18. Class: Design and Declaration

- Declare a new class in a program creates a new type – class design is type design.
- Aim for a class interface that is complete and minimal – two completely different directions.

- Complete: powerful and convenient to use.
- Minimal: fairly small number of member functions, each of which performs a distinct task.

19. Functions: Design and Declaration

- Differentiate among member functions, global functions, and friend functions (access to nonpublic members).
- class Rational {
 Rational operator*(const Rational& rhs);
 };
 Rational a, r;
 r = r * a // fine
 r = a * 2; // fine because
 Rational temp(2); // turn 2 into a Rational
 r = a * temp;

Functions: Design and Declaration (2)

- r = 2 * a; // error! because
 r = 2.operator*(a); // no member function
 r = operator*(2, a); // no global function
- The solution is to make it global (allowing compiler to perform implicit type conversions on all arguments):

Rational operator*(const Rational& Ihs, const Rational& rhs);

 Should it be made a friend function in this case? No. Whenever you can avoid friend functions, you should.

Functions: Design and Declaration (3)

 operator>> and operator<< should not be member function (must be made friends). If they were, you have to put the **String** object on the left when you called the functions.

```
class String {
    istream& operator>>(istream& input);
    ostream& operator<<(ostream& output);
}
String s;
s >> cin;    // legal, but contrary to convention
s << cout;    // No...</pre>
```

Functions: Design and Declaration (4)

```
// Virtual functions must be members.
```

• if (f needs to be virtual)

make f a member function of C;

```
// operator>> and operator<< are never members.
```

else if (f is operator>> or operator<<) {
 make f a global function (or within a namespace);
 if (f needs access to non-public members of C)
 make f a friend of C;
 1

Functions: Design and Declaration (5)

```
// Only non-members can have type conversion on
// their left-most argument, like
// result = 2 * onehalf;
```

 else if (f needs type conversion on its left-most argument)
 make f a global (or a friend) function;

// Everything else should be a member function.

else
 make f a member function of C;

21. Use "const" Whenever Possible

```
char *p
                   = "Hello"; // non-const pointer,
                               // non-const data
const char *p
                  = "Hello"; // non-const pointer,
                               // const data
char * const p = "Hello"; // const pointer,
                               // non-const data
const char * const p = "Hello"; // const pointer,
                               // const data
```

Use "const" Whenever Possible (2)

 A function returning a const value often reduces the incidence of client errors.

const Rational operator*(const Rational& Ihs, const Rational& rhs);

Rational a, b, c;

(a * b) = c; // assign to the product of a * b // flat-out illegal!

Use "const" Whenever Possible (3)

 Member functions differing only in their "const"-ness can be overloaded.

- operator[] for non-const objects: (e.g. LHS)
 char& operator[](int position);
- operator[] for const objects: (e.g. RHS)
 const char& operator[](int position)
 const;

Use "const" Whenever Possible (4)

```
String str = "World"; // non-const String object
const String
  constStr = "Hello"; // const String object
char c2 = str[0]; // fine - reading a non-const String.
char c1 = constStr[0]; // fine - reading a const String.
str[0] = 'x'; // fine – writing a non-const String.
constStr[0] = 'x';
      // error! – writing a const String.
```

Use "const" Whenever Possible (5)

 The constructor makes data point to a copy of what value points to.

Use "const" Whenever Possible (6)

 Surely there is something wrong when you create a constant object with a particular value and you invoke only "const" member functions on it, yet you are still able to change its value!

22. Pass & Return Objects

- Pass and return objects by reference instead of by value.
- class Person {
 String name, address; };

```
class Student : public Person {
    String schoolName, schoolAddress; };
```

Student returnStudent (Student p) { return p; }

Pass & Return Objects (2)

- Student s; returnStudent (s);
- Call copy constructor to initialize p with s.
- Call copy constructor to initialize the object returned by the function with p.
- Destructor is called for p.
- Destructor is called for the object returned by returnStudent.
- There are many other constructor and destructor calls.....

Pass & Return Objects (3)

- Each Student construction entails two more String constructions.
- Each Student construction entails one more Person construction.
- Each *Person* construction entails two more *String* constructions.
- Each constructor call is matched by a destructor call.....

Pass & Return Objects (4)

• Therefore,

Student& returnStudent (Student& p) { return p; }

 More efficient: no constructors or destructors are called, because no new objects are being created.

Pass & Return Objects (5)

 Passing parameters by reference has another advantage: it avoids what is called the "slicing problem". When a derived class object is turned into a base class object, all of the specialized features that made it behave like a derived class object are "sliced" off, and you are left with a simple base class object.

23. Returning an Object

 Do not try to return a reference when you must return an object.

```
    Complex a(3, 2);
    Complex b(-5, 22);
    Complex c = a + b;
```

Which one of the following is better? // (1)

Returning an Object (2)

- const Complex operator+(const Complex& Ihs, const Complex& rhs)
 { return Complex(Ihs.r + rhs.r, Ihs.i + rhs.i); }
 Call constructor? (and later destructor)
- 2. const Complex& operator+(const Complex& Ihs, const Complex& rhs)
 { Complex result(Ihs.r + rhs.r, Ihs.i + rhs.i);
 return result; }

Call constructor? Return a reference to a local object?

Returning an Object (3)

Call constructor? A guaranteed memory leak. For example,

Complex w, x, y, z; W = X + y + z;

Returning an Object (4)

```
4. const Complex& operator+(const Complex& Ihs, const Complex& rhs)
{ static Complex result; // static object to which a // reference will be returned .....
return result; }
```

```
Complex a, b, c, d;

if ((a + b) = (c + d)) // will always evaluate to true

// regardless of the values of a, b, c, and d
```

24. Function Overloading vs Parameter Defaulting

```
1. void f();
                           // f is overload
    void f(int x)
                           // calls f()
    f();
    f(10);
                           // calls f(int)
2. void f(int x = 0); // f has a default parameter value
    f();
                           // calls f(0)
    f(10);
                           // calls f(10)
```

Which should be used when?

Function Overloading vs Parameter Defaulting (cont)

 If you can choose a reasonable default value and you want to employ only a single algorithm – use the default parameters.

Otherwise – use function overloading.

25. Overloading

 Avoid overloading on a pointer and a numerical type.

```
void f(int x);
void f(char *p);
f(0); // calls f(int) or f(char*)?
```

26. Guard against Potential Ambiguity

class B; // forward declaration for class B

```
    class A {
        A (const class B&);
        // an A can be constructed from a B. };
```

class B {
 operator A() const;
 // a B can be converted into an A. };

Guard against Potential Ambiguity (2)

void g(const A&);
 B b;
 g(b);

- 1. calls A's constructor using b as an argument.
- 2. converts b into an A.

Guard against Potential Ambiguity (3)

void f(int);void f(char);

```
double d = 1.2;

f(d); // error – ambiguous
```

Guard against Potential Ambiguity (4)

```
    class Base1 { public: int f(); };
    class Base2 { public: int f(); };
    class Derived: public Base1,
    public Base2 { ... };
    // Derived does not declare a function called f
```

```
Derived d;
d.f(); // error – ambiguous
```

30. Reference to a Less-Accessible Member

 Never write functions to access (references of) restricted members.

```
    class Address {...};
    class Person {
        public: Address& personAddr() { return addr; }
        private: Address addr; };

    Person s;
        Address addr = s.personAddr();
        // s.addr is no longer private.
```

31. Returning a Reference

- Never return a reference to a local object: local objects are destructed when they go out of scope.
- How about calling new instead of using a local object?
- Writing a function that returns a dereferenced pointer is a memory leak just waiting to happen.

32. Variable Definitions

 Postpone variables definitions as long as possible – there is a cost (constructor or destructor) associated with unused variables, avoid them whenever you can.

"enum"

Use enums for integral class constants.

```
    class X {

      char buffer[256];
  };

    const BUFSIZE = 256;

  class X {
      char buffer[BUFSIZE];
```

};

"enum" (2)

```
    class X {

     static const BUFSIZE = 256;
                                       // error!
     char buffer[BUFSIZE];
  };

    class X {

     static const BUFSIZE;
      char buffer[BUFSIZE];
                                        // error!
  };
  const X::BUFSIZE = 256;
```

"enum" (3)

```
    class X {
        enum { BUFSIZE = 256; } // fine
        char buffer[BUFSIZE];
    };
```

33. Use "inlining" Judiciously

 "inline" functions look like functions, act like functions, better than macros, no overhead like calling a function.....

There is no free lunch.

 Increase overall size of object code, reduce instruction cache hit rate.

Use "inlining" Judiciously (2)

- "inline" directive is a "hint" to the compiler not a "command" (just like register).
- // this is file "example.h" inline void f();

```
// this is file "source1.cc" #include "example.h" // include definition of f
```

```
// this is file "source2.cc" #include "example.h" // also include definition f
```

Use "inlining" Judiciously (3)

 Assume that f is not being inline: linker complains multiple definitions.

 To prevent the problem, compilers treat an un-inlined inline function as "static", unit that includes the definition of f will have its own static copy of f.