

## Analysis Report for: 8762E19DB713B6080A33840F41E4788A.exe.c

### **\*\*Overall Functionality\*\***

This C code consists of three functions: ``sub_401454``, ``sub_401484``, and ``sub_404CAC``. The code appears to be obfuscated, likely produced by a decompiler from assembly code. Its overall functionality is unclear without more context, but it exhibits characteristics suggestive of malicious intent (discussed further below). The functions manipulate global variables (``dword_42A480``, ``word_42A4C2``, ``byte_4028FC``), perform bitwise XOR operations, and utilize indirect function calls, all common obfuscation techniques.

### **\*\*Function Summaries\*\***

\* ``sub_401454``: This function checks the value of the global short integer ``word_42A4C2``. If it's equal to 65, it returns 0. Otherwise, it modifies the global integer ``dword_42A480`` by assigning it the address of a location within the global byte array ``byte_4028FC`` (an offset of 124 bytes is added, which is potentially outside the array bounds leading to a potential buffer overflow vulnerability). The function then returns the value of ``word_42A4C2`` as an unsigned short.

\* ``sub_401484``: This function takes a pointer to a DWORD (``a1``) and an integer (``a2``) as input. It performs a bitwise XOR operation between the value pointed to by ``a1`` and ``a2``, storing the result at a memory location given by the seemingly arbitrary address ``0x5DE58B0A``. The function returns the original pointer ``a1``. This strongly suggests memory corruption or manipulation.

\* ``sub_404CAC``: This function uses a function pointer. It retrieves a WORD and a DWORD from the stack (likely the return address), interprets them as a function pointer, and then executes the function pointed to by this constructed pointer. This is a highly dangerous technique, as it allows arbitrary code execution from potentially attacker-controlled memory.

### **\*\*Control Flow\*\***

\* ``sub_401454``: This function has a simple conditional statement. It checks if ``word_42A4C2`` equals 65. If true, it executes a single return statement, otherwise it performs a memory assignment before returning.

\* ``sub_401484``: The control flow is straightforward. It performs a single XOR operation and a return.

\* ``sub_404CAC``: This function has no branching logic. It directly constructs and executes a function pointer.

### **\*\*Data Structures\*\***

\* ``byte_4028FC``: A 5-byte array initialized with the value 0x90 (NOP instruction in x86 assembly), potentially used as padding or for other purposes. The manipulation of this array in ``sub_401454`` with an offset exceeding the array size points to potential vulnerability or obfuscation.

\* ``dword_42A480``: A global integer variable, modified in ``sub_401454``. Its purpose is unclear without further context but it could serve as a flag or pointer to other data.

\* ``word_42A4C2``: A global short integer variable, checked in ``sub_401454``. Its value (17026 or 65) is used for conditional execution, which points to some configuration or control logic.

### **\*\*Malware Family Suggestion\*\***

The combination of obfuscation techniques (arbitrary memory writes, indirect function calls), potential buffer overflow in ``sub_401454`` and the extremely dangerous arbitrary code execution in ``sub_404CAC`` strongly suggests this code could be part of a **malware program**, possibly a rootkit, backdoor, or other type of malware designed for stealth and persistence. The specific malware family is impossible to definitively determine without more information about its overall context and behavior within a larger system. The use of such advanced obfuscation techniques hints at a sophisticated malware author attempting to evade detection. The seemingly random memory address (``0x5DE58B0A``) in ``sub_401484`` further reinforces this suspicion, as it could be used to hide malicious operations in seemingly innocuous memory locations.