Analysis Report for: F9DF8D264FEB412CAE611A48147FF339.exe.c

Overall Functionality

This C code is highly obfuscated, likely through the use of a decompiler (Hex-Rays). Its overall functionality appears to be a complex malware component, potentially a backdoor or part of a larger malware family. The code extensively uses Windows API functions for process creation, thread management, network operations (sockets), registry manipulation, and file system access. The heavy use of encryption/decryption (indicated by XOR operations and custom cryptographic-like functions) and the numerous small functions suggest an attempt to hide its malicious actions. The code interacts with the system at a low level, leveraging features to avoid detection.

Function Summaries

Due to the obfuscation, providing precise function summaries for all 424 functions is impractical. However, I can offer summaries for some key functions based on their names, parameters, and API calls they use:

- `start()`: This is the entry point of the program. It initializes various data structures, calls several other functions (many with unclear purposes), and ultimately terminates the process using `ExitProcess`.
- `sub_140001307()`: Seems to perform some initialization or cleanup tasks, calling other functions related to cleanup and termination.
- `sub_140001333()`: Checks if a specific bit is set in a given integer.
- `sub_1400013E5()`, `sub_140009AF0`: These functions seem to search through a data structure (likely an array of structures) to find an entry matching specific criteria.
- `sub_14000151A()`: Performs a data transfer or operation in chunks, likely designed to handle large data files.
- `sub_140001719()`, `sub_140002A9E`, `sub_140007158`: Functions that may be involved in data validation or integrity checks.
- `sub_140001780()`, `sub_14000CC6E`: Functions responsible for sending data over a network, possibly using custom encryption.
- `sub_140001812()`: Performs a custom XOR-based encryption or decryption operation on a byte array.
- `sub_140002CD6()`: Uses `NetUserSetInfo` to potentially modify user account information.
- `sub_14000303A()`, `sub_1400053AD`, `sub_1400057DF`, `sub_140006415`, `sub_1400064EE`: Functions likely working with file system operations; processing file contents or metadata.
- `sub_1400031AB()`: Appears to be a main loop of the malware, continuously checking for something and performing actions.
- `sub_1400033A4()`: Uses `NetUserDel` to delete a user account.
- `sub_14000E4E8()`: Creates a new thread using `CreateThread`.
- `sub_14000FEF0()`: Likely performs network initialization, potentially using `WSAStartup`.
- `sub_1400109D8()`: Performs network connection operations, possibly establishing a connection to a C&C server.
- `sub_1400113B4()`: Measures elapsed time, possibly for timing-based actions or anti-analysis techniques.
- `sub_140015414()`: Initializes a console, suggests potential interactive capabilities for the malware author.

Control Flow

The control flow is highly complex due to obfuscation and the presence of many nested loops and conditional statements. The main loop ('sub_1400031AB') illustrates this complexity. Many functions have deeply nested loops that iterate through data structures (which themselves are not easily discernable due to the obfuscation). Conditional statements within the loops are used to handle specific cases or potential errors. The logic is non-linear and designed to make reverse-engineering difficult.

Data Structures

Several arrays and likely custom structures are used. `dword_14001A1F0`, `dword_14001C870`, and `word_14001C05E` are large arrays, possibly lookup tables for custom cryptographic routines or storing configuration data. Other data structures exist, but their exact layouts are obfuscated and require extensive analysis to understand their purpose.

Based on the combination of features and the obfuscation techniques, this malware sample resembles a **RAT (Remote Access Trojan)** or a **backdoor** program. The extensive use of network functions, registry manipulation (likely for persistence), file system actions (data exfiltration or modification), thread creation (to hide activity), and anti-debugging techniques all strongly point toward this type of malware. The custom encryption suggests the authors actively try to avoid detection by network security tools. A precise family identification would require further, much more in-depth analysis, and dynamic analysis (running the code in a controlled environment).