

[Course](#) > [Week...](#) > [Final...](#) > Final ...

# Final Project

## OPEN RESPONSE ASSESSMENT

### Status

You have completed this assignment. Review your grade and your assessment details.

▸ | Your Response due Jan 1, 2029 01:00 CET (in 10 years, 4 months) ✓ COMPLETE

▸ | Assess Peers due Jan 1, 2029 01:00 CET (in 10 years, 4 months) ✓ 3 COMPLETED

▼ Your Grade: 33 out of 33

### The question for this section

Per the project description in the readings from Week 9, please submit the pdf of your work for peer assessment. This should include the pdf of your slides following our template and the pdf of your notebook. If you are unable to attach the notebook for either size restrictions or privacy issues, please state this in your slides. Remember that the upload size is limited.

To submit, you need to put a text response below. Please just say what is included in the attached pdf.

## Your Response

This is the presentation file and the ipython workbook. I could not add the data due to size issues but you can use the movie ratings dataset mentioned in the course.

## Your Upload

The presentation on my findings

The ipython notebook (plain pdf - latex did not work)

## Assessments of Your Response

### ▼ Abstract Description

3 / 3 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 3 POINTS

**Very Well** ⓘ

PEER 1 - VERY WELL

PEER 2 - WELL

It was unclear what process you were checking the accuracy of -- what were you trying to predict an excellent movie from?

### ▼ Abstract Clarity

2 / 2 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 2 POINTS

**Yes, very** ⓘ

PEER 1 - YES, VERY  
PEER 2 - YES

▼ Motivation

2 / 2 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 2 POINTS

Well ⓘ

PEER 1 - WELL  
PEER 2 - WELL

▼ Dataset Description

2 / 2 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 2 POINTS

Well ⓘ

PEER 1 - WELL  
PEER 2 - FAIRLY WELL

▼ Data Preparation

1 / 1 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 1 POINT

Yes ⓘ

PEER 1 - YES  
PEER 2 - YES

▼ Research Question

2 / 2 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 2 POINTS

## Appropriate ⓘ

PEER 1 - APPROPRIATE

PEER 2 - APPROPRIATE

### ▼ Research Methods Description

2 / 2 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 2 POINTS

## Well ⓘ

PEER 1 - WELL

PEER 2 - FAIRLY WELL

### ▼ Research Methods Appropriate

3 / 3 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 3 POINTS

## Appropriate / Unsure

PEER 1 - APPROPRIATE

PEER 2 - APPROPRIATE

### ▼ Presentation of Findings Overall

3 / 3 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 3 POINTS

## Very Well ⓘ

PEER 1 - VERY WELL

PEER 2 - VERY WELL

### ▼ Findings - Visualizations

3 / 3 POINTS

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 3 POINTS

**Excellent** ⓘ

PEER 1 - EXCELLENT

PEER 2 - VERY GOOD

no axes labels on any. Not sure why the first two graph were included or what they were showing

---

▼ Limitations

2 / 2 POINTS

- 
- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 2 POINTS

**Yes-well** ⓘ

PEER 1 - YES-WELL

PEER 2 - YES-WELL

---

▼ Conclusions

3 / 3 POINTS

- 
- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 3 POINTS

**Excellent** ⓘ

PEER 1 - EXCELLENT

PEER 2 - EXCELLENT

---

▼ References

1 / 1 POINTS

- 
- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 1 POINT

**Yes** ⓘ

PEER 1 - YES

PEER 2 - YES

- PEER MEDIAN GRADE - 4 POINTS

**Excellent** 

PEER 1 - EXCELLENT

PEER 2 - GOOD

▼ Additional comments on your response

- PEER 1

Professional modern style of presentation and virtualization. clear and easy to understand.

PEER 2

▼ Provide feedback on peer assessments

**Status**

Your feedback has been submitted. Course staff will be able to see this feedback when they review course records.