign policy otherizes relations and creates a cold war. This damages credibility and creates fear and hostility toward the US.
8. Turn: Unilateral sanctions makes the US look like a tool-Hamilton April 15 98
9. [www.usaengage.org/legislative/hamilton3.html](http://www.usaengage.org/legislative/hamilton3.html)
10. Turn: Poor US/Iranian Relations Crushes US Foreign Policy-same Hamilton as above

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)  
Went for winners win; played defense on everything else

#### Pittsburgh

School: Pittsburgh  
Team(s): All  
Case: Iran

#### Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

##### Plan Texts

Version One: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE with Iran. This policy should feature reciprocal diplomatic measures responding to President Khatami's proposal for a Dialogue of Civilizations, and should be accompanied by the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions on Iran including targeted visa restrictions, restrictions on oil trade, and frozen Iranian assets.

Version Two: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE with Iran. This policy should feature reciprocal diplomatic measures responding to President Khatami's proposal for a Dialogue of Civilizations, and should be accompanied by the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions on Iran by removing targeted visa restrictions, restrictions on oil trade, and by unfreezing frozen Iranian assets.

##### Advantages

One: Khatami's hold on power is precarious in the status quo. He will lose the February elections unless the United States lifts sanctions. Reformers and lifting sanctions are key to moderating Iranian foreign policy. Proliferation is inevitable but good with reformers in power and bad if conservatives are in power. Hostile intentions cause Israeli

preemption and nuclear warfighting.

Two: Cultural misunderstandings and ignorance empirically cause war and mass death. US foreign policy makers are subscribing to Samuel Huntingdon's popular thesis of a Clash of Civilizations. This causes threat inflation and a cyclical repeat of Cold War fear and hostility and leads to conflict. The US and Iran are key to a Dialogue of Civilizations which directly counters Huntingdon and is necessary to solve cultural clash.

Gilman, "Capitol Hill Hearing with Defense Department Personnel," Federal News Service, 1999  
"Within the context of a broad review of general U.S. Sanctions policy, President Clinton recently announced"

Foreign Affairs, "Khatami Versus the Conservatives," July 29, 1998

New York Times, "New US-Iran Dialogue: Psst. Mumble. Huh?" 6/6/99

Reuters News Service, "No Quick Thaw seen in U.S.-Iran ties," 2/2/99

Cordesman, Iran's Military Forces in Transition, 1999

Thomas Friedman, "Iran: The Next Nuclear Domino," Denver Post, 6/10/98

Beres, UCLA J. Int'l L. and For. Aff., 1996  
"Israel faces serious and unprecedented danger from Iran. The sources of this danger"

The Center for Strategic and International Studies, "Is Iranian Perestroika Possible without fundamental change?" The Washington Quarterly, Autumn 1998

Stratfor News Service, July 12, 1999 [www.stratfor.com/SERVICES/GIU/071299.ASP](http://www.stratfor.com/SERVICES/GIU/071299.ASP)

Stratfor News Service, 9/13/99 [www.stratfor.com/MEAF/commentary/m9909132130.htm](http://www.stratfor.com/MEAF/commentary/m9909132130.htm)

Kassam, [www.mynet.net/~msanews/Scholars/Kassam](http://www.mynet.net/~msanews/Scholars/Kassam), 1997,

Heller, Deconstruction and the Possibility of Justice, 1992

Tehran Hamshahri, "Iranian Scholar on Possible Iran-US ties," 1998

Moushoutas, "Dialogue Among Civilizations." Statement at the UN. 11/4/98.  
[www.kypros.org/UN/civil.htm](http://www.kypros.org/UN/civil.htm)

Sussman, The Culture of Freedom, 1992

Sefaty, "Bridging the Gulf Across the Atlantic," Middle East Journal, vol. 52. No. 3. Summer 1998

Cann and Danopoulos, "The Military and Politics in a Theocratic State: Iran as a Case Study," Armed Forces and Society, Winter 1997, p.269-288

wpdu+@pitt.edu (if you can't find a card... this is Pitt's e-mail address... they weren't very certain about some of the cites after the round...)

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:  
On the CP - K of Agent CP's

A. CP feed bureaucratic addiction to power that blinds functionaries and displaces solving the problem.  
Edwards and Wayne, Presidential Leadership, 1994

B. The implications

1. critique proves that engaging in debates about agents is the worst situation. Because Agencies scramble over each other like rabid dogs to lie in order to get more power. CP locks into bad model, kills solvency and proves neg should lose

2. the better policy - making option is the plan because only one agency is involved and solvency args prove we avoid the k//

### ***KINGS***

University of Pittsburgh EK, CD

Plan: Iran (same)

Adv: same

Cites: Kassam 1997, Reuters 2/2/99, Stratford News Service 9/13/99

University of Pittsburgh BS

Plan: Iran

Adv: same

University of Pittsburgh HL

Plan: CE with Iran (same)

Adv: political polarization, "dialogue of civilizations"

Cites: CSIS and MIT 98, Tehran Hamshari, Hegedus 87, Moushoutas 98, Gilman 99, Khatami 98, Jehl (NY Times) 99, Cordesman 99, Friedman 98, Beres 96, Kassam 97, Heker 92, Kianouche 99

University of Pittsburgh SW

Plan: lift nearly all sanctions on Iran pursue creation of trans-national space with diplomacy trade

Advantages: prevent hardline takeover, prevent clash of civilizations

Sites: Kahzam 97(AD2) Freedman

University of Pittsburgh GM

Plan: Iran (same as SW)

Adv: Iranian political polarization, clash of civilizations

### ***UNI***

Pittsburgh KS

Plan: Per intent the United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iran. This policy should feature

reciprocal cultural and diplomatic measures responding to President Khatami's proposal for a "dialogue of civilization," and should be accompanied by the immediate removal of nearly all economic sanctions on Iran, including targeted visa restrictions, restrictions on the trade of oil, and frozen Iranian assets.

Observation one: Khatami

- Khatami will be ousted soon

Reuters 2-2-99

- Threat of coup brewing

AFP 8-27-99

- Coup will result in military aggression

Cordesman, Iran's Military [ ] in Transition, pg. 1

- proliferation is inevitable, hardline approach only makes it worse

Safarov, [citation unclear] 1999

Observation two: Clash of Civilizations

- Huntington's Clash of Civilizations thesis is threat construction

Karim-azy Kassam 1997 [www.mynet.net](http://www.mynet.net)

- such a view facilitates slavery and genocide

Kassam 1997

- intercultural dialogue solves

Heller, Deconstruction and the Possibility of Justice, 1992

Observation three: Solvency

1. ending isolation gives Khatami room to fight hardliners

Washington Quarterly, autumn 1998

2. Khatami's platform calls for a dialogue of civilizations which solves Huntington's paradigm

Tehran Hamshahri, FBIS, Iran, 12-4-98

NES-98-338

3. Loosening visa restrictions and unfreezing of assets key to dialogue.

Simon Serfaty, Middle East Journal, 1998 pg.350

4. Opening ties with the West solidifies Khatami's position

Stratfor News Service, 7-12-99

[www.startfor.com/services/giv/071299.asp](http://www.startfor.com/services/giv/071299.asp)

5. Khatami's dialogue of civilizations key to survival

Constantine Mousitontas (sp?) 1998

[www.kypros.org/UN/civil.atm](http://www.kypros.org/UN/civil.atm)

Notes: They read Friedman's New York Times article from 6-10-98 about the hostile nuclear state.

They read Cordesman in 1995 (pg. 400) discussing hair-trigger escalation leading

to an Israeli Nuclear pre-emptive strike (Beres, UCLA Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs 1996 pg.81-82

## Redlands

### **BAYLOR**

Baylor Redlands 1AC

Israel / Syria Peace Coming (Same as Before)

Delays are bumps in the road

Deal includes promise of aid, but congress doesn't support it

Hancock 99

Advantage One: Peace

US aid is key to long term peace

Brezinski 1-9-2000

Bumpy Process without US assistance

Ben Meir 2000 (?)

Israel First Strike

Baker 98

Plan: Policy of CE including removal of nearly all economic sanctions with Syria and guarantee of peace funding.

Economic Security Solves

Ben-Meir 99 (Syrian growth)

US Key Ben Meir

Gerges, LAT, 94

Transition Solvency, CSM 10-13-99

Economic Sanctions → Suffereing, Boston Globe

A card I don't think is about Syria

Redland's K 2AC (!)

1. We solve nukes

2. No link, plan is focus, we don't have to defend the foreign policy mindset or our Boston globe ev

3. K's Bad

A. Not Falsifiable

B. Nihilism (a card)

4. No alternative: Should firefighters let the city burn?

5. The critique is callous, stops compassion

6. No alternative, the critique is a rigged game, the neg must defend ground

7. We don't describe reality with our Boston Globe ev.

8. No impact? How does it turn the case? Why don't we solve?

9. Perm: Bleeker

10. Dogmatic Rejection is Fascism Gorgenheimer 47

11. Asses fiat

A. Wrong Forum

B. Time

C. Debate is a Fun Game

D. Teaches Us about Real World

12. Normative Confusion: A Republican sounding card about the need for better morality

13. Utopianism is futility (Pelegrose?)

14. Utopianism → Monsters

15. Utopian Alternatives Bad, John Miller 98

16. Chaging Ideas is Insufficient, Need Action MartinLewis 92

### **USC**

USC Redlands Syria 1AC

A1 Negotiator Credibility:

Ronald Bosrock 12-27-99, Star Tribune

“As we etner . . . provide that stability”

Failure to lead as a broker → “confrontation” and “miscalculation” with China, India, Indonesia, and Brazil  
jockeying for power (?)

Failed Israel/Syria deal kills the whole peace process

Korea Economic Weekly, 12-27-99

Effective PP key to Arab/Israeli Peace

LTC Gotowicki call.armymil/call/fmso/fmsopubs/issues/golan/golan.html

“in military terms . . . Israel and Syria”

Symbolic

Without an effective deal, miscalculation and war

Baker 98

Syrian WMD and escalation

Failure to follow through kills credibility

Justin Brown, CSM, 12-16-99

“By making . . . and Europe” heavy price tag

Observation 1: Israel/Syria Peace Coming

Western Experts:

CNN 12-15-99 Ikissinger)

ME Experts

LAT 12-11-99

Several Reasons

Ben-Meir 99

Deal will include promise of aid, but congress won't fund it

Joy Handcock, Commercial Appeal, 12-11-99

Money Key

Security Guarantees to the Golan Likley, but congressional fight on funding like the Wye accords likely

Syrians are not popular with congress

Congress won't aid

NYT 12-17-99

“Any agreement . . . cause of peace”

- election year

- lame duck

- Syrian terrorism

Congress may be reluctant, Bipartisanship is key

American Aid is key to an effective deal

SDUT 12-11

“Another reason . . . this opportunity now”

Any US aid will “sweeten the pot” it says, not that aid is necessary or must be unconditional

The Slater Tikkun 99 PP impact

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE with the government of Syria by IRAES on the Syrian government that prohibit foreign aid from the U.S. Any aid promised to Syria in a final peace agreement will be guaranteed to be funded. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Solvency:

Financial Assistance Key. Ben Meir 99, p. 30

“In earlier mediating efforts”

Only US Aid Solves

Ben Meir, p. 30

“Although the US has invested”

Peace key to Succession

CSM 10-13-99

(2 lines and no reasons underlined)

“Assad has also tried to smooth the path”

Lifting can only help the PP



Gerges 8-24-94 LAT (this card good, but old)  
"The key to a Syria-Israel peace breakthrough"  
Starvation and Suffering  
Boston Globe 10-5-99  
"And yet the strategy of shooting through the innocent"

Redlands MG: Miller and Graffagnini

Plan: US will lift sanctions that prohibit US aid. Any aid promised to Syria in a peace agreement will be guaranteed.

Advantage:

Negotiation Credibility

Peace Process

## **WAKE**

Redlands

School: Redlands

Team(s): GM

Case: Syria

Many thanks to Southworth for the lively commentary...

Cyclone Covey wrote:  
Can I include your excellent comments in the case list?

Reply:  
Why not it may be the only time anyone notes any of my comments. The odd thing is I find them distressingly true. Good luck I don't envy you your task

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation I. ME PEACE IS DOOMED. Ottawa Citizen, Oct. 13, 1999 (surely Canada knows all about the ME---"Assad has drawn back from resuming talks.....economics are ultimately more important." From Canada we progress to an even less qualified source THE WASHINGTON TIMES 10/27/99... "Barak fears the peace momentum is slowing down." This stream of organization continues with PEACE NEGOTIATIONS ARE AT A STANDSTILL NOW, finally THE WASHINGTON POST, 10/25/99 "On the Israeli-Syrian front, there is even less visible movement." When we find a decent source we exploit it..."Israel and Syria are engaged in a diplomatic staring contest and neither seems inclined to blink." Across the pond we quote the BBC 10/18/99 "Israel plans to wage a large scale war against Syria if no progress is achieved by April on the negotiations." Guess time is running out!

Next, well I think it is next, but hard to tell. ISRAEL & SYRIA  
IS KEY TO PREVENTING STALEMATE ON OTHER FRONTS (Just ask Hillary)

FNS, July 13, 1999..."Syrian-Israeli peace would actually help the Palestinian hand rather than hurt." Furthermore (their rhetoric not mine)  
A PEACE PROCESS WITH SYRIA IS NECESSARY FOR A COMPREHENSIVE ARAB-ISRAELI PEACE PROCESS. Gotowicki, 1996  
(call.armymil/call/fmso/fmsopubs/issues/golan/golan/htm) Got all that?

Finally our FIRST NUKE WAR, without peace TERRORISM RAMPANT.  
TIKKUN, March 1, 1999, UN, 3-1.

This next one is labeled PEACE PROCESS IMPACTS .BREAKDOWN IN THE PEACE PROCESS INSURES ETHNIC WARS & END TO GLOBAL PEACE MEDIATION (Guess that's Nuke War #2)

Prof. Lustic (at least that's what it reads like) '98  
(mepec.org/forums/chcs/16.html)

NO PEACE NEGOTIATIONS LEADS TO MISCALCULATION AND WAR WITH WMD (Nuke #3)  
Baker Institute, Aug. 1998 The Prospects for the Israeli-Syrian Peace Negotiations, Paper #8---at least the title implies it links.

SYRIA'S ECONOMY ALSO SUCKS. Prof. Palut, 99 MEQLY, Sept.

Finally the EVER changing plan, as a matter of fact it has been known to change from speech to speech even CX question to question:

THE USFG should adopt a PCE with the Gov't of SYRIA. By immediately removing all economic sanctions on the Syrian Government that discourage investment and engaging in diplomatic efforts to promote investment in Syria. (Doesn't sound like a coherent sentence to me>) Funding and Enforcement are guaranteed. (Impressed so far?)

OBSERVATION II. SOLVENCY (God I hope this one is more logical and shorter than Observation 1)

FIRST, US ENCOURAGEMENT OF INVESTMENT IN SYRIA IS CRITICAL TO GET SYRIA TO NEGOTIATE . This is a good quote and a good guy. Ben-Meir, '99 STRATEGIC REVIEW, Summer, #3. He agrees with us, is that sufficient!

So does the LA TIMES, 10/30/99.

The ECONOMY IS AS IMPORTANT AS THE GOLAN, at least so says the LA TIMES, Aug. 24, 1994 (well at least it was in 1994 let's not get too picky!)

Good old Ben-Meir once again summarizes the LINK to SYRIAN ECONOMICS.

Richard Haas, July 13, 1999 FNS suggests removing barriers to investment could induce Syria to begin talking with Israel (of course it may not?)

Now I can't really tell if this is #2 is says, MOREOVER ON DIPLOMATIC EFFORTS ENCOURAGE BOTH SIDES TO COMPROMISE ON POLITICAL DISPUTES BECAUSE IT CREATES THE PERCEPTION THAT THE US IS LOOKING OUT FOR ARAB INTERESTS. Now the quote doesn't say that, however, I do believe Mr. Clawson does believe that to be the case, so we quote him from DOLLARS & DIPLOMACY, Paper #49 for the Washington Inst. for Near East Policy, a very nice place right down the street from Cap City Hotel.

IF TALKS GET STARTED THERE WOULD BE A QUICK NEGOTIATION PROCESS &

RESOLUTION. So says CSIS, Oct. 13, 1999--didn't know a building could talk. AN OFFICIAL DIALOGUE WOULD PRODUCE A DEAL AND COMPROMISE ON OTHER COMPLICATED ISSUES (this one can come back to haunt you so you better answer it!) Naturally it is Prof. Ben-Meir in July-Aug. 1999 Middle East Insight, Vol. XIV, #4. We are nominating him for Prof. of the Year, if we can only find out where he teaches!

ADDITIONALLY, we do pile it on, PEACE NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN SYRIA & ISRAEL ARE KEY TO A SMOOTH (which is more than I can say for this 1AC) SUCCESSION IN SYRIA. CSIS, Oct. 13, 1999 (actually it is that building talking in the CSM)

ONCE INTO NEGOTIATIONS THERE ARE SEVERAL (wouldn't expect just one and even there is only one it will be rephrased seven ways) REASONS TO BELIEVE ASSAD IS SINCERE & WILL PURSUE PEACE WITH BARAK. NEWSDAY, 9/15/99...actually the damn card does list seven reasons!

There is NO RISK IN VOTING AFFIRMATIVE (especially if you think you may be neg. against it later!) Dr. Pipes '96, SYRIA BEYOND THE PEACE PROCESS Policy Paper #48, Near East Policy Institute again---love those people!

Our old friend Patrick Clawson adds his two cents---EVEN WITH GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION & FAILURE, PRIVATE SECTOR ENGAGEMENT CAN CHANGE SOCIETY. Sounds pretty, sounds more like a Clinton suggestion though!

Prof. Rabinovich '98, from his brilliantly titled but not well written book, THE BRINK OF PEACE: THE ISRAELI-SYRIAN NEGOTIATIONS.

Now in this brilliantly organized speech I have found yet another plan; this may have been the first one, it is THE TOPIC precisely, except of course, FUNDING & ENFORCEMENT GUARANTEED.

## ***HARVARD***

Tournament: Harvard

Judge: Sullivan

Redlands GM v. Jess/Charles

Plan:

The USFG should adopt a policy of CE with the government of Syria by immediately removing all economic sanctions on the Syrian government that discourage investment and engaging in diplomatic efforts to promote investment in Syria. Funding and enforcement are guaranteed.

2AC

WTO BAD

1. no business lobby internal
2. non-unique. Ag sanctions lifted
3. impact empirically denied b/c China's not in now
4. no reason china will get in now
- 5-7 (impact turns: relations, only WTO entrance will allow them to liberalize, lack of entry hurts the WTO)

Oil

1. no link—no investment < >
2. shocks all the time
3. no internal
4. no link < >
5. we keep prices high < >
6. won't affect other countries < >

7. turn—high prices hurt economies < >

Realism

1. no link. We stop sanctions
2. no reason it turns case
3. perm—do both
4. realism is good < >

Shunning

1. no link—engage now
2. performative contradiction (with realism)
3. perm
4. sanctions are immoral
5. consequences are okay
6. must evaluate the necessity of sanctions < >
7. sanctions make life meaningless < >
8. contradicts realism

## Richmond

### ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Richmond AM

USFG will adopt a policy of feminist constructive engagement including the removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions as per the fem.

Advocates in case. Funding and Enforcement guaranteed.

Advantages: Fem.

## Rochester

### ***KINGS***

University of Rochester ??

Plan: creative problem solving approach to CE, drop all sanctions Cuba

Adv: most reasonable approach, it's the best first step, nuke catastrophe could be avoided with engagement, will improve US relations with allies, will lead to more cooperative agreements

University of Rochester NW

2nd:

plan: NK. Normalization, increase all sanctions, fund UN world food program to provide food aid, the US will withdraw troops and military from including SK, and construct TMD system

adv: famine, asian stability

cites: John Feffer 99, Foreign Policy in Focus, "US- NK relations"

Plan: already completed

Advantages: threat construction kritik

Sites: Campbell 1998, Writing Security ; Jim George 1994, Critical Introduction

## Samford

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

SAMFORD JB

Plan: Cuba - Lift embargo, end radio/TV marti, food and medical commission.

Advantages: Transition, Food and medicine.

### ***WAKE***

Samford

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Samford

vs.: Northwestern GS

Aff case: Cuba

Judge: Glaser, Sarah-Kansas State

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Same plan

Obs. One Cuban Transition = civil war = terrorism

--Gonzalez 96

--Schwab 99

--Klapowitz 99

--Aunn 94

--Schultz 94

--Partisline 99

--Zimbalist

Obs. Two Suffering

a. starvation-schwab 99

b. medical-schwab 99

Solvency

--Aunn 94

--Preeg 99

--Cooper 98

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

--Clinton WTO

Non-Unique: Won't pass-Washington Times 99

Turn: popular-Ottawa Citizen 99

Solve WTO Dhooge 96

--Iran

No impact-won't fight Jordan 94

--CP condition

Doesn't solve

Turn: Preeg 99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Samford RR

vs.: North Texas FH

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Barrois

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Observation One -

Embargo destroys health of Cuba  
Schwab '99

Embargo violates human rights  
Schwab '99

Equipment shortage  
Hindo 7/26/99

Causes malnutrition  
Schwab '99

Violent Transition / Causes bloody war  
Schwab '99  
Lopez '99  
Zimbalist '95  
Sculz '94

Observation Two-  
Castro wants investment  
Gonzales '98  
Lifting embargo key to reforms  
Gonzales '98  
Transition inevitable - Constructive Engagement decreases violence  
Preeg '99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

At: Gendered States: we rethink  
We provide diversity  
Rorty arguments - oppositional nature of the kritik  
Destroys change  
Perm - vote and rethink - Peterson '98

AT: Orientalism: Said indicts Weiner '99  
Rorty - can incorporate critique and policy  
Rorty - critique does not solve crisis  
Doesn't assume Cuba  
We solve otherizing of Cuba

AT: Clinton - WTO  
N/U Preeg policy - Washington Post from yesterday  
Framework of political disads should be rejected



T/ Helms burton causes a WTO collapse

Samford

School: Samford

Team(s): DR

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions by ending sanctions and stopping Radio and TV Marti broadcasts.

Famine Advantage

Transition advantage with missile lashout against "Turkey Point" in the US. Million will die.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

MAI

- CP links
- TF not specific
- TH
- EU exempt now - can invest
- No reason investment would increase
- CP links

T Nearly All

- resolution not require specification
- PICs bad
- we increase cases and creativity
- no abuse
- def not specific
- only 5 cases anyway
- we meet - directed toward people of Cuba
- policy is toward gov
- not explode topic

Condition on Reforms CP

- delay means people die
- TV Marti destroys coop
- Removing TV Marti prerequisite for relations
- Castro will use negotiations as nationalist tool to hurt the people
- Permute - lift sanctions immediately, condition lifting of Marti on reforms
- Disp bad
- Reserve the right to make intrinsicness arguments in 1AR
- PICs bad
- Future/delay CPs illegit

Clinton

- plan immediate - no PC wasted
- normal means through Congress not Clinton
- budget n/u
- n/u or n/IL - NK
- committees check - alternate pathway that doesn't waste PC
- winners win
- n/u - judge nomination battles

Reactor

- alternate investment means it will be built now
- no TH
- no IL - no business interest
- n/u - EU can trade with Cuba now
- not a threat x
- turn - allows IAEA inspections x

#### Drugs

- no link
- no TH - interactions now
- no linear scenario
- cause o/w
- control drug trade now
- CP gets - performative contradiction

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

They went for dispositionality bad heavily to try to stick us with the CP and said Cuba will launch missiles at the US nuclear reactor if we don't stop Radio Marti. They conceded all the other disads that linked to the plan and CP in the 1AR.

#### ***UNI***

Samford BJ

Plan: Through necessary and sufficient means the United States Federal

Government shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the Cuban government by removing all economic sanctions, end T.V. \_\_\_\_\_ and reforming Radio \_\_\_\_\_ as per Schulz and Simon. We will clarify.

Advantage areas:

- Transition = civil war
- Schulz ? Cuba and the Future, 1994
- Dreeg, Feeling Good or Doing Good w/ sanctions, 1999
- Smith, 1998

## San Diego State

### ***LONG BEACH***

Long Beach sr. division

SDSU/Palomar Aff  
Cuba

Adv 1

Juragua: Lifting the embargo leads to cooperation which will make Juragua safer

Adv. 2

Revolution: Capitalism is collapsing now/kills 40,000 people a day (?)

Lifting the embargo leads to a worldwide communist revolution that will save us all

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** SDSU CS **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Long Beach **Round 7**

**Judge** Guevara

**Plan Text:**

Cuba

Buy juragua, study sustainable ag

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Advs – sustainable ag, juragua, hell, health

### **2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 exclude sanctions CP: doesn't specify lifting sanctions, can't clarify intent, doesn't solve

A2 Red Spread: Democracy = US imperialism = bad

### **2AR/Big Tricks**

went for CP = plan and hell

### ***USC***

SDSU /Palomar CS: Chapman and Sobarnia

Plan: The US will adopt a new policy of constructive engagement with the government of Cuba. The policy will consist of the following. The US will begin normalization of relations with Cuba. The US will remove nearly all sanctions currently imposed against Cuba; sanctions on pesticides will remain in place. The US will buy out Cuba's nuclear program and enter into dialogue with Cuba concerning alternative energy sources, and provide assistance with developing alternate energy sources including the immediate development of a sugar cane based defertilizer plant. The US will assist Cuba with their organic farming and small farming programs as per Rosset and Boque.

Advantages:

Juaragua

Sustainable Agriculture

World Marxist Revolution

## San Francisco State

### *LONG BEACH*

Long Beach sr. division

San Francisco's Aff

Iraq

Adv 1: humanitarian (famine)

Adv 2: humanitarian (disease)

Adv 3: lashout

These are the people who disced and went for dispositionality bad in the 1AR

### *USC*

San Francisco State ML: McNeil and Lacy

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE with Iraq. U.S. will immediately lift all economic sanctions, provide medical assistance to fight infections, parasites, and other diseases, and withdraw U.S. support for UN sanctions. Speeches serve as intent.

Advantage:

Starvation/Diseases

Lashout/Regional Conflict

San Francisco State RK: Rinker and Kuthiala

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq. As part of this policy, U.S. will immediately lift all economic sanctions, provide medical assistance, and withdraw support for UN sanctions.

Advantages:

Human Suffering: Starvation and Disease.

Iraq Lashout: They hate sanctions. Leads to domestic instability and war.

San Francisco State CB: Chang and Bernacchi

Plan: USFG will adopt policy of CE with Iraq.

Advantages:

Human Suffering

Sickness and Disease (Specifically Screw Worms)

Lashout

Genocide/Holocaust/Morality Outweighs

## Seattle

### WHITMAN

Seattle (aff) v. Whitman SW (neg)

Round 2: NFC-Whitman Tournament

Case: Cuba

O1) Inherency

O2) Humanitarian advantage – It's a war against public health, gross HR violation, 25k die from cancers, a once great medical system is in ruins

O3) Stabilization advantage – normal, except that: partial dollarization has created a system of haves and have-nots, the plan solves by allowing total dollarization

O4) under view – gradual re-engagement best (Arendt 98), lifting sanctions stops modern Holocaust, plan solves forced prostitution (through economic growth), lifting sanctions solves rainforest destruction, rainforests key to curing HIV

Plan Text:

As per the recommendations of Arendt and Cooper, the USFG shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the nation-state of Cuba by lifting nearly all sanctions, including, but not limited to, the Cuban Democracy Act and Helms-Burton. Restrictions on Rice, Section 111 of Helms-Burton, and cigars shall remain. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

2AC:

AT: Lift Sec 111 PIC –

Counter-plan is topical

Competition doesn't check abuse

Reciprocal topicality obligations

Conditionality bad: it allows performative contradictions, contradictory Kritik advocacy, skews time allocation, opportunity cost advocacy leaves nothing to vote for

Lifting Section 111 leads to Juraga being built (card)

80 million die in the meltdown (standard card)

neg impact doesn't say NPT

AT: PRC NTR Good

Not U – China's ultimatum

No Brink for pol cap

Clinton's cred is damaged (Fox News)

Not U – no capital

case o/w

case is a kritik

no Columbian aid / needs pol cap

Columbian aid support drug war (which is bad??)

Aid package is pretext to invasion

NTR doesn't solve relations

PRC will still go after Taiwan

PRC won't attack (Uniqueness, I guess??, or maybe I misheard)

AT: Canadia

1. impact card bad

2. impact old - 94 - NORAD different now

3. no evidence that says quebec secession possible

4. emp denied - ag sanctions lifted

5. Cuba-Canadia relations low (Georgia-Anne Geyer, Times Picayune, July 16 1999)

6. no brink

7. no scenario to NORAD impax

8. the government will protect the Cree - prevents secession (Terrence Wills, the Gazette August 15 1998)

AT: Latin American Democracy

1. Dem = excuse for US war machine
  2. no scenario for civil war
  3. no threat of communist infiltration from Cuba
  4. democracy is rape (metaphorically, through the drug war in Latin America)
  5. the People's war is key to saving the region
  6. no uniqueness to the link
- (I didn't get the exact cites for these, but most of them are from the Revolutionary Worker)

2AC 'tricks': Impact turns Clinton with Columbian aid and NTR bad, impact turns LA Dem.

1AR 'tricks': Reads new meltdown is really, really bad card; case is a systematic impact

2AR 'tricks': Goes for CP theory, emphasizes that there is no scenario for the negative impact

NEG

Whitman Tournament Rd # 4

Seattle JS vs. Whitman CO

Cuba

A2: Canada

1. no IL
2. no brink
3. non-unique, other issues
4. non-unique, relations bad now <>
5. not assume relations
6. n/u: problems in 94 and 95
7. not say launch is likely

Mexico

1. collapse now, need quick transition to dedev <>
2. outweighs nuclear war <>
3. black hole stuff <>

NTR

1. Taiwan threats <>
2. will regain capital
3. no brink
4. relations bad now
5. case o/w
6. empirically denied, bad relations before
7. NTR won't solve relations problems <>
8. CL pushing Columbia bill now <>
9. it's bad <>
10. n/n: EU <>

## ***OREGON***

SU's Cuba Aff (JS)

Same as at Lewis and Clark, except instead of breast cancer they ran a generic humanitarian advantage:

- 1) Sanctions hurt public health (Scwab '99)
- 2) Lack of medical facilities leads to an increased death rate (Garfield '97 – card talks about mothers and infants)

Lifting sanctions is key to solving



## ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Seattle U JS's Aff -- Cuba

Plan Text: As per Hunt/Arendt, the US Federal government shall adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Cuba by lifting nearly all economic sanctions including but not limited to the Cuban Democracy Act and Helms Burton.

1AC cites

Mental Cold War on Cuba (James, Canute April 6, 1998 pg. 2A)

uscubacommission.org

Wayne Smith "Our Disfunctional Cuba Embargo"

Zimbalist '94 Cuba and the future

Hunt, Wall Street Journal, April "end the anachronistic embargo against Cuba"

Schwab '99 Cuba: Confronting the Embargo

1AC outline

Adv1 – Transition

Adv2 – Health (specifically, 25,00 women dying of breast cancer every month)

\*\*Note: scrutinize the solvency on this one.

Adv 3 – WTO

## ***WESTERN SCRIMMAGE***

Round 2- Neg vs. Seattle JS (Cuba)

1AC Outline (spelling on cites is made up by me)

Observation 1: Political transition

Embargo => increased political tension -- Radiff '99

Military intervention => deaths -- Smith '98

Economic collapse & civil war result ----- '94

Stability is key -----

Civil war bad (systemic impact) -----

Lifting the embargo key to peaceful transition -----

Observation 2: Humanitarian stuff

Medicine and food blocked -----

Key to restore food and medicine -----

Observation 3: Meltdown

The reactor is bad, it'll meltdown -----

Meltdown would kill 8 million people -----

US sanctions stop monitoring -----

Underview: solvency

Repealing Helms Burton key to solve -----

Gradual constructive engagement key to solve -----

Smooth political reform results -----

2AC AT: Economy

1) No brink- How much increased trade is necessary to cause inflation

2) No specific link to Cuba

3) No internal link, Greenspan will hike rates ----- '99

4) N/U: Y2K ----- '99

5) N/U: Y2K ----- '99

6) Y2K => global recession ----- '99

2AC AT: Clinton (WTO)

1) Congress passes, so political capital doesn't matter

2) How plan is passed is an extra-topical part of plan

3) Abusive to run a link off the extra-topical part of plan

4) China won't get into the WTO ----- 9/22/99

5) The US won't vote to let China into the WTO -----

6) No Link (turn??), US is easing up on Cuba -----

7) Turn: big push something to stop sanctions -----

2AC AT: Shunning

1) Turn: sanctions = an excuse to perpetrate evil -----

2) Shunning should be a last resort -----

3) Must participate in stopping evil -----

4) The concept of human rights is imperialist -----

5) Moral obligation = false concept -----

6) The kritik has no solvency; absent a counterplan there's no alternative

7) US morals => Cuban oppression -----

Misc. cites

Y2K stuff: Wired, 9/22

N.Korea/Sanctions US weapons: Wash Post 9/22

WTO/Congress: Wash Post 9/22

## South Carolina

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

USC MB

Plan: Lift all economic sanctions on Iraq and implement constructive engagement by allowing Iraqi citizens in the Eisenhower Fellowship Exchange Program.

Advantages: Humanitarian Crisis, Foreign Policy is Misguided.

### ***WAKE***

South Carolina

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: South Carolina PB

vs.: Pittsburg CT

Aff case: Iraq

Judge: Penders, Tony-Fort Hays

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Same except new overview

Mini-Max Argument

Observation One: Mini-Max: Minimax arguments that begin with a miniscule link and try to claim a near infinite impact are fallacious and should be rejected on face. In other words, some DAs, like Clinton, and some other arguments are foolish. Treat them that way. Infinitesimal link and infinite impacts only get you to infinity in finite mathematics.

1. Minimax defined-Savage, The Foundations of Statistics, 1954, p.174

--Kavka Theory and Decision, March 1980 p.46-7

2. Minimax is fallacious.

a. Statistically invalid-Savage 1951 Journal of American Statistical Association March p. 63

b. The minimax principle demands that the actor assume the world to be in the worse possible state-Savage 1954 p.200

c. experimentally invalid-gottinger theory and decision 1990 p.166

d. encourages misreading-savage 1954 p.175

South Carolina

School: South Carolina

Team(s): BM, PB

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

PLAN - Through appropriate means, Congress will remove all economic sanctions with the Republic of Iraq. Any and all products excluded from the plan will grow from direct negotiations with the government of the Republic and Iraq and restricted, when necessary, through import and export restrictions against the

US firm under the Import-Export Act. Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by setting aside opportunities for Iraqi citizens to participate in the Eisenhower Fellowship Exchange Program and other like programs consistent with the letter and intent of the case. The selection procedures will be negotiated. Enforcement and funding through normal means. Affirmative speeches will define intent.

OBS 1 - US foreign policy toward Iraq is causing a humanitarian disaster.

1. US is responsible for a humanitarian disaster (Simons, 99)
2. The humanitarian disaster is unacceptable, 12 children will die during this round. (Gause, 99)
3. Humanitarian disaster is tantamount to crimes against humanity (Bonnici, 96)
4. Sanctions are state sanctioned genocide (D'Escoto 96)

OBS 2 - US foreign policy toward Iraq is misguided.

1. Outdated US thinking is responsible for counterproductive policy making (Esposito 95)
2. US sanctions policy against Iraq has become inevitable (Stork 95)
3. US sanctions are based on wrong assumptions (Dunlap 98)
4. US sponsored sanctions are self-reinforcing (Gibson 99)
5. US sanctions policy toward Iraq is antithetical to its goals (Wall 98)

OBS 3 - Solvency

1. Prior to 1989, US considered exchanges as a way to ease hostilities. Unfortunately, our conventional mindset killed out last chance for peace, and resulted in war (The Herald 92)
2. To avoid this cycle of aggression rooted in the Cold War, we need to engage in a new thinking (Fulton 92)
3. Exchanges provide this new thinking necessary for peace and understanding (Fulbright 92)
4. Exchanges also create global human networks that contribute to the US foreign policy goals (Dye 90)
5. This type of constructive dialogue with Iraq would serve as a catalyst for regional stability (Smith 92)
6. Specifically in Iraq, this tool of exchange would be beneficial to US policy (Smith 92)
7. Eisenhower Fellows prepare for the type of leadership and understanding necessary for this new way of thinking (Powell 99)
8. The Eisenhower Fellowship Program focuses on exchange, not domination of ideas (Bush 99)
9. Exchanges such as the Eisenhower Fellowship facilitates international solutions to a myriad of international problems (Dye 90)
10. The Eisenhower Fellows share a commitment to practice peace building and is the best program of its type because it listens to the evolving needs of the world (Bush 99)
11. Only the Eisenhower Fellowships can solve in the new era of globalization (Bush 99)
12. Additionally, Eisenhower Fellows become world leaders (Dye 90)
13. Finally, if the US leads, the UN will follow in lifting its sanctions on Iraq (Katz 98).

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Read threat construction to the disads.

Turn Clinton Good with Winners Win and that their plan is popular with the public

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

12 people die in this round, outweighs the disads

## ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

University of South Carolina PB

Through appropriate means congress will remove all economic sanctions with the Republic of Iraq. Any and all products excluded from the plan will grow from direct negotiations with the government of the Republic of Iraq and restricted with necessary, through import-export restrictions against the United States firm under the import-export act. Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by setting aside

opportunities for Iraqi citizens to participate in the Eisenhower Fellowship exchange program and other like programs consistent with the letter of intent of this case. The selection procedures will be negotiated. Enforcement and funding through normal means. Affirmative will clarify intent.

Advantages: Humanitarian and Rethinking

## **Southeast Louisiana**

### ***USC***

USC jr. division

Southeast Louisiana's 1AC  
Cuba

Adv 1 Imperialism

The embargo is imperialistic

Constructive Engagement allows Cubans to fend off Imperialism

CE increases Castro's power (?) to help him fight imperialism

Adv 2 War

The CIA is training CANF to invade Cuba, the plan prevents that invasion/takeover

(I'm not sure, but I think you can solve for this by ending that policy and reassuring Cuba that we aren't going to invade)

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** SouthEast Louisiana MH **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament USC Round 5**

**Judge** Kasom Alimahomed

**Plan Text: Cuba**

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Adv's: health, imperialism (US first strike against Cuba), CANF racism

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 CP dialogue but no sanction lifting: No solvency, CANF

A2 Sustainable Ag turn: t/pesticides k to stopping defo

A2: Prostitution: Castro committed to stop prostitution

Southeast Louisiana GS: Gauthier and Smith

Affirmative

Cuba with lots of plan spikes(all info given)

Southeast Louisiana MH: Monistere and Hyden

Plan: The US will adopt a policy of CE with Cuba and lift nearly all economic sanctions with Cuba and give advice on IMF and world bank affairs. De-mine Cuba.

Advantages:

Decrease imperialism

White exiles

Racism





## Southern California

### ***LONG BEACH***

Long Beach sr. division

USC (Kelly Vale and partner) 1AC  
Cuba

Adv 1 Transition  
    Embargo = civil war  
    CE = stable transition

Adv 2 Humanitarianism  
    It's genocide/inhumane

Underview: Morality as per Kant

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

#### **Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** USC BT **Neg Team** Whitman WW **Tournament** Long Beach **Round 4** **Judge** Tony Jones

#### **Plan Text:**

The US will immediately lift economic sanctions and pursue a normalization of relations with NK. The US will remove its opposition to compensating for lost missile revenues in exchange for a ceasing of NK missile deployment, sales tests and development. The us will accept Knorea's proposal of signing a peace treaty and phasing out of US military exercises and ground troop deployments on the Korean peninsula.

#### **IAC Outline/Cites:**

Advs: Missile exports, Landmines, brinkmanship

#### **2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 IMF: NK in IMF, IMF good

A2 Japan: NM = consultation, public prevents re-arm, NU

A2 NTR: winners-win link turn

### ***WAKE***

Southern California

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Southern Cal HH

vs.: Samford RR

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Reid

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

PLAN

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward NK including the immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions, normalization of political and diplomatic relations, and compensation as per our solvency evidence. Funding and enforcement are guaranteed and open to clarification. We are the ones allowed to clarify our intent. Initial diplomacy will include discussions, through normal means, to secure an end to NK missile funding, development, deployment and sale as reciprocal to plan actions. 1AC enforcement assumes the plan versus the status quo only.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Southern Cal HV

vs.: Samford BJ

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Stroube

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

The USFG should CE Cuba including the immediate removal of nearly all economics sanctions on Cuba including relevant portions of Helms-Burton and the CDA.

O1 - SQ policy prevents engagement

O2 - Harms

S1 - War

S2 - Humanitarian crisis

O3 - Solvency

Underview -

New Kant 1785 evidence - must act on categorical imperative

Southern Illinois

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Southern Illinois MS

vs.: South Carolina BM

Case: North Korea

Judge: Sullivan, John-Michigan State

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please not any changes from original caselist)

Same

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Slavery

1. NonUnique-Berlin

2. NonUnique-Some increase in relations now

3. Sanctions are immoral, destroy innocents, worse slavery

4. Engagement leads to political reform

5. Full lifting of sanctions = key to integrating NK, key reform Korea Times 99

\*answers everything with non-unique Berlin

Southern California

School: Southern California

Team(s): Some

Case: Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States federal government will adopt a policy of Constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of all economic sanction, but not limited to the Helms-Burton Act and the Cuban Democracy Act.

Observation one: The status quo is heading for disaster ? embargo Prevents developing of civil society ? tensions will lead to a Cuban civil war; lack of drugs and medicine ? deaths and suffering, genocide. This is immoral.

Observation two: Solvency ? the plan would lift the embargo and allow food and medicine; lack of embargo = development of civil society and smooth transition.

Aff Authors:

- Gonzalez, Cuba: Clearing Perilous Waters, 1996
- Post and Courier, 8-18-99
- Financial Times, 9-14-99
- Ros-Lentinen, Congressional Digest, 3-99
- Barnos, Congressional Digest, 3-99
- Ratcliffe and Fontaine, Houston Chronicle, 7-21-93
- Jensen, Rocky Mountain News, 4-23-98

School: Southern California

Team(s): Some

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The USFG through certification and additional normal means will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of nearly all us sanctions including 1997 omnibus appropriations act (sections 570,533,540,507,523), Foreign operations, Export Financing, and related programs appropriations act (sections 540,563,523), International security and development cooperation act, Antiterrorism and Effective death penalty act of 1996, Internal revenue code, Nat'l defense authorization act, and foreign relations act as amended 1995 and EAA based on humanitarian exemption with the government of Iraq. Funding and Enforcement guaranteed.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Ans to Feminism CP

1. Do Both
2. Plan is the counterplan
3. PICs bad
4. Can't solve the case (no real reason - they cross applied 1AC evidence)
5. Saddam is paranoid and he will respond to a drastic change in diplomacy with lashout

Ans to Feminism Kritik

1. No Impact
2. Case solves the link - removal of sanctions

3. Essentialism evidence
4. Lots of link/uniqueness arguments that were not developed

Ans to Military Modernization:

1. Lashout inevitable
2. No link - we don't lift sanctions on military items
3. Turn - we get UNSCOM inspectors in Iraq
4. Modernizing Now
5. US Deterrence solves/prevents all impacts

School: Southern California

Team(s): Some

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States... will lift economic sanctions and will pursue normalization of relations with North Korea. The United States will offer compensation for lost missile revenues in exchange for a ceasing of North Korean Missile deployments, sales, and development. We reserve the right to clarify intent. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Observation one: SQ deal is piecemeal: doesn't solve export or deployment.

LA Times, 9-15-99

Advantage one: exports

-North Korea sells missiles to Pakistan

Hindu, 9-15-99

-Makes proliferation easier

Economist, 12-19-98 "Outside help"

-Pakistan proliferation = war with India

Thayer, Security Studies, Autumn, 1995

Advantage two: Development and deployment

-Status quo doesn't solve development and deployment, weapons of mass destruction prolf. Inevitable.

Mainichi Daily News, 9-15-99

-Deal only temporary, wont solve deployment

AFP, 9-13-99

-Development of nuclear missiles = war

Perry, foreign affairs, nov/dec 1996

Observation two: Solvency

-Lifting the embargo is key to relations and solving war

Sigal, Arms Control Today, nov/dec 1998

-plan key to avoiding confrontation

above source

-Engagement the only option, relations key to avoiding war

LA Times, 3/26/99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Topicality - All or Nearly All / CX Plan Vagueness 2AC

1. No dictionary definition - no bright line
2. WM - diplomacy, TWEA, food, investment
3. Several sanctions in TWEA - others in list are military
4. Military tech not economic sanctions
5. CX clarifies - unconditional lifting
6. WM - TWEA, terrorist list, investment

7. IMF, Military sanctions not key to ground
8. We only have to lift economic, not military sanctions
9. Lit checks
10. Clash checks
11. No ground loss, not vague
12. Jurisdiction not a voter - nuke war outweighs

#### Shunning 2AC

1. Diplomacy is the best alternative, Downey, FNS, 2/26/98, DRG Card?, "economic dislocation"
2. Morality of diplomacy takes out implication to kritik  
[Oppenheim, 1991, The Place of Morality in Foreign Policy]
3. Turn - food aid solves human rights abuses
4. Human rights not universal
5. Nuclear war outweighs

#### Clinton Good - China WTO 2AC

1. US China talks dead
2. Helms blocks WTO [AFP]
3. NL - Clinton will distance himself from plan [x]
4. NU - Perry
5. Turn - more comprehensive policy popular [x]
6. Turn - winners win [Ornstein 93]
7. NU and turn - Consult Congress popular  
[Plunk, HFR, June 9 99, "close consultation with Congress"]
8. Turn - Congress wants comprehensive policy
9. No IL to backlash on other issues
10. Clinton won't waste capital - Congress does the plan

#### Japan Soft Power 2AC

1. Japan will never break the alliance [x]
2. NL - Perry convinced Japan = prior consultation
3. Japan won't rearm [x]
4. Japan likes the plan - their solvency ev proves

### **UNI**

University of Southern California BT

Plan: The United States^Å will lift economic sanctions and will pursue normalization of relations with North Korea. The United States will offer compensation for lost missile revenues in exchange for a ceasing of North Korean Missile deployments, sales, and development. We reserve the right to clarify intent. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Observation one: SQ deal is piecemeal: doesn^Øt solve export or deployment.

LA Times, 9-15-99

Advantage one: exports

-North Korea sells missiles to Pakistan

Hindu, 9-15-99

-Makes proliferation easier

Economist, 12-19-98 "Outside help"

-Pakistan proliferation = war with India

Thayer, Security Studies, Autumn, 1995

Advantage two: Development and deployment

-Status quo doesn^Øt solve development and deployment,

weapons of mass destruction prof. Inevitable.

Mainichi Daily News, 9-15-99

- Deal only temporary, wont solve deployment

AFP, 9-13-99

- Development of nuclear missiles = war

Perry, foreign affairs, nov/dec 1996

Observation two: Solvency

- Lifting the embargo is key to relations and solving war

Sigal, Arms Control Today, nov/dec 1998

- plan key to avoiding confrontation

above source

- Engagement the only option, relations key to avoiding war

LA Times, 3/26/99

University of Southern California SS

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive

engagement including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions with the government of Iraq.

Advantage one: Famine

Advantage two: Genocide

Note: In response to disads, they can run threat construction / a rhetorical criticism

founded in Islamic Fundamentalism.

University of Southern California KV

Plan: The United States federal government will adopt a policy of constructive

engagement, including the immediate removal of all economic sanction, but not limited to the Helms-Burton Act and the Cuban Democracy Act.

Observation one: The status quo is heading for disaster ? embargo prevents

developing of civil society ? tensions will lead to a Cuban civil war; lack of drugs and medicine ? deaths and suffering, genocide. This is immoral.

Observation two: Solvency ? the plan would lift the embargo and allow food and

medicine; lack of embargo = development of civil society and smooth transition.

Aff Authors:

- Gonzalez, Cuba: Clearing Perilous Waters, 1996

- Post and Courier, 8-18-99

- Financial Times, 9-14-99

- Ros-Lentinen, Congressional Digest, 3-99

- Barnos, Congressional Digest, 3-99

- Ratcliffe and Fontaine, Houston Chronicle, 7-21-93

-Jensen, Rocky Mountain News, 4-23-98

## **Southern Illinois**

***WAKE***

Southern Illinois

School: Southern Illinois

Team(s): MS

Case: Iraq



## Southwest Missouri State

### ***BAYLOR***

Baylor jr. division

SMSU's Aff

Iraq

(Their plan maintains O4F's Financial Capture mechanism)

Adv 1: humanitarian/morality

Adv 2: International cooperation

Plan rebuilds coop with Russia (don't really have much of an impact in the 1AC)

(they run a Golan Heights impact turn to Israel - PP causes Israel to give up the Golan; Golan key to Israel's security; → nuclear miscalc)

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

SMS NP

Plan: Remove restrictions on exports to Cuba and engage in exchanges of production, establishing the model of structuralism over structural relations.

Advantage Areas: Health and Medicine, Flourishing of Group-Oriented Rights, Development.

Misc: Argue socialism good and should be maintained.

### ***WAKE***

Southwest Missouri State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: SMS CN

vs.: Texas DM

Case: Cuba

Judge: Panetta, Ed-Georgia

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Development Kritik

1. Cuba = Global Soc-Cole 98

2. Cuba develops better with Capitalis-Cole 98

3. No alternative

4. Plan rejects capitalism

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Plan rejects capitalism faster and better than Cuba can in the SQ  
The kritik offers no alternative

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Southwest Missouri VP

vs.: Catholic DuWi

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Ken Strange - Dartmouth

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: Sanctions credibility

1. Plan is not soft - financial sanctions, etc are kept on Iraq
2. Int'l community hates sanctions
3. No credibility now
4. Negative's cards say that ineffective sanctions should be eliminated
5. NK sanctions elimination destroys our sanctions credibility

AT: Saudi Oil

1. Oil was \$10 a barrel, now it is \$25
2. OPEC would check decreases
3. Small decreases now restore equilibrium and stop the price collapse

AT: Clinton - Chinese WTO

1. Couple of cards saying WTO won't pass now
2. TSEA in the SQ makes it non-unique
3. Winners-win, Ornstein 1993
4. Us-Sino relations fluctuate now

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: SMS CN

vs.: Whitman CO

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Heidt

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

The us will adapt a policy of ce with iraq including support for lifting the sanctions on the import and export of civilian goods, including dual use. The us will immediately lift its import/export sanction on civilian goods (including dual use) consistent w/ this stance. Also, the US will end its bombing campaign against civilian targets in Iraq.

They just claim humanitarian imports

Chomsky

Gordon - deontology

Clark (certainty)

Mueller and Mueller

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Oil

1. prices were low
2. Iraq's a member of OPEC, they'll check
3. High prices hurt in the long term

WTO-Capital

1. executive push

2. relations will decrease
3. TSEA kills relations
4. Winners win
5. TSEA will pass
6. TSEA = china war

Southwest Missouri State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: SMS VP

vs.: South Carolina PB

Aff case: Iraq

Judge: Cornelier, Erik--Gonzaga

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Ob. 1 Sanctions on Iraq are Evil

The humanitarian crisis makes our sanctions regimes one of the greatest crimes in history-the death toll has passed one million and grows quickly-mahajan, romi houston chronicle 1-13-99

Our policy of mass slaughter is reaching holocaust proportions-chompsky and zinn, canadian dimension march 1 99 p. 10

The death toll exceeds major wars in usa history-roy skinner canberra times 2-15-99

Certain deaths caused by iraqi sanctions exceed the risks of all ranges of WMDs-mueller and mueller, foreign affairs, may june 99

The certain and systemic harms of sanctions supersede probabalistic future risks from lifting them-clark 99 [www.lacenter.org/rc82799.htm](http://www.lacenter.org/rc82799.htm), august 27 99

The principle of discrimination between combatants and non-combatants creates a moral side constraint against seige warfare-comprehensive trade sanctions are a kind of seige warfare-gordon, [www.nd.edu/~krocinst/oprgordon.pdf](http://www.nd.edu/~krocinst/oprgordon.pdf)

Even in a raw utilitarian calculus, sanctions would be rejected because the certain humanitarian harm outweighs the miniscule chance for success-gordon again

Solvency

Ending the trade embargo allows rebuilding of infrastructure and eases suffering without abandoning UN leverage on other goals cortright and lopez '98 <http://fourthfreedom.org/sanctions/jiaarticle.html>

Food and medicine exemptions are not sufficient-several vital materials are still denied-mueller and mueller foreign affairs may/june 99

Even expanded oil-for-food can't overcome deliberate delays, oil pumping capacity shortfalls and infrastructure failures john pilger new statesman may 3 99 p.35

Even a perfectly implemented oil-for-food program would be insufficient-40% is skimmed for other purposes-gregory gauge III, foreign affairs, may/june 99 p.54

Lifting the embargo is the only way to restore Iraq's food security, simmons, the scosrgiuy of iraq 1996 p.145-6

Iraq will eventually distribute food and medicine-ending the shortage is key elizabeth sullivan the plain dealer august 16 99

The plan creates international cooperation to enforce weapons embargoes by ending trade embargoes-cortright and lopez in 99 <http://fourthfreedom.org/sanctions/jiaarticle.html>

The best historical evidence shows the plan would immediately lift the living conditions and restore basic needs for iraq the plan solves in several ways-center for economic and social rights in '96

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Israel-Lewis Middle East Journal Summer 99

Ben Meir 3/96 Middle East Policy

Newman 12/28/98 USNWR

Chat Times 11/1/99

Other disads

Houston Chronicle 9/30/99

Ben Meier 99 Foreign Affairs :Air Power Approach Might Lead Saddam"

Mueller and Mueller 5-99

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: SMS WH

vs.: West Georgia HS

Aff case: Iraq

Judge: Mahoney, Tim--Pace

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Ob. 1 Sanctions on Iraq are Evil

The humanitarian crisis makes our sanctions regimes one of the greatest crimes in history-the death toll has passed one million and grows quickly-mahajan, romi houston chronicle 1-13-99

Our policy of mass slaughter is reaching holocaust proportions-chompsky and zinn, canadian dimension march 1 99 p. 10

The death toll exceeds major wars in usa history-roy skinner canberra times 2-15-99

Certain deaths caused by iraqi sanctions exceed the risks of all ranges of WMDs-mueller and mueller, foreign affairs, may june 99

The certain and systemic harms of sanctions supersede probabalistic future risks from lifting them-clark 99 [www.lacenter.org/rc82799.htm](http://www.lacenter.org/rc82799.htm), august 27 99

The principle of discrimination between combatants and non-combatants creates a moral side constraint against seige warfare-comprehensive trade sanctions are a kind of seige warfare-gordon, [www.nd.edu/~krocinst/oprgordon.pdf](http://www.nd.edu/~krocinst/oprgordon.pdf)

Even in a raw utilitarian calculus, sanctions would be rejected because the certain humanitarian harm outweighs the miniscule chance for success-gordon again

Solvency

Ending the trade embargo allows rebuilding of infrastructure and eases suffering without abandoning UN leverage on other goals cortright and lopez '98 <http://fourthfreedom.org/sanctions/jiaarticle.html>

Food and medicine exemptions are not sufficient-several vital materials are still denied-mueller and mueller foreign affairs may/june 99

Even expanded oil-for-food can't overcome deliberate delays, oil pumping capacity shortfalls and infrastructure failures john pilger new statesman may 3 99 p.35

Even a perfectly implemented oil-for-food program would be insufficient-40% is skimmed for other purposes-gregory gause III, foreign affairs, may/june 99 p.54

Lifting the embargo is the only way to restore Iraq's food security, simmons, the scorsgiuy of iraq 1996 p.145-6

Iraq will eventually distribute food and medicine-ending the shortage is key elizabeth sullivan the plain dealer august 16 99

The plan creates international cooperation to enforce weapons embargoes by ending trade embargoes-cortright and lopez in 99 <http://fourthfreedom.org/sanctions/jiaarticle.html>

The best historical evidence shows the plan would immediately lift the living conditions and restore basic needs for iraq the plan solves in several ways-center for economic and social rights in '96

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: SMS CN

vs.: Mercer KW

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Mahoney

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Observation One: Far reaching embargo

Observation Two

A) Medicine

1. embargo = barriers to medicine -Kirkpatrick 1997
2. easings don't solve - Robinson 1999
3. currency shortages - Interpress Service 1998
4. Non-US companies are restricted - Kirkpatrick
5. Transportation restrictions - Schwab 1999
6. Lack of medical knowledge - Schwab
7. Embargo = 2 tiered medical system - Schwab
8. Accusations of corruption flawed - Tarvainon 1999
9. 80,000 die - Roberts 1997
10. health care = humyn right - Schwab
11. systemic case harms outweigh disads - Schwab

B) Water

1. no water treatment - American Association of World Health 1996
2. US parts key - AAWH 1996
3. Causes disease - AAWH 1996

C) embargo hurts womyn's health - Schwab 1999

Observation Three: Development

A) Technology

1. embargo hurts indigenous technology - Science 1998
2. blocks biotechnology - Carribean Update 1998
3. biotech ends addiction to import dependence - Torres 1998
4. embargo crushes medical technology - Kaiser 1998

5. increased trade allows Cuba to escape colonial status - Cole 1998

B) Juragua

1. should do tech cooperation - Alvarado 1998
2. will be safe - Alvarado
3. should coop - Alvarado
4. is safe - Emling 1998
5. Anti Juragua politically biased - Carroll 1996
6. Not a threat - Cochran 1998
7. Cooperation solves - Carroll

Plan: immediately lift all economic sanctions and normalize relations, scientific and technical teams to cooperate on Juragua

Observation 4: Solvency

1. Cuba will buy goods - Caribbean Update 1999
2. Immediate effect - Journal of Commerce 1998
3. Limits staples - M2 Presswire 1998

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Rice

1. empirically denied
2. rice exports decreasing now
3. cheaper rice increases Indonesian stability

NTR

no chance China won't get in

1. = cong backlash tanks relations
2. no allow China in kills domestic reform
3. domestic reform = instability
4. reform bad = nuke risk in asia
5. wto dangerous allows high tech exports to China
6. dual use risks MIRV
7. Chinese MIVR = nuclear first strike risk

Structural adjustment

1. Castro won't do IMF reform thinks its the kiss of death
  2. No internal link to domination
  3. Will reject IMF
  4. No chance Cuba will become capitalist
  5. only way to push off colonialism
  6. reject global capitalist model
- X Coal '98

Venezuela

1. no link
2. Castro doesn't have money to invest
3. Castro will refuse IMF adjustment

Counterplan

1. only aff can get Clinton turns
2. no solvency evidency
3. no cooperation unless we lift sanctions

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3  
Team: SMS WH  
vs.: Navy BJ  
Case (country): Iraq  
Judge: Stefan Bauschard

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Typical Iraq case - 1.6 million deaths

Sanctions outweigh war (Mueller and Mueller)

Siege warfare - Gordon

Cortwright and Lopez - targeted sanctions solve

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
Low Rice prices are good because they mean Indonesians can eat

LA Times 3/26/99 "The availability and price of rice is often a measure of political and social stability"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3  
Team: SMS VP  
vs.: Whitman CO  
Case: Iraq  
Judge: Heidt, Stephen-Emory  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please not any changes from original caselist)  
2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
Oil  
1. Emp. Denied-Prices in flux all the time UPI 99  
2. OPEC Solves Impact  
3. High Prices Kill OPEC  
4. Prices flexible

WTO  
1. No link-Clinton won't push  
2. No link-Clinton won't support plan  
3. Non-Unique-EU crushed WTO-Straits Times 99  
4. No Deal-Washington Times 99  
5. WTO won't pass-Recorder 11/13/99  
6. Relations decrease is inevitable-Hickeg 99  
7. TSEA = blocks WTO-USA Today 99  
8. Winners Win-Ornstein  
9. TSEA pass now-Broden 99  
10. TSEA kills relations and causes war-Lampton 99

Southwest Missouri State

School: Southwest Missouri State

Team(s): CK

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States will lift oil sanctions, The petroleum related transactions act of 1995, prohibitions of imports, exports, re-exports and investments of 1995, release their held funds, and lift \_ of ISLA

Advantage areas:

- Caspian Sea
- Khatami Credibility against the hardliners
- Iran's military threat to regional stability
- culture wars (Huntington)
- central Asia oil access key to solving war

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

Note: They cross-apply a try or die card from the IAC to answer all the case arguments

and any delay in counter-plan solvency. Use scenario three to circumvent attempts to answer arguments against their solvency for political reform.

School: Southwest Missouri State

Team(s): PV

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States will lift oil sanctions, The petroleum related transactions act of 1995, prohibitions of imports, exports, re-exports and investments of 1995, release their held funds, and lift \_ of ISLA

Advantage areas:

- Caspian Sea
- Khatami Credibility against the hardliners
- Iran's military threat to regional stability
- culture wars (Huntington)
- central Asia oil access key to solving war

School: Southwest Missouri State

Team: HW

Case: Cuba

Affirmative Plan:

The USFG will enact a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions and establish diplomatic relations per Cuban wishes. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. Speeches clarify intent.



Outline of 1AC and Affirmative Cites:

O1 - The US has an embargo on Cuba

A1 - Human suffering

Cuba is facing a severe crisis - our policy is creating a food shortage - Schwab 99

US policy is cause of suffering - Schwab 99

Licensing option for food and medicine is inadequate - American Assoc. for World Health 96

Sanitation and energy failures compound the crisis - Storm Warnings for Cuba, Edward Gonzales, 1994

US policy creates travel and medical technology problems with thousands of victims - Schwab 99

Death toll is 9000 per year - News & Record, 8-20-97 "the mortality rate in Cuba has risen to 7.2"

Results in genocide - Canadian Medical Association Journal, 8-1-97, p 281-284, Anthony Kirkpatrick

Morally wrong to use Cubans against Castro - George Fernandez, 1998, Y4IN 8/16:H36, 3-12-98, p 28

Categorical imperative requires us to reject comprehensive sanctions - [www.nd.edu/~krocinst/opgordon.pdf](http://www.nd.edu/~krocinst/opgordon.pdf)

A2 - Soft landing

US policy risks a bloody transition - Cuba and the Future, Gillian Gunn, 1994, p 140-1

Risk of implosion and civil war - Tanter 98

Intervention risks Cuban CBW use and an attack on the Turkey Point Nuclear Plant in Florida

The Record, 10-2-96 "Clinton was offered several options for responding to the Cuban action"

Turkey point destruction kills thousands and spreads radiation hundreds of miles

UPI, 4-29-86 "Lorion said a full-scale reactor accident at Turkey Point"

US Policy should focus on a soft landing

Subcommittee on the Western Hemisphere, 2-23-95, p 30, Mark Falcoff

Engagement facilitates a peaceful internal transition process in Cuba

Smith 98

Outline of 2AC Answers and Cites:

Juragua

Plant won't get funded - Miami Herald, 5-11-99 "For Cuba watchers, it has become a familiar pattern"

Nobody will invest in Juragua

<http://www.us.net/cip/juragua.htm>, Center for Int'l Policy Nonissue: Cuba's Mothballed Nuclear

Power Plant, July 1998

No impact to Juragua

Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, January 1, 1998 "a delegation of U.S. non-governmental"

No impact - Cox News Service, 3-20-98 "But many nuclear experts say this response"

Plant will never be finished - Cox News Service, 5-21-99 "Nuclear physicists at Florida State University"

3rd party inspections solve the impact - Granma International, July 1999, Joaquin Oramas

Juragua is a white elephant - Economist, 5-1-99, "critics disagree"

IAEA approved Juragua - Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, 1-98, "according to a report by Radio Havana"

Won't be constructed - Washington Times, 2-3-99 "the Juragua project is a nuclear power plant"

Cubans doing a good job on the power plant - [www.cdi.org/adm/transcripts/1123/index.html](http://www.cdi.org/adm/transcripts/1123/index.html)

Reactors are safe - Natural Resources Defense Council, 2-12-96, "the two reactors at Juragua"

IAEA inspects now - IPS, 4-9-98, "the plant was carefully mothballed after building stopped"

*UCO*

SMS NP

Plan: The USFG will constructively engage Cuba by immediately lifting all econ. SX and by offering all tech. & scientific coop. On the building of the Juragua Nuclear Power Plant per the Cuban wishes.

Advantages: Humanitarian Impacts, Psychological Screw.

1AC Cites: Observation I Inherency - Dept. of Treasury 1999.

Observation II - Humanitarian Problem - Emb. Keeps food away, Schwabb 1999^ÅUS fault, Robinson 1999.

Observation III - Psychological Screw - Rigidity now, Gunn 1994^Åbad stuff b/c of it à turkey point.

Observation IV Solvency - try/die, Smith 1998^Åno investment in Cuba forced, Schwabb 1999.

2AC Tricks:

SMS AT

PLAN TEXT

- US shall adopt a policy of CE with Iraq including the immediate removal of nearly all trade sanctions on civilian goods. The US will restructure military and weapon technology sanctions consistent with reciprocity approach advocated by Cortright and Lopez. UN policy consistent with the plan.

1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

- Romi Mahajan '99
- Chomsky Herman Said & Zinn '99
- Skinner '99
- Mueller '99
- Clark '99

ADVANTAGE AREAS

2AC RELEVANT TRICKS

**UNI**

Southwest Missouri State AT

Plan: The United States shall adopt a policy with Iraq including the removal of all or nearly all economic sanctions. Military restrictions shall be restructured and enhanced to emphasize high technology exports and arms embargo. The United States will initiate a resolution in the United Nations which is consistent with this policy of engagement.

Advantage: Economic Sanctions kill innocent people

- United States policy equivalent to mass murder or genocide.
- Lifting sanctions will solve.
- Military only sanctions a better policy.

Southwest Missouri State PN

Plan: United States will lift Cuban embargo and repeal Helms ? Burton. Will open relations per Cuba^Òs wishes.

Observation one: Have an embargo in the status quo

-United States Department of Treasury, 1999

Observation two: People murdered

-Cuba devastated by the Embargo ? starvation  
Schwab, 1999

-Our leaders are dooming Cubans

Schwab, 1999

-We killed Cuban Health Care and now don^Òt give aid  
Robinson, 1999

- Genocide by the United States  
Kirkpatrick, 1997
- Scenario two: United States Embargo = siege warfare  
Gordon, 1999
- U.S. shouldn't meddle in Cuba, should lift the embargo  
Serrano, 1999
- Scenario three: Psycho screw
  - U.S. policy = psychological dichotomy that kills Cuban motivation  
Gunn, 1994
  - Cuban unrest = regional unrest and U.S. interventionism  
Gunn, 1994
- [something specific about the U.S. invasion]  
The Record, 1996
- Death of millions  
UPI in 1986
- Observation three: solvency
  - Smith, 1998
  - Schuab, 1999
  - Tanter, 1998
  - Schultz, 1993

Note: They cross-apply a try or die card from the IAC to answer all the case arguments and any delay in counter-plan solvency. Use scenario three to circumvent attempts to answer arguments against their solvency for political reform.

Southwest Missouri State CK

THIS AFFIRMATIVE'S DESCRIPTION WAS IDENTICAL TO THAT PROVIDED FOR SMS PN'S AFFIRMATIVE, DETAILED ABOVE.

Southwest Missouri State PV

Plan: The United States will lift oil sanctions, The petroleum related transactions act of 1995, prohibitions of imports, exports, re-exports and investments of 1995, release their held funds, and lift \_ of ISLA

Advantage areas:

- Caspian Sea
- Khatami Credibility against the hardliners
- Iran's military threat to regional stability
- culture wars (Huntington)
- central Asia oil access key to solving war

Southwest Missouri State AK

THE DESCRIPTION OFFERED OF THIS AFFIRMATIVE WAS IDENTICAL TO THAT OFFERED BY SMS PV. PLEASE SEE ABOVE.

## Stanford

### ***WAKE***

Stanford

School: Stanford

Team(s): HN

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan

The United States federal government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iran including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions.

Structure

Obs 1 Inherency Finch '98: U.S. is containing Iran

Adv. 1 U.S./Iran Relations

Adv. 2 Iran Prolif

a). Iranian aggressor Gerges US Isolation causes Iran to proliferate

Boyne 6/1/99, Pipes 98, Skcotsky 95, Potter 92

b). Israeli preemption Romero 99, Jane's Defence Weekly 98, Cordesmen 97

Obs 2 Solvency Finch 98, Chubin 97, Hunter

## Texas

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

#### TEXAS BB

Plan: The USFG will lift all sanctions and give food aid and support North Korean proposals for confederation and phased troop pull-out.

Advantages: Brinkmanship, Food Aid.

Advocates: Chol, Satterwhite.

#### TEXAS BD

Plan: North Korea - Demine the DM7, lift all economic sanctions, stop Team Spirit, normalize relations.

Advantage: Demining.

#### TEXAS DM

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iraq including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions placed on Iraq. The US in consultation with the government of Iraq will lobby for the removal of UN sanctions on Iraq. The US's current bombing campaign will be ceased.

Advantages: Death, Suffering, Racism

Solvency Advocates: Clark, Simon

### ***WAKE***

Texas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 6

Team: Texas DN

vs.: Northwestern PS

Case (country):

Judge: Dan Fitzmier - Emory

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Observation 1:

SQ policy is wait and see

Will derail dialogue

More high-level dialogue is coming, 11-17-99

Relations eroding during the dialogue

Adv 1

Berlin won't solve the Yellow Sea dispute, war is coming

Each day risks more hostility and disaster, Bandow  
Draw in all the major powers, nuclear confrontation

Adv 2

Congress will cut KEDO now  
Collapse will cause NK/SK war - lash-out  
Millions of deaths  
KEDO is key to solve these tensions  
Brinksmanship now  
NK will start their nuclear proliferation  
US will respond with a strike  
Goes nuclear, we all die

Observation 3

Not zero-sum, engagement solves - dismantle the Cold War structure  
Substantive deal is critical for success  
NK wants full removal of sanctions  
War is inevitable absent the plan, we outweigh  
Only plan frees US policy from militarism and threat construction

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

AT: Quiet Diplomacy CP (w/ China NB)

1. CP is vague - doesn't define what quiet diplomacy is
2. No solvency author - VI for fairness
3. Affirmative turns it back - SQ policy is bad, Japan Economic Newswire 1999
4. Causes worse back-lash by China
5. China won't accept - don't like the US
6. Conditioning isn't competitive, can re-impose post-plan
7. NK will reject the CP
8. CP makes brinksmanship inevitable
9. Case is a disad to the case
10. Solvency deficit outweighs any NB
11. Perm: Do plan and consult on other issues
12. Perm: Veto on rest of plan

AT: Clinton Good

1. Racist - GOP hates Asians, it's like saying "Lincoln shouldn't have freed the slaves bc it was politically infeasible"
2. Case outweighs
3. Assumes SQ
4. GOP mad in SQ x
5. WTO doesn't affect trade x
6. WTO causes balancing - turns China DA

AT: China

1. N/U dialogue now
2. WTO takes it out
3. Link is specific to unilateral action - CP links worse
4. N/U - Berlin
5. US balance checks Chinese adventurism and china is mad now x

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Texas SR

vs.: Emory BG

Case: Iraq

Judge: O'Donnell-Tim, Mary Washington

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Russia

1. Russia Isolated NYT 11/29/99
2. Russia Vetoing Oil 4 Food same
3. Russia only allow weapons inspections we will solve
4. Solve something 999

Collapse

No impact

Non-U oil

Cross applt Kurds on case

Sanctions = coollapse of Iraq not hussn

Structure

Oil

Non-Uniques

Iraqi production ineviatable

Clinton

1. Case outweighs
2. Fiat solves
3. Bottom docket

Kurd CP

--ignore our evidence, us complicit in

kurdish crisis

--engagins saddam = solve problem

--we solve genocide for turks and kurds

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Goes for the judge should evaluate the round by evaluating pain and how decisions must be made on a personal level.

--Nazi doctors

--decide the debate with morality

simons evidence devinses the decsion calc

--if ever feel pain or inflict pain, use to

decide the debate-genocidal thinking to

occur....separate personal side from

--not inconsistant with consequentialism

--larger ethical issues at stake..not Clinton

disad

--question any risk...the threshold of nuclear

risk..never answered it! States make decisions based on conflict

--need a lot of information-case = all internal links

--Use how on personal level

--Extended Narrative

--Ethical decision key

Texas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Texas DM

vs.: Pitt DC

Case (country): Syria (Lebanese withdrawal)

Judge: Bates

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan: USFG will, through appropriate diplomatic channels, immediately lift nearly all economic sanctions via removal of Syria's name from the so called "Terrorist List" as part of a new policy of constructive engagement. Funding and Enforcement guaranteed through optimal means.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality Constructive engagement (you're not dialogue)

- 1) We meet- plan text
- 2) We meet- yes we engage cx ben meir
- 3) No interpretation- or limits
- 4) C/I Regime stability

Plan Increases Rights Violations

- 1) no link Syria
- 2) Turn- plan leads to reform (robinson 98)
- 3) Turn- succession
- 4) N/u- investment now/trade
- 5) No link- to human rights abuse
- 6) No link- to succession flip
- 7) Turn terrorist talk bad

Inflation

- 1) n/u trade now
- 2) no link investment
- 3) no link syrian trade key inflation
- 4) n/u rate hike
- 5) n/u no inflation in market (financial times 99)
- 6) no internal link- no fx employment (financial times)
- 7) us economy resilient (financial times)

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Texas EG

vs.: Wayne BW

Case (country): Said

Judge: Helwich

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Cross apply Said 1ac ev

Method indict w/ O'Tauthail 1997, Critical Geopolitics

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2

Team: Texas SR

vs.: Vermont SM

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Lynch - John Carroll



2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality: must advocate US adoption of a policy

1. we meet - we're not the US government but we think they should act
2. no abuse: we'll debate what they want
3. doesn't prove a link to the kritik

Kritik - Gulf War didn't happen

1. we blame the Gulf War
2. voting aff doesn't cause cooption
3. Turn - Our ethical calculus comes from the Simons 1ac evidence - when making decisions we should bind ourselves intimately to our own experience - abstractions (like pretending we are the state) are what allow guilt transference - guilt transference and doubling are how normal people become complicit in genocide - Lifton, *The Web of Violence*, 1997, p. 33
4. This doesn't imply a different idea of fiat, we shouldn't pretend we are the state
5. The stakes are too high, the 1ac attempts to provide key information
6. No hyperreality - our authors went to Iraq, they're not relying on mass media
7. No explanation of the spectacle
8. Our narratives take out the hyperreality claims
9. They should lose - their kritik trivializes the status quo suffering
10. They mischaracterize our solvency - we should stop forcing Iraqis to die
11. No qualification of what constructive engagement is - the status quo is distancing
12. We have something in common with the Iraqis - the history of racist colonialism - Moorehead, [www.iccenter.org/demoniz.htm](http://www.iccenter.org/demoniz.htm), 1999
13. We don't say that we know the suffering but we have experienced suffering in the past, we can call upon compassion.
14. Our job is to act to take the power to abuse people away from the government - Eribon, Michel Foucault, 1991
15. We don't rationalize violence - the kritik disables compassion

Self-Other dualism answers

1. performative contradiction - they rely on the dualism
2. n-u: foreign policy now
3. can engage us as distinct from them
4. the argument is political
5. the stakes are too high - questioning the totality of what is real is futile - Longxi, *Western Theory and Chinese Reality*, Autumn 1992

Performance bad answers

1. there are no Iraqis in debate - without performance, we'd be ignorant to their plight
2. there's no link
3. they misrepresent Baudrillard's argument

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Texas DM

vs.: Kansas State HZ

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Lain

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan text as per case list with this addition:

"the US will also engage Syria over the sending of US ceasefire monitors to observe Syrian-Kurdish areas"

Kurds Advantage -

- Syria blamed for Kurds - Hinan 1999
- Kurdish treatment terrible - Telmourian 1994

- Regional escalation - Pope 1995
- Terrorist List blocks solvency - Reuters 1995
- Security Assistance solves - Mortimer and Smith 1995

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Texas GE

vs.: Michigan State ML

Case (country): Iraq, Iran, Syria

Judge:

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: don't lift export sanctions on Iraq

1. perm - not economic but military - admitted in CX
2. must counternarrate x,x
3. disposition justifies perm
4. PIC's bad infinite regression, time, justifies intrinsic
5. Can't solve

AT: Clinton

1. ultimate form of blackmail
2. political pundits are paid to lie x,83
3. warrantless soundbites - political fantasy
4. TC t/o mpx

AT: T - must advocate a plan

1. not have to advocate fiat - just advocate that should be done
2. only advocate activism

AT: pesticides

1. no lift - dual use - CX proves
2. critical movement to affect change
3. not intrinsic - ban pesticides - dispositionality justifies

AT: Russia

1. zero-sum game
2. imperialist
3. meant to maintain hegemony
4. Threat Construction - what they say about China is not true
5. Capitalist crisis

Texas

School: Texas

Team(s): DM

Case: Syria

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan: The United States Federal Government through appropriate diplomatic channels shall lift nearly all sanctions against Syria as part of a new policy of constructive engagement which will include counter coup assistance as advocated by Steven David, including: Training, intelligence equipment, and communications. All lists currently prohibiting assistance to Syria will no longer apply. Enforcement through optimal means and funding guaranteed, we will specify if you ask.

Advantage one: Succession crisis ? military coup ? civil war

Advantage two: Military coup ? attack on Golan

Advantage three: Lebanese escalation ? engagement solves.

School: Texas

Team(s): DN

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

I. Inherency

- Current NK policy takes wait-and-see approach, looming threats (BBC 10/99)

- Roadmap approach fails (Satterwaite '96)

- Future dialogue doesn't guarantee full normalization of relations (BBC 10/28/99)

II. Yellow Sea

- NK aggression in Yellow Sea,Berlin Agreement didn't solve (BBC 9/99)

- invasion->nuclear crisis (Bandow '98)

- Japan, China, Russia and their nukes get drawn in (Shuja '97)

III. KEDO

- GOP threatening funding now (BBC 10/99)

- Lack of funding->KEDO collapse

- Collapse -> US first strike, Nuke conflict

- Collapse->Biological/chemical weapons use, war (sullivan '98)

IV. Solvency

- SK and Japan support the plan

- Only lifting all economic sanctions solves

- War inevitable absent plan - only full normalization solves(Satterwaite '96)

Plan text:

USFG will adopt a policy of c.e., including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions, with the govt of NK. This policy will include U.S. reaffirmation of the 1994 Agreed Framework, maintainance of the Trilateral Oversight and Coordination Group, end of joint exercises, and full normalization of relations. Funding and enforcement through normal means. We reserve the right to clairfy intent.

School: Texas

Team(s): EG

Case: Iran, Iraq, and Syria

Affirmative Plan:

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the end of all economic sanctions, with the governments of the nation-states Iran, Iraq, and Syria. The containment of Iran, Iraq, and Syria and military aggression towards these countries should be ended.

Outline of 1AC and Affirmative Cites:

We Begin w/ the Fruits of Our Labor. I choose "our" because we as a community have played a part in constructing and maintaining the privilege of a western narrative that has done its best to forget and erase the histories of those marginalized, those who did not make the cut in the US story of modernity and progress. These people I speak of have not just been left behind to live on their own, but have been pushed violently to the gutter and shadows of international politics.

The few individuals who have been brave enough to break this forced silence of the forgotten ones, have not been met w/ welcomeness or even mild acceptance. Instead the few voices of the excluded that break through are quickly devoured by realist, self-interest political techniques that repackage resistance and disobedience as a specter of terrorism which is then redeployed against them to justify violent abuse and more often than not, murder. The process of which I speak has resulted in a collection of shanty towns, hovels, and over-crowded filth filled streets for one particular group. It is with these people that we begin.

Edward Said, *The Politics of Dispossession: The Struggle for Palestinian Self-Determination*, 1995

The US goals of an independent, Zionist, Israel, and unimpeded access to oil have resulted in the containment and continuous punishment of not only Palestinians but Iran and Iraq

Said, *Peace and its Discontents: Essays on Palestine in the Middle East Peace Process*, 1996

The Misery of the Palestinians is not an isolated incident. The people of Iraq have paid for Saddam Hussein's miscalculations in Kuwait with their lives since the beginning of the Sanction regime against Iraq shortly after the Gulf War 567,000 Iraq people have been consumed by disease, malnutrition, and US bombing campaigns.

The Logic of trying to save Iraq from Saddam through the managerial starvation and deprivation of those said to be the ones were saving reveal the deep, sadistic contradiction in US containment policy.

Said, *Acts of Aggression: Policing Rogue States*, 1999 (available at Amazon.Com) (Chomsky, Clark, and Said)

The Continuation of US Policy Based upon an otherized and demonized image of Islam dooms the region to multiple violent wars.

Said, *Covering Islam: How the Media and the Experts Determine how we see the rest of the world*, 1997

For every Israeli who has died 40 Arabs have been killed. Remember it is the state of Israel that is in the offensive position. Refuse to be complicit w/ the Orwellian censorship that prevents Criticism of Israeli Aggression.

Said in 95

The literally dehumanizing character of terrorist discourse transforms all those who fit the Arab profile into beasts to be eliminated. This rhetoric transforms refugee camps into terrorist nests so that they can be obligated w/ out any trace of guilt. Murder becomes Heroically Patriotic.

Said in 95

The centrality of Western Narrative ensures that all that is good in the world emerges from the US all failures of the US project are caused by unknowable enemies and all of those dare to speak out become terrorist to blame for our mistakes

Said 95

The Event of US hegemony is not limited to the Middle East. The Description of all parts of the world that will be pieced together by the presentation of DA's is not an object picture, but an imperial point of view.

Tuathail, "At the End of Geopolitics? Reflections on a Plural Problematic at the Century's End,"  
[www.majbill.vt.edu/Geog/Faculty/toal/papers](http://www.majbill.vt.edu/Geog/Faculty/toal/papers)

W/o a substantial and total disavowal of the point of view that lays out the world for US consumption. Millions will suffer and we will all be apart of ensuring the disaster. There is a unique opportunity for those of US privilege enough to be US Academics

San Juan, Hegemony and Strategies of Transgression, 1995

Accepting and consenting to the cultural norm of this western narrative place all of those we have determined to be "Other" and "Alien" on the chopping block of US Hegemony. The fate to be determined by you.

Said in 95

This round, this instant, is an opportunity to oppose the destruction of US arrogance and domination. Oppositional narrative, sustained criticism, and compassion can unravel the strangle-hold of US violence.

Said in 95

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT: Feminist Engagement

1. not competitive - perm -you can add them together
2. perm - do plan and rethink (Krishna, Alternatives, 1993 v.18, p. 400 - read in the 1AR)
3. perm - do CP
4. not philosophically competitive - Said rejects the West and allows for the reintegration of womyn
5. feminist engagement does no differ from our approach
6. Turn: we challenge realism in the 1AC
7. No solvency: no counter-narrative
8. CP = fiat abuse, b/c it fiats a mindset shift

AT: Extra T

1. we sever out of Jesse helms
2. joining activism is not part of plan text
3. counter interpretation - CE = reversal of ALL containment
4. better interpretation
  - a. maximum negative ground
  - b. gives negative uniqueness
  - c. best limits - 5 cases
5. negative overlimits - wouldn't allow cases like lift Helms-Burton
6. plan = narrative
7. future abuse does not matter
8. Turn: Topicality is a reason to vote aff. Negative excludes marginalized Palestinian voices
9. It's a performative contradiction - this is premeditated murder
10. Israel is used to contain Iran and Iraq

11. Military alliance w/ Israel is to contain Iraq
12. Lit checks abuse

AT: T - CE = accept masculine constructs (Judd's T arg for Fem engagement)

1. anti-topcial - CE defined in the literature requires some sanctions - res requires to lift sanctions
2. our interp is the best limit and predictability
3. we give ground

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

They read a narrative, which is how they "solve" the marginalized voices. That is key. That outweighs everything. And is better solvency than CP, b/c neg did not read a narrative. The narrative for the Palestinians also helps the other marginalized voices (womyn, etc.)

School: Texas

Team(s): S?

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

1AC as per Kentucky:

sanctions in Iraq kill 1.5 million

Clark 8/27/99;

[www.transnational.org/features.sanctionsIraq.html](http://www.transnational.org/features.sanctionsIraq.html)

numbers underestimated

Mueller and Mueller; June/July '99; For. Affrs.

air strikes deadly

Sevarid; 9/25/99; "avoid hitting civilians"

testimony of Iraqi children

Simons; '96; The Scourging of Iraq

blaming Saddam wrong

CASI 8/99 Newsletter

Iraq doesn't delay goods

Evans; '99; Reuters; "no evidence there is conscious withholding"

infrastructure kills 5000 kids per month

Benis; '99;

[www.zmag.org/CrisesCurEvts/Iraq/bennisiraq.htm](http://www.zmag.org/CrisesCurEvts/Iraq/bennisiraq.htm)

blaming Saddam is guilt transferrance

Simons again

Oil For Food fails

CASI 5/99;

[linux.clare.cam.as.uk/~saw27/casi/briefing/pamp\\_ed1.htm](http://linux.clare.cam.as.uk/~saw27/casi/briefing/pamp_ed1.htm)

plan: The US government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions on Iraq. The US

will, in consultation with Iraq, push for the removal  
of UN economic sanctions on Iraq. US bombing of Iraq  
will cease, as will enforcement of no-fly zones.

lifting sanctions allows repairs  
Marr; '97; Gulf Security in the 21st Century

lifting sanctions solves food crisis  
Simons again

US support changes UN  
Simons again

US key to change  
Clark; '96; The Children Are Dying

We are all accomplices to genocide  
Simons concludes

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

2AC had no real tricks. We just debate the disads  
straight-up and try to make it clear that the genocide  
with which we are complicit should take priority over  
disads that we all know are lies. We say that the US  
should not keep sanctions due to Israeli fears or to  
maintain oil prices. We say that all of that Lexis  
jive is stupid.

Texas

School: Texas  
Team(s): DM  
Case: Syria

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:  
Inherency -

attacks on hezbollah increasing BBC 9-30-99

no sign of israeli withdrawl Agency France Presse 10-1-99

Advantage 1 - Lebanon

Lebanese - Israeli escalation Venter 99

Results in Syrian confrontation Venter 99

US drawin Schiff 93

Engagment and taking off terrorist list solves Ben Meir 99

Lifting sanctions solves Haass 99

Advantage 2 - Succession Crisis

Crisis looming Robinson 98

Military Coup likely Robinson 98

Civil war spillover Robinson 98

Escalation to Israeli first strike Evron 94

Counter Coup assistance solves David 87

Plan: The USFG will adopt a new policy of constructive engagement towards syria, including the immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions via removal of syria's name from the so called "terrorist list".

## *UCO*

Texas - Austin ND

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE w/ the govt. of NK, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions. This policy will reaffirm The US commitment under the 1994 AF, including maintenance of the trilateral coordination committee, ending joint exercises, and normalization of relations. F & E thru normal means. We'll clarif.

Advantages: KEDO, Yellow Sea

1AC Cites:

BBC 10/23/99, Satterwaite 1996, Bandow 1998.

2AC Tricks:

Texas - Austin DM

Plan: The USFG will through appropriate diplomatic channels immediately lift nearly all economic sx. Via taking syria off the so called terrorist list as part of a new policy of CE including: Training, intellegence, Equipment, and communication. Funding and enforcement through normal means.

Advantages: Succession Crisis, Lebanese Escalation

1AC Cites:

Inherency - Attacks on lebanon increasing - BBC 9-30-99

Advantage I - Succession = Crisis - Robinson 1998, Euron 1994.

Advantage II - Lebanon ^ÅVenter 1999, Klare 1995, Schiff 1993, Blanche 1999.



Solvency - Benmier 1999, Haas 1999.

2AC Tricks:

Texas - Austin LB

Plan: USFG should adopt a plcy of CE by ending team spirit exercises indefinitely, fully normalizing all diplomatic relations & using a directive to determine the DMZ w/ NK. The policy of CE should include the imm. Removal of all economic sanctions w/ NK.

Advantages: Demining

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Texas - Austin BB

Plan:

Advantages:   β   β   β   Same as Texas - Austin North Korea Affs

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Texas - Austin PG

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE of Iraq by immediately lifting all economic sx ending no fly zones and stopping bombings

Advantages: Genocide & Racism

1AC Cites:

O1 - Not our place to speak^ÅChomsky 1998 ( "Rogue States" 4/98) - The Iraqi people matter^ÅChomsky - a new perspective^ÅTwathail 1996, Gearold, At the end of geopolitics? - Not our place to judge^Å Chomsky, 1999, 2net forum.  
O2 - Advocacy and solvency - Voices in the wilderness 9-29-99, Davidson 1997, Chomsky, Herman, Saad, & Zinn 1998

2AC Tricks:

**UNI**

Texas BD

Plan: The United States Federal Government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of North Korea by

immediately removing all economic sanctions^Å fully normalizing diplomatic relations. The United States will eliminate "Team Spirit" exercises. Intent and Love available in cross examination^Å while supplies last.

Observation one: Food Aid ? they don^Òt send ? they just claim that sanctions stop

Observation two: Missile Launch (a bad impact card)

Notes: They claim that Clinton just lifted^Å but it is not permanent. They claim that you must shun United States starvation.

Texas DN

Plan: The United States Federal Government^Å with North Korea as per the

Perry Plan, including the removal of all economic sanctions and the suspension of Team Spirit and the full normalization of relations.

Normal Means.

Observation one: North Korea policy causes distrust ? status quo steps too

small^Åstill can^Òt engage in missile discussions.

Advantage: War

- North Korean threat leads to a Russian panic

- U.S. and Japan get drawn in.

- nuclear escalation.

Solvency: elimination of Team Spirit with South Korea is key.

Note: They use the recent sanctions lifting as non-unique.

Bring up negotiations on the yellow sea (?).

Texas DM

Plan: The United States Federal Government through appropriate diplomatic

channels shall lift nearly all sanctions against Syria as part of a new policy of constructive engagement which will include counter coup assistance as advocated by Steven David, including: Training, intelligence equipment, and communications. All lists currently prohibiting assistance to Syria will no longer apply. Enforcement through optimal means and funding guaranteed, we will specify if you ask.

Advantage one: Succession crisis ? military coup ? civil war

Advantage two: Military coup ? attack on Golan

Advantage three: Lebanese escalation ? engagement solves.

Texas BB

Plan: The United States will agree to North Korean requests for phased troop

pull-out and will support North Korean proposals for confederation ,as per Morgan and Harrison. The United States will eliminate team spirit

exercises. Intent and love available in Cross-ex while supplies last.

Observation one: War is inevitable in the status quo

-regime will collapse ? causing war

Troops in North Korea bad

Observation two: only normalization solves

## Trinity

### ***KINGS***

Trinity University CW

Plan: Syria

Advantages: war by Israel, h2o wars

## UMKC

### **BAYLOR**

Baylor jr. division

UMKC SW's Aff

Syria

Adv 1: Water Wars

Syria needs "drip irrigation" + a clearing house to manage water usage  
(no ev says lifting sanctions is key to solving Water)

Underview: Lifting sanctions key to peace

(They'll run the terrorism discourse critique)

Baylor jr. division

UMKC MM's 1AC

Iran

Adv 1: Russia

Somehow sanctions prevent Russia from investing in the pipeline

This + US/Azerbaijan cooperation on Baku Ceyhan looks like we're infringing on Russia's sphere of influence

This leads to nationalist takeover(?)/backlash/world war III

Lifting sanctions and allowing Russian investment assuages Russia's fears

Adv 2: Israeli ambiguity

SQ: Israel is going to disclose its nuclear arsenal

This leads to war

Lifting sanctions assuages Israel's fears and avoids the disclosure

Adv 3: Khatami

Iranian prolif = Israeli weapons on Launch on Warning Status (note: says ANY prolif in the Middle East)

This leads to war

Hardliners prolif; Moderates don't

Lifting sanctions is key to a Moderate victory

Baylor jr. division

UMKC GR's Aff

Iraq

Adv 1: humanitarian

(spare parts)

Moral imperative

Adv 2: US heg

The Sanction's regime/coalition is crumbling now  
Means the arms embargo is collapsing  
Lifting sanctions restores the unity of the coalition (they use this to link out of Israel)  
(they have a card from the Navy in '00 that says Russia is ALREADY breaking the arms embargo)

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** UMKC GR **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament Baylor Round 5 Judge**  
Garcia

**Plan Text:**

Iraq

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Adv1 - Health

Adv2 – lashout/deterrance

**2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:**

A2 Rollback: A coup would be bad!

A2 Russian oil: NU – prices low now, Russia's econ screwed, link turn – we let R invest in Iraq

## ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

UMKC CG

Plan: The United States will constructively engage with the government of Iraq including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions as part of this constructive engagement policy. The US will push for Iraq's integration into the international community by eliminating our opposition to the lifting of the UN sanctions against Iraq and work with Iraq to comply with UN disarmament mandates. Funding and Enforcement guaranteed.

Advantages: Morality and Leadership

Advocates: Pollack, Ritter, Cortwright, Chomsky, Goldberg, Skeet.

## ***WAKE***

UMKC

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: UMKC BC

vs.: Berkeley HL

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Dan Davis

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Adv 1 -humanitarian crisis

Sanctions kill 4,500 a month

Jillian Skeet, Briarpatch "eyewitness in iraq.." nov. 1998

Sanctions increase saddam's brutality

Geoff Simmons, The Scourging of Iraq; "Sanctions, Law and Natural Justice"  
1998

Must reject sanctions to avoid complicity

Chomsky & Said- jan 8 1999 <http://www.iacenter.org/chomsky.htm>

Saddam doesnt divert

Center for Economic and Social Rights Unsanctioned Suffering--A human rights  
assesment of united nations sanctions on iraq-1996

UNICEF report is good

Inter press service 8/12/99 "The surveys w/6 autonomous"

Deaths are underreported

Denis Halliday; Federal News Service :American-Arab Anti-Discrimination  
Committee Foreign Policy symposium topic: Iraq Policy Dec. 29 1998

ans 2 iran iraq war caused the problem

Geoff Simmons -same as above

Oil for food doesn't solve

Denis Halliday , Nov. 5, 1999 John McLaughlin's "one on one" with guest:  
Denis Halliday; federal news service

liftin sanctions solves

simmons-above

Adv 2 Us credibility

No one supports us policy; continued unilateralism will cause saudi arabia  
to kick us out of the gulf and undermine global soft power  
Nicholas Goldberg, Nov. 17, 1997 Newsday; "Cracks in Alliance"

France Russia and China will break sanctions in SQ us must enage iraq to  
maintain leadership in the region

Stephen Pelletiere-Strategic Studies Institute Us Army War College,  
Managaing Strains in the Coalition What to do About Saddam?-1996

Sanctions have zero support from our allies; us will lose leverage as time  
goes on

Scott Ritter, Houston Chronicle "Iraq is Laughing at the US" Sept 10 1998

Arms embargo is collapsing in the sq

Richard Chesnoff "Us Friends Pumping up..." Daily news (NY) october 5 1999

Iraq has lots of weapons now

The jerusalem post, october 25, 1999, "the white house reportedand iraqi  
sites"

Fractured support for containing iraq will cause and iraqi lashout--the  
have the military capability in the sq

Anthony Cordesman Iraq and the War of Sanctions-Conventional threats and weapons of mass destruction-1999

plan-the usfg will adopt a policy of CE with the govt of iraq, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions. as part of this ce policy, the us will push for iraq's integration into the international community by eliminating our opposition to the lifting of un economic sanctions against iraq and work with iraq to comply with un disarmament mandates f&E guaranteed we clarify

solvency

Lifting sanctions solves ; constrains wmd production  
John \* Karl Mueller May/june 1999 Foreign Affairs p 43

Narrow containment increases support for us policy and less likely for a conflict  
Kenneth Pollack Iraq strategy review-options for us policy "Contain Narrowly looking beyond..." 1998

plan would be accepted by un sec council and would increase support for a tightened arms embargo

Gregory Gause may/june 1999 Foreign affairs "Getting it backwards on iraq"

Lifting sanctions gives us leverage to maintain arms embargo  
David Cortright, San Fransico Chronicle, "Time for a new Option in Iraq dec 8 1998

Broad containment will collapse; the us must act now to maintain leverage on iraq policy and to avoid us unilateral militarism  
Pollack -same as above

us unilateral militarism/rollback will force saddam to the wall ensuring a wmd lashout  
also pollack

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments  
AT: Agent Specification  
Resolution only says fed. Govt.  
1. Cross -ex checks abuse  
2. Normal means  
3. No in-round abuse, can always clarify

AT: Exclude IMF loans CP  
1. perm- combine texts - not textually competitive  
2. text comp best  
3. pics abuse - inf. Regressive, no pics means better debates, steals 1AC  
4. no right to a CP, run disads  
5. no solvency advocate - not predictable  
6. IMF loans critical to solving global depression - X  
7. Global depression causes extinction - X  
8. conditionality bad - undermines advocacy, justifies reciprocity in 2AR

AT: Feminism  
1. no such thing as sustainable feminism



2. perm- endorse their arg and do plan
3. perms solve best - X
4. exclusive kritik of gender does not address other forms of domination
5. no link arg - plan better than s

AT: Clinton - WTO

1. no link - fiat
2. links to counterplan
3. no link - no softline perception
4. unions will block in SQ - Bulletin Frontrunner
5. democrats key to WTO
6. plan is win for clinton - National Journal '97
7. Relations doomed - Kahlilzad
8. Impact is reversible - no rel. collapse

AT: North-South Tension

1. not stop all imf loans
2. no i/l iraq key
3. not o/w case

AT: Environment

1. Iraq not critical to the environment.
2. Sanctions destroy environment.
3. No impact

AT: Agriculture

1. extinction destroys in SQ
  2. plan lifts sanctions - can maintain ag. distribution
- Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Counterplan - goes for permutation, can add a sanction to restrict IMF loans because the CP gets rid of the Iraq Sanctions Act

UMKC

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: UMKC BC

vs.: Wayne State GL

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Renegar - Kansas

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality - UN push constructively engages other countries

1. We meet - we engage Iraq. They can still run agent counterplans
2. No in round abuse - only reason to vote on T
3. They don't define Constructive Engagement

Clinton Good - Chinese WTO

1. Unions block WTO push
2. Congress doesn't support NTR status for China
3. Fiat takes out the link - no spillover
4. Plan wouldn't be perceived as softline
5. China hates sanctions
6. That makes US-Sino relations impossible

7. Relations won't collapse
8. Impact's empirically denied: from 1993
9. PRC can't play a role in US foreign policy
10. Asian wars won't escalate

#### Saudi Oil DA

1. oil for food program empirically denies - other factors key
2. oil prices too high now, the plan's effect is minimal
3. plan only results in a short term decline in prices
4. sanctions cause civil war in Saudi Arabia
5. T - Lower oil prices key to reforms
6. Without reforms, war is inevitable
7. Iraqi oil key to solve Asian energy crisis
8. Asian crisis causes war

#### CP - Reform Oil for Food

1. permute - do both
2. No net benefit - still gets the disads
3. Dispositionality is illegitimate - skews 2ac time allocation, VI
4. Doesn't solve the case - causes rearm
5. No negative fiat
6. Permute - push for UN lifting of sanctions and do the counterplan
7. CP doesn't solve food
8. Doesn't solve rearm - Cordesman evidence
9. Doesn't solve recovery

#### Iraqi Rearm Disads

1. lifting sanctions discourages rearm
2. status quo leads to rearm
3. rearm inevitable, engagement with Iraq key
4. no unique offense as a result of plan
5. Iraq will inevitably get the cash to rearm
6. Plan solves containment
7. Plan prevents a pullout
8. Sanctions cause Iraqi lashout

#### UN Credibility

1. lifting sanctions key to credibility
2. no impact

#### Terrorism

1. cross apply the rearm answers
2. We solve terrorist attacks

#### Rollback

1. we solve containment, prevents a rollback
2. turns the rearm disads

#### UMKC

School: UMKC

Team(s): Baisley and Coffman

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan text: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iraq, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions. As part of the CE policy the US will push for Iraq's integration into the international community by eliminating our opposition to the lifting of UN economic sanctions against Iraq and work with Iraq to comply with UN disarmament mandates.

1AC Cites:

Advantage I - Humanitarian Crisis - Skent 1998, Chomsky 99, Unsanctioned Suffering 1996, Inter Presse Service 8-12-99, Dennis Halliday 1998, Simmons 1998, Halliday 1999.

Advantage II - US Credibility - Goldberg November 17th, 1997,... Palletiere, Managing Strains In the coalition: What to do about Iraq,...Ritter 9-10-98, ... Chesnoff 10/5/99.

Solvency - Meuller 99, Pollack 1998...Gause 99...Courtwright 1998, Ritter, Scott, End Game, 1999.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Condition on Inspections CP

- perm - both
- delay bad
- no neg fiat
- dispositionality bad
- Iraq won't allow inspections x BBC, 9/27/99, "new limitations"
- Iraq opposes Security Council proposals for inspections x
- inspections not solve x
- plan leads to coop on the issue x

Clinton

- not pushing UN \$
- fiat solves - Clinton not use PC, bottom of docket
- NK n/u
- reversal on sanctions key to UN credibility x
- sanctions will collapse UN SC x
- lack of unity destroys UN SC x

Appeasement

- n/u - NK x
- SQ destroys leadership - plan solves
- plan solves presence internationally
- no impact
- water wars add-on - sanctions cause shortage and wars, go nuclear, destroy US leadership x x x
- case o/w

Oil

- no huge glut coming x
- Iraqi oil key to ME stability x
- sanctions cause civil wars x
- impact inevitable - 1AC lashout advantage

Israel

- no link - not softline
- no regional credibility now x
- relations in flux x
- sanctions cause Iraqi war with Israel x

- close ties destroy PP x
- collapse not cause war x
- plan solves regional pullout

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

They always claim that a sanctions collapse is inevitable.

## *UCO*

### UMKC CB

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the Govt of Iraq, including the immediate removal of all econ. SX. As part of the CE policy the US will push for Iraq's integration into the Intl. Community by eliminating our opposition to the lifting of UN econ SX against Iraq & work w/ Iraq to comply w/ UN disarmament mandates.

Advantages: Humanitarian crisis.

1AC Cites:

Humanitarian Crisis - Skrentz 1998, Chomsky 99, Unsanctioned Suffering 1996, Inter Presse Service 8-12-99, Dennis Halliday 1998, Simmons 1998, Halliday 1999.

Advantage II - US Credibility - Goldberg November 17th, 1997, Palletiere, Managing Strains In the coalition: What to do about Iraq, Ritter 9-10-98, Chesnoff 10/5/99.

Solvency - Mueller 99, Pollack 1998, Gause 99, Courtwright 1998, Ritter, Scott, End Game, 1999.

2AC Tricks: Claim Sanctions collapse Inevitable

### UMKC RL

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement with the government of Iran. The US will immediately remove all economic sanctions against Iran, including the immediate removal of all secondary sanctions from Iran consistent with a policy of constructive engagement by initiating dialogue and diplomacy between the US and Iran. F & E guaranteed.

Advantages: Big Oil ( East/West pipeline, causes WWII w/ Russia, Iran pipeline preferred route)

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

### UMKC RG

#### PLAN TEXT

- The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iran. Those US sanctions, including ILSA will be immediately be removed consistent with adopting an engagement policy to encourage low level dialogue

between the US and Iran. Funding and enforcement as necessary.

## ***UNI***

UMKC CB Cites

PLAN: Lifts all economic sanctions [but does not lift dual-use, because dual-use is not an economic sanction--this was in c-x]. Encourages integration of Iraq into the international community. Funding and enforcement guaranteed

### I. Iraq sanctions continue

Federal News Service, 12/29/98 ("moved the goalposts" in card)

### II. Genocidal conditions

More than 1 million die

Jillian Skeet, Briarpatch, "Eyewitness in Iraq", 11/98

US is complicit with Iraqi genocidal practices

**Chomsky**, 1/8/99, [www.iacenter.org/chomsky.htm](http://www.iacenter.org/chomsky.htm)

Moral responsibility lies in US ction

**Roberts**, National Catholic Reporter, vol. 29, 5/21/99

UNICEF Report proves culpability

**Ibish**, Las Vegas Review-Journal (Lexis), 9/1/99 ("Carol Bellamy" in card).

Oil for Food not enough

**Halliday**, Federal News Service, 12/29/98 ("therapeutic feeding" in card)

NGOs' ability to help limited by US laws that bar US citizens from traveling to Iraq

<b>Cockburn</b>, 9/1/98 (Lexis) ("humanitarian organization" in card)

Iraq does not waste oil for food dollars; it distributed food well before the embargo

<b>Center for Economic and Social Rights</b>, UNSANCTIONED SUFFERING: A HUMAN RIGHTS ASSESSMENT OF UN SANCTIONS ON IRAQ, 1996, pp. 39-40.

Remedial efforts fail

Linkname:<b> Campaign Against Sanctions on Iraq</b> (CASI)

URL: <http://www.ex-parrot.com/casi/newslet/apr99.txt>

### III. US Credibility in the region

There is a risk of a regime collapse and bloody warfare in Iraq

Laith<b> Kubba</b>, in Fan Hazelton, ed., IRAQ SINCE THE GULF WAR: PROSPECTS FOR DEMOCRACY, 1994, P. 148-49.

France, China and Russia may openly challenge the sanctions regime if it continues in its present form.

Stephen <b>Pelletiere</b>, MANAGING STRAINS IN THE COALITION: WHAT TO DO ABOUT SADDAM? 1996, p. 19.

US military withdrawal increases the risk of Iraqi lashout with conventional threats and WMD

<b>Cordesman</b>, IRAQ AND THE WAR OF SANCTIONS, 1999, p. 653. [www.csis.org/mideast/online.htm](http://www.csis.org/mideast/online.htm)

### IV. Solvency

Maintaining military containment solves.

<bold>John and Karl Mueller</bold>, <underline>Foreign Affairs</underline>, 5-6/99, p. 43 ("international restrictions" in card)

The arms embargo against Iraq can be maintained indefinitely.

<bold>Cortright</bold>, <underline>San Francisco Chronicle</underline>, 12/8/98 ("easing civilian sanctions" in card)

Constructive engagement key to viable US role

<bold>Ritter</bold>, ENDGAME, 1999, p. ? ("But we have to take decisive steps" in card)

Iraq must believe that the US has enough political will to continue containment

<bold>Pollack</bold>, in Clawson, ed, IRAQ STRATEGIC REVIEW: OPTIONS FOR US POLICY, [www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/policywatch/policywatch1999/395.htm](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/policywatch/policywatch1999/395.htm)

Plan will be accepted by the rest of the UN Security Council

<bold>Gause</bold>, <underline>Foreign Affairs</underline>, 5-6/99 ("containment" and "international consensus" in card)

Broad containment regime solves (better than rollback)

<bold>Pollack</bold>, in Clawson, ed, IRAQ STRATEGIC REVIEW: OPTIONS FOR US POLICY, [www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/policywatch/policywatch1999/395.htm](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/watch/policywatch/policywatch1999/395.htm) ("collapse" and "militarism" in card)

Iraqi lashout to military rollback would involve WMD

<bold>Pollack</bold>, <underline>Foreign Affairs</underline>, 1-2/99 ("rollback fantasy" and "airpower" in card)

UMKC BC

Plan: The United States will adopt a policy of constructive engagement

with the government of Iraq, including the immediate removal of economic sanctions. As part of this constructive engagement policy, the United States will push for Iraq's integration into the international community by eliminating our opposition to the lifting of United Nations economic sanctions against Iraq and will work with Iraq to comply with United Nations disarmament mandates. All funding and enforcement is guaranteed, we will clarify if necessary.

Advantage one: sanctions kill Iraqi civilians  
-One million have died including 500 thousand children,  
U.S. sanctions make us responsible, Saddam is no excuse. Recent UNICEF reports confirm the death tolls. Relief efforts are not solving. Oil for food is not solving.  
Advantage two: United States Leadership  
-sanctions facilitate the internal collapse of Iraq.  
-Russia/China/France will break the sanctions regime.  
-This prompts an Iraq lashout.

#### UMKC LM

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Syria by initiating a standing offer of financial and technical assistance for the establishment of a regional clearinghouse for water management issues. The clearinghouse will focus on cooperative, integrated demand management of water. The United States will remove economic sanctions relevant to the dispersal of money and technology necessary for clearinghouse.

Observation one: Water scarcity inevitable

Advantage areas:

- water wars ? Syria v. Turkey
- Tigris Euphrates key

Aff Cites:

Butts 1997

Water in Arab World

[www.army.mil](http://www.army.mil)

Note: the affirmative reads dedev. Cards against spending and market disads.

The affirmative will claim that nothing stops other countries from becoming involved in the process, therefore they can read solvency for Turkey/Syria/Iraq

#### UMKC RR

THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN FOR THIS AFFIRMATIVE MIRRORS THAT GIVEN FOR UMKC LM'S AFFIRMATIVE. PLEASE SEE ABOVE.

#### UMKC OS

THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS AFFIRMATIVE WAS IDENTICAL TO THE ONE GIVEN OF THE UMKC LM AFFIRMATIVE ? DETAILED ABOVE



#### UMKC MP

Plan: The United States will lift all secondary sanctions on Iran, not scrapping the ILSA.

Observation one: United States only lifts food and medicine sanctions

Scenario one: Caspian Sea

- sanctions prevent oil pipeline
- Russia freakout (they don't explain why)
- Oil conflict = war

Scenario two: Israeli freakout

- Iran proliferation = Israeli first strike
- Engagement solves

Observation two: Engagement solves

- sanctions preclude cooperation
- cooperation solves in many areas

#### UMKC GG

Plan: Lift all economic sanctions and use clearinghouse with Syria.

Advantage one: Middle East water war

Advantage two: Tigris Euphrates key to Turkey, Syria, Iraq war

Advantage three: Environmental degradation

Note: 2AC emphasizes the environment stuff.

#### UMKC CW

Plan: The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iran, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions. ILSA will only apply to Libya.

Advantage one: Moderates

- hardliners battling Khatami
- sanctions insure instability
- isolation of sanctions increases hardline support
- hardliners in power = war in Afghanistan

Advantage two: Proliferation

- Sanctions encircle Iran ? facilitating prolif.
- prolif = Israel suspicion = first strike and war
- engagement solves proliferation

Advantage three: Caspian

- US. action in Turkey crushing Russia's sphere of influence
- Moscow sees it as encirclement = backlash
- Conflict in region escalates into WWII
- U.S. cooperation solves Russian fear
- U.S. sanctions only hold up Iran pipeline

Solvency:

-U.S. cooperation key to solving Afghanistan crisis, international terrorism, energy scarcity and proliferation

-engaging Iran increases relations and solves for weapons of mass  
destruction

-solvency for moderates in office from Middle East Policy Vol 6, #1,  
june 1998,

UMKC LW

THE DESCRIPTION FOR THIS AFFIRMATIVE WAS IDENTICAL TO THE ONE PROVIDED  
BY UMKC CW ? LOCATED ABOVE

## UPS

### WHITMAN

UPS TZ (aff) v. Whitman SW (neg)

Round 6: NFC-Whitman Tournament

Case: Syria

1AC:

O1- Inh. Syria on state dept. list, even though they ended support for terrorists (Lasensky 99)

Plan: The USFG will immediately remove all economic sanctions against Syria, including the removal of Syria from the State Department Terrorist list. The US will also offer Syria a constructive engagement package including the brokering of agreements on water management and the mediation and support of the Israel-Syrian peace process, as per 1AC solvency evidence. The plan will be implemented through normal means available at the time of question. Costs of the plan will not trade off with any other budget programs. We will clarify if necessary.

A1) Water

Water Wars

Syria and Turkey are on the Brink (Berman and Wihbey 99)

Syria/Turkey conflict escalates to regional war (same)

Desertification

1. Rampant in Syria – Need water (Klieman 99)

Desertification leads to famine (Xinhua 99)

Prevention of Famine is a moral obligation (Aiken 99)

Solvency – US brokering only solution to water (Schmitz 97)

A2) Peace Process

Harms

Negotiations will restart and the US must play a key role (Telhami 2k)

Failure to achieve peace leads to a large scale Israel/Syria war (BBC 99)

Peace leads to a decreased risk of chemical, biological and nuclear weapons in middle east (FT 2k)

Solvency

Lifting sanctions tops Syria's priorities (Lasensky 99)

US constructive engagement with Syria, including normalized relations, is key to Syrian-Israeli peace agreements (Eisenstadt 99)

Lifting sanctions is key to solvency (Ben-Meir 99)

Must lift sanctions to be perceived as neutral and successfully complete peace talks (mideast mirror 99)

Peace between Syria and Israel would effectively end Arab-Israeli conflict in the ME

Underview- US terrorist labeling is bad

(Leeman 91, The Rhetoric of Terrorism and Counter-Terrorism, p. 13-14)

“Us and them . . .” – Pink Floyd

AT: Development K

No specific link

We still solve for peace and water

case o/w

Not Unique - SQ = development

Syria wants it

PP o/w, extend BBC ev

Poetry subverts realism (implicit x-a of Pink Floyd from 1AC)

fiat good – it's an in round turn

case o/w, don't waste time rethinking

perm – do the plan and rethink

Turn – dedev bad

Some new 1AR ans:

More Not Unique – SQ development arguments

T/ losing a public debate does not change advocacy

NEG

Whitman Tournament Rd # 5

UPS AE vs. Whitman CO

Syria

Pomo K

1. no link. We don't impose western values
2. no realist terminology in the 1ac
3. we quote experts. It's not an absolute takeout
4. case = a counter-kritik. They use people as a means
5. P: <lewandowski>
6. Heidegger is bad, self-reflexive bad <>

NTR

1. n/u Taiwan threats <>
2. n/u Taiwan threats <>
3. Turn: entry tubes relations <Mastel>

A2: Leverage turn

US needs to be an honest broker, Mideast Mirror

A2: Terrorism turn

1. sanctions increase resentment <>
2. we're soft on rogues now <>

A2: Track turn

1. already putting Syria first <>
2. the tracks support each other <>

NEG

Whitman Tournament Rd # 1

UPS TZ vs. Whitman CO

Syria

A2: Clinton

1. Taiwan threats block <>
2. kritik takes out the impact <>
3. Clinton won't invest enough capital <>
4. Winners win <Ornstein>
5. impact non-unique: TSEA <>

Realism

1. we don't specifically construct a threat and our cards are from specialists
2. applies to their disads

Water tech CP

1. Perm: do both
2. international investment is key <>
3. doesn't solve w/o lifting sanctions
4. sanctions stop investment
5. the terrorist list makes them mad <>
6. PICs bad

7. dispositionality bad

T-Sticks

1. we could re-impose
2. CE = carrots <>
3. brightline: we get carrots, you get sticks
4. best ground. Not sit on a fence
5. they overlimit

T-definite course of axn

1. we definitely lift sanctions
2. no ground abuse
3. CX checks

Case: Syria

Tournament: Whitman Round: 3 Aff UPS GH Negative: Whitman BC

Answers to Fem IR:

Clinton and case are a performative contradiction

Tickner wouldn't agree with kritik

Our language is sexist (he-man language: women should be womyn)

Kritik doesn't solve the implications

Assumes international law

No gatekeeper: gender good

Turn: we lead to diplomacy and impartiality

Deconstruction Bad

War not about patriarchy

T/Masculinize gender roles

Gender dichotomies reinforce war

We essentialize

Answers to Sticks T:

- 1) We meet: Diplomacy=give and take
- 2) Counter interpretation: Constructive engagement is carrots (no card)
- 3) We meet: we lift sanctions
- 4) Counter interpretation is best:
  - a) there's only five topics
  - b) reasonability
- 5) Counterstandard: Brightline
- 6) No in round abuse

## ***WESTERN WASHINGTON***

WWU-round 5

UPS BL vs Whitman BC, judged by Omar Guvera

2AC AT: Sticks

1-We meet, terrorist list

2-Cdef, CE is only carrots

3-Brightline

4-Neg has no right to hardline link ground

5-No unique abuse

2AC AT: Water CP

- 1-Perm
- 2-Investment key to solve
- 3-Lifing sanct's key to investment
- 4-Terrorist list kills cred
- 5-PICs Bad

2AC AT: Feminist IR

- 1-Performative contradiction w/CP
- 2-Turn/won't change minds, we'll react by hating feminists
- 3-No specific link to plan
- 4-No link, we don't address women in foreign policy
- 5-No impact

2AC AT: NTR

- 1-No IL to Impact
- 2-NTR isn't key to relations
- 3-Mideast investment has bipart support
- 4-No IL, Clinton doesn't get blame
- 5-Clinton is lobbying now
- 6-No spillover
- 7-No threshold
- 8-NU, TSEA killed relations

**OREGON**

UPS's Syria Aff (EP)

Same as a Lewis and Clark (Peace Process, Water Wars, Desertification)

**LEWIS & CLARK**

Affirmative Team: UPS TZ Neg Team: Whitman WW Tournament Lewis&Clark

Round 1 Judge M. Nallia

Plan Text: The USFG will remove all economic sanctions against Syria, including removal of Syria from the state Department Terrorism List. The US will also offer Syria a constructive engagement package including the brokering of agreements on water management and the mediation and support of Israeli-Syria peace process as per IAC solvency evidence. Plan will be implemented through normal means available at the time of question, including consultation with appropriate actors. Costs of plan will not trade off with any other budget programs. We will clarify if necessary.

IAC Outline/Cites: Same as UPS TZ + GL as seen in the WWU Scrimage round reports..but with the additional scenario on advantage 1:

(in adv 1) B: Desertification

1. Desert rampent in Syria - need H2O to solve  
Klieman, The World and I, Sept. 1 99
2. Desertification causes famine  
Xinhua News Agency, June 17, 99
3. famine and starvation = something (ask Keola)  
Aiken World Hunger & Mobl. '77

2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:

WTO: - Businesses winning now  
- China in WTO => free trade +econ stability in SEAsia

- Hardliners turn: turning down China's entrance = win for hardliners, causes nuclear confrontation

- China in WTO = world peace

Shunning' - Perm, do both

- Perm - do plan and then think about shunning

- Syria doesn't harm human rights

- Syria is reforming on human rights

- T/ We => nice Syria

- Fiat = great!

- Shunning hurts solvency

- case o/w

- c/a case: US = key

Barak Disad: - Gulf Arab states want Syrian peace

- NU - Barak promised troop w/draw

- Wye II agreement likely

- Syria will compromise on Golan

- No I/L - the Syrian peace pro will follow the time table

## ***WESTERN SCRIMMAGE***

Aff team: UPS GL

Neg team: Whitman WW

Plan text: The US federal government will remove all economic sanctions against Syria, including removal of Syria from the State Department Terrorism list. The US will also offer Syria a constructive engagement package including [economic assistance, technical assistance to Syrian Universities, Desalination technology] mediation support, and peacekeeping assistance for the Golan, as per IAC solvency evidence. Plan will be implemented through Normal Means available at the time of question. Costs of plan will not trade off with any other budget programs. We will clarify if necessary (the part in brackets was not read by either UPS team we hit)

IAC outline/cites:

Ob1: There are sanctions on Syria!

plan

Adv1 - Water Wars

1. pop growth is going to cause increasing struggle over water

2. conflict inevitable b/w Syria and turkey if water prob not solved

3. the above countries have wmd and would use them in a water dispute

Adv2 - Peace Pro

1. the risk of war on the syrian track is high

2. the conflict would escalate throughout the middle east

3. war is inevitable without peace

4. the war would be nuclear

Solvency

1. US presence can solve the peace process

2. constructive engagement with Syria is key to the peace process

Adv authors:

Michael Eisenstadt, july 99

[www.washingtoninstitute.org/water/peacewater/peacewater1999/218.htm](http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/water/peacewater/peacewater1999/218.htm)

Fred Lawson, Middle East Policy oct 96

[http://www.meretz.org.il/matza/english/html/COMPREHENSIVE\\_PEACE.html](http://www.meretz.org.il/matza/english/html/COMPREHENSIVE_PEACE.html)

solvency authors: (and don't ask me why I have three solvency cites and only two solvency cards in IAC)

al-Ahram al-Mas'I, Middle East ews Items, Feb. 4 1998 "Damascus has demonstrated by various"  
Uri savir, The Process: 1,100 Days that Changed the Middle East, 1998 p275-6  
Martin Indyk, State Department Briefings, Feb 22 '99 (online)

2AC answers:

at: econ - n/u, Y2k, depression = preventable, US can check budget expansion, sanctions = inflation, they don't give aid in plan

at: oil: U isn't reversal, N/U - oil for food, NL- Link ev just talks about investment in syria, assumes syria's already at full capacity

at: clinton: admitting china into wto key to saving asian economies, preventing wto admittance = boost for chinese hardliners which = war

Aff team: UPS TZ - syria                      neg team: whitman ww  
IAC outline/cites: See round 3 vs. UPS GL...same case

2AC ans: nothing UPS GL didn't say

2AR bag o' tricks: clinton impact turns outweigh turned case...

2ar/bag of tricks: no tricks..went for clinton hardliners impact turns

Round 1: UPS EH (Syria) vs. Neg.

Judge: Corn dog

1AC: Inher.

Adv 1- Water Wars

Adv 2- Peace Process

Solvency

)

UPS BM

Plan Text:

Therefore, we present the following: The US should remove the entirety of the embargo against Cuba, including removal of the 1992 Cuban Democracy Act and the 1996 Helms-Burton act and adopt a policy of constructive engagement involving a US partnership in the Juragua Nuclear Project to insure the plants be completed according to international standards. Funding and enforcement through necessary and normal means. We will clarify intent

2AC answers to off case

Consumer Capitalism

1) We must increase free trade. It's the only way to solve North or South crisis – Kruegar '95 "Prospects for growth" + "hinge crucially" + "means must be found"

2) When trade rows it shrinks – Fortune '94 ("Pass Gatt now." Dec 12 '94, pg. 137) "process of opening trade" "not moving forward"

Shunning

1) No obligation to shun – case trumps the kritik – Beversluis '89 "On shunning undesirable regimes: Ethics and Economic Sanctions," pg. 23 Public Affairs Quarterly, April '89 "override" + "duty to shun

2) shunning is unjust if it hurts the oppressed – same cite as above "shunning" "cause injustices" "oppressed people"

3) Turn: the embargo is evil and destroys human rights. We have an equal duty to reject it – Schwab '99 "universal morality" "violation of international law" "embargo hasn't worked"



UPS BM

Plan Text (Cuba)

1AC outline/cites: Jeep's got it

2AC answers to off cases

Sun-Sentinel, 10-30-98

"With one reactor believed to be 80 percent" 1AC card

answers to the CP that rational solutions like the Agreed Framework aren't possible in Cuba

## Utah

### **WHITMAN**

Utah DS (aff) v. Whitman SW (neg)

Round 4: NFC-Whitman Tournament

Case: Iran

1AC:

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iran per the recommendations of Adam Tarock (including):

removal of funding for US covert operations aimed at the destabilization of the Iranian political system

removal of US unilateral economic sanctions toward Iran

return the \$11 billion in frozen assets held over from the 1979 hostage crisis

O1) Change in the current policy unlikely (Katz 98)

O2) Iran's domestic situations

Scenario 1: US sanctions handicap the economy (Rep. Berman, *Middle East Insight*, May/June 99)

-They lead to corruption

Scenario 2: Undermines reform

Outcome key to Khatami (Amuzegar 98)

O3) Solvency (Tarock 99, Eiland 98, Chubin and Green 98, Katz 98)

A1) Caspian

-Iran/Turkey must adopt a new policy

-ILSA is blocking foreign investment

-status and economy are key

CX of 1AC: They concede that they cause the pipeline to be built.

2AC:

A2: NTR Good

Our evidence is pre-ag sanctions lifting in June => not unique

No specific link

IHT ev says NTR won't pass

Clinton weak now (Friedman 99) [hidden Winners win]

No timeframe

Case o/w

No brink

Case suspends the brink ( . . . this is a "the plan makes relations with China better" argument

Normal means is bottom of the docket and co-op

The disad's not intrinsic

A2: Israel/PP

Not U – Other causes of problems

No specific link

We post-date the election

Hardliners are the bad guys

Israel feels no threat

Premise flawed

Iranian threat is constructed (conspiracy theory)

A2 Russia - China causing war now, plan solves Chinese relations (the Clinton argument), case o/w

A2 Heg: Khalizad = bad, reason dictates that since allies want to trade w/ Iran sanctions not key to heg, we solve - we cause investment in caspian, proving our heg

A2 Central Asia: Iran is key to Central Asia's stability

Caspian won't get resources w/o plan => instability

A2 Philips terrorism turn: - terrorism construct of CIA and Israel lobbies  
- plan solves, gerges ev, we decrease hardliner power, sanctions give hardliners ammo

A2 Khaemeni in control no matter what: proves need to for plan to decrease hardliner power

A2 Eisenstadt prolif cards: no prolif, only sanctions cause victim mindset

A2: Quick Development Turn  
that's the SQ  
must develop quickly to stop resource war

Utah DS's Aff

Iran

Plan:  
Lift sanctions and unfreeze assets

Advantages:  
Khatami – standard pre-elections version  
Caspian – lifting sanctions key to development in the Caspian; economic development stops civil war  
Iran's economy – lifting sanctions and unfreezing assets boosts Iran's economy

## UT Dallas

### **WAKE**

Texas - Dallas

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Texas-Dallas JK

vs.: West Georgia BS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Greene, R

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Plan change:

The USFG should adopt a policy of CE with the government of Iraq by immediately lifting all unilateral economic sanctions, ending all funding and support for Iraqi insurgent groups, ending its participation in enforcement of "no fly zones," announcing its refusal to use its veto power to prevent the lifting of UN sanctions except for the arms embargo and exchanging diplomats in order to open direct political ties.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Threat construction.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: UT - Dallas JK

vs.: Kansas State HW

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Gabrielle Prisco

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Threat Construction overview - Neg. sources all demonize Hussein

Capitalism - Turn/ Status Quo masks - we recognize individuals - cross-apply Chomsky

Evidence

- Perm - Rethink capitalism and lift sanctions

Shunning - Turn/ moral absolutism justifies holy wars

Turn/ sanctions increase poverty and repression

Human rights - Turn/ Iraq sanctions have caused the worst human rights violations of

This decade

- International community hates sanctions

Targeted Sanctions Counterplan:

- asset freezes cut off medical supplies
- financial sanctions = same devastating effects
- threat construction cross- applications

Texas - Dallas

School: U. Texas -Dallas  
Team(s): JK, SF  
Case: Iraq

Outline of IAC Plan and Cites:

Obs 1

The U.S. Government maintains severe sanctions against the people of Iraq in order to keep the threat in check, but this rhetoric is reminiscent of LAPD arguments during the Rodney King trial

International action center, 1999, [www.iacenter.org/san22699.htm](http://www.iacenter.org/san22699.htm)

Indeed sanctions are maintained through manipulation of the media

Denis Halliday, 1998, Current History pg66-7

Obs 2

Sanctions have been disastrous for Iraq- devastating the food supply, sanitation and healthcare

Buck, Gallant, and Nossal 98, Review of International Studies, January, p.78-9

In fact five to seven thousand children die every month  
Halliday, 98 The Journal of Palestine studies, Winter, p.30

Sanctions against Iraq are not simply another foreign policy tool-they are a weapon of state sanctioned mass murder

Chomsky, 1999, Sanctions ARE weapons of mass destruction,  
[www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html](http://www.suba.com/~solimine/nytad.html)

Plan

The US Federal government should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iraq by immediately lifting all unilateral US economic sanctions, ending all funding and support for Iraqi insurgent groups, ending participation in enforcement of "no fly zones", announcing its support for the removal of all UN sanctions excepting for the arms embargo, and attempting to normalize relations.

Obs 3.

The only way to solve is by lifting sanctions  
International action center, 1999

U.S. is the sole hold out to the U.N. vote  
Goshko January 15, 1999, The Washington Post, Section A, pg A24 Headline  
U.S. seeks to Alter Iraq for Oil for Food Program

Until now political obfuscation gross disinformation and dehumanization have been used to maintain the genocide

Davidsson, 1996, Elias, The economic sanctions against the Iraqi people, Consequences and legal findings, [leb.net/IAC/illegal.html](http://leb.net/IAC/illegal.html)

Such demonization of the enemy creates a self perpetuating dynamic making conflict inevitable

Kelman 1998, Political Science & Politics, June, p.190-198.

Government Fears of Saddam are unfounded and only exist to sell arms and maintain military budgets

Kathy Kelly, voices in the Wilderness, 1999 "Imagining Peaceful solutions"  
[www.nonviolence.org](http://www.nonviolence.org)

## ***UCO***

Texas - Dallas JK

Plan:

Advantages:   ß   ß   ß   Same as Texas Dallas SF

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

Texas - Dallas SF

Plan: The USFG should adopt a policy of CE w/ the Govt of Iraq by lifting all unilateral US economic Sx, ending funding & Support for Iraqi insurgent groups, ending participation in enforcement of "no fly zones" and announcing its support for the removal of all UN sanctions excepting for the arms embargo.

Advantages: Children Die.

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

UT-DALLAS VL

PLAN TEXT

- Remove all nonmilitary sanctions against Cuba and establish a system of constructive engagement to promote human rights, democracy and safety for the Cuban people.

1AC STRUCTURE/CITES

- Inherency
- Humanitarian disaster/food & medicine
- Juragua nuclear reactor
- Investment
- Exporting

ADVANTAGE AREAS

2AC RELEVANT TRICKS

## UT San Antonio

### ***BAYLOR***

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** UT San Antonio GM **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Baylor **Round 1**

**Judge** Stanfield

**Plan Text:**

Cuba

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Health, Transition

**Keola Whittaker/Brian Ward**

**Negative Round Report**

**Affirmative Team:** UT San Antonio MQ **Neg Team:** Whitman WW **Tournament** Baylor **Round 3**

**Judge** Woods

**Plan Text:**

Cuba

**IAC Outline/Cites:**

Health/transition

## Vermont

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

VERMONT MS

Plan: Lift sanctions, initiate a joint sustainable development project between the USDA and the Cuban Ministry of Agriculture.

Advantages: Food Security and Global Modeling.

Advocates: Chomsky, Aviva, Levins, Peter Rosset.

### ***WAKE***

Vermont

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Vermont SM

vs.: West Va BB

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Prisco

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

New Underview:

Constructing "underdeveloped countries" as the problem-state is a process of colonization and that we should question our own vote as over-developers. This is key to decolonizing our minds which is key to reconceptualizing development  
[Raff 96]

No alternative to market capitalism except to reject in favor of change - each moment key because with time change is more difficult  
[Dobkowski & Walliman 98]

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Globalization

- Cuba will maintain socialism-capitalism
- Delinking is not rolled back
- Government will always determine imports
- Cuban revolutionaries are adapting
- Cuba will keep socialism post plan
- Cuba won't bow to external pressure
- Cuba will act to prevent dependency

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

They pull the "Case solves extinction" as a trump card and "we discursively challenge neo-liberal oppression. Vote aff and side with the Cuban people"

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4



Team: Vermont MS

vs.: UNT HS

Case (country): Cuba

Judge: Krueger

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT: Tourism

1. Embargo forces dollarization, Howe, 1998, Z Magazine p. 32-35
2. Tourism won't crush the eco-system, Zunes, 1995, Seattle Times "behind a cane curtain, Cuba endures"
3. Must research sustainable agriculture, Soule, 1992 Farming in Nature's Image
4. Lifting the embargo is key

AT: Disciplinary Power

1. We claim that Cubans solve themselves
2. Permute, William E. Connolly, 1991, Identity Difference
3. Turn: Sanctions are a tool of US power
4. Stand in solidarity with Cuba, Chomsky and Preito, Peace Work, July/August 1998, p. 7-8
5. Our Discourse is Key

AT: CP: Lift sanctions gradually

1. Assumes democratic liberalization is good
2. CP can't solve decolonization
3. Step-by-step approaches will fail
4. Dispositionality Bad

AT: T - CE must be democracy promotion

1. Flawed definition
2. CE is reflexive, Vidanovich, 96
3. We meet - reflexivity
4. They overlimit
5. Best division of ground
6. Field contextual definitions are flawed

AT: Biotech

1. Impacts don't assume Cuba
2. Cuba is de-mystifying biotech, Zunes 1995

AT: Transition

1. Transition will be bloody without engagement
2. Assumes democracy promotion

Vermont

School: Vermont

Team(s): MS

Case: Iraq and Cuba

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Earlier plan: The united states federal government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq, including the lifting of all economic sanctions excluding export controls or high and dual use technology in exchange for renewed inspections.

Plan run at Harvard:

The USFG will immediately lift all or nearly all economic sanctions on the government of Cuba and institute a constructive engagement policy that will initiate a joint Sustainable Development research effort

between the US Department of Agriculture and the Cuban Agriculture Ministry. F&E through normal means. Aff speeches and cross ex will clarify.

O1 - SQ

Cuban has been forced into sustainable agriculture by the collapse of the Soviet Union and now struggles in its process b/c of the embargo. If it makes it Cuba will be the only example of a successful transition from markets to sustainability in the world.

John B. Cobb Jr., 1998, *The Coming of Scarcity: Preventing Mass Death and Genocide in the 21st century*, p 33-34

Cuba is being denied access in exchange programs by the US for fear that these people would make Cuba look too good.

Ann Radelat, 4-9-98, *Cubainfo*, Travel restrictions continue to plague US-Cuba exchanges, "Cubans were denied visas because they were"

Cuba rejects mentality between communism and democracy. Embargo won't solve.  
Seattle Times, 1-22-95 "Clinton seems to be forcing"

Lifting embargo is the best way for Cuba to develop the sustainable agriculture model  
Food First Action Alert, 1994, "We call upon the international", Peter Rosset and Shea Cunningham

O2 - Successful Cuban program offers the only hope of a global move to sustainability  
Must lift embargo for us to learn about sustainability  
Seattle Times, 1-22-95 "The real purpose of the travel" - Zunes 95

Without research, sustainable agriculture will not emerge  
Food for the Future: Conditions and Contradictions of Sustainability, 1993, p 312, Garth Youngberg

Public research must focus on it  
Beyond the Large Farm, Billie DeWalt, 1991, p 185

There is much to learn from the Cuban agricultural model  
Cuba Update, Winter 1997, Catherine Murphy

Foreign investment won't topple Cuban sustainable development  
Zunes 95

Cuban agricultural system has enormous potential to be a model for other nations suffering from problems with the classical model of agriculture  
Rosset and Cunningham, 1994

Embargo blocks Latin American switch to SA  
Granma International, May 30, 1999, "Committed to dealing with the food", Antonio Brizuelas Conversion from conventional ag to SA takes 3-5 years  
An organic coup in Cuba, Joel Simon, 1997, *Amicus Journal*

Sanctions creates pressures to override SA  
Cuba Update, February-March, 1993, No. 102, p 30, Richard Levins

Advantage  
Current model of agro-business destroys the ecosystem  
Monthly Review, Jul-Aug, 1998, p 60-61, Miguel Altieri

Current agricultural practices ensure a loss of genetic diversity  
The Last Harvest, Paul Raeburn 1995, p 239

Food security is necessary to avoid political turmoil and war

Zapata's Revenge: Free trade and the Farm Crisis in Mexico, Tom Barry, 1995, p 96

SA key to prevent extinction

Food for the Future, 1993, p ix

## ***UCO***

Vermont SM

Plan: USFG will immediately lift all economic sx on the gvt of Cuba and institute a CE policy that will initiate a joint sustainable development research effort between the US dept of Agriculture and the Cuban Agriculture Ministry.

Advantages: Food Crisis, Sustainable Agriculture.

1AC Cites:

Observation I - Embargo prevents transition to sustainable agriculture. -

Cobb 1998, Radelat 1998, Zures 1995, Kosset 1994

Observation II - Solvency lifting embargo key to making the transition to sustainable agriculture, Cuba can be a world model. - Zunes 1995, Youngberg 1993, Dewalt 1991, Murphy 1997, Rosset 1994, Brizuelas 1994, Simon 1997, Levins 1993.

Observation III - Advantage - Foodcrisis - Sustainable agriculture key to prevent loss of genetic diversity and inevitable starvation. Altieri 1998, Raeburn 1995, Barry 1995, Rosset 1999, Allen 1993, Doblowski and Willinan 1998.

2AC Tricks:

## ***SOUTH CAROLINA***

Vermont LW

USFG will immediately remove all unilateral economic sanctions towards Iran and Cuba, and adopt a policy of constructive engagement through multilateral frameworks. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Affirmative speeches will clarify intent.

Advantages: Cuba humanitarian, Iran dual containment fails, and Multilateralism.

Vermont DS/AF

USFG adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement including removal of all econ and diplomatic snx with the Republic of Iran we ask that the Iranian government allow and embassy to be set up in tehran as a diplomatic courtesy. Future assistance and assets will be asked for as quid pro all current export controls and gut on 2xs tech will remain in place

Advantages: Internal Iran politics, Prolif, US/Iran Relations

***UNI***

Vermont MS

Plan: The united states federal government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq, including the lifting of all economic sanctions excluding export controls or high and duel use technology in exchange for renewed inspections.

## Wake Forest

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

#### WAKE FOREST AS

Plan: Iran - Proliferation is inevitable; only boosting moderates solves.

Advantage: Lashout - Hardliners will lash out into the GCC states, propping up moderates solvency.

#### WAKE FOREST LS

Plan: Iran

Advantage I: Nuclear Proliferation

Advantage II: Isolation Induced

Advantage III: Oil Pipeline

Advantage IV: Property Rights Good

### ***USC***

USC

Wake Forest Iran 1AC

#### A. Proliferation

Sanctions don't effect the economy, oil price fluctuations do

Bremmer, Insight on the news, July 20, 1998

'Unlike 1951...to popular mobilization'

Sanctions don't stop arms

Cordesman, MEP, 6-99

(Iran's only increases in arms have happened since the sanctions—this evidence doesn't prove sanctions don't slow the rate of proliferation to a sustainable level, as Cordesman concludes)

Sanctions don't limit Iran's economy

Amuzegar, MEJ, Spring 1997

"Extensive Reports...industrial products"

Even if sanctions slow growth, they don't stop WMD

C & H 97, p. 114

More sanctions allow Iran to blame the US for its weakened oil and gas sector, causing nuclear power

Strengthening Khatami moderates Iran and solves, even with inevitable proliferation

Freidman, Denver Post, 6-10-98

"It's probably...Iran initiative" (also good)

Iran has CBW, delivery systems, 5 years to nuclearization

Paula DeSutter, 1997, [www.ndu.edu/ss\\_books\\_dajd\\_ch5.html](http://www.ndu.edu/ss_books_dajd_ch5.html)

"The Iraqi chemical . . . radiological units"

"by the end of the decade"

This evidence is now outdated, and it's speculative

Iran's economy is reviving

Sick, Survival, Spring 98

“It would also . . . not negative”

Engagement solves Iran’s fears, empowers moderates, and eliminates motive

Gerges, America & Political Islam, 1999, p. 138

A good piece of evidence: The decision to go nuclear could be reversed by the end of the US policy of isolation, victimization, and rogue treatment

Reformers won’t proliferate

Peter Jones, Nonproliferation Review, Fall 1998, p. 45

#### B. Regional Aggression

Resentment → Iranian Lashout

Paula DeSutter, 1997, [www.ndu.edu/ss\\_books\\_dajd\\_ch4.html](http://www.ndu.edu/ss_books_dajd_ch4.html)

(socioeconomic problems → regime collapse) Wait a second, didn’t they say sanctions don’t hurt Iran’s economy?

Iran wants membership in the WTO—that proves they’re willing to change

STRATFOR, web site, 12-2

The US must reciprocate to back Khatami

LAT 4-5-98

“The US response . . . to show for them”

Economic reform is key to reformer victories

Hindu 12-8-99

if conservatives retain clout, it’s the end for Khatami

#### A3: Terrorism

Hardliners Finance Hizbollah, destroying the Peace Process

Financial Times, 12-14-99

“The US has warned . . . Hizbollah would be disarmed”

The ununderlined portion of this card says that if the PP succeeds, Iran would stop funding Hizbollah

Even threatened usage → full scale ME War

Karp, WAQ, 95

“Aside from detonating . . . outright warfare”

Strengthening moderates stops Hizbollah

Jerusalem Post 1999

“syria . . . major blow”

We solve Iran’s motive to subvert the peace process

Fuller 98

Congress will adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the immediate removal of NAES with the government of Iran. Specifically, congress will repeal restrictions on Iran with respect to exports and re-exports of goods, services, and technology, export licensing, imports of goods and services, frozen assets, bank loans and financial transactions; risk insurance, credits, loans, and trade financing, investment and contributions of funds and assets; and travel. The USFG will offer to establish diplomatic relations, remove Iran from the terrorist list, and expand dialogue with the government of Iran.

#### Solvency:

Western Investment

STRATFOR 9-8-99

“Khatami has transformed . . . what he has promised”

(it doesn’t say it must be US investment)

Continued economic hardship closes Iran off

Chubin & Green 98

“deliver the goods economically”

Hardliners blame US sanctions for economic problems

Financial Times 10-18-99

“Iran’s spiritual leader . . . his conservative critics”

This evidence says US allegations of complicity bring Khatami closer to Khomeini to demonstrate he can stand up to US pressure, silencing conservative critics

Hardliners retain power in key institutions

Japan Times 11-4-99

Dialogue solves, sanctions provide ammunition for hardliners, lead to a clash of civilizations

Fawaz Gerges, 99

This evidence has a laundry list of areas where the US and Iran need to cooperate

Sabre Rattling Solvency

JIR 6-1-99

'Iran has . . . the Gulf'

Khatami 2AC

AFP, WAP, Business Week

Not Reciprocating Hurts Khatami

It doesn't assume US action, the plan has the US back down first, stopping backlash

No excuse a) riots b) too popular

Khatami can apply the breaks whenever

Sanctions = Anti-US rallying point

Chubin & Green

No Link: Iran's hardliners in some council have already approved increased relations with the US

NTR 2AC

1. Rel ED

2. Clinton Weak

3. Congress doesn't backlash against themselves

4. Clinton doesn't spend capital

5. 80% of the public supports the plan Howard News 8-15

6. Popularity is key to presidential agenda X

7. The oil lobby wants ILSA sanctions lifted

8. Lobbies are key to congressional attitudes X

9. Uniqueness Overwhelms the Link New Republic 12-99

10. Our interpretation of Fiat means that if you vote negative, the congress strikes down the plan, sucking up Clinton's capital and causing a hardline backlash. (hmm...)

The 1AR extends it with the arguments that this interpretation is most real world because legislators vote for or against bills, the aff is not responsible for political backlash arguments, the negative has no right to political backlash d.a.s, winners win, National Journal 99, Clinton spends capital in a losing vote

Wake Forest CL: Covey and Lotz

Plan: The Congress will adopt a policy of CE including the immediate

removal of nearly all economic sanctions on the government of Iran.

Specifically Congress will repeal restrictions on Iran with the respect to

exports and re-exports of goods, services and technology; export licensing;

imports of goods and services, frozen assets, bank loans and financial

transactions; risk insurance, credits, loans and trade financing; investment

and contributions of funds and assets; and travel. USFG will offer to

establish diplomatic relations, remove Iran from the terrorist list and

expand dialogue with the government of Iran.

Advantages:

Prolif

Encirclement

Terrorism

**HARVARD**

Tournament: Harvard

Judge: Jason Jarvis

Wake AP v. Jess/Charles

Plan:

The USFG will adopt a policy of CE with the government of Cuba, including the immediate removal of all economic sanctions that restrict trade with, investment in and travel to Cuba and the invitation of negotiations concerning property restitution. We'll clarify.

Advantages

Health (about 6 cards)

Species

Coercion (the embargo violates freedom...freedom is good)

2AC answers

WTO Bad

--no internal link that congress is key

--foreign appropriations bill vote proves no link

--not logical decision-making

--fiat double-bind. Congress won't backlash

--chinese wto will pass in the status quo <financial times>

--the plan saps capital <houston chronicle>

**UNI**

Wake Forest FL

Plan: The United States will remove all unilateral sanctions on Iraq.

Advantages: Humanitarian Suffering

Sanctions immoral

Suffering destroys Middle East stability

Solvency: Evidence is from the Toronto Star, 8-29-99

Note: They place an emphasis on upholding the moral order.

[www.proactivist.com](http://www.proactivist.com)

>>>Wake (Fillstrup and Lotz):

>>>

>>>Plan: USFG will adopt a policy including immediate removal of economic

>>>sanctions against Iraq

>>>

>>>SQ=genocide -- Chomsky;

>>>[www.proactivist.com/opinion/irac\\_calltoaction.html](http://www.proactivist.com/opinion/irac_calltoaction.html)

>>>

>>>Haaroon 9/12/99; Toronto Star; "genocidal economic sanctions"

>>>

>>>impx: Clark 8/13/99; [www.iacenter.org/fireice.html](http://www.iacenter.org/fireice.html)

>>>

>>>San Jose MErcury News 8/2/99;

>>>[www.mercurycenter.com/premium/opinion/columns/011690.htm](http://www.mercurycenter.com/premium/opinion/columns/011690.htm)

>>>

>>>[www.cc.columbia.edu/sec/dlc/ciao/olj/wa/wa\\_99thr01.html](http://www.cc.columbia.edu/sec/dlc/ciao/olj/wa/wa_99thr01.html)



>>>  
>>>Normand 10/15/98;  
>>>[www.foreignpolicy-infocus.org/progresp/vol2/prog2n32.html](http://www.foreignpolicy-infocus.org/progresp/vol2/prog2n32.html)  
>>>  
>>>more Gordon and Gordon schnaw...  
>>>  
>>>justice outweighs all decisions  
>>>  
>>>suffering = civil wars -- Kubba '94; Iraq Since the Gulf War  
>>>  
>>>McKinney 9/14/99; [www.commondreams.org](http://www.commondreams.org)  
>>>  
>>>Toronto Star 8/29/99; "maintaining sanctions will not"  
>>>  
>>>UPI 9/12/99; "Aziz has said his country will reject"  
>>>

#### Wake Forest AC

Plan: The United States congress will remove all economic sanctions against Cuba that prevent trade between businesses and Cuba; constructively engage Cuba concerning the restitution of U.S. residents property, funding and enforcement through normal means, we will clarify.

Observation one: Free association

Property Rights key to all rights

Observation two: solvency

-lifting sanctions will result in property restitution

Under-view:

-each violation of liberty bad

-utilitarianism fails

-rights can not be overridden by other concerns

## Wayne State

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

WAYNE STATE BW

Plan: Syria-Lift sanctions on Syria, offer aid, PKO's

Advantage: Middle East War Advantage evidence from '94

### ***WAKE***

Wayne State

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Wayne State GH

vs.: Louisville RW

Case (country): North Korea

Judge: Segal

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement towards the DPRK:

- Immediate removal of all sanctions toward North Korea
- Guarantee our commitment to the agreed framework
- Negotiate a conditional aid package per Plunk's recommendations
- Accept North Korea's 1998 peace agreement
- Establish a "Peace Corps" like program
- Create a special envoy to oversee and administer our policy

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: Wayne St BW

vs.: MTSU GW

Case (country): Syria

Judge: Mahoney

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

In an effort to promote and encourage a peace agreement between Israel and Syria the USFG will initiate a policy of constructive engagement with Syria including but not limited to taking Syria off the list of terrorist sponsoring nations in order to lift at least nearly all economic sanctions. Applications of any other relevant conflicting laws towards this end will be removed. Enforcement through sufficient and necessary means. Aff speeches will clarify intent.

Contention1

May open a more formal negotiation X

Unfort 2 sides can't settle on agenda X '99

Even if more formal negot resume settlement not ensured XJerusalem Post '99

Both parties recog us partic is criti but sanctions undermine objectivity X '97

Contention 2

A. Miscalc makes a war more likely Xjerusalem post

= less secure form an anti-Israeli coalition xJanes Intelligence Review

fear and frustration might lead to war but if not miscalc will Xaaron '94

B. War will spin out of control

Any mid east war will escalateX

Conflict would use WMD XKramer '94

Uncontrolled use of wmd in a regional coflict would be an unmitigated disaster XSheiman '92

Plan

Contention 3 Solvency

6 ways it promotes peace xJerusalem Post '94

now is a key time for negot xBlanche '99

U.S. effect depends on U.S. even handedness lifting sanctions would do that XMiddle East Mirror

Israel willing to negot details of surrendering Golan X

Recognize protect Golan = weaker XHaifa

Lost advantage of early warning" Govt configuration "

Reinvigorated peace process opens door to arms control X '94

Peace between Israel and Syria stabilize entire mid east X '95

Syria withdrew aid to Hezbollah X

Syrian and Israel peace creates a slope to mid east peace

XJP '99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Counterplan

Perm - do the counterplan

Not solve case no const engagement

No adv to cp it gets das

Conditionality Illegit

Mtarget

No nb

No neg fiat aff fiat = should

Sanctions have shot our cred X

Lifting sanctions restores lship X

Us lship crucial to prevent aggesion and war X

Markets

No link trade now

n-u nwar sq

no internal link how plan = inflation?

no meetings until feb 2000

n-u just raised and will be again

not effected by sanction

no thresh

depression not = war

Depression not = war XDudley

Y2K will cause recession X

Its all perceptual y2k will trigger

GORE

No impact

No il how gore = kyoto passed

Turns n-u

Kill gores capital not get it passed X

No ev he will win

NEOLIBER

No scen

No impact

No links

Wayne State

School: Wayne State

Team(s): All

Case: Cuba and North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Do constructive engagement with Cuba

NK: lift the trading with the enemy act and terrorist state act and indefinite food aid.

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

AT: ban IMF and food aid

Plan inclusive counterplans bad

Dispositionality bad

About a million consequentialism bad arguments including the veil of ignorance argument...

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

Kick out of everything and go for consequentialism bad

Wayne State

School: Wayne State

Team(s): All

Case: North Korea

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

I. we're inherent, you're not unique!

bbc 9 25 99

talks leave room for improvement

me mirror 9 24 99

haven't negotiated more formal

bbc 7 22 97

sanctions prevent trust

II. harms-pp

Jersulam post 6 13 97

assad frustrated

jane def. weekly mar 10

syria fear US

Eron 94  
miscal will cause war

Shalev 94  
total war

krammer 94  
conflict will use WMD

scheinman 92  
unmitigated human tragedy

to promote the pp...taking syria off the terrorist list to lift n/a econ SX.  
ap of any other conflicting SX will be removed ..enforce and fund through  
normal...we will clarify if you ask nicely.

III. solvency

Jersulam p. nov 7 94  
remove terro. list key

blanche 99  
now key time

la times aug 30 99  
US key

me mirror april 8 99  
must lift sanctions to solve pp

yaniv 84  
golan hurts strategic depth.

interna. def review 94  
solve prolif and arms race

moaz 95  
stabalize the entire me

### ***KINGS***

Wayne State University EW  
Plan: Syria

Adv: conflict, proliferation

Wayne State University MJ  
Plan: Iraq (same)

Adv: destruction of Iraqi society (?)

### ***UNI***

Wayne State BG

Plan: The United States Government will initiate a policy of constructive engagement with Cuba including the removal of at least nearly all economic sanctions that prevent private sector engagement in Cuba. Necessary and Sufficient enforcement and funding will be guaranteed.

Advantage one: The destruction of Cuban society  
Sanctions will kill the economy, resulting in mass poverty

Advantage two: Civil War ? sanctions preclude a peaceful transition

Wayne State HS

Plan: Through all necessary normal means the Federal Government will immediately lift all sanctions towards North Korea as imposed by the TWEA and the \_\_\_\_\_ list. As a good faith gesture aimed at ending the North Korean missile program, the United States will also provide unilateral food aid to North Korea as needed. We reserve the right to clarify.

Observation one: Yesterday's deal is not complete

- the deal can be rescinded

Sanger 1999

- [second argument unclear]

Lee 1999

Observation two: Three scenarios

1. Japan/Korea war with rocket tests resulting in a preemptive strike (by whom is unclear)

2. Famine^A claiming that the instability that results is bad

3. Something to do with the middle east and missile technology.

Observation three: Solvency

- engagement solves

Wright 1999

- economic engagement decreases instability

- ?

- ?

Notes: They claims something about Clinton's ability to disguise the Korea

settlement as food aid^A and that china will like that.

They claim that both Japan and China want peace in the Koreas, that China supports United States efforts to head off any further decline within North Korea, and that China wants to avoid hostilities.

In response to Statism, they emphasize the importance of feeding people as well as that sanctions are an act of war, and that Utilitarianism is bad.

Wayne State GO

Plan: The United States federal government will constructively engage Syria to promote a peace agreement between Israel and Syria. Specific mandates include, but are not limited to the removal of Syria from the list of terrorist sponsoring nations, encouragement and support in the peace

process.

Scenario one: Peace process good

- no peace process = war

- miscalculation / Syria attack

- war bad^A weapons of mass destruction will be used

Solvency: Engagement with Syria (removal from the terrorist list) =  
successful

peace negotiation

## Weber State

### ***WILLIAM JEWELL***

WEBER STATE MW

Plan: Iran

Advantages: Iranian Stability, Economy.

Advocate: Chubin 1998.

### ***WAKE***

Weber State

School: Weber State

Team(s): ZQ

Case: Iran

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan Text:

The United States Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement, including the removal of nearly all economic and diplomatic sanctions with the nation-state of Iran. We will ask that the Iranian government allow the U.S. to re-establish an Embassy in Tehran as a diplomatic courtesy. Future assistance and all frozen assets will be discussed on a quid pro quo basis. All current export controls on dual use and military technologies will remain in place. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. We reserve the right to clarify intent.

Case Outline:

OB I: Inherency

1.) The U.S. has given exemptions to sanctions on Iran in the past.

Unfortunately, these were only given on a case by case basis.

Journal of Commerce 7/30/99 "Wise Exemptions" LN

2.) The U.S. must provide concrete actions towards Iran if US/Iran relations are to exist

Cadiot 10/17/99 Jean-Michel Agence France Presse "Iran Roundly Rejects US Calls for Dialogue" LN

ADV I: Relations

1.) Both the US and Iran want improved relations but the ritual of imposing sanctions every year prevents this

Gary Sick 3/28/99 Washington Post "A Look at...the Iranian Stalemate: They're Changing, Why Can't We?"

2.) The U.S. must improve relations with Iran NOW or face greater threats to regional stability in the future

Howard Teicher 3/1/99 LA Times "Facing Down Dictators;..."



ADV II: Iranian Moderates

- 1.) A struggle for control of the government is under way in Iran between moderates and conservatives  
Rupert Cornwell 8/5/99 The Independent "Iranian Leaders Suspend Khatami's Ally"
- 2.) Khatami must show tangible benefits to gain control of the parliament in the upcoming February elections  
Financial Times 9/23/99
- 3.) Iranian proliferation is inevitable. Whoever controls the government controls the trigger  
Thomas Friedman 6/10/99 Denver Post "Iran: The Next Nuclear Domino"
- 4.) Conservative control of Iranian nuclear weapons will prompt an Israeli nuclear first strike  
Louis Rene Beres Summer 1999 Connecticut Journal of Law "Israel After Fifty:..."

ADV III: Iranian economy

- 1.) The Iranian economy is in shambles-unemployment and inflation will worsen in the status quo  
Prescott Wurlitzer 7/18/99 Milwaukee Journal Sentinel "Iran is at a Crossroads, 20 Years After its Revolution"
- 2.) Sanctions block U.S. investment in the Iranian oil market  
Agence France Presse 8/8/99 "Iran Development Projects not Getting State Funds"
- 3.) A collapse of the Iranian economy increases regional aggression, nationalism, authoritarian rule and social repression  
Anthony Cordesman 1999 Iran's Military Forces in Transition pg.1

OB II: Solvency

- 1.) The U.S. must act now to secure Khatami's power in Iran. Doing so solves Israeli fears about Iranian aggression, decreases ties to Syria and North Korea and will end the Hizbullah guerrillas  
Gerald Steinberg 7/16/99 Jerusalem Post
- 2.) The U.S. must lift sanctions on Iran which will increase Khatami's popular support and allow him to fight conservatives for control of the government  
Shireen Hunter The Washington Quarterly Autumn 1998 v.21 n.4 "Is Iranian Perestroika Possible Without Change?" pg. 23
- 3.) Conservatives will complain no matter what the U.S. does. The best choice is for the U.S. to engage Iran and the Khatami moderates  
Bruce Laingen 7/22/99 FDHC Political Transcripts Senate Foreign Relations Sub-Committee
- 4.) Lifting US sanctions will jump start the Iranian economy and accelerate internal reforms  
Murray Eiland III October 1998 Middle East Policy v.6 n.2 pg. 137 "Mixed

Messages and Carpet Diplomacy"

***LEWIS & CLARK***

Weber WZ vs. Whitman CG

The US will adopt a policy of CE w/ the gov. of Iran, including the removal of nearly all economic sanctions and diplomatic sanctions. We will ask that the Iranian gov. allow the US to reestablish an Embassy in Tehran as a diplomatic courtesy. Future assistance and all frozen assests will be discussed on quad pro bases. All current exports controls and sanctions on dual use technology will remain in place. relations- kaht- economy

shunning- we reverse hr violations, conserv=bad, adv 3, not solve/fail, better means to solve, w/o cp bad, increase relations

mexico-sanct. decrease now, n/u us and Iran relations now, oil for food increase, n/u prices drop, short term drops.

## West Georgia

### ***USC***

West Georgia BS: Battistella and Smith

Plan: USFG should adopt a policy of CE with Iraq including immediate cessation of all military aggression and immediate removal of all economic sanctions. A special envoy for Iraqi affairs will be appointed to constructively engage Iraq on removal of artillery deployed during war time.

Advantages

Humanitarian stuff - death is bad

Warrism bad - Hellman, Lockham

### ***WAKE***

West Georgia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round:6

Team: West Georgia EG

vs.: Kansas EM

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Brovero

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

Observation One: INH SQ Quagmire

US policy towards Iraq is failing in all aspects.

Gause Foreign Affairs May '99

Inspections have ceased, coalitions have crumbled and Saddam gains power. Disaster awaits the inevitable confrontation. Ritter, EndGame 1999 pg 28-9

Obv Two: Sanctions of Mass Destruction

Piecemeal aid efforts will not alleviate the systematic harms of US sanctions policy Gause '99

Racist demonization of Iraqi leadership is used to justify murderous sanctions

Moorehead 1998(Monica, Challenge to Genocide: Let Iraq live. Ramsey Clark ed.

A gendered examination of sanctions further invalidates US policy

Buck, Gaulant, and Nossal, '98 (Lori, Nicole, and Kim "Sanctions as a gendered instrument of statecraft: the case of Iraq" Review of International Studies January, p83-4

Support for the SQ is an act of Mass murder

Chomsky 4/99

Observation Three:

A leadership transition is inevitable and regional instability likely  
Deutsche Press - Agentur reported on 1-29-99  
"alienation of the iraqi population"

Current US policy causes Iraqi revanchism and regional war  
Cordesman 1997 pg 158-160

This instability risks WWII Bangkok Post warned on 12/19/98  
"World War three"

A change in policy necessary to stabilize the transition and avert another war  
Cordesman '97 pg 34-5

Observation Four: Endgame

Initially, the US should adopt a policy of Constructive engagement  
Ritter, End Game , 1999, p197-8

Plan uniquely revitalizes US diplomatic influence  
Ritter, End Game, 1999, p209-210

Plan alleviates the harms of sanctions while not risking military appeasement  
Gause '99

Plan smooths the transition  
Clawson, FNS, 1/29/99 "Iraq is likely to be on that would reject decisively the policies

Lift solves comprehensively  
Gause '99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Clinton WTO answers:

Turn: The media trap

a.) political news as argument is flawed and factually drowns out debate

prof Bennett, 1995, News: The politics of Illusion. P158

b.) Acceptance of fantastic political scenarios perpetuates SQ harms

Bennett, 95, pg. unknown

c.) Negative entrenches case harms and creates self fulfilling prophecy w/Disad

Bennett, 95, 80-1

T Plan doesn't immediately lift

1. we meet plan doesn't phase out sanctions

2. Counter interp - Immediate excludes phased in removal

a.) meets neg definition - It means "not separated" Websters NewWorld 1987

b.) Field Context - Neg interp flawed. All engagement policies are conditioned on acceptance by each of the state actors aff is consistent with this fact

c.) Ground - aff interp divides it - Neg. can CP with an offer of removal in stages. Neg interp o/l there are not even solvency authors for each country

3. Neg Interp flawed - Sanctions removal is a subcomponent of CE. Every reference to engagement assumes diplomatic negotiations. The conditions in plan are part of engagement. Removal of sanctions follows this negotiation.

4. Contextual evidence proves T

a.) Solvency authors advocate resolution

Ritter, End Game, p211-3

b.) Independent warrant for topicality: Contextuality checks abuse regardless of semantic bickering  
contextuality solvency evidence creates predictable limits

5. No Impact - The topic is already constrained without neg interp. The limited solvency advocates in the literature, and the checks provided by other words in topic and the fact that no north korea case may even be possible means aff should be required only to provide a reasonable interp. We did
6. Constructive Engagement is reciprocal it must let the US engagement with them, proving all affs are conditional.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 8  
Team: West Ga SH  
vs.: Northwestern PS  
Case (country): Iraq  
Judge: Lundy

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Ran gender trivialization against shun Saddam because he promotes the rape of women and went for the impact to this trivialization outweighs the impact to topicality and agent specification

West Georgia

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1  
Team: West Georgia EG  
vs.: Dallas-Texas FS  
Case (country): Iraq  
Judge: Ellis  
2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
AT Dedevelopment  
Pre-occupation with abstract philosophy leads to paralysis and prevents solving problems  
Val clav Havel June 1965 ("On Evasive Thinking" in Open letters)

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

They go for the Havel evidence as a net benefit to the permutation and a turn to dedevelopment and individual change is key.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 2  
Team: West Georgia HS  
vs.: Texas DM  
Case (country): Iraq  
Judge: Ayotte  
Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)  
Other team's case, listed in casebook.

Monica Moorehead, Challenge to Genocide, 98  
Marshall, News Straight Times, 3-17-97  
Luckham, Alternatives, Summer 84  
Chomsky

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)  
Feminist kritik of language vs. Heidegger

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 3

Team: West Georgia HS

vs.: Samford BJ

Case: Iraq

Judge: Bruschke, Jon-Fullerton

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Topicality

1. Their evidence = continuation of political and economic ties can't CE while bombing
2. No reason CE can't mean increased ties landmines
3. That doesn't matter in debate
4. No abuse
5. Lit checks abuse
6. No abuse on xtra topicality-why uniquely abusive
7. No FIAT UN action
8. Interpretation doesn't make sense
9. Silence is complicity-this issue silences us

Case Cards:

Iraq not manipulating food distribution

Independence 2-28-99

US fault food not distributed--BBC 5-98

CP

1. Dispositionality bad-argue against self
2. PICs bad-steals CP ground argue against self
3. Only one with stable advocacy
4. We straight turn-non-violence key, bombing = racism demon
5. CP endangers Kurds 95
6. No fly zones kill civilians there 99
7. No reason CP can solve
8. Morality outweighs
9. Demonizing Saddam = tool of global imperialism 98
10. CP demonization of Saddam, double-speak,
11. Marshall evidence-kill people, techno strategic discourse
12. legitimize war machine 97
13. vote on Chomsky because the CP = more deaths
14. CP also gets Disad
15. no net benefit
16. Performative Contradiction with the Kritik/Disad

Neo-Colonialism

1. No reason targeting states happens now
2. Current us policy on Iraq dictated by MNCs Woolfe 98
3. No link-non-economic sanctions = give aid heritage foundation 99
4. Our policy = demonization, racist, reject it Gordon Gordon 99
5. US policy against Saddam = racist
6. More evidence-Iraqis die always reject
7. Use of sanctions allows policymakers to avoid responsibility-Sharpe 93
8. Case outweighs
9. Little risk = no risk-Rescher
10. No link to discourse impact
11. We don't advocate

12. If you vote for discourse = embrace protest of 1AC

West Georgia

School: West Georgia

Team(s): EG

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

The US will adopt a policy of constructive engagement toward Iraq. A special envoy for Iraqi affairs will be appointed to begin direct diplomacy, including an offer from the US to suspend bombing and employ all necessary political and diplomatic means to end all economic sanctions (as advocated by solvency authors Ritter and Gause) against Iraq, except those precluding the acquisition of military equipment and technology reasonably interpreted as dual-use, in exchange for a renunciation of its WMD programs and cooperation with renewed inspections to verify compliance by the Iraqi government. Aff reserves intent.

They run Iraq with a lashout

School: West Georgia

Team(s): SH, BS, EK

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Plan:

the usfg should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with iraq, including immediate cessation of military aggression and immediate removal of all economic sanctions. a special envoy for iraqi affiars will be appointed to constructively engage iraq on the removal of artillery deployed. aff reserves clarification rights.

We present one observation - end the war on Iraq!

The United States Employs both military and economic weaponry in a sustained bombardment of the Iraqi population

Rockwell, <http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/Senate/789/rockwell.iraq.html>, August 27, 1999

Demonizing Saddam is just a racist tool used to justify mass murder

Moorehead, Challenge to Genocide: Let Iraq Live, 1998

Technostrategic discourse of policy consequences legitimizes militarism and masks the irrationality of war  
Luckham, Alternatives, Summer 1984

The modern technological bombardment of Iraq sanitizes the war by creating a psychic distance between victims and agressors bolstered by Racist propaganda - this distancing insulates public consciousness and allows the war to become even more devastating

Marshall, New Straits Times, March 17, 1997

The US Goal of Replacing Saddam, though unrealistic, would only further the destruction of Iraq. Claims of Altruism masks the reality of brutality and extermination

Said, [www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/7891/said\\_iraq\\_attrition.html](http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/7891/said_iraq_attrition.html)] february 1999

The Cumulative US Goal is to control Iraqi resources through a Bloody puppet dictator. Imperialist demonization of the Iraqi government plays a vital role in the US Plan. We must reject both this vile policy and the propaganda used to justify it. We must demand the war on Iraq end  
Sloan, www.workers.org, September 30, 1999

The mentality of war must be rejected to avoid inevitable nuclear conflict  
Bellman, Breakthrough, 1988

Sanctions and bombings against Iraq perpetuate the military industrial complex. They must need  
Dear, 3-1-99, Tikkun, lexis

The implications are discursive and paramount. We must expose the horrors and hypocrisy of sanctions to force change. This is the first step  
Chomsky, Herman, Said, and Zinn

This isn't just war - it's a mass slaughter. Silence is complicity  
Chomsky, Canadian Business and Current Affairs, 4/99

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

BS-

Topicality

1. w/m - cross ex clarifies we do diplomatic negotiations
  2. Counterinterpretation - just lifting sanctions
  3. Must include lifting sanctions
  4. CP doesn't link to the NB
  5. Best interpretation
    - a) ground
    - b) education
- best interpretation for resolutional context

HS-

AT: t- must lift more than sanctions

1. we meet def of policy
2. we meet - w/ iraq - not extra topical
3. prior warning
4. we stop bombings
5. not grammatical
6. our advocacy outweighs
7. neg = orwellian double-speak
8. do everything we can - not require more action
9. we meet - leads to future action - cannot build a house w/o foundation
10. 5 cases = good limit

AT : Clinton

1. no evidence blamed for plan
2. CTBT = huge defeat - Washington Times 99
3. Turn - flawed, draws out debate and entrenches case harms (political spectacle kritik)
4. Case o/w's
5. Morally repugnant

AT : Israel



1. turn - biases gulf against Iraq
  2. no link
  3. no internal link
  4. turn - peace process legitimizes
  5. turn - rhetoric locks us into conflict
  6. double standard
- peace process bad

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

HS-

They go for demonization turns almost entirely

You should read that card from the kritiks frontline that says voting on discursive implications is bad (marginalizes groups) in the block - they won't go for discourse key in 2AR. (we read it on israel)

BS-

1. use rescher cards to weigh against DA's and Topicality
  2. they weigh t like a DA, so that case can outweigh
    - a. using definition of constructive engagement = allows government to define words, reject statist power
    - b. focusing on semantics = nit picking and destroys true focus of debate-the negative ground is less important than the ground of Iraqis
- if you run a topical counterplan and t, they will kick the 1ac and advocate the topical cp to prove the resolution is true

School: West Virginia

Team(s): BB, WM

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation I: Even after nine years the United States continues to endorse a bankrupt policy towards Iraq.

A. Initially we recognize US sanction policy fails to achieve its objectives and actually increases Saddam Husseins power.  
Neta Crawford, Professor of Political Science at UMass, Broad sanctions against Iraq have failed; let's try a smarter approach, The Boston Globe, January 28, 1999.

B. Saddam manipulates sanction policy to convince his country that the US is the enemy.Scott Riter, Former Chief Inspector of UNSCOM, Endgame pg 15, 1999

C. Saddam diverts revenues from Oil for food and other humanitarian exemptions to increases his regimes domination.  
John Lancaster, writer, US Says Saddam Diverts Aid; Report Aims to Bolster Sanctions The Washington Post, DJ interactive, September 14, 1999.

D. US stands committed to its current policy until Iraq makes concessions.  
Oxford Analytica, Iraq Policy, Reuters English News Service, DJ interactive, Aug 19, 1999.

Observation II: A War against Iraq and its children

A. Sanctions policy have only resulted in a human tragedy of the greatest proportion. Malnutrition, starvation, and disease have taken its

toll on the population. The survival of the Iraqi people is a stake.  
David Cortright and George Lopez, research fellow at Joan B Kroc institution  
And professor of international relations and peace studies at Notre Dame Univ,  
Are Sanctions Just? The Problematic Case of Iraq ? Journal of International  
Affairs, vol 52 no. 2, spring 1999

B. Over 1 million have already died under the embargo. How many more must  
perish?

James Martone, reporter, Iraq condemns embargo on 9th Anniversary of  
Sanctions www.cnn.com Aug 6, 1999

C. 150 little Iraqi children die each day sanctions remain in place.  
Michael Powell, staff writer EX-UN Worker Details Harm to Iraqi Children, The  
Deaths He Cannot Sanction The Washington Post pg E01, December 17, 1998.

D. Sanctions have allowed the death of more Iraqis than all weapons of  
mass destruction used throughout the history of the world.  
Mueller & Mueller, Professors of Comparative Military Studies and Political  
Science, Sanctions of Mass Destruction Foreign Affairs, May/June 1999

E. The United States has a moral imperative to reverse the harmful  
effects of its policy. Thomas Nagel, Prof of Philosophy Princeton Univ, The Possibility of Altruism, p  
127-129, 1970

PLAN: The United States Federal Government will constructively  
engage Iraq by immediately removing all economic sanctions as well as  
sponsoring the removal of UN sanctions and the suspension of Iraqâ (tm)s  
contribution to the gulf war fund. Funding and enforcement through normal means.  
Affirmative reserves the right to define and clarify its intent.

The Advantage: A stable, post-Saddam era for Iraq.

A. US sanctions prevent an overthrow of Saddam Hussein.  
F. Gregory III Gause, Associate Professor of Political Science Univ.of  
Vermont, Getting It Backward on Iraq, Foreign Affairs p54, May 1999

B. Lifting sanctions provides for an overthrow.  
Patrick Clawson director of research of the Washington institute for near  
east policy, Symposium: After Saddam, what then for Iraq?â ? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb  
1999

C. Iraqi oppositional forces could set in motion a large scale revolution.  
Patrick Clawson director of research of the Washington institute for near  
east policy, Stealth Bombing, The New Republic, DJ Interactive, Sept 6, 1999.

D. The post-Saddam Iraq regime will bring stability and legitimacy to  
the new government. Franke, executive director of the Iraq foundation, Symposium: After Saddam, What  
then for Iraq? Middle East Policy, vol. 6 no. 3, Feb 1999

E. A post-Saddam Iraq is a more stable Iraq  
Laipson, Clawson, Parasiliti, and Franke, vice chairman of the national  
Intelligence council, director of research Washington inst. for far east policy, director  
of programs of the middle east institute, executive director of Iraq foundation, Symposium: After Saddam,  
what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

F. A post-Saddam era would bring stability to the region

Clawson director of research of the Washington institute for near east policy, Symposium: After Saddam, what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

Observation III: Solvency

A. Lifting Sanctions with Constructive engagement kills Saddam's rallying point and forces him to make changes.

F. Gregory III Gause, Associate Professor of Political Science Univ. of Vermont, Getting It Backward on Iraq, Foreign Affairs p54, May 1999

B. A policy of constructive engagement geared toward normalizing relations opens the eyes of the Iraqi people to the outside world and decreases Saddam's grip over the country.

Jenkins, staff writer, if not war, then what? The Times, Feb 18, 1998

C. Even with Saddam still in power there will be immediate and appreciable increases in the economy to help alleviate the suffering of the Iraqi people.

Laipson, Clawson, Parasiliti, and Franke, vice chairman of the national Intelligence council, director of research Washington inst. for far east policy, director of programs of the middle east institute, executive director of Iraq foundation, Symposium: After Saddam, what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

D. The US requesting the UN to suspend Iraq's contribution to the Gulf War compensation fund is a good gesture by the West and will help Iraq rebuild. F. Gregory III Gause, Associate Professor of Political Science Univ. of Vermont, Getting It Backward on Iraq, Foreign Affairs p54, May 1999

E. Lifting sanctions fosters political openness with the rest of the world.

Stephen Zunes, Assist Professor of Politics & Chair of the Peace and Justice Studies program at the University of San Francisco, Confrontation with Iraq: A Bankrupt US policy Middle East Policy, vol VI no. 1, June 1998

F. Remove the sanctions now and a democratic, more peaceful Iraq will succeed.

Dennis Halliday, Former UN Associate Secretary General, American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee Foreign Policy Symposium Federal News Service -lexis, December 29, 1998

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Prolif Frontlines

Advantage Regime Change Frontlines

Morality Arguments (consequentialism bad)

***SOUTH CAROLINA***

West Georgia HS

The USFG should adopt a policy of Constructive Engagement with Iraq including the immediate cessation of all plans to bomb Iraq and immediate lift of all economic sanctions while continuing an embargo of arms transfers and sales to Iraq. A special envoy for Iraq affairs will be appointed to begin direct diplomacy including the employment of necessary diplomatic means to end all economic sanctions on Iraq. We will clarify.

Advantages: Sanctions= death, Nonviolence

West Georgia SB

The US should adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq, including the immediate cessation of Military aggression. The immediate removal of all Us sanctions, and employment of necessary diplomtic means to end all economic sanctions of Iraq.

## West Virginia

### **WAKE**

West Virginia

School: West Virginia

Team(s): BB, WM

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

Observation I: Even after nine years the United States continues to endorse a bankrupt policy towards Iraq.

A. Initially we recognize US sanction policy fails to achieve its objectives and actually increases Saddam Husseins power.  
Neta Crawford, Professor of Political Science at UMass, Broad sanctions against Iraq have failed; let's try a smarter approach, The Boston Globe, January 28, 1999.

B. Saddam manipulates sanction policy to convince his country that the US is the enemy.Scott Riter, Former Chief Inspector of UNSCOM, Endgame pg 15, 1999

C. Saddam diverts revenues from Oil for food and other humanitarian exemptions to increases his regimes domination.  
John Lancaster, writer, US Says Saddam Diverts Aid; Report Aims to Bolster Sanctions The Washington Post, DJ interactive, September 14, 1999.

D. US stands committed to its current policy until Iraq makes concessions.  
Oxford Analytica, Iraq Policy, Reuters English News Service, DJ interactive, Aug 19, 1999.

Observation II: A War against Iraq and its children

A. Sanctions policy have only resulted in a human tragedy of the greatest proportion. Malnutrition, starvation, and disease have taken its toll on the population. The survival of the Iraqi people is a stake.  
David Cortright and George Lopez, research fellow at Joan B Kroc institution And professor of international relations and peace studies at Notre Dame Univ, Are Sanctions Just? The Problematic Case of Iraqâ ? Journal of International Affairs, vol 52 no. 2, spring 1999

B. Over 1 million have already died under the embargo. How many more must perish?  
James Martone, reporter, Iraq condemns embargo on 9th Anniversary of Sanctions www.cnn.com Aug 6, 1999

C. 150 little Iraqi children die each day sanctions remain in place.  
Michael Powell, staff writer EX-UN Worker Details Harm to Iraqi Children, The Deaths He Cannot Sanction The Washington Post pg E01, December 17, 1998.

D. Sanctions have allowed the death of more Iraqis than all weapons of mass destruction used throughout the history of the world.

Mueller & Mueller, Professors of Comparative Military Studies and Political Science, Sanctions of Mass Destruction Foreign Affairs, May/June 1999

E. The United States has a moral imperative to reverse the harmful effects of its policy. Thomas Nagel, Prof of Philosophy Princeton Univ, The Possibility of Altruism, p 127-129, 1970

PLAN: The United States Federal Government will constructively engage Iraq by immediately removing all economic sanctions as well as sponsoring the removal of UN sanctions and the suspension of Iraq's contribution to the gulf war fund. Funding and enforcement through normal means. Affirmative reserves the right to define and clarify its intent.

The Advantage: A stable, post-Saddam era for Iraq.

A. US sanctions prevent an overthrow of Saddam Hussein.

F. Gregory III Gause, Associate Professor of Political Science Univ. of Vermont, Getting It Backward on Iraq, Foreign Affairs p54, May 1999

B. Lifting sanctions provides for an overthrow.

Patrick Clawson director of research of the Washington institute for near east policy, Symposium: After Saddam, what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

C. Iraqi oppositional forces could set in motion a large scale revolution.

Patrick Clawson director of research of the Washington institute for near east policy, Stealth Bombing, The New Republic, DJ Interactive, Sept 6, 1999.

D. The post-Saddam Iraq regime will bring stability and legitimacy to the new government. Franke, executive director of the Iraq foundation, Symposium: After Saddam, What then for Iraq? Middle East Policy, vol. 6 no. 3, Feb 1999

E. A post-Saddam Iraq is a more stable Iraq

Laipson, Clawson, Parasiliti, and Franke, vice chairman of the national Intelligence council, director of research Washington inst. for far east policy, director of programs of the middle east institute, executive director of Iraq foundation, Symposium: After Saddam, what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

F. A post-Saddam era would bring stability to the region

Clawson director of research of the Washington institute for near east policy, Symposium: After Saddam, what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

Observation III: Solvency

A. Lifting Sanctions with Constructive engagement kills Saddam's rallying point and forces him to make changes.

F. Gregory III Gause, Associate Professor of Political Science Univ. of Vermont, Getting It Backward on Iraq, Foreign Affairs p54, May 1999

B. A policy of constructive engagement geared toward normalizing relations opens the eyes of the Iraqi people to the outside world and decreases Saddam's grip over the country.

Jenkins, staff writer, if not war, then what? The Times, Feb 18, 1998

C. Even with Saddam still in power there will be immediate and appreciable

increases in the economy to help alleviate the suffering of the Iraqi people.  
Laipson, Clawson, Parasiliti, and Franke, vice chairman of the national  
Intelligence council, director of research Washington inst. for far east policy, director  
of programs of the middle east institute, executive director of Iraq foundation, Symposium: After Saddam,  
what then for Iraq? Middle East Policy vol. 6 no 3 pg 6-7, Feb 1999

D. The US requesting the UN to suspend Iraq's contribution to the  
Gulf War compensation fund is a good gesture by the West and will help Iraq  
rebuild. F. Gregory III Gause, Associate Professor of Political Science Univ.of  
Vermont, Getting It Backward on Iraq, Foreign Affairs p54, May 1999

E. Lifting sanctions fosters political openness with the rest of the world.  
Stephen Zunes, Assist Professor of Politics & Chair of the Peace and Justice  
Studies program at the University of San Francisco, Confrontation with Iraq:  
A Bankrupt US policy Middle East Policy, vol VI no. 1, June 1998

F. Remove the sanctions now and a democratic, more peaceful Iraq will succeed.  
Dennis Halliday, Former UN Associate Secretary General, American-Arab  
Anti-Discrimination Committee Foreign Policy Symposium Federal News Service  
-lexis, December 29, 1998

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

Prolif Frontlines  
Advantage Regime Change Frontlines  
Morality Arguments (consequentialism bad)

### ***KINGS***

West Virginia University ??

Plan: will sponsor UN dropping sanctions, lifting US sanctions (Iraq)

Advantages: WMD peace process via elim Saddam, claim millions 150 babies/day

Cites: lots of Gouss 99, Norman 98, Holliday 98

West Virginia University HJ

Plan: Iraq (same)

Advantages: human rights, children, restore inspections, post-Saddam  
transition

Sites: Gause, Clawson, Zunes

West Virginia University NP, DE

Plan: CE Iraq (same)

Advantages: end of threat, post Saddam era

Sites:

## Western Washington

### **WHITMAN**

Tournament: Whitman Round: 5 Affirmative: Western Washington PF Negative Whitman BC

Case: the normal whole res thing

Answers:

Syria and North Korea are kicked in the 2AC (except for means to ends)

Food prices answers:

Consequentialist-it wouldn't come first

There is a moral obligation to feed people according to Gordon

There's no internal link to global starvation

AT Iran Heg

Non Unique:Us and Iran are already improving relations

AT: Cuban prostitution:

The embargo leads to violence

AT: Terrorism Credibility

Sanctions lead to terrorism, from Buchanan

WWU Lindsay's Aff

North Korea

Plan:

Does a bunch of stuff. Most notably lifts sanctions, gives aid, ends the NMD, and signs a peace treaty

Advantages:

Famine – They claim solvency by giving aid through the World Food Program, which will teach the North Korean's how to be self-sufficient.

NMD – pisses of NK, but their advantage's impacts stem from China and Russia

Inter-Korean War – mostly about prolif

### **WESTERN WASHINGTON**

WWU-round 4

WWU GV vs Whitman BC, judged by Doug Dennis

2AC AT: Terrorism Cred

1-NU, Clinton has no cred

2-Punishment increases terrorism

3-Congress isn't exerting heg now

4-Case outweighs

5-Terrorism isn't a threat

6-Crossapply moral imperative

2AC AT: Feminist IR

1-Sanctions target women



2-Perm  
3-No harms (??)  
4-No alternative

## ***OREGON***

University of Oregon (Rd. 1)  
WWU PF (Aff) vs. Whitman BS

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with Iraq including an immediate suspension of all economic sanctions with the exception of a continued arms embargo. The bombing of Iraq will cease. The U.S. will advocate this policy at the U.N. Security Council. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. Plan will be executed through normal means. If you have questions ask.

Observation One: Inherency

A. Clinton clings to his policy

Crawford 99

“in danger of political and moral collapse”

B. Sanctions are bankrupt-elites get around them, they give Saddam an excuse to starve his people

Norton Taylor 99

“Elites, whether in Iraq, Serbia or Cuba”

Observation II

Sanctions devastate Iraq

Simons 96

Sanctions kill 100,000/yr

Mueller & Mueller, Foreign Affairs May/June ‘97

Sanctions kill 150 kids a day

Powell ‘98

US policy is genocidal

Siddiqui ‘99

‘radioactive residue for the 1991 allied besides starving, waging war’

Sanctions are cold blooded murder

Simons 96

Observation III

First, lifting sanctions leads to food

Cortright ‘99

“a smarter and more humane”

Plan leads to immediate and appreciable solvency

Laipson, Clawson, Parasiliti, and Franake

Middle East Policy ‘99

Lifting sanctions is the best solution

Mueller and Mueller, ‘97

## ***LEWIS & CLARK***

Affirmative Team: Western Washington PF

Negative Team: Whitman BS

Tournament: Lewis and Clark, Round: 5

Judge Amy Stutesman

Plan Text:

The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iraq including an immediate suspension of all economic sanctions except for a continued arms embargo. The continued bombing campaign will be ceased as will funding for the ILA. Sanctions will be reinstated if Iraq fails to comply with new active monitoring weapons inspections. The US will advocate this policy at the current UN Security Council negotiations concerning sanctions. Funding and enforcement guaranteed. Questions? Ask.

Case outline is the same as WW

Oil Price answers:

Oil prices just plummeted

McCann Oct 8 AP Online

“Crude oil prices plummeted Friday”

Turn: High oil prices bad for Mexico

Kraul 99

“Some worry the news may be too good for Mexico”

Lewis and Clark Quarters

Affirmative WWU FP vs. Whitman CO

Chris Cooper

Amy Stutesman

Mark West

Plan Text:

Same

1AC outline

same

2AC Answers to Off-case positions

T—not immediate

1. w/m

immediately lift

2. conditionality is okay. It’s just enforcement

3. c/interp. Nearly all means we can have some

4. c/interp. Remove = stop

5. they have ground. They ran disads

6. not bidirectional. It’s just enforcement

2AR Big Tricks

Affirmative Team: WWU EGL Neg Team: Ward/Whittaker Tournament LC Round 4

Judge Paul Veillon

Plan Text: Condition sanctions removal upon inspections in Iraq as per Gauss....lost the exact plan text. Charles and Jess may have got it; it’s the same as P/F’s version.

IAC Outline/Cites:

Once again, lost the case flow. Advantage one was humanitarian, and adv2 was about some kind of war escalation...I think :P

Oh yeah, Ob1 had something to do with this whole "inherency" thing

2AC Answers To Off Case Positions:

- Shunning:
- status quo is worse
  - evaluate case harms
  - shunning makes actions worse
  - should only shun when all else fails
  - t/ sanctions oppress
- Oil
- increasing oil exports key to long term world economy

2AR/Big Tricks

shunning turns

**WESTERN SCRIMMAGE**

Western Washington University

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of constructive engagement with the government of Iraq including an immediate suspension of all economic sanctions except for a continued arms embargo. Sanctions will be reinstated if Iraq fails to comply with new weapons inspections. Additionally, the US will advocate this policy at the current UN Security Council negotiations concerning the sanctions. Funding & enforcement guaranteed. Ask if you have questions.

Observation One

A. Administration clinging to a bad policy

Crawford 99

B. Sanctions regime will inevitably collapse

Goshko 1/15/99

"maintain the sanctions only by using its veto"

Plan

Advantage 1-Sanctions of Mass Destruction

1) Sanctions are devastating

Simons 96

2) 100,00 deaths/year

Powell 98

B. Solvency

-opens doors to food, medicine, spare parts

Cartright '99

-sparks S

Laipson, Clawson, Parasiliti, Franke 99 (Middle East Policy, February 99)

Advantage 2-WMD

A) Status Quo policy obscures the immediate threat of Iraq developing WMD

Gause 99 Foreign Affairs May/June

US influence in the Middle East will decrease, allowing Iraq to reconstitute its WMD

Ritter 99 Endgame

Hussein will use WMD against Israel

Christian Century '98

“moral philosophers and theologians have recently learned to take account of ‘heuristics of fear’”

B. Solvency

Precision arms embargo will impede development of WMD.

Crawford '99

“ethical and political feasible strategy”

Lifting sanctions in exchange for renewed weapons inspection will restrain Iraq's military capabilities

Gause 99

## Whitman

### *USC*

Whitman CO: Clarke and Olney

Plan: USFG will adopt a policy of CE with Iraq including removal of economic sanctions in Security Council Resolution 661 and sharing of depleted uranium contamination management procedures in the DOD Theater cleanup plan, via normal means.

Advantages:

Uranium

Morality

Whitman WW: Whittaker and Ward

Plan: Lift sanctions and constructively engage Iran. Allow investment etc.

Advantages:

Khatami

Prolif

Caspian Oil

Whitman RB: Ritter and Borden

Plan: USFG will lift embargo on Cuba as part of CE. US will oversee the construction of the reactor to make sure it meets International Safety Standards.

Advantages:

Genocide

Nuke Reactors

Transition

Whitman TD: Thomas and Daniel

Plan: The USFG will adopt a policy of CE including removal of econ sanctions in security council rez 661 and sharing depleted uranium contamination management procedures in the department of defense. Theatre cleanup plan via normal means, we will talk with Iraq concerning humanitarian problems.

Advantages

Iraq econ with famine and morality and genocide  
Depleted Uranium

UN add-on

## **WAKE**

Whitman

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 5

Team: Whitman CO

vs.: Emory CR

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Sherry Hall - Harvard

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

T - CE is action in addition to lifting sanctions

1. We meet - remove US support for UN sanctions
2. No Ground loss - can still run shunning
3. Including means 'including but not limited to'
4. Literature checks
5. Constructive engagement is lifting sanctions x
6. Interpretation is best - limits

T - Immediate must be in the plan

1. We meet - our only sanctions on Iraq are multilateral
2. Immediate means as soon as possible
3. Immediate means with out conditions
4. Interpretation best - decision calculus, real world

Clinton

1. No NTR now, 11-20-99
2. Clinton is a lame duck, Kraft's, 11-19-99
3. No Link - executive action
4. Not intrinsic - no spillover evidence specific to Iraq
5. No internal link
6. Turn: Winners-win x
7. Turn: Humanitarian consequences make the plan popular x

Oil

1. Turn: Long term stability
2. Non-Unique - increased Iraqi oil exports
3. Turn: Sanctions anger Iraq
4. Turn: We integrate Iraq into OPEC
5. No internal - no incentive to flood the market
6. Maximum production now x

Israel

1. Empirically Denied - Netanyahu crushed relations
2. Israeli fears on the rise
3. Iraqi Peace Process solves x
4. Plan Doesn't threaten Israel (military sanctions still exist)
5. Arabs like plan x
6. Y2K kills the peace process x
7. Peace is unlikely now x

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

They go for morality of the case advantage as outweighing oil with a nuclear war impact. The morality arguments are Gerwirth - intervening actor, individual choice for morality, stop complicity with evil, and life is not worth living without agency.

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 7

Team: Whitman CO

vs.: West Georgia HS

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: S. Starr

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (changes from original caselist)

1AC cross-ex:

- remove only Section 661, other resolutions pursuant to 661 (e.g. 687)
- US drops support in Security Council

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments

Topicality All or Nearly All

- only multilateral sanctions - lifting those is all or nearly all
- nearly all means comprehensive whole - 661 meets
- remove all economic sanctions in 661 - biggest part of sanctions
- cross-ex checks and gives ground
- best in context of Iraq policy
- specificity - if economic we lift them
- not need more ground - lifting sanctions provides links

Topicality CE

- SC action is CE - Herps
- no BL for what is CE
- no ground loss
- resolutional context - including not mean including but not limited to
- we meet - invest and CE
- sharp contrast to engagement
- we meet - move in same direction , prevents bi-directionality

Clinton WTO

- no hope - NPR 11-20 "environmentalists" "early next year"
- CP links
- no link - no il to PC
- business lobbies turns - Fletcher 99, Business Week 94 "Washington politics"

Proliferation

- only plan allows renewed inspections
- no hope now - Mueller and Mueller
- turn - isolating Iraq makes more hostile
- outweigh - more deaths than all WMD - Mueller and Mueller
- aggression inevitable b/c economic decline
- turn - sanctions demonize Saddam
- turn - plan causes democracy and stability
- turn - sanctions cause legitimacy
- turn - allow restructure sanctions regime

Normativity

- not force into world - conditional - if could, would do something to change it

- narratives effective perspective - judge from perspective of Iraqi mother
- narrative best - Simons 98
- construct of fiat megalomaniac
- argumentative irresponsibility - justifies aff severance, intrinsicness
- still solve - CESR more specific
- turn - time for hard choices not critical visions - Chomsky 97
- turn - Schlag prevents addressing of questions - Ruben 92

#### CP Keep Monitoring

- not vote on presumption
- SC not agree - WT 1AC
- dispositionality bad - jus
- OFF not in plan
- Strat Planning 99
- no solvency - Saddam controls economy, no way for money to get to people
- OFF not solve - handouts, immoral, mismanaged, revanchism
- Turn - target not solve civilian deaths - AFP 99 "the entire population"
- Only diverts attention from genocide
- Turn - sanctions destroy oil market stability, high oil prices keep Russian economy afloat, Russian economic decline worst impact (David 99)

#### Sanctions Busting/Rollback

- links to CP more - leave financial caps
- n/u - happen in SQ
- Simons advocates plan
- lifting OFF means no mechanism to rollback sanctions
- false - no motive for Congress

#### Child Exploitation (on the case)

- morality overview - Gewirth, outweighs prolif
- no link - Halliday story only card discussing children
- silences genocide discussion
- not use as means
- ad hom attack

Whitman

#### 1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 1

Team: Whitman CO

vs.: SMS CH

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Slusher

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

Lash-out inevitable in the SQ and economic collapse draws in Israel

[Ben-Meir]

#### 2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

Exclude Financial Sanctions C/P

- Perm: do plan and impose financial sanctions
- Government financial gains key to social welfare
- C/P upsets leadership -> lashout [Cordesman & Hashim]
- Passes the expenses to the people via taxes
- Targeted sanctions fail [Gibson]
- Swiss Banking Turn -> frozen assets means Swiss have to disclose accounts; killing Swiss bank's secrecy. Causes Swiss economic collapse



Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

Big Aff Story:

- Saddam will use all financial gains from the plan to rebuild the Iraqi economy to ensure his political viability (stopping riots and dissent)
- Affronts on Iraq will trigger a lash-out [Cordesman and Hashim]
- Iraqi isolation = proliferation and deterrence only way to solve. SQ causes sanctions fatigue. Lifting sanctions rebuilds the containment regime.
- Morality. Sanctions kill individual dignity [Lang 99]

1999 Dixie Caselist-Affirmative Report

Round: 4

Team: Whitman CO

vs.: Texas EG

Case (country): Iraq

Judge: Hayman

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites (please note any changes from original caselist)

New cards:

Inherency:

- Deal to end Iraq sanctions unlikely, Washington Times, 11/17/99, "automatically reimposed"

Morality Impacts:

- Utilitarianism flawed, Donnelly, The Concept of Human Rights, 1985, p. 55-8
- Not responsible for acts of intervening actors, Gewirth 82
- Economic sanctions destroy agency - outweighs all - necessary for meaning:  
Lang 99, European Journal of International Relations, vol. 5 (1): 67-107, p. 95-6, 77-79
- Action, agency, and human dignity outweigh,
- Isaac, American Political Science Review, March 1996, v90 n1 p 61(13)
- End the 1AC with a poem

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments (please include as many cites as possible)

AT Deconstructive Engagement

1. Not mutually exclusive - no evidence says this is a reason to reject the affirmative - I want to resist capitalism - we do not force it into US government action - just say
2. Performative contradiction - negative engages
3. Vote neg endorses keeping sanctions
4. No link to Mitchell - not say debate should be oppositional discourse
5. Sanctions fail to assign agency
6. What are their alternative strategies of resistance
7. Turn - we end oil-for-food relief effort - Hammock evidence is turn
8. We don't support hegemony
9. We don't support trade - just end bad economic policies
10. If in position of governmental authority would want to end - not mean not an activist
11. This is an ad hominem attack - not germane to the debate and is infinitely regressive - wear leather or meat
12. Distinction between activism and debate tenuous
13. Debate about new perspectives - not closing off - forcing advocate every idea hurts people
14. Permute - do the plan and strategize
15. Put yourself in the place of an Iraqi mother, not a Western intellectual [x]
16. Should not concede to silence [Simons]
17. Pity not integral assumption of plan and we can kick it - vote aff different reason
18. Vote to punish has no effect
19. Lift sanctions key to challenge state-sanctioned attacked
20. Ethics before ontology - primacy of human face  
[Davidson, Critical Inquiry, Winter 1989]
21. Turn - we ban imperialist genocide [x]

22. Turn - OFF treats Iraq as a herd - only plan takes a step back  
[Davidson, leb.net/IAC/list.html]
23. Sanctions are the worst imperialism - Said 1AC
24. Turn - lifting sanctions reverses imperialism and terrorism [www.iacenter.org/iraq999.htm]
25. Turn - sanctions are a capitalist tool to enforce commodities [www.bolshevik.org/mb/7iraq98.htm]
26. Turn - we empower struggle against capitalism [www.hartford-hwp.com/cp-usa/docs/speech99.html]
27. Turn - we advocate perspective of an Iraqi child  
[George, Discourses of Global Politics: A Critical (Re)Introduction to Intl Relations, 1994, p. 231, p. 135-6]
28. Turn - we are faced with a solution that requires hard choices - can discuss the state without selling out  
[Chomsky]
29. Their concept of fiat does not allow them to advocate happy activism - must defend SQ
30. Permute - endorse kritik - can draw support in Sec Council and do kritik
31. Our poetry is a unique way of resisting international relations [Bleiker]
32. Permutation - critically endorsing the plan best  
[Murray, Reconstructing Realism, 1997, p 193-196]
33. Case is the price of admission to activism - SQ destroy Iraqi agency - Lang and Isaac 1AC

Affirmative Strategy in Rebuttals (tricks, key arguments, etc.)

1AR

1. No pre-fiat stable advocacy - our 1AC is a questioning of violence and an examination of how to reintegrate them - they just say they do this as well but that doesn't prove they are valuable
2. Can't make new arguments assumes there is a stable speech act - contradicts their argument development good claim
3. We resent being told we can't think critically - our 1AC is contingent
4. They concede poetry good - made in 1AC and extend - proves our ontology is good - they can't advocate better than the affirmative - a single reason why the 1AC is good means we win the debate round
5. Not defend SQ and advocate perfection means the negative always wins - to be topical the affirmative has to do constructive engagement and they will win a link and that undermines real discussions
6. Backlash - if we lose we won't think critically
7. We'll stop advocating all the arguments they kritik -
8. No link - you don't have to conceive yourself as the government
9. They prevent perspective taking - assume truth already exists - denies argument development - just because 1AC flawed doesn't mean you vote against us
10. Assumption that entire community knows sanctions are bad is wrong - if we don't discuss and find evidence won't know this in the first place
11. Time allocation irrelevant
12. Extend our Georges and Simons evidence - evaluate the plan from the perspective of an Iraqi woman
13. We advocate Chomsky - must make hard choices - not selling out to use the state to dismantle it - we advocate a grounding in critical perspective
14. Extend poetry deconstructs is a reason to vote affirmative

2AR

1. Advocacy is not stable - should try out different perspectives - no reason to reject us - should employ every strategy in your power - whether utilitarianism or statistics - if they could persuade an end to sanctions - we want to take multiple perspectives - Georges evidence - can't take perspective of an intellectual above the debate
2. They only try to sanitize our discourse - that's not fair ground
3. We can continually re-interpret dominant discourses to work for us - just do the plan - we can sever and kick the 1AC - our only advocacy is we should end sanctions on Iraq - we don't have to advocate Halliday or M/M - just potential strategies they would foreclose
4. Utilitarian calculus might get the government to agree with you - we just used analogies like Martin Luther King
5. Sometimes you need to adopt dominant categories to use them - they can still kritik but it must be a kritik of our principle advocacy that we must reject sanctions. Derrida says sometimes you must contradict yourself.

6. Must adopt some proposal - they skew ground and undermine perspective taking - must negate in some rounds to understand - unfair to pick all of the good and none of the bad
7. We only will advocate the poem and Iraqi mother -
8. Extend losing doesn't change anyone's mind - they attempt to punish us for provisional and contingent actions
9. They assume there is a foregone conclusion and only read one side of the literature - must read sanctions good to know sanctions bad

Whitman

School: Whitman

Team: CO

Case: Iraq

Outline of 1AC Plan and Cites:

THE PLAN:

The US Federal Government will adopt a policy of constructive engagement by removing economic sanctions in Executive Order 12724 and support for economic sanctions pursuant to Security Council Resolution 661.

OBSERVATION ONE:

Imminent famine is the only result of nine years of sanctions.

Representative Cynthia McKinney San Francisco Chronicle September 19, 1999

Seven thousand children die monthly as a direct result of sanctions.

Denis J. Halliday. Journal of Palestine Studies, Wntr 1999 v28 i2 p29(9)

Oil for food can never resolve the worsening crisis.

UN Report, 30 January 1999 (S/1999/100), 30 March 1999, <http://www.un.org/Depts/oip/panelrep.html>

The Certainty Of Innocents Dying As A Direct Result Of Sanctions Outweighs.

Denis Halliday Nov 5, 98, <http://leb.net/iac/harvard.html>

This Assault On Human Dignity Must Be Rejected: Reduces Iraqis To A Means

Joy Gordon, Ethics & International Affairs, Vol 13, 1999 p. 128-9

Blaming Saddam Only Magnifies Our Culpability

Joy Gordon, Ethics & International Affairs, Vol 13, 1999 p. 131-3

The Human Impact Is Worse Than Even War

Roger Normand, The Progressive Response, October 15, 1998 Vol. 2, No. 32

Continuing Sanctions Is Worse Than Even War With WMD

John Mueller & Karl Mueller, Foreign Affairs, June, 1999

OBSERVATION TWO: SOLVENCY

Constructive Engagement Is The Only Viable Option

Richard Norton-Taylor The Guardian (London), August 16, 1999

Remaining Constraints Prevent WMD Escalation

John Mueller & Karl Mueller, Foreign Affairs, June, 1999

Immediate Improvement In Living Conditions Results

Center For Economic And Social Rights, Unsanctioned Suffering, 1996 p. 17-18

Claims Of Diversion Are False

Elizabeth Sullivan, The Plain Dealer, August 16, 1999

The genocidal killing must end immediately and unconditionally.

Ramsey Clark, Challenge To Genocide: Let Iraq Live, September 1998,

<http://www.iacenter.org/fireice.htm>

Continuing The Status Quo Sanctions Mass Murder

Noam Chomsky, et al, January 8, 1999, <http://www.iacenter.org/chomsky.htm>

Anti-Arab prejudice informs hawkish arguments made to justify sanctions.

Edward W. Said, Acts of Aggression, 1999, p. 6-13

Its Our Responsibility To Put A Stop To The Most Heinous Form Of Cruelty

Ramsey Clark, January 20, 96. <http://www.iacenter.org/newform.htm>

2AC Answers to Negative Arguments and Cites:

T - Just Lift Sanctions 2AC:

1. WM - UN sanctions
2. Still have trade ground
3. Resolutional context - not including but not limited
4. Contextual evidence proves
5. CE is carrot-stick [Herbst, Price of Peace, p 207-8] \*\*\*\*\*
6. WM - end US and UN sanctions
7. CE unlimits
8. We increase your ground
9. If Saddam runs economy, WM

Oil for Food CP 2AC:

1. CP doesn't solve: evidence assumes status quo, just a handout, people still means to an end, distribution problems, only solves a fraction of needs
2. Perm - sever the plan
3. No net benefit
4. Iraq rejects
5. Not solve [x]
6. Remove sanctions key to peace [Halliday]

Clinton 2AC:

1. NU - slim chance of UN dues [WP, 10/28]
2. CTBT defeat hurts agenda [USNWR, 10/25]
3. NU - no budget leverage [Washington Times, 10/26, "gaining leverage"] \*
4. No link - executive waiver
5. NL - Clinton can always veto
6. No specific spillover card
7. DA not an opportunity cost
8. Turn - agriculture lobbies [Fletcher, Financial Times, 9/8/99, "forbidden market"]
9. Turn - containment drains capital [Sullivan]

Appeasement 2AC:

1. Turn - we increase credibility
2. Rogues Weak - no impact [Mueller]
3. Turn - international credibility
4. NU - caved to Iraq in August
5. NU - OFF CP links
6. Using innocents as a means is unacceptable

Israel 2AC:

1. Sanctions not key
2. Turn - fear and hatred based [Said]
3. Isolating Iraq hurts the peace process [x]
4. Peace process slowed [Washington Times, 10/27/99, "ongoing freeze"] \*\*\*
5. Sanctions hurt Arab willingness for peace [Maksoud, FNS, 12/29/98, "basic unfairness"]
6. Arab states key to peace [Fandy, [www.mepc.org/forums/chcs/16.html](http://www.mepc.org/forums/chcs/16.html)]

Aff strategy in rebuttals (key arguments, tricks, things they kick out of)

Emphasis on extension of IAC cites and brief extension of lots of arguments with less emphasis on in-depth analysis. Aff more extensively develops a narrow containment argument later in the debate against the military turns. Advantage focus is on demonization of Saddam being bad. To answer case turns.

Certainty of Iraqi death outweighs probabalistic disads, <http://leb.net/iac/harvard.html>

## Wichita State

### *UCO*

Wichita State HO

Plan: The USFG will pursue a policy of CE with Iraq including immediately removing all SX restricting food, medicine, and development. In addition the US will encourage Iraq to comply with UN Resolutions on WMDs. Funding & Enforcement through normal means.

Advantages: Humanitarian & Iran

1AC Cites:

Observation I - US thwarts removal o' sanctions, Hoskins 1997

Advantage I - Humanitarian - 250 lives a month, IAC (NO DATE), Dixon 1998^ÅNo dual use in Iraq, Gordon 1999.

Advantage II - Iran - Sanctions = Iran mil. Mod., Iran = blocks straits, = war, Jane's Defense Weekly 1998, Blanche 1997.

Observation II - Solvency - Gause 1999, Cultiss 1999, Mueller 1999.

2AC Tricks:

Wichita State HM

Plan:

Advantages: ß ß ß Same as Wichita State HO

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

### *UNI*

Wichita State HO

Plan: The United State Federal Government will constructively engage Iraq by making the following offer: the United States will agree to the immediate lifting of nearly all economic sanctions in exchange for increased inspections and compliance with the U.N. Resolutions now in place against Iraq. In addition, the U.S. will agree to support relieving Iraq of its contributions o the Gulf War compensation fund and other Gulf War debts. Funding and enforcement guaranteed.

Observation one: there are sanctions against Iraq

Advantage one: Humanitarian aid

-thousands die each month

-total dead is over one million

Advantage two: Iran

-sanctions = iran buildup

-will attack "straights"

Aff author: Gause, foreign affairs, may/june, 1999

## William Jewell

### *UCO*

William Jewell BP

Plan: The USFG will lift all sanctions towards on Cuba. 1. Cessation of threatening military rhetoric. 2. Open any and all diplomatic channels as per Cubas Request.

Advantages: Spanos, Feminism, Moral Imperative to act on people dying & imperialistic policies.

1AC Cites:

2AC Tricks:

William Jewell HL

Plan:

Advantages:

1AC Cites:

Fernandez 1998, Schwabb 1999, Schultz 1994, Dhooge 1997, Gonzales 1994, Arendt 1998, Moakly 1999, Cooper 1998, Witcher 1999, Webber 1999, Webber 1995, Tickner 1992, Ratliff & Fontane 1993

2AC Tricks:

WJC GO

PLAN TEXT

- The USFG will lift all or nearly all economic sanctions in Cuba and constructively engage them with diplomatic talks, normalization of relations and an end to the blah blah blah, bad handwriting.

## Wyoming

### *UNI*

Wyoming BD

Plan: North Korean Missiles: "additional negotiations under the Berlin conference framework to end the development and export of missiles or missile technology. This includes commitment to an immediate removal of all economic sanctions ? excluding military and dual use technology. We will compensate for loss of missile sales.

Advantage areas:

- missile tech to Iran
- arms race in asia involving South Korea and China

Aff Authors

- Sigal, Newsweek, September 123, 1999 "the method to the madness"

note: aff assumes ballistic missiles ? not nukes or nuke proliferation  
aff argues that Russia wants North Korean missile program stopped