Contents

Content Tables **i**
List of Symbols v
List of Equations vii
List of Source Code ix
List of Figures xii
List of Tables xiii

1 Introducing The Research Question **1**
1.1 Background Context and the Motivation to Study 1
1.2 Objectives, Methodology and Contributions 5
1.2.1 Objectives and Contributions 5
1.2.2 The Research Questions 7
1.2.3 Research Methodology 8
1.2.3.1 Data Collection 9
1.2.3.2 Result Interpretation and Evaluation 10
1.2.3.3 Theory Development and Research Strategy 10
1.2.4 Theoretical and Practical Contributions 13
1.3 Organisation of the Thesis 15

2 Current Methods in Processing SAR and POLSAR Data **17**
2.1 The nature of Polarimetric SAR: EM Wave Polarization 17
2.1.1 Representations of EM Wave Polarizations (Linear, Circular ...) . 18
2.1.1.1 Plane wave and solutions to the EM Wave equations . . 18
2.1.1.2 Linear, Circular and Elliptical Polarization 19
2.1.1.3 Mathematical representations of wave polarizations . . 20
2.1.2 Different Modes of Polarimetric SAR 24
2.1.2.1 Full Polarimetry and the Polarimetric Signatures 24
2.1.2.2 The Polarization Basis Transformation 26

2.1.2.3 Partial Polarimetry and the traditional SAR 28
2.2 The Stochastics and Multivariate Nature of (POL)SAR data 32
2.2.1 The Stochastic nature of SAR and SAR speckle filtering 32
2.2.2 The Multivariate Nature and The Observables in POLSAR data 36
2.3 Current methods in SAR and POLSAR speckle filtering 36
2.3.1 Current Statistical Models for SAR and POLSAR data 36
2.3.2 Current Methods for SAR speckle filtering 36
2.3.3 Current Methods for POLSAR speckle filtering 36
2.3.4 Current Methods to Evaluate (POL)SAR Speckle Filters 39
2.4 Existing Measures of Distance for (POL)SAR data and their applications 43
2.5 The need for Scalar Consistent Measures of Distance 44

3 Consistent Measures of Distance for Univariate SAR Data 45
3.1 Original Heteroskedastic Model of SAR data 45
3.2 The Effects of Heteroskedasticity in SAR Data Processing 47
3.3 The Homoskedastic Effect of Logarithmic Transformation 49
3.4 Consistent Measures of Distance in the Log-Transformed Domain 51
3.4.1 Sampling distribution of Variance in Log-Transformed domain . 54
3.4.2 Sample variance as a statistical measure of homogenity 56

4 Consistent Measures of Distance for Multivariate POLSAR Data 59
4.1 POLSAR statistical analysis 59
4.2 Hetoroskedastic POLSAR data and the Homoskedastic Log-Transformation 61
4.3 Consistent Measures of Distance for POLSAR data 64
4.4 SAR as the Special Case of Polarimetric SAR 65
4.5 Validating the models against real-life data 68
4.5.1 Explaining practical data with the given Number-of-Looks 68
4.5.2 Comparing Theoretical Assumptions and Practical Implementations 72
4.5.3 Estimating the Effect Number-of-Looks (ENL) 74
4.5.4 Using the estimated ENL to better explain pratical data 75
4.6 Details of Mathematical Derivations 77
4.6.1 The Log-Chi-Square Distribution 77
4.6.2 Summary Statistics of Some POLSAR Observables 80
4.6.3 The characteristic function of some dissimilarity measures for polsar 81

4.6.4 SAR intensity as the special case of the POLSAR cov-matrix determinant . . . 82
4.6.4.1 Original Domain: SAR Intensity and its ratio . . . 85
4.6.4.2 Log-transformed domain: SAR log-intensity and the log-distance . . . 86
4.6.4.3 Deriving the PDF for SAR dispersion and contrast . . . 88

5 Using the Consistent Measures of Distance 91
5.1 Clustering SAR images using the consistent measures . . . 91
5.1.1 Developing kMLE clustering Algorithm . . . 91
5.1.1.1 Homogeneous Maximum Likelihood Estimation . . . 91
5.1.1.2 Heterogeneous k-MLE Clustering . . . 93
5.1.1.3 Determining number of clusters . . . 94
5.1.2 Evaluating the kMLE algorithm . . . 96
5.1.2.1 Using the kMLE clustering algorithm for speckle filtering 96
5.1.2.2 Evaluation of the kMLE speckle filter on Simulated targets 98
5.1.2.3 Evaluation of the kMLE speckle filter on Real Images . 99
5.2 SAR Speckle filtering using the consistent measures . . . 99
5.2.1 The FMLE SAR Speckle Filters . . . 100
5.2.1.1 FMLE Estimation . . . 102
5.2.1.2 Preservation of Distance Histogram Consistency . . . 104
5.2.1.3 Recursively Applying Fuzzy MLE Filter . . . 105
5.2.2 Evaluating the FMLE SAR speckle filters . . . 106
5.2.2.1 Qualititative Evaluation on Real Images . . . 107
5.2.2.2 Evaluating Speckle Suppression Effects . . . 108
5.2.2.3 Evaluating Target Detection Performance . . . 108
5.2.2.4 Contribution and Conclusions . . . 109
5.3 Evaluating SAR Speckle Filters using the consistent measures . . . 109
5.3.1 Speckle Filtering Process And The Homoskedastic Log-transformed Domain . . . 111
5.3.2 Evaluating SAR Speckle Filters Over Homogeneous Areas . . . 112
5.3.2.1 Estimating ENL from MSE index in homogeneous areas 113
5.3.2.2 Using log-variance to evaluate speckle filters . . . 115
5.3.2.3 Estimating ENL from MSE index in homogeneous areas 115
5.3.3 Evaluating SAR Speckle Filters over Heterogeneous Scenes . . 117

5.3.4 Using MSE to find the most suitable speckle filter for practical SAR images . . . 131
5.4 Evaluating POLSAR Speckle Filters using the consistent measures . . . 137
5.4.1 POLSAR ENL Estimation using the Homoskedastic Property . . 139
5.4.2 Evaluating POLSAR Speckle Filters over Homogeneous Areas . . 140
5.4.3 Evaluating POLSAR Speckle Filters over Heterogeneous Areas . 142

6 Discussion and Conclusions **145**
6.1 Result Evaluation and Discussion . . . 145
6.2 Contributions of the Thesis . . . 147
6.3 Possible Future Work and Conclusion . . . 150
6.3.1 Future research plan . . . 150
6.3.2 Conclusion . . . 151
6.3.3 Conclusion . . . 153

References **155**