St. Mark’s PK – Counterplans

## CP - States

**Text: The relevant states of the 50 states of the United States and all relevant territories should uniformly \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and coordinate the projects among the relevant stakeholders. The relevant states should offer additional technical expertise and staff resources to the other states involved. The states should offer the necessary incentives to private investors to engage in public private partnerships to complete the project.**

**Devolution to the states solves – efficient labs of innovation**

**Utt 2012** (Ronald, is Herbert and Joyce Morgan Senior Research Fellow in the Thomas A. Roe Institute for Economic Policy Studies at The Heritage Foundation. Utt is a veteran of budgetary politics in Washington, having served as director of the housing finance division at the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and senior economist at the Office of Management and Budget, Past director of economic research at the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. Associate chief economist of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, “Turn Back” Transportation to the States” Feb 7th http://www.heritage.org/research/reports/2012/02/turn-back-transportation-to-the-states)

The federal transportation program has lost its way:…… states would no longer benefit from undeserved subsidies.

## CP - Privatization

**Text: The United States federal government should remove all restrictions and regulations that prevent the private sector from investing in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and should end Department of Transportation involvement in the mandates of the plan.**

**The CP results in full privatization and deregulation**

**Winston, 10 – senior fellow in the Economic Studies Program at the Brookings Institution** (Clifford, Last Exit: Privatization and Deregulation of the U.S. Transportation System, p. 2-3)

Do the current problems with the transportation system suggest that the nation should find a new stable equilibrium that will persist indefinitely?….. a new approach to transportation policy that could significantly improve the system’s performance.

**Full scale privatization solves best**

**Winston, 10 – senior fellow in the Economic Studies Program at the Brookings Institution** (Clifford, Last Exit: Privatization and Deregulation of the U.S. Transportation System, p. 156)

Moreover, experiments could lead to unlocking constraints that now hobble the development of a national technologically advanced transportation system……. the potential of a privatized and deregulated system should be limited only by our imagination.

## CP – PIC “Investment”

**Text: The United States federal government should substantially increase its expenditure [or some variant thereof, depending on the plan text]**

**The word ‘investment’ is unconstitutional, which results in no solvency – the government will invest in the wrong places**

**Scarlett 11** (Scarlett, Medical Transcriptionist and Writer, “The Politically Incorrect (and Life Saving) Truth About Muslim-on-Muslim Honor Killings,” http://scarlett156.blogspot.com/2011\_01\_01\_archive.html, jkim)

In the end, the word “investment” is unconstitutional.….. They knew government would choose to invest in failures — “Cash for Flunkers.”

**Even the smallest violations must be rejected – we have a moral obligation to prevent violations of the constitution whenever possible**

**Levinson 2k** (Daryl Levinson, professor of law at University of Virginia, Spring 2000 UC Law Review)

Extending a majority rule analysis of optimal deterrence to constitutional torts requires some explanation,….. if constitutional rights were never violated.

## CP – Shipping Act

**Text: The United States federal government should end centralized planning of port infrastructure, including but not limited to repealing the Shipping Act provisions necessary to provide port authorities with the express power to impose fees for the construction, operation, and maintenance of qualifying port-related infrastructure and development initiatives.**

**CP solves new port construction, security measures and environmental protection**

Cook 11 (Christopher, 38 Fordham Urb. L.J. 1523, “FUNDING PORT-RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT: THE CURRENT DEBATE AND PROPOSED REFORM”, lexis, twm)

Containerized cargo is here to stay…. U.S. Department of Transportation would first be required to approve any project qualifying for funding under the amendment.

## CP – Decentralization

**Text: The United States federal government should end all centralized planning.**

## CP – Jones Act

**Text: The United States federal government should repeal the Jones Act.**