## Cites

I couldn’t’ figure out how to put these on the wiki. Sorry. This should be all the 1nc offcase positions we read at ky/gsu. I may have left stuff out, but it should all be on the opensource

### T-USFG v Wake LW GSU

**A**. Interpretation

The United States federal government is the actor defined by the resolution, not individual debaters

US Gov Official Website 2009

http://www.usa.gov/Agencies/federal.shtml

U.S. Federal Government The three branches of U.S. government—legislative, judicial, and executive—carry out governmental power and functions. View a complete diagram (.PDF) of the U.S. government's branches.

#### Presidential WPA doesn’t extend beyond the “theater of war” – excludes domestic

NAME: Colby P. Horowitz 13 BIO: \* J.D. Candidate, 2014, Fordham University School of Law. Captain, U.S. Army, participating in the Funded Legal Education Program. April, 2013 Fordham Law Review 81 Fordham L. Rev. 2853 LENGTH: 27336 words SYMPOSIUM: THE GOALS OF ANTITRUST: NOTE: CREATING A MORE MEANINGFUL DETENTION STATUTE: LESSONS LEARNED FROM HEDGES V. OBAMA. Lexis

1. The Limited Theory of Presidential War Powers

Justice Black's majority opinion in Youngstown expressed a limited view of presidential war powers.

AND

... from which such a power [could] fairly be implied." n117

Justice Black also determined that the President had exceeded his constitutional powers. He explained that the President's Commander-in-Chief power was limited to the "theater of war," which did not include domestic production facilities. n118 Additionally, the seizure did not fall under the President's power to execute the laws, because Justice Black saw it as lawmaking and not execution. n119

#### Targeted killing must be specifically targeted, beyond possibility of arrest, authorized by senior head of government, and participating in hostilities

Philip Alston 11 John Norton Pomeroy Professor of Law, New York University School of Law. The author was UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions from 2004 until 2010.¶ 2011¶ Harvard National Security Journal¶ 2 Harv. Nat'l Sec. J. 283¶ ARTICLE: The CIA and Targeted Killings Beyond Borders. Lexis. Gender edited

At the other end of the definitional spectrum is a five-part definition proposed

AND

definition, Solis proposes one which is unsuitable outside of international humanitarian law.

B. Violation—the affirmative does not defend the implementation of a topical plan.

C. Vote negative

1. Limits—their interpretation kills limits because it creates a strategic incentive to disregard the resolution. If teams can get away with being non-topical, there’s no reason to defend the resolution. Limits are good:

A. Decision-making—having a limited topic with equitable ground is necessary to foster decision-making and clash

Steinberg & Freeley 8 \*Austin J. Freeley is a Boston based attorney who focuses on criminal, personal injury and civil rights law, AND \*\*David L. Steinberg , Lecturer of Communication Studies @ U Miami, Argumentation and Debate: Critical Thinking for Reasoned Decision Making pp 45-

Debate is a means of settling differences, so there must be a difference of

AND

particular point of difference, which will be outlined in the following discussion.

B. Creativity—thinking “inside the box” forces teams to be creative about their positions and come up with innovative solutions. Absent constraints, debate becomes boring and stale—we link turn all of their offense.

Intrator 10 (Intrator, David, President of The Creative Organization and musical composer, October 22, 2010, “Thinking Inside The Box: A Professional Creative Dispels A Popular Myth”, Training, http://www.trainingmag.com/article/thinking-inside-box) FS

One of the most pernicious myths about creativity, one that seriously inhibits creative thinking

AND

to build your box and play by the rules of your own creation.

C. Livability—limits are key to prevent the debate workload from spiraling out of control. We need time to do things like spend time with our families and shower—it would be impossible to do those things and also cut substantive strategies against the field if everyone read a non-topical aff.

2. Switch-Side Debate—their interpretation allows teams to only debate one side of an issue. Switch-side debate is good:

A. Critical thinking—switching sides forces debaters to assess all possible outcomes of a policy and sharpens their analysis of complex situations

Harrigan 8 NDT champion, debate coach at UGA (Casey, thesis submitted to Wake Forest Graduate Faculty for Master of Arts in Communication, “A defense of switch side debate”, http://dspace.zsr.wfu.edu/jspui/bitstream/10339/207/1/harrigancd052008, p. 57-59)

Along these lines, the greatest benefit of switching sides, which goes to the

AND

demonstrating a link between competitive SSD and critical thinking (Allen, Berkowitz)

B. Tolerance—switching sides makes debaters more tolerant of arguments and ideas that are the opposite of their own—their one-sided approach promotes dogmatism

Muir 93 (Star, Professor of Communication – George Mason U., “A Defense of the Ethics of Contemporary Debate”, Philosophy & Rhetoric, Vol. 26, No. 4, p. 288-289)

The role of switch-side debate is especially important in the oral defense of

AND

out advocates convinced of their rightness is not a position imbued with tolerance.

C. Activism—only switching sides teaches students to anticipate counter-arguments and build coalitions effectively, which is necessary for sustained activism

Harrigan 8 - Casey Harrigan, Associate Director of Debate at UGA, Master’s in Communications, Wake Forest U., 2008, “A Defense of Switch Side Debate”, Master’s thesis at Wake Forest, Department of Communication, May, pp.49-50

Third, there is an important question of means. Even the best activist intentions

AND

, sustainable political changes will likely be impossible (Best & Kellner 2001).

3. Topic Education—their interpretation diverts focus away from the topic. Topic education is good:

A. Policy relevance—learning about how theory relates to policy and discussing implementation is crucial to influence real policymakers—without tying advocacy to policy, debate becomes irrelevant

Nye 09 - Joseph Nye, professor at Harvard University and former dean of the Harvard Kennedy School, 4-13-2009, Washington Post, http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/04/12/AR2009041202260\_pf.html 4-13-09

President Obama has appointed some distinguished academic economists and lawyers to his administration, but

AND

the trends in academic life seem to be headed in the opposite direction.

**T-USFG KY**

**A**

4. Extratopicality—they defend thea ff as a metaphor

**DA: Debt Ceiling KY**

**Obama pressure ensures debt limit will be raised now**

Edward **Dovere**, “Government Shutdown: President Obama Holds the Line,” POLITICO, **10—1**—13,

<http://www.politico.com/story/2013/10/government-shutdown-president-obama-holds-the-line-97646.html?hp=f3>

President Barack Obama started September in an agonizing, extended display of how little sway

AND

“They don’t suddenly start going the other way as people rethink this.”

**Plan guts Obama’s negotiating cred**

**Seeking Alpha 9-10**, 9-10-2013, “Syria Could Upend Debt Ceiling Fight,” http://seekingalpha.com/article/1684082-syria-could-upend-debt-ceiling-fight

Unless President Obama can totally change a reluctant public's perception of another Middle-Eastern

AND

to fund the government at sequester levels while passing a $1 trillion stand

**Failure to raise debt ceiling collapses military effectiveness and heg**

**Masters 1/2/13** (Jonathan, Deputy Editor for the Council on Foreign Relations, “US Debt Ceiling Costs Consequences,” <http://www.cfr.org/international-finance/us-debt-ceiling-costs-consequences/p24751>)

The government must be able to issue new debt as long as it continues to

AND

congressional approval for any increase (or decrease) from this statutory level.

**Cutting military spending jacks hegemony – signals perception of weakness**

**Kagan ‘09** (Robert, senior associate at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2/3/09, “No Time To Cut Defense,”)

A reduction in defense spending this year would unnerve American allies and undercut efforts to

AND

be taken by the world as evidence that the American retreat has begun.

**Hegemony solves conflicts that cause extinction**

Thomas P.M. **Barnett,** chief analyst, Wikistrat, “The New Rules: Leadership Fatigue Puts U.S. and Globalization, at Crossroads,” WORLD POLITICS REVIEW, 3—7—**11**, www.worldpoliticsreview.com/articles/8099/the-new-rules-leadership-fatigue-puts-u-s-and-globalization-at-crossroads

Events in Libya are a further reminder for Americans that we stand at a crossroads

AND

the 20th century, setting the stage for the Pacific Century now unfolding.

**DA: Flex KY**

**Congressional restrictions cause adversaries to doubt the credibility of our threats – causes nuclear escalation**

Matthew **Waxman** 8/25/**13**, Professor of Law @ Columbia and Adjunct Senior Fellow for Law and Foreign Policy @ CFR, “The Constitutional Power to Threaten War,” Forthcoming in Yale Law Journal, vol. 123, August 25, 2013, SSRN

A claim previously advanced from a presidentialist perspective is that stronger¶ legislative checks on

AND

,¶ preserved in legal doctrine, enhances the credibility of presidential threats to escalate

**DA: Flex v TK GSU**

**Congressional control of targeted killing destroys war fighting and turns the case.**

**Issacharoff ‘13**

Samuel Issacharoff, Reiss Professor of Constitutional Law, New York University School of Law. and Richard H. Pildes, Sudler Family Professor of Constitutional Law, New York University School of Law; CoDirector, NYU Program on Law and Security, “Drones and the Dilemma of Modern Warfare,” PUBLIC LAW & LEGAL THEORY RESEARCH PAPER SERIES WORKING PAPER NO. 13-34 Star Chamber=politicized secret court from 15th century England, symbol of abuse

Procedural Safeguards

As with all use of lethal force, there must be procedures in place to

AND

, such as the intelligence committees, to play this role effectively.65

### DA: Syria Intervention KY

#### Obama will use his executive authority to intervene in Syria now—the Russian deal is doomed to failure

Goldberg 9-11 (Jeffrey, author of "Prisoners: A Story of Friendship and Terror"9-11-13, "Obama's Syria Pause Only Delaying the Inevitable") www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-09-11/obama-s-syria-pause-only-delaying-the-inevitable.html

Obama's Syria Pause Only Delaying the Inevitable Rule No. 1 in the Middle East

AND

if Congress doesn't listen, he will have to make decisions by himself.

#### Using the justification of humanitarian intervention is key to coalition-building

Myles 13 (Robert, august, “http://www.allvoices.com/contributed-news/15371010-obama-risking-a-coalition-of-one-if-us-strikes-syria-early

The moral case against chemical weapons use is unanswerable but trying to justify reprisals with

AND

early, it could so easily find itself in a coalition of one.

#### Unilateral action kills multilateral coop over Iran prolif, Israel-palestine, and causes massive middle east instability

AFP 13 (9-12-13 Putin: Unilateral Syria strike would up-end world order Read more at: http://english.astroawani.com/news/show/putin-unilateral-syria-strike-would-up-end-world-order-22075?cp"english.astroawani.com/news/show/putin-unilateral-syria-strike-would-up-end-world-order-22075

Russia issued a stark warning Thursday that unilateral US military action could destroy world order

AND

entire system of international law and order out of balance," he said.

#### Mid-east wars cause extinction

Russell, 9 (James A. Russell, Senior Lecturer, National Security Affairs, Naval Postgraduate School, ‘9 (Spring) “Strategic Stability Reconsidered: Prospects for Escalation and Nuclear War in the Middle East” IFRI, Proliferation Papers//, #26, \_\_http://www.ifri.org/downloads/PP26\_Russell\_2009.pdf\_\_)

Strategic stability in the region is thus undermined by various factors: (1)

AND

the peoples of the region, with substantial risk for the entire world.

**CP: Courts KY**

**The United States federal judiciary should rule unconstitutional the war powers authority of the President to use humanitarian justifications as grounds for introducing the United States Armed Forces into hostilities.**

**Courts solve, provide political cover**

Richard L. **Pacelle**, Associate Professor, Political Science, University of Missouri-St. Louis, THE ROLE OF THE SUPREME COURT IN AMERICAN POLITICS, 20**02**, p. 102.

The limitations on the Court are not as significant as they once seemed. They

AND

turn the focus to judicial capacity, the subject of the next chapter.

**Courts key—congress doesn’t solve precedent, won’t challenge prez power abuses in a crisis**

**Katyal & Tribe, ‘02**

[Neal K. and Laurence H., Visiting Professor @ Yale Law School and Professor @ Georgetown Law Center, April, “Waging War, Deciding Guilt: Trying the Military Tribunals,” Yale Law Journal, 111 Yale L.J. 1259, ln //]

The President's legal claim - reflecting an ambitious stretching of the Commander-in-

AND

of powers is designed precisely to guard against such transfers of constitutional authority.

**Courts best promote national deliberation**

Christine **Bateup** (historical scholar and JSD Candidate at NYU, **06**. (71 Brooklyn L. Rev. 1109, “The Dialogic Promise Assessing The Normative Potential of Theories of Constitutional Dialogue,” ln)

The most prominent descriptions of constitutional dialogue in this vein have been developed by Barry

AND

1160] stable and broadly supported answers to questions of constitutional meaning. 194

**CP: ER v Drones GSU**

**The President of the United States should:**

* Limit targeted killings to individuals who U.S. officials claim are being targeted and bring drone strike practices in line with states policies;
* Provide a public accounting of how signature strikes meet principles of distinction and proportionality;
* Review current executive authority;
* Provide unclassified information on procedures to prevent harm to civilians, investigate collateral damage, take corrective actions based on those investigations, and provide amends for civilian losses;
* Not conduct non-battlefield targeted kills without an accountable human being authorizing the strike.
* not use targeted killing as a first resort in zones outside of active hostilities
* announce the change in policy

**Evidence concludes**

**Zenko 13** (Micah Zenko is the Douglas Dillon fellow in the Center for Preventive Action (CPA) at the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). Previously, he worked for five years at the Harvard Kennedy School and in Washington, DC, at the Brookings Institution, Congressional Research Service, and State Department's Office of Policy Planning, Council Special Report No. 65, January 2013, “U.S. Drone Strike Policies”, i.cfr.org/content/publications/attachments/Drones\_CSR65.pdf‎)

The president of the United States should

■■ limit targeted killings to individuals who U.S. officials claim are being

targeted—the leadership of al-Qaeda and affiliated forces or individuals

with a direct operational role in past or ongoing terrorist plots

against the United States and its allies—and bring drone strike practices

in line with stated policies;

■■ either end the practice of signature strikes or provide a public accounting

of how it meets the principles of distinction and proportionality

that the Obama administration claims;

■■ review its current policy whereby the executive authority for drone

strikes is split between the CIA and JSOC, as each has vastly different

legal authorities, degrees of permissible transparency, and oversight;

■■ provide information to the public, Congress, and UN special rapporteurs—

without disclosing classified information—on what procedures

exist to prevent harm to civilians, including collateral damage

mitigation, investigations into collateral damage, corrective actions

based on those investigations, and amends for civilian losses; and

■■ never conduct nonbattlefield targeted killings without an accountable

human being authorizing the strike (while retaining the potential

necessity of autonomous decisions to use lethal force in warfare in

response to ground-based antiaircraft fire or aerial combat).

**CP: ER v Baylor KY**

**The Executive branch of the United States federal government should restrict the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force to al-Qaeda, the Taliban, or those nations, organizations, or persons who enjoy close and well-established collaboration with al-Qaeda or the Taliban, issue a public announcement of the decision based on International Law Justifications, and implement this through self-binding mechanisms including, but not limited to independent commissions to review and ensure compliance with the order and transparency measures that gives journalists access to White House decisionmaking.**

**CP solves- functional limits create accountability and don’t link to politics**

**Michaels 11** (Jon, Professor, UCLA School of Law, “The (Willingly) Fettered Executive: Presidential Spinoffs in National Security Domains and Beyond,” *Virginia Law Review,* <http://www.virginialawreview.org/content/pdfs/97/801.pdf>)

These are revealing case studies, weighty in their own right and interesting complements to

AND

itself serves as testament to the durability and primacy of the dominant understanding.

### CP: ER v NU KY

#### The Executive branch of the United States federal government should limit targeted killing and detention without charge to zones of active hostilities by issuing a memo of executive branch review policy for those practices; and in addition, by limiting targeted killing and detention without charge outside zones of active hostilities to reviewable operations guided by an individualized threat requirement, a least-harmful-means test, a feasibility test for criminal prosecution, procedural safeguards, and by issuing a memo of executive branch review policy for those practices.

**Executive action solves**

**Zenko 13** (Micah, Douglas Dillon fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations, January 2013, “Reforming U.S. Drone Strike Policies”, http://i.cfr.org/content/publications/attachments/Drones\_CSR65.pdf, zzx)

The president of the United States should ■■ limit targeted killings to individuals who U

AND

in warfare in response to ground-based antiaircraft fire or aerial combat).

#### Strong presidency is essential to avert nuclear annihilation

Paul 1998 (Joel- Professor at University of Connecticut School of Law, “The Geopolitical Constitution: Executive Expediency and Executive Agreements,” California Law Review, Jul)

Whatever the complexity of causes that led to the Cold War - ideology, economics

AND

rest in the capacity of the Presidency as an institution of constitutional dictatorship.

### CP: Strategic Word PIC GSU

**Counterplan: Thus, we advocate black liberation as resistance to the regime of targeted killing.**

**The discourse of strategy reproduces a body of knowledge concerning a form of social organization that is explicitly masculinist and militaristic. It reproduces micro-violence of both discourses-directly influences how their liberation extends to new contexts.**

Eero **Vaara**, Professor of Economics, “Taking the Linguistic Turn Seriously: Strategy as a Multifaceted Interdiscursive Phenomenon” **2010**, p. 7-9

These discussions include all kinds of interactions in both formal and informal arenas. Naturally

AND

,‟ they provides alternatives to the militaristic narratives and broader conceptions of strategy.

**K: Marx v Texas KY**

**Congress link: Talking about ‘democracy” without discussing class is a joke--ignores the exploitive nature of our current political system**

Bob **Avakian**, Chairman, Revolutionary Communist Party, “Part 3: The Bourgeois View of Freedom and the Individual,” REVOLUTIONARY WORKER n. 1216, October 19, 20**03**. Available from the World Wide Web at: <http://rwor.org/a/1216/2hdem3.htm>, accessed 5/2/05.

In a world marked by profound class divisions and social inequalities, to talk about

AND

of class divisions and the corresponding relations of exploitation, oppression and inequality.

**Identity link and root cause--oppression of women is a historical production of the emergence of a classed society founded on the logic of surplus accumulation.**

**Cloud** (Prof. Comm at UT) **03**

[Dana, “Marxism and Oppression”, Talk for Regional Socialist Conference, April 19, 2003, p. online]

In order to challenge oppression, it is important to know where it comes from

AND

men against women encourage us to fight each other rather than organizing together.

**The material determinism of capital is responsible for the instrumentalization of all life—makes all oppression inevitable.**

**Dyer-Witherford** (professor of Library and Info. Sciences @ the Univ. of Western Ontarion) **‘99** [Nick. Cyber Marx: Cycles and Circuits of Struggle in High Technology Capitalism.]

For capitalism, the use of machines as organs of “will over nature”

AND

degree to which this project of mastery has backfired is all too obvious.

**Vote Negative to validate and adopt the method of structural/historical criticism that is the 1NC.**

**one must understand the existing social totality before one can act on it—grounding the sites of political contestation or knowledge outside of labor and surplus value merely serve to humynize capital and prevent a transition to a society beyond oppression**

**Tumino** (Prof. English @ Pitt) **01**

[Stephen, “What is Orthodox Marxism and Why it Matters Now More than Ever”, Red Critiqu]

Any effective political theory will have to do at least two things: it will

AND

of praxis that will lead to building a society free from necessity. Bu

t first I must clarify what I mean by Orthodox Marxism. Like all other

AND

determinism of corporate theory ("knowledge work") that masquerades as social theory.

**K: Security KY**

**The aff’s ontological commitment to security causes endless war and serial policy failure**

**Burke 2007** (Anthony Burke, Int'l Studies @ U of New South Wales, “Ontologies of War,” in Theory & Event, Vol. 10, Iss. 2)

This tells us much about the enduring power of crude instrumental versions of strategic

AND

and violence as necessary policy responses, however ineffective, dysfunctional or chaotic.

**Reject the aff as an instance of the violent logic of security**

**Burke**, School of Political Science and International Studies, University of Queensland **2002** [Anthony, Aporias of Security, Alternatives 27]

It is perhaps easy to become despondent, but as countless struggles for freedom,

AND

is a world after security, and what its shimmering possibilities might be.

### K: Technopessimism GSU

#### Embracing the positive potential in technology is critical to our survival

Nick **Bostrom**, Faculty of Philosophy, Oxford University, THE TRANSHUMANIST FAQ – A GENERAL INTRODUCTION Version 2.1, 20**03**, p. 4-5.

Through the accelerating pace of technological development and scientific understanding, we are entering a

AND

and working to prevent disasters is an essential part of the transhumanist agenda.

#### Comparative evidence—embracing and directing the extension of our capabilities is more likely to ensure our survival

Mark **Walker**, “Ship of Fools: Why Transhumanism is the Best Bet to Prevent the Extintion of Civilization,” GLOBAL SPIRAL, Metanexus Institute, 2—5—**09**, [www.metanexus.net/magazine/tabid/68/id/10682/Default.aspx](http://www.metanexus.net/magazine/tabid/68/id/10682/Default.aspx)

Option: transhumanism future. The transhumanist future is one where both world-engineering

AND

policy at less than 20%. But then, I am an optimist.

#### The alternative is to recognize that there is no gaze, and embrace the positive technological potential of drones

#### This solves the aff, democratizes technological development

James **Hughes**, PhD, Public Policy Studies, DEMOCRATIC TRANSHUMANISM 2.0, last modified 1—26—**06**, [www.changesurfer.com/Acad/DemocraticTranshumanism.htm](http://www.changesurfer.com/Acad/DemocraticTranshumanism.htm),

Luddism is a political dead-end for progressive politics. Progressives must revive the

AND

and longevity for all, eliminating work, and colonizing the Solar System.

**K: Technopessimism KY**

**The aff’s knee-jerk opposition to drones and the assumption of a se-er/seen binary is techno-pessimism--Embracing the positive potential in technology is critical to our survival**

Mark **Walker**, “Ship of Fools: Why Transhumanism is the Best Bet to Prevent the Extintion of Civilization,” GLOBAL SPIRAL, Metanexus Institute, 2—5—**09**, [www.metanexus.net/magazine/tabid/68/id/10682/Default.aspx](http://www.metanexus.net/magazine/tabid/68/id/10682/Default.aspx)

Option: transhumanism future. The transhumanist future is one where both world-engineering

AND

policy at less than 20%. But then, I am an optimist.

**The alternative is to reject the se-er/seen binary and embrace the positive technological potential of drones**

**This solves the aff, democratizes technological development**

James **Hughes**, PhD, Public Policy Studies, DEMOCRATIC TRANSHUMANISM 2.0, last modified 1—26—**06**, [www.changesurfer.com/Acad/DemocraticTranshumanism.htm](http://www.changesurfer.com/Acad/DemocraticTranshumanism.htm),

Luddism is a political dead-end for progressive politics. Progressives must revive the

AND

and longevity for all, eliminating work, and colonizing the Solar System.

**K: Security GSU**

**Security discourse is constructed and shapes policy- root cause of war, causes dehumanization and extermination**

Talbot 8 ( 'Us' and 'Them': Terrorism, Conflict and (O)ther Discursive Formations by Steven Talbot Defence Science and Technology Organisation Sociological Research Online, Volume 13, Issue 1Published: 21/3/2008

As a point of departure, this paper aims to explore the significance of identity[1](http://www.socresonline.org.uk/13/1/17.html#fn1)formation

AND

-discourse highlighting the diversity extant within ‘so-called’ homogenous populations.

**Role of the ballot is to either accept or reject the affs securitization—prior to action**

**Saltera 8** (Securitization and desecuritization: a dramaturgical analysis of the Canadian Air Transport Security Authority Mark B Saltera School of Politics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 6N5. E-mail: msalter@uottawa.ca, , 2008

This model of settings for securitizing moves fits cleanly with Paris School interventions on the

AND

/or in the wider policy making discourse' (Floyd 2007: 336).

### K: Marx v Wayne LM

#### Materialism explains reality…focus on the discursive/symbolic obfuscates that relation and makes oppression inevitable

The affirmatives focus on the discursive/symbolic reveals the extent to which they have given up on actually challenging the structures of oppression. But far from being a post-capitalist age in which all social experience is textually or discursively produced, it is a material world. Only a materialist method can account for the ways in which certain classes create and deploy rhetoric to legitimize a capitalist mode of social relations

Cloud (Prof of Comm at Texas) 01

[Dana, “The Affirmative Masquerade”, p. online: http://www.acjournal.org/holdings/vol4/iss3/special/cloud.htm]

At the very least, however, it is clear that poststructuralist discourse theories

AND

It is the means for producing transformative knowledges. (p. 7)

#### The material determinism of capital is responsible for the instrumentalization of all life—makes all oppression inevitable.

**Dyer-Witherford** (professor of Library and Info. Sciences @ the Univ. of Western Ontarion) **‘99** [Nick. Cyber Marx: Cycles and Circuits of Struggle in High Technology Capitalism.]

For capitalism, the use of machines as organs of “will over nature”

AND

degree to which this project of mastery has backfired is all too obvious.

#### Vote Negative to validate and adopt the method of structural/historical criticism that is the 1NC.

#### one must understand the existing social totality before one can act on it—grounding the sites of political contestation or knowledge outside of labor and surplus value merely serve to humynize capital and prevent a transition to a society beyond oppression

**Tumino** (Prof. English @ Pitt) **01**

[Stephen, “What is Orthodox Marxism and Why it Matters Now More than Ever”, Red Critiqu]

Any effective political theory will have to do at least two things: it will

AND

determinism of corporate theory ("knowledge work") that masquerades as social theory.

### K: Marx v Wake LW

**Identity politics is a divide-and-rule strategy and perpetuates localism destroying the political universalism of class,**

**Hennessy** (Prof @ SUNY Albany) **2K**

[Rosemary, Profit and Pleasure: Sexual Identities in Late Capitalism, Routledge]

Basic to the structure of late capitalism is a new global division of labor.

AND

they tend to promote political projects that keep the structures of capitalism invisible.

**The affs focus on ineluctable difference is inaccurate and collapses a Marxist approach to politics.**

E. **San Juan 2**, Jr. Crystal Bartolovich and - editor, Neil Lazarus 2 - editor. Marxism, Modernity and Postcolonial Studies. Contributors: Publisher: Cambridge University Press. Place of Publication: Cambridge, England. Publication Year: 2002.

Questia p. 221-239

I have dwelt at length on this topic because of postcolonial critics' insistence that the

AND

prevails, the ideal and practice of national liberation will continue to thrive.

**The history of slavery proves that race is merely a symptom of capital—any discussion of racism must first start at the violent history of capital accumulation**

**Tom Keefer, member of Facing Reality, in New Socialist Magazine, January 2003. http://www.newsocialist.org/magazine/39/article03.html**

The brutality and viciousness of capitalism is well known to the oppressed and exploited of

AND

and exploitation came into being before we can hope to successfully overthrow them.

**The material determinism of capital is responsible for the instrumentalization of all life—makes all oppression inevitable.**

**Dyer-Witherford** (professor of Library and Info. Sciences @ the Univ. of Western Ontarion) **‘99** [Nick. Cyber Marx: Cycles and Circuits of Struggle in High Technology Capitalism.]

For capitalism, the use of machines as organs of “will over nature”

AND

degree to which this project of mastery has backfired is all too obvious.

**Reject the aff to validate and adopt the method of structural/historical criticism that is the 1NC.**

one must understand the existing social totality before one can act on it—grounding the sites of political contestation or knowledge outside of labor and surplus value merely serve to humynize capital and prevent a transition to a society beyond oppression

**Tumino** (Prof. English @ Pitt) **01**

[Stephen, “What is Orthodox Marxism and Why it Matters Now More than Ever”, Red Critiqu]

Any effective political theory will have to do at least two things: it will

AND

determinism of corporate theory ("knowledge work") that masquerades as social theory.