

**Autonomous Intersection Management System  
Software Test Document (STD)**

**Version 1.0**

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## Document Preparation

Name	Role	Approval (Signature)	Approval Date
Prakash Acharya	Technical Manager, Tester	<i>Prakash Acharya</i>	11/10/2022
Ashutosh Mishra	Project Manager, Developer	<i>Ashutosh Mishra</i>	11/10/2022
Sarah Ryan	Technical Manager, Tester	<i>Sarah Ryan</i>	11/10/2022
Julian Villarreal	Researcher, Designer	<i>Julian Villarreal</i>	11/10/2022

## Document Approvals

Name	Role	Approval (Signature)	Approval Date
Ashutosh Mishra	Project Manager, Developer	<i>Ashutosh Mishra</i>	11/10/2022
Prakash Acharya	Technical Manager, Tester	<i>Prakash Acharya</i>	11/10/2022
Brendan Edgerley	Designer, Developer	<i>Brendan Edgerley</i>	11/10/2022
Amado Lazo	Project Manager, Researcher	<i>Amado Lazo</i>	11/10/2022
Sarah Ryan	Technical Manager, Tester	<i>Sarah Ryan</i>	11/10/2022
David Schelanko	Researcher, Developer	<i>David Schelanko</i>	11/10/2022
Julian Villarreal	Researcher, Designer	<i>Julian Villarreal</i>	11/10/2022

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version: 1.0</b>
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date: 11/10/2022</b>
<b>Software Test Document</b>	

## Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 Purpose of the Document	5
1.2 Scope of the Document	5
1.3 References	6
1.4 Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	7
<b>2. Product Scope</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3. Test Planning</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1 Responsibilities	10
3.2 Test Schedule	10
<b>4. Test Preparation</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1 Test Environment	11
<b>5. Test Cases</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1 Test Cases	12
<b>6. Appendix A – Test Case to Requirements Traceability</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7. Appendix B – Test Case 1 – Video Stream Reception Test</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>8. Appendix C – Test Case 2 – Priority Policy Test</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>9. Appendix D – Test Case 3 – Instruction Generation Test</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>10. Appendix E – Test Case 4 – Instruction Message Transmission Test</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>11. Appendix F – Test Case 5 – Encryption Test on Instructions</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>12. Appendix G – Test Case 6 – Encryption Maintenance Test on Messages</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>13. Appendix H – Test Case 7 – Instruction Reception Confirmation Test</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>14. Appendix I – Test Case 8 – Intersection Status Check Test</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>15. Appendix J – Test Case 9 – Video Stream Reception Confirmation Test</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>16. Appendix K – Test Case 10 – Intersection Status Provision Test</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>17. Appendix L – Test Case 11 – Instruction Transmission without latency</b>	<b>24</b>

Autonomous Intersection Management System	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

# Software Test Document (STD)

## 1. Introduction

Currently, traffic intersections do not have any autonomous, and time-efficient control system, and the entire intersection operation is almost dependent on traffic signals. Traffic signals are apparently safe, but are inefficient in terms of time, and do not dynamically respond to road conditions and requirements. They operate in a uniform pattern, unless intervened by human beings. An autonomous intersection management system aims to provide a dynamic approach to solve the traffic intersection problem and make it time efficient, while prioritizing road safety. Depending on the paradigm of the intersection, traffic congestion and the road conditions, the autonomous intersection management system is expected to provide appropriate signals to every agent based on their direction of movement and further intentions. Agent, here, depicts all the entities that are involved in the road transportation, such as vehicles, pedestrians, and animals.

### 1.1 Purpose of the Document

The purpose of this test document is to provide detailed reference points for what should and will be tested, how the testing will occur, and who is doing the testing. This will enable developers and other teams to gain the specifics of tests conducted on the AIM(S). Additionally, this test plan will describe how the designated tester will verify that the correlated requirement works as intended.

### 1.2 Scope of the Document

This STD covers many test cases in which many different modules of AIM(S) will be tested. These test cases will be covering the Message Sending Protocol for different situations, as well as the Video Streaming Protocol. Also covered will be the tests in depth in correlation with the requirement they are achieving. Additionally, a test environment and how these test cases were prepared.

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

### 1.3 References

1. Sequential Online Chore Division for Autonomous Vehicle Convoy Formation. Harel Yedidsion, Shani Alkoby, and Peter Stone [pdf](#)
2. Scalable Multiagent Driving Policies For Reducing Traffic Congestion Jiaxun Cui, William Macke, Harel Yedidsion, Aastha Goyal, Daniel Urieli, and Peter Stone In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi Agent Systems (AAMAS)*, 2021 [pdf](#)
3. A Protocol for Mixed Autonomous and Human-Operated Vehicles at Intersections. Guni Sharon and Peter Stone In *Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems - AAMAS 2017 Workshops, Best Papers*, 2017 [pdf](#)
4. Traffic Optimization For a Mixture of Self-interested and Compliant Agents. Guni Sharon, Michael Albert, Tarun Rambha, Stephen Boyles and Peter Stone In *Proceedings of the 32nd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-18)*, 2017 [pdf](#)
5. Multiagent Traffic Management: A Reservation-Based Intersection Control Mechanism. Mechanism. In *The Third International Joint Conference On Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 04)*, July 2004. [pdf](#)
6. Human-Usable and Emergency Vehicle-Aware Control Policies for Autonomous Intersection Management. Kurt Dresner and Peter Stone. In *The Fourth Workshop on Agents in Traffic and Transportation (ATT 06)*, May 2006. [pdf](#)
7. Marginal Cost Pricing with a Fixed Error Factor in Traffic Networks. Guni Sharon, Stephen D. Boyles, Shani Alkoby, and Peter Stone In *The Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2019)*, 2019 [pdf](#)

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 1.4 Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

Term	Abbreviation / Acronym	Definition
Autonomous Intersection Management System	AIM(S)	A system designed for the time when all (or most) vehicles are fully autonomous and connected.
Smart Intersection Management System	SIM(S)	An adaptive traffic control solution for an isolated intersection.
Message Authentication Code	MAC	A security code that is type in by a user to access accounts.
Hash-based Message Authentication Code	HMAC	Type of MAC that is acquired by executing a cryptographic hash function on the data.
Transmission Control Protocol	TCP	A transport layer protocol which is used by applications that required guaranteed delivery of data.
Internet Protocol	IP	A set of rules governing the format of data sent over the internet or other network.
User Datagram Protocol	UDP	A communication protocol that is used to establish a low latency connection between applications.
Secure Hash Algorithm	SHA	An algorithm that takes an input of any length and creates a hashed value.
Internet Of Things	IOT	The interconnection via the internet of computing devices embedded in everyday objects, enabling them to send and receive data
Unified Modeling Language	UML	A general purpose, developmental modeling language to provide a standard way to visualize the design of the system

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

Internet of Things capacity/capability/ability	IOTC	The ability of an agent to directly interact, convey or respond to any other agent by means of digital medium.
Vehicle to Vehicle interaction	V2V	A connection between two vehicles within the designated intersection.
Vehicle to Agent interaction	V2X	A connection between a vehicle and an agent within the designated intersection.
Agent to Agent interaction	X2X	A connection between two agents within the designated intersection.
Intersection		A point where two lines or streets cross. Typically, there can be three types of intersections: Three-leg or T-intersection (with variations in the angle of approach), Four-leg intersection and multi-leg intersection.
Agent		As far as this document is concerned, an agent is any entity that is involved in the intersection, like vehicles, pedestrians, street-animals, pets, traffic management system, intersection management system, Smart City management system.



<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 2. Product Scope

Currently, traffic intersections do not have any autonomous, and time-efficient control system, and the entire intersection operation is almost dependent on traffic signals. Traffic signals are apparently safe, but are inefficient in terms of time, and do not dynamically respond to road conditions and requirements. They operate in a uniform pattern, unless intervened by human beings. An autonomous intersection management system aims to provide a dynamic approach to solve the traffic intersection problem and make it time efficient, while prioritizing road safety. Depending on the paradigm of the intersection, traffic congestion and the road conditions, the autonomous intersection management system is expected to provide appropriate signals to every agent based on their direction of movement and further intentions. Agent, here, depicts all the entities that are involved in the road transportation, such as vehicles, pedestrians, and animals.

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

### 3. Test Planning

#### 3.1 Responsibilities

<b>Role</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Responsibilities</b>
Test Engineer	Sarah Ryan Julian Villarreal	Prepares the test cases under the direction of the test manager.
Developer	Ashutosh Mishra Brendan Edgerley	Supports the test engineers during the tests. Fixes the defects/bugs if identified during tests. Stay in the loop for the testing process.
Test Manager	Prakash Acharya Amado Lazo	Plans and coordinates all testing activities. Manages the testing team and test engineers. Take corrective action related to the testing activities if needed. Informs the project manager and technical manager regarding the test progress.
Customer/User Representative	Julian Villarreal Amado Lazo	Approves software test document, reviews test cases, and support the testing process if possible.
Requirements Manager	Prakash Acharya David Schelanko	Approves software test document, reviews test cases, and support the testing process if possible.
Technical Manager	Prakash Acharya Sarah Ryan	Ensures that all teams (project team members) work in tandem to achieve a successful testing process.

#### 3.2 Test Schedule

<b>Test Case</b>	<b>Scheduled Date</b>	<b>Actual Date / Duration</b>
TC-1	10/20/2022	10/20/2022 – 3 hours
TC-2	10/21/2022	10/23/2022 – 2 hours
TC-3	10/25/2022	10/26/2022 – 3 hours
TC-4	11/01/2022	11/03/2022 – 4 hours
TC-5	11/01/2022	11/03/2022 – 2 hours
TC-6	11/02/2022	11/03/2022 – 3 hours
TC-7	11/03/2022	11/04/2022 – 2 hours
TC-8	11/04/2022	11/06/2022 – 4 hours
TC-9	11/05/2022	11/07/2022 – 3 hours
TC-10	11/07/2022	11/08/2022 – 2 hours
TC-11	11/08/2022	11/08/2022 – 3 hours

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 4. Test Preparation

### 4.1 Test Environment

The test will run on a laptop in the laboratory. No special equipment is needed. No additional testing software will be used. However, few requisites would be as follows:

- A laptop/desktop PC with minimum 8gb RAM, and 10gb storage.
- OMNET++ installation in the system is required for simulating the protocol.
- Good internet connectivity

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version: 1.0</b>
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date: 11/10/2022</b>
<b>Software Test Document</b>	

## 5. Test Cases

### 5.1 Test Cases

<b>Test Case ID</b>	<b>Test Case Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
TC-1	Video Stream Reception Test	This test case verifies that all intersections are able to stream the video to AIMS Video Processing Module, and it is being received properly.
TC-2	Priority Policy Test	This test case verifies if the priority policy of agent reservation mechanism is working, and if the policy is changeable.
TC-3	Instruction Generation Test	This test case verifies if instructions are being generated for every agent based on their requests while maintaining proper priority logic.
TC-4	Instruction Message Transmission Test	This test case verifies if the generated instructions are being transmitted properly to the corresponding agents of a particular intersection.
TC-5	Encryption Test on Instructions	This test case verifies whether the preset encryption logic is maintained in the generated instructions while being transmitted.
TC-6	Encryption Maintenance Test on Messages	This test case verifies if the encryption is working as intended even while the message is being transmitted over the network.
TC-7	Instruction Reception Confirmation Test	This test case verifies whether the system is receiving a consistent confirmation from agents against every instruction being transmitted to each one of them.
TC-8	Intersection Status Check Test	This test case verifies if the system is maintaining a periodic intersection status check against the intersection.
TC-9	Video Stream Reception Confirmation Test	This test case verifies if the system is sending a consistent video reception confirmation to every intersection from which video is being received.
TC-10	Intersection Status Provision Test	This test case verifies if the system provides a responsive message to Smart City Management System regarding the intersection status.
TC-11	Instruction Transmission without latency	This test case verifies whether the instructions being transmitted to the agents do not face any latency due to the system logic issues.

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 6. Appendix A – Test Case to Requirements Traceability

Test Case ID	Requirement ID
TC-1	Req-Func-Sw-1 Req-Func-Sw-2 Req-Func-Sw-3 Req-Qual-Sec-3 Req-Func-Sw-14 Req-Func-Hw-3
TC-2	Req-Func-Sw-4 Req-Func-Sw-5
TC-3	Req-Func-Sw-4 Req-Func-Sw-7 Req-Func-Sw-8 Req-Func-Sw-16
TC-4	Req-Func-Sw-9 Req-Func-Sw-10 Req-Func-Sw-11
TC-5	Req-Func-Sw-10 Req-Func-Sw-11
TC-6	Req-Func-Sw-11 Req-Func-Sw-12
TC-7	Req-Func-Sw-12 Req-Func-Sw-11
TC-8	Req-Func-Sw-13 Req-Func-Sw-8
TC-9	Req-Func-Sw-14 Req-Func-Sw-1
TC-10	Req-Func-Sw-15 Req-Func-Hw-6
TC-11	Req-Func-Sw-16 Req-Qual-Sec-1 Req-Qual-Sec-2

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 7. Appendix B – Test Case 1 – Video Stream Reception Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-1
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Video Stream Reception Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies that all intersections are able to stream the video to AIMS Video Processing Module, and it is being received properly.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	3 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	10/20/2022
<b>Created By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Last Update By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Approved By</b>	Ashutosh Mishra
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	AIMS Video Processing Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware Associated with AIMS Video Processing Module

### Test Case 1 Scenario

<b>Test Step Number</b>	<b>Input</b>	<b>Expected</b>	<b>Result (Pass/Fail)</b>
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Trigger any intersection to start streaming the video	The system sends a command to an intersection for starting the stream and the intersection responds with video stream.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
Test	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 8. Appendix C – Test Case 2 – Priority Policy Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-2
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Priority Policy Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies if the priority policy of agent reservation mechanism is working, and if the policy is changeable.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	2 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	10/22/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Prakash Acharya
<b>Last Update By</b>	Prakash Acharya
<b>Approved By</b>	Brendan Edgerley
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Julian Villarreal
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software Setup associated with AIMS Core Processor
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware Setup associated with AIMS Core Processor

### Test Case 2 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Check current priority policy	The system contains a default priority policy.	Pass
3	Setup priority policy for newly instantiated intersection.	The system assigns the default priority policy for new intersection instances.	Pass
4	Update priority policy for that intersection.	The system updates the priority policy only for that intersection.	Pass
5	Generate instructions for different categories of agents in that intersection	The system maintains this policy while generating instructions for agents.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 9. Appendix D – Test Case 3 – Instruction Generation Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-3
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Instruction Generation Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies if instructions are being generated for every agent based on their requests while maintaining proper priority logic.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	3 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	10/25/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Ashutosh Mishra
<b>Last Update By</b>	Ashutosh Mishra
<b>Approved By</b>	Amado Lazo
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Core Processor
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware setup associated with AIMS Core Processor

### Test Case 3 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Check if priority policy is already setup in the system.	The system displays the priority policy for that intersection.	Pass
3	Raise multiple reservation requests for the intersection	The system generates instructions for all those agents while maintaining priority policy	Pass



<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 10. Appendix E – Test Case 4 – Instruction Message Transmission Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-4
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Instruction Message Transmission Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies if the generated instructions are being transmitted properly to the corresponding agents of a particular intersection.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	4 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	2.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/03/2022
<b>Created By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Last Update By</b>	Ashutosh Mishra
<b>Approved By</b>	Prakash Acharya
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Julian Villarreal
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module

### Test Case 4 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Trigger reservation requests for an intersection.	The system generates and transmits the instructions to every corresponding agent.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
Test	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 11. Appendix F – Test Case 5 – Encryption Test on Instructions

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-5
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Encryption Test on Instructions
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies whether the preset encryption logic is maintained in the generated instructions while being transmitted.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	2 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	2.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/02/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Amado Lazo
<b>Last Update By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Approved By</b>	Brendan Edgerley
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module

### Test Case 5 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Check/Assign encryption logic for instruction transmission.	The system displays/assigns encryption logic for instruction transmission against every intersection instance.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 12. Appendix G – Test Case 6 – Encryption Maintenance Test on Messages

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-6
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Encryption Maintenance Test on Messages
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies if the encryption is working as intended even while the message is being transmitted over the network.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	3 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	2.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/02/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Prakash Acharya
<b>Last Update By</b>	Amado Lazo
<b>Approved By</b>	Ashutosh Mishra
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module

### Test Case 6 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Send instructions to agents in multiple intersection instances.	The system correctly encrypts the message and only then transmits the instruction to all those agents.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
Test	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

### 13. Appendix H – Test Case 7 – Instruction Reception Confirmation Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-7
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Instruction Reception Confirmation Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies whether the system is receiving a consistent confirmation from agents against every instruction being transmitted to each one of them.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	2 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/03/2022
<b>Created By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Last Update By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Approved By</b>	Brendan Edgerley
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Julian Villarreal
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware setup associated with AIMS Message Sending Module

#### Test Case 7 Scenario

<b>Test Step Number</b>	<b>Input</b>	<b>Expected</b>	<b>Result (Pass/Fail)</b>
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Trigger reservation requests for an intersection.	The system generates and transmits the instructions to every corresponding agent.	Pass
3	Setup instruction reception mechanism in system	The system receives instruction reception confirmation from every such agents.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 14. Appendix I – Test Case 8 – Intersection Status Check Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-8
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Intersection Status Check Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies if the system is maintaining a periodic intersection status check against the intersection.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	4 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	2.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/05/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Brendan Edgerley
<b>Last Update By</b>	Ashutosh Mishra
<b>Approved By</b>	Prakash Acharya
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Not Applicable (N/A)
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	N/A

### Test Case 8 Scenario

<b>Test Step Number</b>	<b>Input</b>	<b>Expected</b>	<b>Result (Pass/Fail)</b>
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Check if intersection status is being checked every after a certain time period.	The system maintains a periodic intersection status check for every intersection	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 15. Appendix J – Test Case 9 – Video Stream Reception Confirmation Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-9
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Video Stream Reception Confirmation Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies is the system is sending a consistent video reception confirmation to every intersection from which video is being received.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	3 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/04/2022
<b>Created By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Last Update By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>Approved By</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Julian Villarreal
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Video Processing Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware setup associated with AIMS Video Processing Module

### Test Case 9 Scenario

<b>Test Step Number</b>	<b>Input</b>	<b>Expected</b>	<b>Result (Pass/Fail)</b>
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Stream video from any intersection.	The system asserts the video stream confirmation consistently to that intersection.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	<b>Version:</b> 1.0
<b>Test</b>	<b>Date:</b> 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 16. Appendix K – Test Case 10 – Intersection Status Provision Test

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-10
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Intersection Status Provision Test
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies if the system provides a responsive message to Smart City Management System regarding the intersection status.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	2 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/07/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Amado Lazo
<b>Last Update By</b>	Amado Lazo
<b>Approved By</b>	Brendan Edgerley
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Software setup associated with AIMS Core Processor and AIMS Message Sending Module
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	Hardware setup associated with AIMS Core Processor and AIMS Message Sending Module

### Test Case 10 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Trigger an intersection status check from the Smart City Management System for any intersection instance to AIMS.	The system responds with the proper intersection status as an encrypted message corresponding to that intersection.	Pass

<b>Autonomous Intersection Management System</b>	Version: 1.0
Test	Date: 11/10/2022
Software Test Document	

## 17. Appendix L – Test Case 11 – Instruction Transmission without latency

<b>Test Case ID</b>	TC-11
<b>Test Case Name</b>	Instruction Transmission without latency
<b>Brief Description</b>	This test case verifies whether the instructions being transmitted to the agents do not face any latency due to the system logic issues.
<b>Planned Test Duration</b>	3 hours
<b>Current Version</b>	1.0
<b>Date of Last Version</b>	11/08/2022
<b>Created By</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>Last Update By</b>	Sarah Ryan
<b>Approved By</b>	David Schelanko
<b>The version of Software Under Test</b>	2.0
<b>Test Engineer to Conduct the Test</b>	Julian Villarreal
<b>List of Test Support Software</b>	Not Applicable (N/A)
<b>List of Test Support Hardware</b>	N/A

### Test Case 2 Scenario

Test Step Number	Input	Expected	Result (Pass/Fail)
1	Run the application.	The application starts running.	Pass
2	Pass an agent in the intersection	The system identifies the agent along with its behavior, processes instructions for it and sends it with lowest latency possible.	Pass
3	Trigger an external reservation request by an emergency vehicle.	The system transmits the generated instruction to the agent within the tolerable time-frame.	Pass