V- morality

VC- maximizing security of citizens

Gov’t must take risks

C1. Nukes deter warfare

Force requires possession

LACAMP-Captain Mark LaKamp, United States Army, MORAL AND LEGAL JUDGMENTS OF THE NUCLEAR OPTION FOR COUNTER-PROLIFERATION, February, 1999 <<http://nsa.nps.navy.mil/Publications/> Micewski/Contents.html>

“conventional response…weapons is indeed strong”

c2. Nukes promote state security

1. sate security improved with nukes

Sagan- Scott D. Sagan  *[International Security](http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublication?journalCode=intesecu)*, Vol. 21, No. 3 (Winter, 1996-1997), pp. 54-86, The MIT Press, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539273>

“states exist…extended deterrence”

1. nukes are just with nat. defense

Churchill- “the morality of… threat be made”

Robert P. Churchill, “Nuclear Arms as a Philosophical and Moral Issue”, Vol. 469, pp. 46-57. 2010. JSTOR. CL.

UDW: AFF needs advocacy