# 1. Ranking System Overview

Ranking is a crucial part of the system where we sort and prioritize candidates based on their relevance to a job description. The ranking system uses **LLM-based embeddings**, **Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback (RLHF)**, and **dynamic query expansion** to refine search results. We also leverage **qLoRA** and **PEFT** (Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning) to improve the efficiency of ranking model updates.

The entire process aims to:

- Minimize latency in retrieving and ranking millions of candidates.
- Maximize ranking accuracy by continuously improving based on feedback.
- Provide explainability by showing which part of the resume matched the job description.

#### **Baseline Metrics for Ranking:**

- Initial MRR (Mean Reciprocal Rank): 0.45 using traditional keyword-based matching (e.g., Elasticsearch).
- **Initial Search Time**: 700ms for retrieving and ranking candidates from a database of 1 million profiles.

# 2. Ranking Pipeline Steps

Here's a breakdown of how the ranking system works in a detailed flow:

- 1. **Query Embedding**: Convert the job description into an embedding using a pre-trained model like BERT, OpenAl's GPT, or a fine-tuned Mistral model. This embedding captures semantic information about the job description.
- 2. **Candidate Retrieval**: Use **Pinecone** to perform a vector search on the precomputed embeddings of candidate profiles. This step retrieves the top-N candidates based on similarity to the query embedding.
- 3. **Dynamic Query Expansion**: Expand the initial query by adding contextual information to improve retrieval accuracy. This could involve synonyms, related concepts, or job-specific terms (e.g., "NLP" expanded to include "Natural Language Processing").
- 4. **Ranking via RLHF**: Rank candidates using Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback. Over time, the ranking model gets better at matching candidates to job descriptions based on feedback.
- 5. **Explainable AI (XAI)**: Provide reasoning for why certain candidates were ranked higher, including showing which parts of the candidate's resume matched specific parts of the job description.

# 3. Ranking Metrics

- Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR): Improved from 0.45 to 0.62 (37% improvement).
- **Search Time**: Reduced from **700ms** to **200ms** (72% improvement).

• **Top-K Accuracy**: Increased by 15%, where Top-10 candidate retrieval accuracy was boosted by implementing **dynamic query expansion** and **RLHF**.

# 4. Technical Components of Ranking

#### 1. Query Embedding Creation

The first step is to convert the job description into a query embedding. We use **Hugging Face Transformers** for generating embeddings from job descriptions and candidate profiles.

```
python
Copy code
from transformers import AutoModel, AutoTokenizer

# Load pre-trained model (e.g., BERT, GPT)
model = AutoModel.from_pretrained("bert-base-uncased")
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained("bert-base-uncased")

def create_embedding(text):
    inputs = tokenizer(text, return_tensors="pt", truncation=True,
padding=True)
    outputs = model(**inputs)
    # Use the embedding from the last hidden state
    return outputs.last_hidden_state.mean(dim=1)

job_description = "Looking for an ML engineer with experience in
Kubernetes and NLP."
job_embedding = create_embedding(job_description)
```

### Explanation:

- **Model**: BERT or GPT model is used to generate embeddings.
- **Job Description**: The job posting is converted into an embedding to be compared with candidate profiles.

#### 2. Candidate Retrieval with Pinecone

Once we have the query embedding, we use **Pinecone** for fast vector-based retrieval of candidate profiles.

```
python
Copy code
import pinecone
# Initialize Pinecone
```

```
pinecone.init(api_key="YOUR_PINECONE_API_KEY",
environment="us-west1-gcp")
index = pinecone.Index("candidate-profiles")

# Search for top candidates based on job description embedding
def search_candidates(query_embedding, top_k=10):
    return index.query(queries=[query_embedding.tolist()],
top_k=top_k)

# Example query
results = search_candidates(job_embedding, top_k=10)
```

### Explanation:

- Pinecone retrieves the top candidates by comparing the job embedding against the stored candidate embeddings.
- The **top\_k** parameter controls how many candidates we retrieve for ranking (e.g., top 10 candidates).

#### 3. Dynamic Query Expansion

Dynamic query expansion improves the retrieval process by augmenting the query with additional relevant terms and concepts.

### For example:

 A query for "Machine Learning Engineer" can be expanded to include terms like "Artificial Intelligence", "NLP", "Deep Learning".

#### python

#### Copy code

```
def dynamic_query_expansion(query):
    synonyms = get_synonyms(query) # Use WordNet, spaCy, or GPT to
get related terms
    expanded_query = query + " " + " ".join(synonyms)
    return expanded_query

job_description = dynamic_query_expansion("Machine Learning Engineer
with NLP skills")
job_embedding = create_embedding(job_description)
```

#### 4. Ranking Using RLHF

We employ **Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback (RLHF)** to improve candidate ranking based on user feedback. The ranking is refined by incorporating feedback from hiring managers on whether the candidates matched their expectations.

The feedback loop works like this:

- 1. Candidates are initially ranked based on the similarity score from Pinecone.
- 2. Feedback is collected from users (e.g., hiring managers) about the ranking order.
- 3. The model is fine-tuned using this feedback to improve future rankings.

#### **RLHF Code Example:**

```
python
Copy code
def rlhf_ranking(query_embedding, candidate_embeddings, feedback):
    # Train the ranking model based on user feedback
    model.train(query_embedding, candidate_embeddings, feedback)
    ranked_candidates = model.rank_candidates(query_embedding,
candidate_embeddings)
    return ranked_candidates
```

Feedback is used to update the ranking model to prioritize more relevant candidates based on previous inputs.

### 5. Explainability for Ranking (XAI)

To provide transparency in rankings, we use **Explainable AI (XAI)** techniques. This involves showing which parts of a candidate's profile contributed to their ranking and how closely the profile matches the job description.

```
python
Copy code
def explain_ranking(candidate, job_description):
    # Compare specific keywords or skills
    matched_skills = compare_skills(candidate, job_description)
    return f"Candidate ranked higher due to experience in
{matched_skills}"
```

# 5. Advanced Fine-Tuning Techniques: qLoRA and PEFT

To further enhance ranking accuracy, we use **qLoRA** (Quantized Low-Rank Adaptation) and **PEFT** (Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning). These techniques allow us to fine-tune the model with a smaller memory footprint, which speeds up the training process and reduces resource consumption.

#### **qLoRA Fine-Tuning Example:**

```
python
Copy code
from peft import LoraConfig, get_peft_model
from transformers import AutoModelForSequenceClassification,
Trainer, TrainingArguments
# Load model and tokenizer
model =
AutoModelForSequenceClassification.from_pretrained("bert-base-uncase
d")
# Configure LoRA for efficient fine-tuning
lora_config = LoraConfig(
    r=16, # Low-rank factor
    alpha=32,
    dropout=0.1,
    bias="none"
)
# Apply LoRA to the model
lora_model = get_peft_model(model, lora_config)
# Training setup
training_args = TrainingArguments(
    output_dir="./model_output",
    per_device_train_batch_size=8,
    num_train_epochs=3,
    logging_dir="./logs",
    learning_rate=3e-5
)
# Fine-tune model with LoRA
trainer = Trainer(
    model=lora_model,
    args=training_args,
    train_dataset=train_dataset,
    eval_dataset=eval_dataset
trainer.train()
```

#### **Explanation**:

- qLoRA reduces the number of parameters that need to be fine-tuned, enabling efficient updates.
- Fine-tuning can be applied to adjust the embeddings used for ranking.

# 6. Performance Metrics for Ranking System

- Search Time: Reduced from 700ms to 200ms (72% improvement).
- MRR (Mean Reciprocal Rank): Improved from 0.45 to 0.62 (37% improvement).
- **Top-10 Accuracy**: Increased by **15**% due to dynamic query expansion and feedback loops.
- Explainability: Enabled through XAI, improving user trust in the ranking system.

# 7. Summary of Ranking System Improvements

- **Semantic Search**: Vector-based search with Pinecone reduces search time and improves ranking quality.
- **Reinforcement Learning**: Using RLHF improves the model over time by incorporating human feedback.
- **qLoRA Fine-tuning**: Efficient fine-tuning of embeddings using qLoRA and PEFT ensures faster training with fewer resources.
- **Explainability**: Provides insights into why candidates are ranked the way they are, enhancing trust in the system.

By implementing these ranking techniques, we ensure a scalable, accurate, and explainable ranking system that continuously improves based on user feedback.