Analysis Report for: F18A1F402BA1211274984F10892CE359.exe

Overall Functionality

This Python script interacts with a web service (likely a QA reporting system) to identify and update certain entries. It fetches a list of QA reports from `https://glacier.xbyte.io/qa-report/data`, iterates through them, and if a report's `reported_by` field is "Glacier Xbyte", it sends a POST request to `https://glacier.xbyte.io/qa-report/error-comment-add` to update the report's status and add a comment. The script uses the `requests` library to make HTTP requests. Crucially, it uses a large number of cookies that appear to be session cookies and cross-site request forgery (CSRF) tokens, strongly indicating that this script is designed to operate within a specific, logged-in session on a web application, and its misuse could be malicious.

Function Summaries

There are no explicitly defined functions in this code. The script is a sequence of statements. The logic is structured using loops and conditional statements.

Control Flow

- 1. **GET Request:** The script makes a GET request to `https://glacier.xbyte.io/qa-report/data` to retrieve QA report data. The URL includes parameters suggesting pagination and filtering capabilities.
- 2. **Data Processing:** The `response.json()` method parses the JSON response. The script then iterates through the `aaData` list within the JSON response using a `for` loop.
- 3. **Conditional Logic:** Inside the loop, an `if` statement checks if `reported_by` equals "Glacier Xbyte".
- 4. **POST Request (Conditional):** If the condition in step 3 is true, a POST request is made to

'https://glacier.xbyte.io/qa-report/error-comment-add' to update the report. The payload includes a comment indicating no error was found and sets the 'report_status' to '6'.

5. **Output:** The response from the POST request is printed to the console.

Data Structures

- `url`: A string containing the URL for the GET request.
- `headers`: A dictionary containing HTTP headers, including cookies and CSRF tokens, which are critical to the script's functionality. The presence of these indicates that the code is designed to work within a specific user session.
- `response`: A `requests.Response` object containing the response from the GET request.
- `result`: A Python dictionary (the JSON response from the GET request).
- `aaData`: A list of dictionaries within `result`, where each dictionary represents a QA report.
- `url1`: A string containing the URL for the POST request.
- `payload`: A dictionary containing the data for the POST request.
- `files`: An empty list (no files are sent with the POST request).
- `response1`: A `requests.Response` object containing the response from the POST request.

Malware Family Suggestion

While this script isn't inherently malicious, its functionality and the way it's written raise strong suspicions of potential misuse as a component of a larger malicious program. The script's reliance on session cookies and CSRF tokens makes it highly vulnerable. A malicious actor could easily modify this script to:

- * **Mass update reports:** Change the conditional statement to update *all* reports, potentially manipulating data for fraudulent purposes.
- * **Inject malicious comments:** Alter the `error_comment` payload to include malicious code or links.
- * **Exfiltrate data:** Add code to extract other sensitive information from the fetched reports and send it to a remote server.

Therefore, depending on its context and modifications, this script could be considered a component of:

- * **Data manipulation malware:** Altering QA report data for fraudulent activities or to cover up issues.
- * **Web application attack tools:** Used as part of a larger attack to compromise the QA reporting system.
- * **Session hijacking tools:** Using stolen cookies to perform unauthorized actions on the website.

The code exhibits characteristics reminiscent of legitimate automation scripts, but the ease with which it could be repurposed for malicious activities means that without significant context and security measures, classifying it as a potential component of a data manipulation or web application attack tool is appropriate. The presence of seemingly random, encoded data in the cookies adds an additional layer of complexity and obfuscation.