

### Lack of Originality

The paper does not present new findings, insights, or methods.

### Weak Methodology

The research methods used are unsound or inadequately described.

### Poorly Defined Research Question

The central research question or hypothesis is vague or unclear.

### Insufficient Data

There is not enough data to support the conclusions.

### Flawed Analysis

The analysis may contain errors or inappropriate statistical tests.

### Inaccurate Literature Review

The paper does not reference or discuss key works in the field.

### Poor Structure and Organization

The paper is disorganized or lacks clarity.

### Substandard Language and Writing

The paper has numerous grammatical or syntax errors.

## Overreach in Conclusions

The conclusions aren't fully supported by the data or analysis.

## Ethical Issues

The paper has problems related to ethical considerations.

## Incomplete or Insufficient Results

The results section does not adequately address the research question.

## Lack of Theoretical Framework

The paper is not grounded in a clear theoretical or conceptual framework.

## Not Suitable for the Journal

The paper does not align with the scope or theme of the journal.

## Issues with Citations

There are inappropriate or missing citations.

## Lack of Replicability

The paper does not provide enough detail for replication.

## Novelty

The paper introduces a new concept, finding, or perspective.

## Robust Methodology

The research methods are sound and clearly described.

## Clear Research Question

The paper articulates a well-defined and relevant research question.

## Comprehensive Data

The paper provides sufficient, relevant data.

## Accurate and Sound Analysis

The analysis is done correctly, using appropriate methods.

## Thorough Literature Review

The paper acknowledges and effectively builds upon existing research.

## Well-Structured and Organized

The paper follows a clear structure and is easy to follow.

## High-Quality Writing

The language is clear, concise, and free from errors.

## Supported Conclusions

The paper's conclusions are well-founded.

## Ethical Rigor

The research adheres to ethical guidelines.

## Valuable Results

The results are meaningful and contribute to the field.

## Strong Theoretical Framework

The research is grounded in a robust theoretical framework.

## Relevance to the Journal

The paper fits well with the scope and theme of the journal.

## Proper Citations

The paper appropriately cites all sources.

## Potential for Replicability

The research can be replicated or built upon by other scholars.