

## Analysis Report for: E147707592CB2FA7324B957847BAB77C.exe.c

### \*\*Overall Functionality\*\*

This C code, likely generated from a disassembled malware sample, appears to be a Windows installer or self-extracting archive with custom logic. It parses a configuration file (likely XML or a similar format), extracts files, and potentially executes another program ("setup.exe"). The complexity and the extensive use of file operations, process creation, and inter-process communication strongly suggest malicious intent. The heavy obfuscation via numerous small functions makes definitive analysis difficult without more context (e.g., the configuration file contents).

### \*\*Function Summaries\*\*

Due to the sheer number of functions (811), providing a detailed summary for each is impractical within this response. However, the provided code snippets illustrate the general patterns found in many of the functions:

\*\*\*WinMain\*\*\*: The main entry point of the Windows application. It orchestrates the entire installation/execution process, calling numerous subroutines.

\*\*\*sub\_4018CA\*\*\*: Appears to handle cleanup and deletion of temporary files and directories.

\*\*\*sub\_401932\*\*\*: Compares two wide character strings (likely file paths).

\*\*\*sub\_401951\*\*\*: Checks the Windows version; returns `TRUE` if it's a supported version (likely for compatibility).

\*\*\*sub\_401987\*\*\*: Initializes a data structure; it likely represents a key part of the program's state.

\*\*\*sub\_4019A4\*\*\*: Possibly manages a pool of resources or handles.

\*\*\*sub\_4019C9\*\*\*: Cleanup related function.

\*\*\*sub\_4019F5\*\*\*: Searches a file for specific byte sequences (likely markers in a configuration file or archive).

\*\*\*sub\_401B7E`, `sub\_401EF8`, `sub\_40376E`, `sub\_4038D0`, `sub\_403950`, `sub\_40399C`, `sub\_4039D8\*\*\*: These functions manipulate strings and buffers, possibly performing string encoding or decoding. This is a common obfuscation technique.

\*\*\*sub\_40235E`, `sub\_4065FE`, `sub\_40C7C3`, `sub\_40DBA9`, `sub\_40CD5A`, `sub\_4110A0`, `sub\_411E50`, `sub\_412110`, `sub\_4126B0`, `sub\_4128A0`, `sub\_412FE0\*\*\*: These functions appear to handle custom data structures.

\*\*\*sub\_4024DB\*\*\*: Performs a complex operation; the parameters suggest it interacts with file I/O and potentially UI elements.

\*\*\*sub\_404A40\*\*\*: Creates a temporary directory for the archive extraction.

\*\*\*sub\_40525F`, `sub\_405375`, `sub\_405392`, `sub\_4053B3`, `sub\_405455`, `sub\_405472`, `sub\_405489\*\*\*: These functions wrap various Windows API calls for file operations (creating, opening, reading, writing, and deleting files).

\*\*\*sub\_4082EF\*\*\*: A potentially significant function, possibly performing core installation/execution tasks.

\*\*\*sub\_4088FD`, `sub\_403FB4`, `sub\_403089`, `sub\_409432`, `sub\_409493\*\*\*: These functions appear to handle some form of container (e.g., vector) or a dynamic array.

\*\*\*sub\_411340\*\*\*: This function appears to handle multi-threaded operations. The structure is consistent with parallel processing of tasks.

\*\*\*Many other functions\*\*\*: Numerous other functions exist, each likely performing a small, specific task within the larger installation/execution scheme. The naming convention suggests an automated decompilation process.

### \*\*Control Flow\*\*

Analyzing the control flow of each function in detail is beyond the scope of this analysis due to the sheer volume. However, a general pattern emerges:

- \* The functions are heavily nested, using many intermediate functions to perform even simple operations. This obfuscates the code's behavior and makes it challenging to follow the execution path.
- \* Functions with loops generally process data in buffers or containers sequentially or in parallel.
- \* Numerous conditional statements are used to handle errors, check for different input parameters or configuration options, and control the flow of the installation/execution steps. This implies multiple paths of execution based on factors like user input and the file system.

### \*\*Data Structures\*\*

The code utilizes various data structures, many of which are custom and not explicitly defined:

- \*\*\*Custom Structures\*\*\*: Numerous small, custom structures are used throughout the code. The exact layout of these structures is unclear without symbol information or more in-depth reverse engineering. They might hold strings, file data, metadata, or internal program states.
- \*\*\*Arrays and Vectors\*\*\*: The code makes use of arrays and likely dynamically allocated arrays (vectors) to handle collections of data, often strings or handles to files.
- \*\*\*Windows Structures\*\*\*: The code uses standard Windows structures such as `\_RTL\_CRITICAL\_SECTION`, `FILETIME`, `VARIANTARG`, and others, confirming that the code operates within a Windows environment.

### \*\*Malware Family Suggestion\*\*

Given the overall functionality, the extensive use of file operations (creation, deletion, reading, writing), process creation (`CreateProcessW`), and the high level of obfuscation, this code is highly suggestive of a \*\*packer or installer for a more complex malware\*\*. The modular design and numerous small functions are typical of sophisticated malware designed to evade analysis. It's likely associated with a \*\*dropper\*\*, which delivers and installs the payload. Further analysis involving dynamic analysis (running the program in a sandbox) and detailed static analysis (reverse engineering) of the functions would be needed to definitively identify its functionality and the ultimate payload. The heavy reliance on Windows API calls prevents easy classification to a specific malware family without further investigation.