

# Assignment-4

---

## Question-1

---

Concurrent merge sort using threads and processes and their relative comparison. I am doing selection sort when the number of elements to be sorted less than 5.

### Normal merge sort

```
void normal_mergesort(int arr[], int l, int r)
{
    int m;
    if (r - l >= 4)
    {
        m = (l + r) / 2;
        normal_mergesort(arr, l, m);
        normal_mergesort(arr, m + 1, r);
        merge(arr, l, m, r);
    }
    else
    {
        selectionsort(arr, l, r);
    }
}
```

### Explanation

This is just a regular merge sort code in which I am recursively calling the same function if the number of elements to be sorted greater than or equal to 5; otherwise, I will do a selection sort.

### Concurrent merge sort using processes

```
void mergesort(int *arr, int low, int high)
{
    if (high - low >= 4)
    {
        int mid = (low + high) / 2;
        int pid1 = fork();
        int pid2;
        if (pid1 == 0)
        {
            mergesort(arr, low, mid);
            _exit(0);
        }
        else
        {
            mergesort(arr, mid, high);
        }
    }
}
```

```

        pid2 = fork();
        if (pid2 == 0)
        {
            mergesort(arr, mid + 1, high);
            _exit(0);
        }
        else
        {
            int status;
            waitpid(pid1, &status, 0);
            waitpid(pid2, &status, 0);
            merge(arr, low, mid, high);
        }
    }
}
else
{
    selectionsort(arr, low, high);
}
}

```

## Explanation

This is the code for concurrent merge sort using processes where I am forking child process for each half of the array to be sorted, and the parent process will merge the two sorted halves returned by child processes.

## Concurrent merge sort using threads

```

void *threaded_mergesort(void *a)
{
    struct arg *args = (struct arg *)a;

    int l = args->l;
    int r = args->r;
    int *arr = args->arr;
    if (l >= r)
    {
        return NULL;
    }
    else if (r - l < 4)
    {
        selectionsort(arr, l, r);
        return NULL;
    }
    int m = (l + r) / 2;
    struct arg a1;
    a1.l = l;
    a1.r = m;
    a1.arr = arr;
    pthread_t tid1;

```

```
pthread_create(&tid1, NULL, threaded_mergesort, &a1);
struct arg a2;
a2.l = m + 1;
a2.r = r;
a2.arr = arr;
pthread_t tid2;
pthread_create(&tid2, NULL, threaded_mergesort, &a2);
pthread_join(tid1, NULL);
pthread_join(tid2, NULL);
merge(arr, l, m, r);
}
```

## Explanation

This is the code for concurrent merge sort using threads where I am spawning child thread for each half of the array to be sorted, and the parent thread will merge the two sorted halves returned by child threads.

## Analysis

---

**N = 10**

```
Normal mergesort ran:
[ 144.422917 ] times faster than concurrent mergesort
[ 118.475100 ] times faster than threaded concurrent mergesort
```

**N = 100**

```
Normal mergesort ran:
[ 178.412992 ] times faster than concurrent mergesort
[ 92.603488 ] times faster than threaded concurrent mergesort
```

**N = 10000**

```
Normal mergesort ran:
[ 13.214972 ] times faster than concurrent mergesort
[ 7.061855 ] times faster than threaded concurrent mergesort
```

## Conclusion

---

If the number of elements to be sorted is less, then the overhead of OS to create the child processes or child threads due to which normal merge sort runs faster as compared to concurrent merge sort will become significant, but when the size of the input array increases then the overhead will start becoming

less significant as compared to the time required to sort the elements, so concurrent merge sort using threads or processes start running faster because these two also exploit parallelism. Concurrent merge sort using threads is faster than concurrent merge sort using processes because the creating of threads requires less time than the creation of processes because they share global data (text, data, and heap segments). In contrast, the child process has an isolated virtual address space.

## Thank you!

---