# 2AC

# T – Should

## Democracy assistance is vague and support levels are determined by security concerns

(Richard Lappin, 2011, “Defining Democracy Assistance” Email exchange between Will Mosley-Jensen of Georgia debate and Richard Lappin, <http://www.georgiadebate.org/2011/09/defining-democracy-assistance>)

Dear William,

My thanks once again …

In my article I stressed … Political Conditionality (New York: Palgrave, 2001).

# Case

# A2: Inherency

## USAID funding is conditioned on the certification that an org doesn’t support terrorists – even universities are vetted for hamas members

(CSIS, 2008, “A Steep Hill: Congresss and U.S. Efforts to Strengthen Fragile States,” http:??www.humansecuritygateway.com/documents/CSIS\_Steep Hill\_StrengthenFragileStates.pdf)

USAID and its funding recipients … work of its member organizations.8

## Conditions may be promo, but promo restricts assistance

(Daniele Huber, 2011, “Exchange with Layne Kirshon,” <http://nudebate.blogspot.com/>)

Huber

Dear Mr. Kirshon,

Thank you for your email … or even military invasion.

Good luck with your project and best wishes,

Daniela

## The book Huber recomendes for determing the doifference between prmo and assistance prives – debate on conditions good

(Amichai Magen and Thomas Risse, 2009, “Promoting Democracy and the Rule of Law,” p. x)

The broader question lurking … importance to other critical fields.

# A2: Sadiki

## Western aid to limit extremism risk creating opporessive regimes

(Larbi Sadiki, 2011, June 6, “The Mathematics of the Arab Spring,” <http://aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/2011/05/2011531132934920499.html>)

There are opportunities, but also … governments in the “Arab Spring.”

# Arab Spring Word Turn:

## AND, IT'S BAD. DON'T USE IT ANYMORE.
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[rami, “drop the orientalist term ‘Arab Spring’, http://www.dailystar.com.lb/Opinion/Columnist/2011/Aug-17/Drop-the-Orientalist-term-Arab-Spring.ashx#axzz1VWpIH3H6]

A fascinating aspect of the current wave of ... Dropping the term “Arab Spring” for something more accurate is my suggested starting point.

# Tradeoff DA

## 1. There;s no link or internal link – make the explain how our plan triggers the impact

## Our interpretation is that the judge should vote for ht eplan if it is intrinsically a good idea.

## A. The disad’s not intrinsic – if the judge could enact the plan they could also prevent the disad ompact

## B. their notion of fiat is anti-edu – plan would obviously never happen – imagining what the real political consequences would be if immediately implemented requires multiple jumps in logic that avoid the question of whether the policy itself is desirable

## The DA relies on inaccurate politically expedient news. This forecloses critical thinking and turns their impacts into self-fulfilling prophecies.

(W. Lance Bennett, 1995, “News: the Politics of illusion” 3rd ed., pg. xv)

Surely these good-guys-versus-bad-guys … such a world did not exist to begin with.