Algorithms

Lecture Topic: Approximation Algorithms (Part 4)

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. Understand approximation algorithms by solving the "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.1 Define "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.2 Define "Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS)".
 - 1.3 An exponential-time exact algorithm for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.4 FPTAS for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.5 Prove the correctness of the FPTAS.

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Example: $S = \{1,2,7\}, t = 8.$

Answer: YES.

$$S' = \{1,7\}.$$

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Example: $S = \{1,2,7\}, t = 6.$

Answer: NO.

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Example: $S = \{1,2,7,14,49,98,343,686,2409,2793,16808,17206,117705,117993\}$ t = 138457

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Example: $S = \{1,2,7,14,49,98,343,686,2409,2793,16808,17206,117705,117993\}$ t = 138457

Answer: Yes.

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem) $\in NPC$.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem) $\in NPC$.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Subset-Sum Problem (As an optimization problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Subset-Sum Problem (As a decision problem) $\in NPC$.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: Does S have a subset that adds up exactly to the target value t?

Subset-Sum Problem (As an optimization problem)

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An example application: load goods onto a truck that has a weight capacity constraint.

Quiz question:

I. Can the optimization problem for the "Subset-Sum Problem" be solved in polynomial time?

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. Understand approximation algorithms by solving the "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.1 Pefine "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.2 Define "Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS)".
 - 1.3 An exponential-time exact algorithm for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.4 FPTAS for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.5 Prove the correctness of the FPTAS.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. We show an exponential-time algorithm to compute the optimal solution for this problem.
- 2. We modify it to a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme (FPTAS).

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. We show an exponential-time algorithm to compute the optimal solution for this problem.
- 2. We modify it to a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme (FPTAS).

Approximation Scheme: An approximation scheme for an optimization problem is an approximation algorithm that takes as input not only an instance of the problem, but also a value $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any fixed ϵ , the scheme is a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. We show an exponential-time algorithm to compute the optimal solution for this problem.
- 2. We modify it to a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme (FPTAS).

Approximation Scheme: An approximation scheme for an optimization problem is an approximation algorithm that takes as input not only an instance of the problem, but also a value $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any fixed ϵ , the scheme is a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm.

Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (PTAS): An approximation scheme is a polynomial-time approximation scheme if for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, the scheme runs in time polynomial in the size n of its input.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. We show an exponential-time algorithm to compute the optimal solution for this problem.
- 2. We modify it to a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme (FPTAS).

Approximation Scheme: An approximation scheme for an optimization problem is an approximation algorithm that takes as input not only an instance of the problem, but also a value $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any fixed ϵ , the scheme is a $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximation algorithm.

Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (PTAS): An approximation scheme is a polynomial-time approximation scheme if for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, the scheme runs in time polynomial in the size n of its input.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS): An approximation scheme is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme if its running time is polynomial in both $1/\epsilon$ and the size n of the input instance. Example: $O((1/\epsilon)^2 n^3)$

Quiz question:

I. What is the difference between an Approximation Scheme, a PTAS, and an FPTAS?

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. Understand approximation algorithms by solving the "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.1 Pefine "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.2 Define "Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS)".
 - 1.3 An exponential-time exact algorithm for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.4 FPTAS for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.5 Prove the correctness of the FPTAS.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ L_0 : the list of subset-sums from $\{ \}$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ L_0 : the list of subset-sums from $\{ \} \}$
- 2. for i = 1 to n L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ L_0 : the list of subset-sums from $\{ \}$
- 2. for i = 1 to n L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t
- 3. $L_i = \mathsf{MERGE}\mathsf{-LISTS}(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$ $L_{i-1} + x_i$: add x_i to each subset-sum in L_{i-1}
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ L_0 : the list of subset-sums from $\{ \}$
- 2. for i=1 to n L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_i\}$ no more than t
- 3. $L_i = \mathsf{MERGE-LISTS}(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$ $L_{i-1} + x_i$: add x_i to each subset-sum in L_{i-1}
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t no need to memorize such subset-sums
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

An exponential-time exact algorithm

Basic idea: 1. For each subset S' of S, compute the sum of its elements.

- 2. Then select the subset whose sum is as large as possible but no more than t.
- 3. To compute the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}\}$, we can use the subset sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$.
- 4. There is no need to memorize the subset sums larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$ L_0 : the list of subset-sums from $\{ \}$
- 2. for i=1 to n L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_i\}$ no more than t
- 3. $L_i = \mathsf{MERGE}\mathsf{-LISTS}(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$ $L_{i-1} + x_i$: add x_i to each subset-sum in L_{i-1}
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t no need to memorize such subset-sums
- 5. return the largest element in L_n largest subset-sum from S no more than t

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Example:
$$L = (1, 2, 3, 5, 9)$$

$$L+2 = \langle 3, 4, 5, 7, 11 \rangle$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Example:
$$L = \langle 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 \rangle$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow +2$$

$$L+2 = \langle 3, 4, 5, 7, 11 \rangle$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Example:
$$L = \langle 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 \rangle$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow +2$$

$$L+2 = \langle 3, 4, 5, 7, 11 \rangle$$

$$S + x = \{s+x \mid s \in S\}$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Each L_i is a sorted list.

MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1} , $L_{i-1} + x_i$) has time complexity $O(L_{i-1})$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

 L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t

 P_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

 L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t

 P_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$

$$S = \{ 1, 4, 5 \}$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

 L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t

 P_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$

$$S = \{ 1, 4, 5 \}$$

$$P_1 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

 L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t

 P_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$

$$S = \{ 1, 4, 5 \}$$

$$P_1 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$$

$$P_2 = P_1 \cup (P_1 + 4) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \cup \langle 4, 5 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5 \rangle$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

 L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t

 P_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$

$$S = \{ 1, 4, 5 \}$$

 $P_1 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$
 $P_2 = P_1 \cup (P_1 + 4) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \cup \langle 4, 5 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5 \rangle$
 $P_3 = P_2 \cup (P_2 + 5) = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5 \rangle \cup \langle 5, 6, 9, 10 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of *n* positive integers. A positive integer *t*.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element that is greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

 L_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$ no more than t

 P_i : the list of subset-sums from $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i\}$

Removing the elements greater than t from P_i would give us L_i .

Example:

$$S = \{ 1, 4, 5 \}$$

$$P_1 = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$$

$$P_2 = P_1 \cup (P_1 + 4) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \cup \langle 4, 5 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5 \rangle$$

$$P_3 = P_2 \cup (P_2 + 5) = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5 \rangle \cup \langle 5, 6, 9, 10 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10 \rangle$$

Time complexity of algorithm: exponential in n = |S|

Quiz questions:

- I. What is the main idea of the above algorithm for "Subset-Sum Problem"?
- 2. Why does the above algorithm have exponential time complexity?

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. Understand approximation algorithms by solving the "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.1 Pefine "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.2 Define "Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS)".
 - 1.3 An exponential-time exact algorithm for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.4 FPTAS for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.5 Prove the correctness of the FPTAS.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed from L compared with a such a such as L' constant.

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y:

 $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

We can think of such a z as "representing" y in the new list L'.

Example: $\delta = 0.1$ $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 12, 15, 20,$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 12, 15, 20, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 12, 15, 20, 23, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Main idea: Trim each list L_i . (That is, remove subset-sums that are too "close" to each other.)

Specifically: let $0 < \delta < 1$.

When "trimming" a list L by δ , remove as many elements from L as possible, in such a way that if L' is the result of "trimming" L, then for every element y that was removed

from L, some element z still in L' approximates y: $\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$

Example:
$$\delta = 0.1$$
 $L = \langle 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29 \rangle$ $L' = \langle 10, 12, 15, 20, 23, 29 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Exact-Subset-Sum(S,n,t)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 5. return the largest element in L_n

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\frac{y}{1+\delta} \le z \le y$$

$$\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2n}$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example: $S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$

t = 308

2. for i = 1 to n

3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$

4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example: $S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$

 $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$

- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$

- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$$

$$L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$

- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$$

$$L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$

- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$
 $L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$
 $L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$
 $L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

t = 308

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$= \{104, 102, 201, 101\} \qquad t = 308$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$
 $L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$
 $L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$
 $L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$t = 308$$

Approx-Subset-Sum
$$(S, n, t, \epsilon)$$

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$
 $L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$
 $L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$
 $L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example:
$$S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$$

$$t = 308$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$$

$$L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$$

$$L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$$

$$L_4 = \langle 0, 101, 102, 201, 203, 302, 303, 404 \rangle$$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example: $S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$

$$t = 308$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$
 $L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$
 $L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$
 $L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$
 $L_4 = \langle 0, 101, 102, 201, 203, 302, 303, 404 \rangle$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- $L_i = \mathsf{TRIM}(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example: $S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$

$$t = 308$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$$

$$L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$$

$$L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$$

$$L_4 = \langle 0, 101, 102, 201, 203, 302, 303, 404 \rangle$$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4$$
 $\frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme:

Example: $S = \{104, 102, 201, 101\}$

$$t = 308$$

$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$L_1 = \langle 0, 104 \rangle$$

$$L_2 = \langle 0, 102, 104, 206 \rangle$$

$$L_3 = \langle 0, 102, 201, 206, 303, 407 \rangle$$

$$L_4 = \langle 0, 101, 102, 201, 203, 302, 303, 404 \rangle$$

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

$$\epsilon = 0.4 \qquad \frac{\epsilon}{2n} = \frac{0.4}{8} = 0.05$$

optimal answer: 307 = 104 + 102 + 101

Quiz questions:

- I. How does the above algorithm reduce its time complexity (compared to the previous exponential-time exact algorithm)?
- 2. Can you think of an instance for which the above algorithm outputs an optimal solution, and an instance for which it does not?

Roadmap of this lecture:

- 1. Understand approximation algorithms by solving the "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.1 Pefine "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.2 Define "Fully Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme (FPTAS)".
 - 1.3 An exponential-time exact algorithm for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.4 FPTAS for "Subset-Sum Problem".
 - 1.5 Prove the correctness of the FPTAS.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution.

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution.

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

Claim: for every element $y \in P_i$ that is at most t, there exists an element $z \in L_i$ such that

$$\frac{y}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^i} \le z \le y$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution.

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

Claim: for every element $y \in P_i$ that is at most t, there exists an element $z \in L_i$ such that

$$\frac{y}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^i} \le z \le y$$

Proof: By induction.

If
$$i = 1$$
, thre exists $z \in L_1$ such that $\frac{y}{1 + \epsilon/2n} \le z \le y$ by the definition of "trimming".

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem. Theorem:

Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution. Proof:

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

Claim: for every element $y \in P_i$ that is at most t, there exists an element $z \in L_i$ such that

$$\frac{y}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^i} \le z \le y$$

Consider i > 1. Proof:

Case 1:
$$y \in P_{i-1}$$

Case 1: $y \in P_{i-1}$ By induction, there exists $z' \in L_{i-1}$ s.t.

$$\frac{y}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^{i-1}} \le z' \le y.$$

z' is in L_i before "trimming" it. So after "trimming" L_i , there exists $z \in L_i$ s.t. By combining them, we get the conclusion.

$$\frac{z'}{1 + \epsilon/2n} \le z \le z$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem. Theorem:

Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution. Proof:

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

Claim: for every element $y \in P_i$ that is at most t, there exists an element $z \in L_i$ such that

$$\frac{y}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^i} \le z \le y$$

Consider i > 1. Proof:

Case 2: $y = \hat{y} + x_i$, where $\hat{y} \in P_{i-1}$. By induction, there exists $z' \in L_{i-1}$ s.t. $z'+x_i$ is in L_i before "trimming" it. So after "trimming" L_i , there exists $z \in L_i$ s.t. $\frac{z'+x_i}{1+\epsilon/2n} \le z \le z'+x_i$ By combining them, we get the conclusion.

By combining them, we get the conclusion.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution.

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

Claim: for every element $y \in P_i$ that is at most t, there exists an element $z \in L_i$ such that

$$\frac{y}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^i} \le z \le y$$

There exists $z \in L_n$ such that

$$\frac{y^*}{(1+\epsilon/2n)^n} \le z \le y^*$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution.

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

There exists $z \in L_n$ such that $\frac{y^*}{(1 + \epsilon/2n)^n} \le z \le y^*$

$$\frac{y^*}{z} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem. Theorem:

Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution. Proof:

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

There exists
$$z \in L_n$$
 such that $\frac{y^*}{(1 + \epsilon/2n)^n} \le z \le y^*$

$$\frac{y^*}{z} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n$$

Since
$$z \le z^* \le y^*$$
, we have $\frac{y^*}{z^*} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem. Theorem:

Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution. Proof:

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

There exists
$$z \in L_n$$
 such that $\frac{y^*}{(1 + \epsilon/2n)^n} \le z \le y^*$

$$\frac{y^*}{z} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n$$

Since
$$z \le z^* \le y^*$$
, we have
$$\frac{y^*}{z^*} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n \le (e^{\epsilon/2n})^n = e^{\epsilon/2} \le 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^2 \le 1 + \epsilon$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem. Theorem:

Let $y^* \in P_n$ be the optimal solution. Proof:

$$z^* \le y^* \le t$$

There exists
$$z \in L_n$$
 such that $\frac{y^*}{(1 + \epsilon/2n)^n} \le z \le y^*$

$$\frac{y^*}{z} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n$$

Since
$$z \le z^* \le y^*$$
, we have
$$\frac{y^*}{z^*} \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^n \le (e^{\epsilon/2n})^n = e^{\epsilon/2} \le 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^2 \le 1 + \epsilon$$

Approximation ratio $\leq 1 + \epsilon$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

- 1. $L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$
- 2. for i = 1 to n
- 3. $L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$
- 4. $L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$
- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Assume $L_i = \langle 0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$.

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Assume $L_i = \langle 0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$.

$$z_1 \ge 1$$

$$z_2 > z_1(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})$$

$$z_3 > z_2(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^2$$

• • •

$$z_k > z_{k-1}(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^{k-1}$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element
$$z^*$$
 in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Assume $L_i = \langle 0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$.

$$z_1 \ge 1$$

$$z_2 > z_1(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})$$

$$z_3 > z_2(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^2$$

• • •

$$z_k > z_{k-1}(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^{k-1}$$

$$z_k \le t$$
, so $k - 1 \le \lfloor \log_{1 + \epsilon/2n} t \rfloor$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t

6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Assume $L_i = \langle 0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$.

$$z_1 \ge 1$$

$$z_2 > z_1 \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right) \ge \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)$$

$$z_3 > z_2 \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right) > \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}\right)^2$$

 $z_k > z_{k-1}(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^{k-1}$

$$z_k \le t$$
, so $k - 1 \le \lfloor \log_{1 + \epsilon/2n} t \rfloor$

$$|L_{i}| \leq \log_{1+\epsilon/2n} t + 2 = \frac{\ln t}{\ln(1+\epsilon/2n)} + 2$$

$$\leq \frac{2n(1+\epsilon/2n)\ln t}{\epsilon} + 2 < \frac{3n\ln t}{\epsilon} + 2$$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Assume $L_i = \langle 0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$.

$$z_1 \ge 1$$

 $z_2 > z_1(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})$
 $z_3 > z_2(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^2$

• • •

$$z_k > z_{k-1}(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^{k-1}$$

 $z_k \le t$, so $k - 1 \le \lfloor \log_{1+\epsilon/2n} t \rfloor$

$$|L_i| \le \log_{1+\epsilon/2n} t + 2 = \frac{\ln t}{\ln(1+\epsilon/2n)} + 2$$

$$\le \frac{2n(1+\epsilon/2n)\ln t}{\epsilon} + 2 < \frac{3n\ln t}{\epsilon} + 2$$

Time complexity of algorithm: $O(\frac{n^2 \ln t}{\epsilon})$

Input: A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n positive integers. A positive integer t.

Output: A subset of S whose sum is as large as possible but no larger than t.

Approx-Subset-Sum (S, n, t, ϵ)

1.
$$L_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$$

2. for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

3.
$$L_i = MERGE-LISTS(L_{i-1}, L_{i-1} + x_i)$$

4.
$$L_i = TRIM(L_i, \epsilon/2n)$$

- 5. Remove from L_i every element greater than t
- 6. return the largest element z^* in L_n

Theorem: The algorithm is a fully polynomial-time approximation scheme for the subset-sum problem.

Proof: Assume $L_i = \langle 0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$.

$$z_1 \ge 1$$

$$z_2 > z_1(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) \ge (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})$$

$$z_3 > z_2(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^2$$

• • •

$$z_k > z_{k-1}(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n}) > (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2n})^{k-1}$$

$$z_k \le t$$
, so $k - 1 \le \lfloor \log_{1 + \epsilon/2n} t \rfloor$

$$|L_i| \le \log_{1+\epsilon/2n} t + 2 = \frac{\ln t}{\ln(1+\epsilon/2n)} + 2$$

$$\le \frac{2n(1+\epsilon/2n)\ln t}{\ln(1+\epsilon/2n)} + 2 < \frac{3n\ln t}{\ln(1+\epsilon/2n)} + 2$$

Time complexity of algorithm: $O(\frac{n^2 \ln t}{\epsilon})$

polynomial in input size (*n* and $\ln t$), and in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$

Quiz question:

I. For the above FPTAS, how does its approximation ratio and its time complexity change as ϵ decreases?