

Universal Hash Functions

ESO207

Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur

Why randomized hashing?

- Given any hash function, an adversary can choose the keys to be hashed so that they all hash to the same slot.
- This would then require $\Theta(n)$ time for the SEARCH operation.
- Any fixed hash function would suffer from a $\Theta(n)$ worst-case time requirement for the SEARCH operation.
- Solution: Have a *family of hash functions* from which a hash function is chosen randomly.

Randomized choice from family of hash functions

- Suppose hash function is chosen at random from some family of hash function.
- In this case, the adversary would choose a set of keys.
- But since the hash function is chosen randomly, there is a good chance that the chosen hash function would distribute the keys more uniformly than the worst-case choice.

Universal Hashing: Definition

- Let \mathcal{H} be a finite collection (family) of hash functions each of which map a given universe U of keys into the range $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$.
- The collection \mathcal{H} is said to be **universal** if for each pair of distinct keys $k, l \in U$, the number of hash functions $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $h(k) = h(l)$ is at most $|\mathcal{H}|/m$.
- That is, for any $k, l \in U$ and distinct,

$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \{h(k) = h(l)\} \leq \frac{1}{m}$$

Universal Hashing

- where, the notation $\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \{h(k) = h(l)\}$ means that the probability is taken over the random choices of the hash functions in \mathcal{H} .
- There is no other source of randomness. Once $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is chosen, the functions INSERT, SEARCH and DELETE all proceed deterministically.

Universal Hashing: Property

- Let us now see why using a universal family of hash functions gives good average-case behaviour. Recall that n_i denotes the length of the list $T[i]$, that is, the length of the chain at slot i .

Property of Universal Hashing

Property: Suppose that a hash function h is chosen uniformly at random from a universal family of hash functions \mathcal{H} that map a universe U of keys into $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. Further, let h be used as the hash function for hashing n keys from U to a hash table $T[0, \dots, m-1]$ that uses open chaining to handle collisions.

1. If key k is not in the table, then $\mathbb{E} [n_{h(k)}] \leq \alpha = n/m$.
2. If key k is in the table, then $\mathbb{E} [n_{h(k)}] \leq 1 + \alpha$.

- Note that the expectation is taken over the choice of $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Let K be the set of keys that are in the table T .

Proof of Property

- For distinct keys $k, l \in K$ and $k \neq l$, define the indicator variable

$$X_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h(k) = h(l) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- By definition of universal hashing,

$$\Pr \{X_{kl} = 1\} = \Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \{X_{kl} = 1\} = \Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \{h(k) = h(l)\} \leq 1/m$$

- Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{kl}] = \Pr \{X_{kl} = 1\} \leq 1/m .$$

Proof Contd.

- Let $I_k = 1$ if $k \in K$ and 0 otherwise. (that is I_k is 1 if k is hashed and is 0 otherwise.) I_k is a constant, it is not a random variable.
- Then,

$$n_{h(k)} = I_k + \sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ l \neq k}} X_{kl}$$

- Taking expectations, and using linearity of expectation, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[n_{h(k)}] &= I_k + \sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ l \neq k}} \mathbb{E}[X_{kl}] \leq I_k + \sum_{\substack{l \in K \\ l \neq k}} \frac{1}{m} \\ &= I_k + \frac{n-1}{m} = \alpha + (I_k - 1/m)\end{aligned}$$

where, $\alpha = n/m$.

-

$$\mathbb{E} [n_{h(k)}] = \alpha + (l_k - 1/m)$$

- Since, $l_k = 1$ if $k \in K$ and $l_k = 0$ otherwise, the statements of the theorem follows.

Corollary

Using universal hashing and collision resolution by chaining in an initially empty table with m slots, it takes expected time $\Theta(n)$ to handle any sequence of n INSERT, SEARCH and DELETE operations containing $O(m)$ INSERT operations.

Argument

- Since the number of insertions is $O(m)$, we have $n = O(m)$ and so $\alpha = O(1)$.
- The INSERT and DELETE operations take $O(1)$ time.
- By previous property, each SEARCH operation takes $O(1)$ expected time.
- By linearity of expectation, the expected time for the entire sequence of n operations is $O(n)$.
- Since each operation takes $\Omega(1)$ time, the $\Theta(n)$ bound follows.

A Universal Hash Family

- Let U be the finite universe of the keys that we will assume is the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, |U| - 1\}$.
- Let p be a prime number such that $p \geq |U|$.
- For $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ (called \mathbb{Z}_p^*) and $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ (called \mathbb{Z}_p), define hash function

$$h_{a,b}(k) = ((ak + b) \bmod p) \bmod m .$$

- For each value of a, b $h_{a,b} : U \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$. Define the family (collection) of hash functions

$$\mathcal{H}_{pm} = \{h_{a,b} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

Universal Hash family



$$h_{a,b}(k) = ((ak + b) \bmod p) \bmod m$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{p,m} = \{h_{a,b} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$.
- In algebra, $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ is referred to as the *multiplicative group modulo prime p* and $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ as the *prime field* of size p .
- Since there are $p-1$ choices for a and p choices for b , the collection \mathcal{H} has $p(p-1)$ functions.

Theorem:

The class \mathcal{H}_{pm} of hash functions is universal.

Proof of universality

- First consider the simple case when m is equal to p . (Recall $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$).
- Now, hash functions have the simpler form

$$h_{a,b}(k) = ak + b \mod p$$

- Following definition, we have to calculate the number of hash functions $h_{a,b}$ from \mathcal{H} such that

$$h_{a,b}(k) = h_{a,b}(l)$$

for any $k, l \in U = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $k \neq l$.

- This is equivalent to

$$ak + b = al + b \mod p$$

Proof of Universality

- $ak + b = al + b \pmod p$ gives by transposing,

$$a(k - l) = 0 \pmod p$$

- Note: transposition is valid, since,
 - if $ak + b = al + b \pmod p$, then, p divides $(ak + b - (al + b))$ or that p divides $ak - al$.
 - Hence, p divides $a(k - l)$, or, $a(k - l) = 0 \pmod p$.

Proof of universality

- $h_{a,b}(k) = h_{a,b}(l)$ is equivalent to $a(k - l) = 0 \pmod{p}$.
- p divides $a(k - l)$. So p being prime divides either a or $k - l$.
- $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ and so p does not divide a .
- $k, l \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$ and are distinct. So, p does not divide $k - l$.
- So $a(k - l) = 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solution.

Proof: part I

- The number of hash functions from \mathcal{H} such that $h_{a,b}(k) = h_{a,b}(l)$, for $k \neq l$ is 0.
- This of course satisfies the property of universal hashing, since universality needs that for any $k \neq l$,

$$|\{(a, b) \mid h_{a,b}(k) = h_{a,b}(l)\}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{p} .$$

Proof of Universality: General Case

- Let us now consider the general case when $m < p$. The hash functions are of the form

$$h_{a,b}(k) = (ak + b \bmod p) \bmod m$$
$$a \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$$

- Let

$$r = ak + b \bmod p$$
$$s = al + b \bmod p$$

Then, $r \neq s$, by the argument above.

- We would like to know the number of solutions to $h(k) = h(l)$, that is, the number of solutions to

$$(r - s) \bmod m = 0 .$$

Proof: general case

- Fix r . The solutions to $(r - s) \bmod m = 0$, except for $r = s$ (which is disallowed) are

$$s = r + m, r + 2m, \dots, r + \left\lfloor \frac{(p-r)}{m} \right\rfloor m$$

and

$$r - m, r - 2m, \dots, r - \left\lfloor \frac{r}{m} \right\rfloor m .$$

- Thus the number of solutions to $r = s \bmod m$ is at most

$$\frac{p}{m} - 1 = \frac{p-m}{m}$$

for each fixed r .

Proof of Universality

- There are p possible choices for r , namely,
 $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$.
- Hence, the number of hash functions for which
 $h(k) = h(l)$ is the number of pairs (r, s) such that $r = s \pmod m$, which is at most

$$\frac{p(p-m)}{m} \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{m} = \frac{|\mathcal{H}|}{m}.$$

Thus the family is universal.