Exercise1

This Homework took me 15 hours. The programming assignments were straight forward. I am getting used to learning to think formally. So although it was timeconsuming this time, I feel like I am improving.

In my spare time, I like to sing and build Internet applications (not together). I try and contribute to community driven open source projects like Sproutcore, Rails, Firefox and more recently Open MRS.

Exercise3

->concatenation

 $r_1 \ matches \ s \ leaving \ s^{''} \ r_2 \ matches \ s^{''} \ leaving \ s^{'}$

 r_1r_2 matches s leaving s'

->or

 $\frac{r_2 \ matches \ s \ leaving \ s^{'}}{r_1|r_2 \ matches \ s \ leaving \ s^{'}}$

 $rac{r_1 \; matches \; s \; leaving \; s^{'}}{r_1 | r_2 \; matches \; s \; leaving \; s^{'}}$

->kleene star

 $r \ matches \ s \ leaving \ s'' . r^* \ matches \ s'' \ leaving \ s'$ r^* matches s leaving s'

Exercise4

$$\begin{array}{l} R[[r_1r_2]](s) = R[[r_1]](s) \cup R[[r_2]](s) \\ R[[r_1r_2]](s) = \bigcup R[[r_2]](x) \{ \forall x \ belongsto \ R[[r_1]](s) \} \end{array}$$

Kleene star is unwound to be

$$R[[r^*]](s) = \bigcup_{\substack{x \text{ belongsto } R[[r]](s)}} R[[r^*]](x)$$

where the context $F_{\mathbf{x}}=R[[r^*]](x)$.

The important difference here is that Fx operates on x that belongs to R[[r]](s) and is bound by the existential quantifier of the existential quantifier $\exists Y R[r^*](x) = Y$ Y being a non empty set.

Hence

$$R[r^*](s) = \bigcup_{0 < i < k} R_i[r^*](s)$$

where

$$R_k[r^*](s) = \bigcup_{\substack{x \text{ belongsto } R[[r]](s)}} R_{k-1}[r^*](x)$$

with the base case being

Exercise5

In the given framework and constraints, it is not possible to build deterministic rules for for regexes returning multiple suffices:

1) In order to ensure that the rules are deterministic, we need to ensure that conclusions are never the same for 2 different hypotheses since this may lead to non unique, non-deterministic derivations. Consider the Kleene*

Since r* unconditionally matches any string leaving the entire string as suffix, we can attempt to combine the rules as follows:

r matches s leaving S' r* matches x leaving S{x|x belongs to S}
r*matches s leaving (S
$$\cup$$
 {s})

where {s} is a set containing the entire string (to incorporate the tautology).

If we write a derivation tree for the *regex a* and string "aaaaaaa"*, this rule will put us into **infinite recursion** since the **tautology was removed in an attempt to combine the rules and make the derivation tree deterministic** for any input. This is a case of the rules being incomplete since one of the tautologies (2nd rule for Kleene *) is not seen as a theorem.

2)Consider the concatenation rule. Since there is a constraint that there can be no derivation inside the set-constructor, if we were to remove the derivation and treat x to be picked at random or in the "for all x" manner, we get:

$$r_1$$
 matches s leaving S' r_2 matches x leaving $S\{x|x$ belongs to $S'\}$ r_1r_2 matches s leaving S

However this rule that we have stated above assumes that we will always find an 'x' in any set S-prime.

- 1) Without the qualifiers, picking an x becomes non deterministic in the premises.
- 2) If we were to make this deterministic (say always pick x to be the nth element by ordering the set), the following problem arises

Consider matching the regex "a*b" onto string "aab" (Should result in a match with single suffix 'b').

$$S' = \{aac, ac, c\}$$

if x was either element1 or element2 of the above set, r2 would not match x and we will find no derivation and conclude with a mismatch.

Hence unless the correct x is picked by chance (or we are allowed to add

derivative qualifiers to the set constructor), our regex will not match our string -- Thus leaving the rule unsound in specific cases.

Exercise 6

I choose not to do this problem. You may assume that I am familiar with the relevant literature.