# **Prediction using Bagging with Random Forest**

# **Concept Session**

# **Demo - 6.1: Bagging with Random Forest**

We will use the Ensemble method: Bagging with Random Forest. To compare the results, we will also evaluate a simple Decision Tree.

```
In [ ]: # general imports
        import pandas as pd
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from numpy import mean
        from numpy import std
        import warnings
        warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
In [ ]: # data imports
```

```
from sklearn.datasets import load breast cancer
        from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
In [ ]: # evaluation imports
        from sklearn.model selection import cross val score
        from sklearn.model selection import RepeatedStratifiedKFold
```

### We use the scikit-learn API to import the dataset into our program.

1. Load the Data

In [ ]: # load data

binary encoded y = pd.Series(encoder.fit transform(y))

We use the UCI breast cancer dataset to classify tumors as being malignant or benign.

```
breast cancer = load breast cancer()
        X = pd.DataFrame(breast cancer.data, columns=breast cancer.feature names)
        y = pd.Categorical.from codes(breast cancer.target, breast cancer.target names)
In [ ]: | print(y.describe)
```

```
Learn Ensembles
```

Since the label is categorical, it must be encoded as numbers. As the result, malignant is set to 1 and benign to 0.

### 2. Baseline: Decision Tree Classifier

In [ ]: # define the model

In []: | # define the model

Conclusion

core=True)

In [ ]: | # checking the oob score

In [ ]:

In [ ]:

In [ ]:

classifier rf.oob score

encoder = LabelEncoder()

In [ ]: # encode data

We will evaluate all models using repeated stratified k-fold cross-validation, with three repeats and 10 folds.

We will report the mean and standard deviation of the F1-Score of the model across all repeats and folds.

In [ ]: | from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier

cv = RepeatedStratifiedKFold(n splits=10, n repeats=3, random state=1)

print('F1-Score: %.3f (%.3f)' % (mean(n\_scores), std(n\_scores)))

## # evaluate the model

model = DecisionTreeClassifier()

```
cv = RepeatedStratifiedKFold(n_splits=10, n_repeats=3, random_state=1)
        n_scores = cross_val_score(model, X, binary_encoded_y, scoring='f1', cv=cv, n_jobs=-1, error_score='rai
        # report performance
        print('F1-Score: %.3f (%.3f)' % (mean(n scores), std(n scores)))
        3. Bagging with Random Forest
In [ ]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
```

# n\_scores = cross\_val\_score(model, X, binary\_encoded\_y, scoring='f1', cv=cv, n\_jobs=-1, error\_score='rai

# evaluate the model

# report performance

a simple Decision Tree Classifier.

X train.shape, X test.shape

model = RandomForestClassifier()

4. Using Hyperparameters - Random Forest In [ ]: from sklearn.model\_selection import train test split

X\_train, X\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(X, y, train\_size=0.7, random\_state=42)

In [ ]: classifier rf = RandomForestClassifier(random\_state=42, n\_jobs=-1, max\_depth=5, n\_estimators=100, oob\_s

For this dataset, we can see that the Ensemble method Bagging with default hyperparameters achieves a higher classification F1-Score than

```
In [ ]: | %%time
         classifier rf.fit(X train, y train)
```

In [ ]: | rf = RandomForestClassifier(random\_state=42, n\_jobs=-1)

'min\_samples\_leaf': [5,10,20,50,100,200], 'n\_estimators': [10,25,30,50,100,200]

from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV

verbose - Controls the verbosity: the higher, the more messages.

Out of bag (OOB) score is a way of validating the Random forest model.

```
In [ ]: params = {
          'max depth': [2,3,5,10,20],
```

5. Hyperparameter tuning for Random Forest using GridSearchCV and fit the data.

```
Grid Search is an exhaustive search on a manually specified hyperparameter search region.
 1. Select hyperparameters to optimize.
 2. Select values to try out.
 3. For each combination of the hyperparameter, build and test the model.
 4. Choose the hyperparameter combination with the best performance.
```

n jobs=-1, verbose=1, scoring="accuracy")

In [ ]: # Instantiate the grid search model grid search = GridSearchCV(estimator=rf, param\_grid=params,

cv = 4,

```
greater than 2 value : the score is also displayed;
```

greater than 1 value: the computation time for each fold and parameter candidate is displayed;

scoring - Strategy to evaluate the performance of the cross-validated model on the test set.

```
In [ ]: %%time
        grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
In [ ]: rf_best = grid_search.best_estimator_
        rf best
```

greater than 3 value: the fold and candidate parameter indexes are also displayed together with the starting time of the computation.

```
6. Visualization
```

plot\_tree(rf\_best.estimators\_[5], feature\_names = X.columns,class\_names=['benign', "malignant"],filled=

grid\_search.best\_score\_

In [ ]: from sklearn.tree import plot\_tree

rf\_best.feature\_importances\_

In [ ]: | imp\_df = pd.DataFrame({

model = RandomForestClassifier() plt.figure(figsize=(80,40))

```
In [ ]: from sklearn.tree import plot_tree
        model = RandomForestClassifier()
        plt.figure(figsize=(80,40))
        plot_tree(rf_best.estimators_[7], feature_names = X.columns,class_names=['benign', "malignant"],filled=
        True);
```

7. Sort the data with the help of feature importance

The trees created by estimators[5] and estimators[7] are different. Thus we can say that each tree is independent of the other.

```
"Varname": X_train.columns,
"Imp": rf_best.feature_importances_
```

```
In [ ]: imp_df.sort_values(by="Imp", ascending=False)
```