# Loyola cites

T

The imnagination colony:

the affirmative stacks the deck of alternatives by framing all the relevant questions as choices among forms of community left over from euro-american colonial modernity

Dr. Chatterjee, 1993 [Partha, The Nation and Its Fragments]

I have one central objection to Anderson's argument...imaginations must remain forever colonized.

Imagination is a social practice that underwrites agency at all levels - it is a key component of global order

Appadurai, 1996 [Arjun, Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimentions of Globalization]

The crucial point, however, is that the United States...meaningful, we must address some other issues

Master morality affirms strife, challenge, danger and unequality - safety,comfort and equality are slavish values

Hateb 95 [Lawrence J, A Nietzschean Defense of Democracy: An experiement in Postmodern Politics, pg. 26-28]

According to slave morality, anything that...Nietzsche's attack on democratic egalitarianism.

The affirmation of differences in opinion only serves to stabilize the dominant social ideology of the time. Exposure to both sides only cents conventional social cleavages denying new form of thought and action

Edelman 1992, Murray, "The cosntruction and uses of social problems" in Jean Baudrillard: The Disappearance of Art and Politics, pg. 265-7

Explanations for the social problems that persist...has any bearing upon its outcomes.

Antonio 95

Framework polices the meaning of the suicide bomber, locating it ouside the debate space

Roland Bleiker, "Forget IR Theory" Alternatives 1997

The doorkeepers of IR are those who, knowingly or unknowingly...evaluation it established to assess them.

K

Holocaust remembrance - etracing the event of the holocust is the final solution - purging of guilt which dissolves the trauma of the event by integrating it into cold systems of deterrence and communication

[Baudrillard 2006, Simulacra and Simulation, pg. 49-51]

Forgetting extermination is part of extermination...But this confusion will never be lifted.

transition from event to news to representation is the sacrifice of singularity on the alter of transparency - system of calculation eliminates the space that allos objects to exist

Fernando 2008

[Jeremy, Reflections of Terror, pg. 8-9]

From event to news. From event to...this transparency that is truly and profoundly evil.

# Wake cites

# Off 1

A. The ballot’s sole purpose is to answer the resolutional question: Is the outcome of the enactment of a topical plan better than the status quo or a competitive policy option?

They violate this by not advocating the instrumental adoption of an increase of democracy assistance to the topic countries

**This is a voting issue**

**Having the resolution as the point of stasis is crucial to us debating; this does not reject their advocacy, as long as it is grounded in the topic**

**Panetta et. al ’10** (Chair Edward M. Panetta, University of Georgia; co-author, William Mosley-Jensen, University of Georgia Members Dan Fitzmier, Northwestern University Sherry Hall, Harvard University Kevin Kuswa, University of Richmond Ed Lee, Emory University David Steinberg, University of Miami (FL) Fred Sternhagen, Concordia College (MN) John Turner, Dartmouth College, “Navigating Opportunity: Policy Debate in the 21st Century”, “Controversies in Debate Pedagogy: Working Paper”, p. 220)

For adherents to the traditional mode of debate……………….does, however, require participants to make an effort to relate a rhetorical strategy to the national topic.

**Without stasis, debate is impossible**

**Shively ‘2K**

(Ruth Lessl, Assistant Prof Political Science – Texas A&M U., Partisan Politics and Political Theory, p. 181-2)

The requirements given thus far are primarily …………………………manipulation, and other forms of tyranny.

**Standards**

**1. Agonism – Fairness and reciprocity are key to a more open sphere for political deliberation and is a prerequisite to evaluating the value in the aff’s claims**

**Gutmann and Thompson 96** (Amy – President of Penn and Former prof @ Princeton, Dennis – Alfred North Whitehead Professor of Political Philosophy at Harvard, *Democracy and Disagreement*, p 1) jl

Of the challenges that American democracy ………………………………………………deciding what means are **morally required to pursue our common ends.**

**2. Produces Meaning – it’s only possible within an arbitrary system of rules – their criticism amounts to speaking from nowhere.**

Rameakers 1 (Stefan, Centre for Philosophy of Education U of Leuven, “Teaching to lie and obey: Nietzsche and Education.” Journal of philosophy and education. 35.2, EBSCO) jl

Much as one values Nietzsche for his cultural criticism and …………………………………………………..judging from a particular point of view.

**3. Forces Evolution – we must learn to lie and exploit system of rules. This is necessary to function in society, where we have to obey the rules and work with others.**

Rameakers 1 (Stefan, Centre for Philosophy of Education U of Leuven, “Teaching to lie and obey: Nietzsche and Education.” Journal of philosophy and education. 35.2, EBSCO) jl

In view of the importance Nietzsche attaches to ………………………………………..consequence education becomes essentially self-education.

# Off 2

**The 1AC acknowledges a fundamental lack that exists in our relationship to institutions. We all feel compelled to participate, but the institutions are constructed to make that full participation impossible. The affirmative describes this as the fundamental flaw of liberalism. Here is where we both simultaneously agree, but also find our first link. Rather than taking that discussion of lack to its logical extension here into the debate space, the affirmative needs your ballot to mean something more than who won or lost the debate. They need the ballot to be more than just an entry on debate results that says Wake Forest defeated Loyola at the NDT. That need represents the same fundamental lack that the entire 1AC argues produces ressentement. Fundamentally, Loyola links because they want this debate space to be about something more than who wins and loses the debate. That attempt to say that this room is a space for reconsidering our relationship to institutions represents an over-extension of the political where every action we take has to be viewed through the frame of our relationship to institutions. Their over extension of this space argues that in order to reconsider the political we need to reconsider our relationship to it.**

**Rufo and Atchison, 2011**

(Ken Rufo, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, Jarrod Atchison, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, *Review of Communication*, Vol. 11, No. 3, July 2011, pp. 193215)

Laclau (1996) has written about the inherent emptiness ………………………………………………………………………a consumer good **or debating** with neighbors over dinner).

**There are several serious problems with this over-extension of the debate space. First, attempting to change our relationships to institutions in this debate space is the same type of resentment they critique, turning the aff. Second, the affirmative’s attempt at recognition of difference provides no space outside of politics.**

**Rufo and Atchison, 2011**

(Ken Rufo, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, Jarrod Atchison, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, *Review of Communication*, Vol. 11, No. 3, July 2011, pp. 193215)

We believe Asen’s work, despite its many benefits and ……………………………………it portends a political without end or outside.

**In addition to turning the true potential of Suicide Bombing, the over-extension of this debate turns their attempts at resolving tyranny. In other words, once they render everything political, the concept loses all meaning. Turns case.**

**Rufo and Atchison, 2011**

(Ken Rufo, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, Jarrod Atchison, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, *Review of Communication*, Vol. 11, No. 3, July 2011, pp. 193215)

A Fascism of/and the Political If our feeling of ………………………………………….a determination of the extent and comportment of the political per se.

**Vote negative in this debate based on which team does the better debating. Before you worry about whether or not Suicide Bombing is an appropriate process of becoming you must first ask whether or not the overextension of this room is a good or bad idea. Their consistent call for you to reevaluate your relationship to institutions is the over-extension of the political that must be resolved before you know what it means to sign your ballot. Failure to do so results in the over-extension of the public into the private – the very definition of Fascism.**

**Rufo and Atchison, 2011**

(Ken Rufo, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, Jarrod Atchison, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, *Review of Communication*, Vol. 11, No. 3, July 2011, pp. 193215)

The mention of fascism is of particular importance …………………………………….**up the private sphere entirely is indeed the very essence of fascism**. (p. 144)

## 2nc

1. “Resolved” before a colon reflects a legislative forum

Army Officer School ‘04

(5-12, “# 12, Punctuation – The Colon and Semicolon”, http://usawocc.army.mil/IMI/wg12.htm)

The colon introduces the following: a.  A list, but only after "as follows," "the following," or a noun for which the list is an appositive: Each scout will carry the following: (colon) meals for three days, a survival knife, and his sleeping bag. The company had four new officers: (colon) Bill Smith, Frank Tucker, Peter Fillmore, and Oliver Lewis. b.  A long quotation (one or more paragraphs): In The Killer Angels Michael Shaara wrote: (colon) You may find it a different story from the one you learned in school. There have been many versions of that battle [Gettysburg] and that war [the Civil War]. (The quote continues for two more paragraphs.) c.  A formal quotation or question: The President declared: (colon) "The only thing we have to fear is fear itself." The question is: (colon) what can we do about it? d.  A second independent clause which explains the first: Potter's motive is clear: (colon) he wants the assignment. e.  After the introduction of a business letter: Dear Sirs: (colon) Dear Madam: (colon) f.  The details following an announcement For sale: (colon) large lakeside cabin with dock g.  A *formal* resolution, after the word "resolved:"

Resolved: (colon) That this council petition the mayor.

2. “United States Federal Government should” means the debate is solely about the outcome of a policy established by governmental means

Ericson ‘03

(Jon M., Dean Emeritus of the College of Liberal Arts – California Polytechnic U., et al., The Debater’s Guide, Third Edition, p. 4)

The Proposition of Policy: Urging Future Action In policy propositions, each topic contains certain key elements, although they have slightly different functions from comparable elements of value-oriented propositions. 1. An agent doing the acting ---“The United States” in “The United States should adopt a policy of free trade.” Like the object of evaluation in a proposition of value, the agent is the subject of the sentence. 2. The verb *should*—the first part of a verb phrase that urges action. 3. An action verb to follow *should* in the *should*-verb combination. For example, *should adopt* here **means to put a** program or **policy into action though governmental means**. 4. A specification of directions or a limitation of the action desired. The phrase *free trade*, for example, gives direction and limits to the topic, which would, for example, eliminate consideration of increasing tariffs, discussing diplomatic recognition, or discussing interstate commerce. Propositions of policy deal with future action. Nothing has yet occurred. The **entire debate** is about whether something ought to occur. What you agree to do, then, when you accept the *affirmative side* in such a debate is to offer sufficient and compelling reasons for an audience to perform the future action that you propose.

Debating both sides is the only way to create *reflective thinking*, a crucial pre-condition for critical decision-making

Muir ‘93

(Star, Professor of Communication – George Mason U., “A Defense of the Ethics of Contemporary Debate”, *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, Vol. 26, No. 4, p. 289-90)

At an individual level, ………………..in turn for the development of a reasoned moral identity.

**Their form of agonism will not lead to the transcendence they seek. Thus, we will provide you with an alternative.**

**Scholars define two types of agonism: Emancipatory and Perfectionist. Emancipatory agonism seeks to include as many people into public deliberation as possible by critiquing liberalism’s exclusivity. Perfectionist agonism on the other hand seeks to find transcendence by perfecting the form of the human through agonistic conflict. The difference may be small, but it is significant.**

**Fossen 8** Thomas, Dept Philosophy University Utrecht. “Agonistic Critiques of Liberalism: Perfection and Emancipation” Contemporary Political Theory, 2008, 7, (376–394)

I have argued so far that the agonistic theory of …………………………, for Owen the cultivation of nobility is the whole point.

The alternative is to embrace perfectionist agonism: Perfection is a better ground to base agonism. It is impossible to attain complete emancipation for everyone, instead we should strive for perfection within the confines of necessity and chance.

**Owen 2K2**

David, University of Southhamption, Democracy, and Self-Respect: Reflections on Nietzsche's Agonal Perfectionism Journal of Nietzsche Studies, No. 24 (FALL 2002), pp. 113-131

This brings me to my second point. It is because …………………………………2001 for the best account of Nietzsche's perfectionism).

Striving for agonistic perfection is the single most important thing we can do to recognize ourselves as ideal democratic citizens. This is a prerequisite to deliberation, we solve your aff better.

**Owen 2K2**

David, University of Southhamption, Democracy, and Self-Respect: Reflections on Nietzsche's Agonal Perfectionism Journal of Nietzsche Studies, No. 24 (FALL 2002), pp. 113-131

"Agonistic deliberation" here refers to deliberative contestation ……………………………………………………..politics, etc.) which comprise the appropri ate source of reverence for the democratic citizen.

The Nietzschean will to power is represented in humans by a drive for perfection that necessitates human enhancement. Only perfectionist agonism solves this.

**Spronger 2K9**

Stefan Lorenz, Philosophy at Erfurt, “Nietzsche, the Overhuman, and Transhumanism” Journal of Evolution and Technology 20.1

Nietzsche’s high regard for the sciences has been recognized ……………………………….to choose the genetic makeup of their children.

Adopting a stance to strive for perfection through conflict gives life value. Enhancement authorizes a specifically immanent form of meaning that is literally the only way to give life meaning.

**Spronger 2K9**

Stefan Lorenz, Philosophy at Erfurt, “Nietzsche, the Overhuman, and Transhumanism” Journal of Evolution and Technology 20.1

The overhuman represents the meaning of ……………………………………………..is anything wrong or abominable about that.

**Every day we don’t embrace a *universal transhumanism* kills 150,000 people**

**Bostrom 2005** (Nick, Oxford University, Faculty of Philosophy, “Transhumanist Values,” Last Mod Sept 17, <http://www.nickbostrom.com/ethics/values.html>)

Wide access. It is not enough that the …………………………….available as soon as possible, preferably **within our lifetime.**

**We challenge liberalism better**

**Fossen 8** Thomas, Dept Philosophy University Utrecht. “Agonistic Critiques of Liberalism: Perfection and Emancipation” Contemporary Political Theory, 2008, 7, (376–394)

I will first sketch a basic account of perfectionist agonism ……………………………with others, mutually enticing one another to ever greater achievements.

## 1nr

**The 1AC’s attempt to politicize the space of debate is educationally bad for two reasons: First, it renders EVERYTHING political, this means that every action that is taken is already assumed within the dominant structures of politics, making catastrophic chance the only possibility for change. The result is a politics that is not radical but paralyzed.**

**Kaplan 10**

Michael, Dept of Comm and Culture at Indiana Univeristy, “The Rhetoric of Hegemony,” Philosophy and Rhetoric 43.3

Laclau is in search of a politics that is "radical………………………………………………………………….what renders one political form preferable to any other?

**Second, the expansion of the political turns the aff by becoming the very definition of fascism. That’s Rufo and Atchison. Just look at groups who politicize every action they take: You get the Minute men and the Tea Party. This means they reinforce the very forms of exclusionary liberalism they seek to jettison. Attempting to put an “end” to traditional styles of debate by radically politicizing the activity leads to the insertion of everything they critique under a new name. Liberalism will be strong as ever in the world of the 1AC.**

**Laclau 89**

Ernesto, “Politics and the Limits of Modernity” Social Text 21.

In what follows, I shall consider the status of metanarratives and offer ……………………………………………………….the field of multiplicity of atomized narratives.

# AT: Alt doesn’t Solve

# Alt-

**Err on the side of caution. Only by preserving boundaries to the field of politics can we hope to escape fascism. We also solve all your offense: caution ensures that we funnel our political action to appropriate channels, and means we can create true change, not insolated change in debate.**

**Rufo and Atchison, 2011**

(Ken Rufo, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, Jarrod Atchison, Ph.D. in Rhetoric from the University of Georgia, *Review of Communication*, Vol. 11, No. 3, July 2011, pp. 193215)

As we have seen, the functional consequence of ……………………………………………………………………..of community that is, strictly speaking, not political.