CSCI 5454: Algorithms: Homework 1

Ashutosh Gandhi

February 2, 2023

Problem 1

1.1 Part A

To prove the loop invariant $a = sa_0 + tb_0$ and $b = \hat{s}a_0 + \hat{t}b_0$

Base Case At the first encounter of the loop head we have s=1, $\hat{s}=0$, t=0, $\hat{t}=1$ and we also have $a=a_0$ and $b=b_0$

substituting these values into our loop invariant equations we get

$$a = 1 * a_0 + 0 * b_0$$
 $b = 0 * a_0 + 1 * b_0$
 $a = a_0$ $b = b_0$

Thus the invariant is trivially true

Induction step Let the relation $a = sa_0 + tb_0$ and $b = \hat{s}a_0 + \hat{t}b_0$ hold true for the i^{th} iteration. Then for the $i + 1^{th}$ iteration we have the variables $a', b', s', t', \hat{s}', \hat{t}'$

The relation here is

$$a' = b, b' = a\%b, s' = \hat{s}, t' = \hat{t}, \hat{s}' = s - (\lfloor a/b \rfloor * \hat{s}), \hat{t}' = t - (\lfloor a/b \rfloor * \hat{t}) --> \text{ }$$
 The goal is to establish $a' = s'a_0 + t'b_0 \quad and \quad b' = \hat{s}'a_0 + \hat{t}'b_0$

$$s'a_0 + t'b_0 = \hat{s}a_0 + \hat{t}b_0 \quad [from \textcircled{1} \quad s' = \hat{s}, t' = \hat{t}]$$
$$= b \quad [from \quad induction \quad step]$$
$$= a' \quad [from \textcircled{1} \quad a' = b]$$

$$\hat{s}'a_0 + \hat{t}'b_0 = (s - \lfloor a/b \rfloor * \hat{s})a_0 + (t - (\lfloor a/b \rfloor * \hat{t})b_0 \quad [from \textcircled{1}]$$

$$= sa_0 + tb_0 - \lfloor a/b \rfloor (\hat{s}a_0 + \hat{t}b_0) \quad simplifying$$

$$= a - \lfloor a/b \rfloor * b \quad [from \quad induction \quad step]$$

$$= a\%b \quad [because \quad a = quo * b + rem; \quad rem = a - quo * b]$$

$$= b' \quad [from \textcircled{1} \quad b' = a\%b]$$

Thus, we have proven the desired loop invariant by establishing our goal $a' = s'a_0 + t'b_0$ and $b' = \hat{s}'a_0 + \hat{t}'b_0$

1.2 Part B

To prove gcd(a,b) is the smallest positive number that can be written in the form sa + tb i.e. gcd(a,b) = sa + tb

Consider the loop invariant from the Part-A, we proved $a = sa_0 + tb_0$ after the last iteration (when b = 0), a would be equal to gcd(a, b) hence we get $gcd(a, b) = sa_0 + tb_0$ where $a_0 \& b_0$ are the starting values a,b

now to prove that gcd(a,b) is the smallest positive number in sa + tb let's consider a positive number of the form la + ub

let's call gcd(a, b) = g

Since g divides both a and b so it would also divide la + ub because la/g and ub/g would be positive numbers. so g must be less than or equal to la + ub. In other words, g is the smallest positive number in the form la + ub. Hence Proved.

1.3 Part C

Since n and p are relatively prime the gcd(n, p) = 1From Bezout's Lemma we have gcd(n, p) = xn + yp = 1 where x and y are integers

xn + yp = 1 $xn = 1 - yp \quad \text{[taking (mod p) on both sides]}$ xn(mod p) = 1 - yp(mod p) $xn(\text{mod p}) = 1 \text{ mod p} \quad [as \text{ yp (mod p}) = 0]$ Let x (mod p) be a natural number m, such that 0 <= m < p $n * m = 1 \text{ mod p} \quad \text{[where m is a unique number x (mod p)]}$

1.4 Part D

In Part C we proved if n and p are relatively prime numbers then $n*m=1 \mod p$ where m is a unique number x(mod p) that is the modulo inverse, x being the value returned from extended Euclid's algorithm.

```
(g,x,y) = Extended\_gcd(n,p) substituting n=13113 and p=2133555512 we get g=1 & x=572234785 & y=-3517 m=572234785 (mod 2133555512) m=572234785
```

Problem 2

2.1 Part A

```
procedure TRADESUM(Arr, i, j)

#precond: 0 <= i, j <= n \text{ and } i <= j

n \leftarrow len(Arr) # initialize n to length

sumArr \leftarrow [0] * n

sumArr[0] \leftarrow Arr[0]

for k: 1 \rightarrow n - 1 do #calculate cumulative sum

sumArr[k] \leftarrow sumArr[k - 1] + Arr[k]

if i is 0 then return sumArr[j]

return sumArr[j] - sumArr[i - 1] #return the range sum
```

In the pre-processing step of the above algorithm, we store the cumulative sum at each trade. This is done so that the queries can be done in O(1) time as it would just be a lookup from the array. As the j^{th} index will have a sum of the first j trades and $i-1^{th}$ index would have the sum of the first i-1 trades, subtracting the two would be the range sum. In the pre-processing step, 2 array lookups are done at each iteration and then added, this is done for all the n trades in the Array.

2.2 Part B

```
procedure TradeSum(Arr, i, j)
\#precond : 0 \le i, j \le n and i \le j
    n \leftarrow len(Arr) # initialize n to length
    k \leftarrow \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil # ceiling of sqrt(n)
    sumArr \leftarrow [0] * k
    for u:0\to n do
                            #calculate cumulative sum
                            # find the slice to store the sum to
        ind \leftarrow |u/k|
        sumArr[ind] \leftarrow sumArr[ind] + Arr[u]
    sum \leftarrow 0
    while (i + 1)\%k! = 0\&\&i <= j do
        sum \leftarrow sum + Arr[i]
        i \leftarrow i + 1
    while i + k \le j do
        sum \leftarrow sum + sumArr(i/k)
        i \leftarrow i + k
    while i \le j do
        sum \leftarrow sum + Arr[i]
   \mathbf{return} \ \overset{i}{sum} \leftarrow i+1
```

To only use space of $O(\sqrt{n})$ we create \sqrt{n} number of slices of the array sized n and store the sum of each of these slices. This way space used is at max $\sqrt{n} + 1$ or $O(\sqrt{n})$ and

the pre-processing time is O(n) as to calculate each slice sum all elements would have to be scanned at least once. Next, for the query, we would need a $O(\sqrt{n})$ time to return the sum. the first scan is from I to the end of its slice, then each slice of i + k till j is directly added, and then the remaining j elements in the last slice. In the worst case, we would have to iterate over all the elements in our summArr and hence a time complexity of $O(\sqrt{n})$.

2.3 Part C

```
procedure FINDLARGESTINDEX(A, x) assert ( A[0] <= x ) n \leftarrow len(A) if x >= A[n-1] then return n-1 l \leftarrow 0 u \leftarrow n-1 #Loop Invariant : 0 <= l < u < n and A[l] <= x < A[u] while l < u - 1 do mid \leftarrow \lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor if A[mid] = x then return mid else if A[mid] < x then l \leftarrow mid else return l
```

We start with the lower pointer at 0 and the upper pointer at 1 and find the mid, if the mid is lesser than our search we update low to mid else high is updated to mid, this way we are eliminating half our search space at each iteration. To satisfy the loop invariant we are not updating to low and upper to mid-1 and mid+1 as doing so would cause the x < A[u] to fail at the end of the last iteration of the loop. Rather the condition in the while loop is l < u-1 so that it does terminate and no element is missed to be checked.

2.4 Part D

```
procedure NEGATIVETRADE(Arr, i, j)

#precond : 0 <= i, j <= n and i <= j)

n \leftarrow len(Arr) # initialize n to length

negInd \leftarrow [-1] * n

if Arr[n-1] < 0 then

negInd[n-1] \leftarrow n-1

for k : n-2 \rightarrow 0 do # build the pre-processing array

if Arr[k] < 0 then

negInd[k] \leftarrow k # store the index of negative element

else

negInd[k] \leftarrow negInd[k+1] # else store same as right neighbour
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{if} \ negInd[i] \leq j \ \textbf{then return} \ negInd[i] \\ \textbf{return} \ "No" \ \ \# \ \text{no negative trades} \end{array}$

In the pre-processing step, the algorithm iterates from right to left and stores the index, if a negative number is found else, it keeps the same value as its right neighbor. This way to check if a negative number is present for a given range all we check is that the value in the negInd array at the i^{th} index is less than or equal to the value at j^{th} index, then we know for sure that there is at least one negative number in that range.