1 Proof of $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{1}_2 + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$.

As we have proved¹, in the $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ basis,

$$|\hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}; \pm\rangle \equiv |\psi(\theta, \varphi)\rangle = \cos(\theta/2) |0\rangle + \sin(\theta/2)e^{i\varphi} |1\rangle \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2)e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

• The density matrix for a pure ensemble is $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ [10, p. 182, Eq. (3.4.12)]. Hence,

$$\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \doteq \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2)e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \left(\cos(\theta/2) & \sin(\theta/2)e^{-i\varphi} \right)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2(\theta/2) & \cos(\theta/2)\sin(\theta/2)e^{-i\varphi} \\ \cos(\theta/2)\sin(\theta/2)e^{i\varphi} & \sin^2(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \cos(\theta) & \sin\theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \sin\theta e^{i\varphi} & 1 - \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \cos\theta & \sin\theta\cos\varphi - i\sin\theta\sin\varphi \\ \sin\theta\cos\varphi + i\sin\theta\sin\varphi & 1 - \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sin\theta\cos\varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sin\theta\sin\varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos\theta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{1}_2 + \sin\theta\cos\varphi \hat{\sigma}_1 + \sin\theta\sin\varphi \hat{\sigma}_2 + \cos\theta \hat{\sigma}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{1}_2 + (\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta) \cdot (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(1)}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{1}_2 + (r_1, r_2, r_3) \cdot (\hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\sigma}_2, \hat{\sigma}_3) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{1}_2 + \vec{r} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \end{bmatrix},$$

where in (1) we defined $(\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta) \equiv (r_1, r_2, r_3) = \vec{r}$.

As stated, for pure states,

$$|\vec{r}|^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 = \sin^2\theta \cos^2\varphi + \sin^2\theta \sin^2\varphi + \cos^2\theta = \sin^2\theta (\cos^2\varphi + \sin^2\varphi) + \cos^2\theta = \sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta = 1 \iff |\vec{r}| = +\sqrt{1} = 1.$$
(1.3)

That is, for pure states the *Bloch vector* or *Polarization vector* $\vec{r} = [\hat{\sigma}_i]_{\hat{\rho}} \vec{n}_i$ is equal to the radius of unit length $\hat{r} = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|}$ from the origin to the surface of the Bloch sphere.

Then, at least for pure state density matrices on the Bloch sphere,

$$\hat{\rho} = (\hat{1}_2 + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma})/2 \tag{1.4}$$

 $^{^{1}}$ See the proof for Eq. (1.1) on the author's page.

Bibliography

- [1] M. A. Nielsen & I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, 10th Anniversary Edition, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2010).
- [2] S. Lloyd, Universal quantum simulators, Science, vol. 273, p. 1073 (1996).
- [3] P. W. Shor, Polynomial time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput., vol. 26, p. 1484 (1997).
- [4] Ricard Solé, Vidas Sintéticas (Tusquets Editores, Barcelona, Spain, 2012).
- [5] M. Gardner, Mathematical games: The fantastic combinations of John Conway's new solitaire game Life, Scientific American 223(10), p. 120-123 (1970).
- [6] A. Adamatzky (Ed.), Game of Life Cellular Automata (Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2010).
- [7] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata & E. Solano, Quantum Artificial Life in an IBM Quantum Computer, Scientific Reports 8, Article number: 14793 (2018).
- [8] R. P. Feynman, Simulating physics with computers, Int. J. Theor. Phys., Vol. 21, p. 467–488 (1982).
- [9] A. P. Flitney & D. Abbott, A semi-quantum version of the game of Life, arXiv:quant-ph/0208149 (2002).
- [10] J. J. Sakurai & Jim J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, San Francisco, United States, 2010).
- [11] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* (Pearson Education International, United States, 2004).
- [12] L. K. Grover, A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search, Proceedings, 28th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (STOC), 212 (1996).
- [13] R. Shankar, Principles of Quantum Mechanics (Plenum Press, New York and London, 1994).
- [14] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata & E. Solano, Artificial Life in Quantum Technologies, Scientific Reports 6, Article number: 20956 (2016).
- [15] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata & E. Solano, Biomimetic Cloning of Quantum Observables, Scientific Reports 4, Article number: 4910 (2014).
- [16] A. Ferraro, A. Galbiati, & M. Paris, Cloning of observables, J. Phys. A 39, L219-L228 (2006).
- [17] D. P. DiVincenzo, Quantum computation and spin physics, Journal of Applied Physics 81, p. 4602-4607 (1997).
- [18] T. Rowland, *Unitary Matrix*, Mathworld A Wolfram Web Resource, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/UnitaryMatrix.html.
- [19] D. E. Deutsch, Quantum computational networks, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond., vol. A 425, p. 73-90 (1989).
- [20] N. D. Mermin, Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2007).
- [21] H. Barnum, C. M. Caves, C. A. Fuchs, R. Jozsa, and B. Schumacher, Noncommuting Mixed States Cannot Be Broadcast, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 76, p. 2818-2821 (1996).