

## 1 Density operator ( $\hat{\rho}$ ) approach for Eq. (2.3-2.4)

In order to calculate Eq. (2.3-2.4), we could have also used the *density operator*, the sum over pure states  $\hat{\rho} \equiv \sum_i w_i |\alpha^{(i)}\rangle \langle \alpha^{(i)}|$  [10, p. 181, Eq. (3.4.7)], where  $w_i$  is the weight or probabilistic distribution with  $\sum_i w_i = 1$ , and  $|\alpha^{(i)}\rangle$  are the base kets.

We are in a *pure ensemble*, a collection of identically prepared physical systems, on which a great number of measurements are to be performed in order to determine Eq. (2.3-2.4), all characterized by the same ket  $|\alpha\rangle$  [10, p. 24, l. 12-15].

Then,  $w_i = 1$  for  $i = n$  and  $w_i = 0$  for all other conceivable state kets. Thus, the density operator corresponding to a pure state such as ours can be written as  $\hat{\rho} = |\alpha^{(n)}\rangle \langle \alpha^{(n)}|$  [10, p. 182, Eq. (3.4.12)]. In this particular case,  $|\alpha^{(n)}\rangle = |\psi\rangle = (2.2)$ , and, in the  $\{|1\rangle, |0\rangle\}$  basis,

$$\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \doteq \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^* & b^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

The density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  must satisfy the following results: [13, p. 134, Eq. (4.2.23.1-3)] [13, p. 101, Theo. 2.5]

1. The density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  must be Hermitian:  $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}^\dagger \leftrightarrow \hat{\rho}^\dagger \doteq \begin{pmatrix} (|a|^2)^* & (ab^*)^* \\ (a^*b)^* & (|b|^2)^* \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & a^*b \\ ab^* & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} \doteq \hat{\rho}$ , where the adjoint (or conjugate transpose) is obtained by both transposing and complex conjugating [1, p. 18, l. 28], and the conjugate and transpose operations commute,  $(\hat{A}^*)^T = (\hat{A}^T)^*$ .

Clearly, due to  $\hat{\rho}$ 's Hermiticity –in particular, all Hermitian and all unitary matrices are normal–, it is also *normal*: it commutes with its Hermitian conjugate or, equivalently,  $\hat{\rho}\hat{\rho}^\dagger = \hat{\rho}^\dagger\hat{\rho}$ . From the *spectral decomposition* theorem [1, p. 72, Theo. 2.1] we know that every normal operator is diagonalizable (its eigenvectors span the space). Let us consider that  $\{|j\rangle\}$  is the just mentioned orthonormal basis. Therefore, the density operator can be represented as  $\hat{\rho} = \sum_j \lambda_j |j\rangle \langle j|$ , where  $\lambda_j$  are its real non-negative eigenvalues [1, p. 101, Eq. (2.157)]. The latter is related to the following condition 3.

2. The normalization condition leads to:  $\text{Tr}\hat{\rho} = 1$ . Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\hat{\rho} &= \text{Tr}\left(\sum_i w_i |\alpha^{(i)}\rangle \langle \alpha^{(i)}|\right) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_i w_i \text{Tr}(|\alpha^{(i)}\rangle \langle \alpha^{(i)}|) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \sum_i w_i \sum_j \langle j|\alpha^{(i)}\rangle \langle \alpha^{(i)}|j\rangle \\ &= \sum_i w_i \sum_j \langle \alpha^{(i)}|j\rangle \langle j|\alpha^{(i)}\rangle = \sum_i w_i \langle \alpha^{(i)}|\hat{1}|\alpha^{(i)}\rangle = \sum_i w_i \langle \alpha^{(i)}|\alpha^{(i)}\rangle = \sum_i w_i \stackrel{(3)}{=} 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where in (1) we used  $\text{Tr}(k\hat{A}) = k \text{Tr}(\hat{A})$  ; in (2),  $\text{Tr}(\hat{X}) \equiv \sum_{a'} \langle a'|\hat{X}|a'\rangle$  [10, p. 37, Eq. (1.5.14)]; and, in (3), Ref. [10, p. 108, Eq. (3.4.5)].

In this instance,  $\text{Tr}\hat{\rho} \doteq \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} = |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ , where the last equality holds from the normalization condition of Eq. (2.2).

3. The density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  must have positive or null eigenvalues - or, equivalently,  $\hat{\rho}$  ought to be a positive semidefinite matrix,  $\hat{\rho} \geq 0$  -, so that (*von Neumann*) *entropy*  $S(\hat{\rho}) \equiv -k \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho})$  [10, p. 187, Eq. (3.4.35-36) & (3.4.41)] can be defined, where  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant. Proof:

For an arbitrary vector  $|\varphi\rangle$ , [1, p. 191, Eq. (2.156)]

$$\langle \varphi | \hat{\rho} | \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi | \left( \sum_i w_i |\alpha^{(i)}\rangle \langle \alpha^{(i)}| \right) | \varphi \rangle = \sum_i w_i \langle \varphi | \alpha^{(i)} \rangle \langle \alpha^{(i)} | \varphi \rangle = \sum_i w_i |\langle \varphi | \alpha^{(i)} \rangle|^2 \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

We will prove that  $\hat{\rho}$  is positive semidefinite ( $\hat{\rho} \geq 0$ ) in this particular case; id est, that all its eigenvalues,  $\lambda$ , are non-negative:

$$|\hat{\rho} - \lambda \hat{1}| = 0 = \begin{vmatrix} |a|^2 - \lambda & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (|a|^2 - \lambda)(|b|^2 - \lambda) - |a|^2|b|^2 = |a|^2|b|^2 - \lambda(|a|^2 + |b|^2) + \lambda^2 - |a|^2|b|^2 = \lambda^2 - \lambda = \lambda(\lambda - 1) = 0 \leftrightarrow \lambda = 0, 1 \geq 0. \quad \text{QED.}$$

Concretely, if we use the basis in which  $\hat{\rho}$  is diagonal, [10, p. 187 Eq. (3.4.36)],<sup>1</sup>

$$S = -k \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}) \doteq -k \sum_k \rho_k^{\text{diag}} \ln \rho_k^{\text{diag}}. \quad (1.4)$$

Recalling that the matrix representation is diagonal when its eigenfunctions are used as the base kets [10, p. 22, l. 3-5 & p. 36, l. 5-7], the diagonal elements in this basis are its eigenvalues  $\lambda = 0, 1$ :

$$\hat{\rho} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$S = -k \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}) \doteq -k \sum_k \rho_k^{\text{diag}} \ln \rho_k^{\text{diag}} = -k(0 \ln(0) + 1 \ln(1)) = -k(0 + 0) = 0,$$

as it should be for a pure ensemble [10, p. 187, Eq. (3.4.38)]. As it can be seen, even though  $\ln(0)$  diverges to  $-\infty$ ,  $0 \ln(0)$  is well behaved. In general,  $S \in [0, k \ln(n)]$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$  is the dimension of the Hilbert space [10, p. 187, Eq. (3.4.37)]. Simply stated, entropy is a measure of the disorder or mixedness:  $S = 0$  for pure states and  $S = k \ln(n)$  for maximally mixed states of diagonal form  $\hat{\rho} = \frac{\hat{1}_n}{n}$ , as stated in footnote 25.

4. For a pure ensemble, the density operator  $\hat{\rho}$  is idempotent; that is,  $\hat{\rho}^2 = \hat{\rho}$  [10, p. 182, Eq. (3.4.13)]. Thus, for a pure ensemble only, we have  $\text{Tr} \hat{\rho}^2 = \text{Tr} \hat{\rho} \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} 1$  [10, p. 182, Eq. (3.4.15)]. Proof:

$$\hat{\rho}^2 \doteq \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2(|a|^2 + |b|^2) & ab^*(|a|^2 + |b|^2) \\ a^*b(|a|^2 + |b|^2) & |b|^2(|a|^2 + |b|^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} \doteq \hat{\rho}.$$

Then probability of measuring the cell as *alive* or *dead*, as we previously calculated in Eq. (??-??),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle}(|1\rangle) &= \text{Pr}_{\hat{\rho}}(|1\rangle) \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\Lambda}_{|1\rangle}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} |1\rangle \langle 1|) \doteq \text{Tr} \left( \hat{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left( \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & 0 \\ a^*b & 0 \end{pmatrix} = |a|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

<sup>1</sup>In Information Theory, the expression in the diagonal basis is called the *Shannon entropy*, with the log in base 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle}(|0\rangle) &= \text{Pr}_{\hat{\rho}}(|0\rangle) \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\Lambda}_{|0\rangle}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} |0\rangle \langle 0|) \doteq \text{Tr} \left( \hat{\rho} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left( \begin{pmatrix} |a|^2 & ab^* \\ a^*b & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ab^* \\ 0 & |b|^2 \end{pmatrix} = |b|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where  $\hat{\Lambda}_{|\phi\rangle} \equiv |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$  is the *projection operator* along the ket  $|\phi\rangle$ , which selects that portion of the ket  $|\psi\rangle$  (from  $\hat{\rho} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ ) parallel to  $|\phi\rangle$  [10, p. 19, Eq. (1.3.15)]. Besides, the probability (Pr.) of measuring a general ensemble (mixed or pure), characterized by the density operator  $\hat{\rho}$ , in the state  $\phi$  is defined in terms of the projection operator  $\hat{\Lambda}$  as  $\text{Pr}_{\hat{\rho}}(|\phi\rangle) \equiv \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\Lambda}_{|\phi\rangle})$  [13, p. 134, l. 4][1, p. 102, Eq. (2.159)].

## Bibliography

- [1] M. A. Nielsen & I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, 10th Anniversary Edition, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2010).
- [2] S. Lloyd, *Universal quantum simulators*, Science, vol. **273**, p. **1073** (1996).
- [3] P. W. Shor, *Polynomial time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer*, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput., vol. **26**, p. **1484** (1997).
- [4] Ricard Solé, *Vidas Sintéticas* (Tusquets Editores, Barcelona, Spain, 2012).
- [5] M. Gardner, *Mathematical games: The fantastic combinations of John Conway's new solitaire game Life*, Scientific American **223**(10), p. **120-123** (1970).
- [6] A. Adamatzky (Ed.), *Game of Life Cellular Automata* (Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2010).
- [7] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata & E. Solano, *Quantum Artificial Life in an IBM Quantum Computer*, Scientific Reports **8**, Article number: **14793** (2018).
- [8] R. P. Feynman, *Simulating physics with computers*, Int. J. Theor. Phys., Vol. **21**, p. **467-488** (1982).
- [9] A. P. Flitney & D. Abbott, *A semi-quantum version of the game of Life*, arXiv:[quant-ph/0208149](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0208149) (2002).
- [10] J. J. Sakurai & Jim J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics* (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, San Francisco, United States, 2010).
- [11] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* (Pearson Education International, United States, 2004).
- [12] L. K. Grover, *A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search*, Proceedings, 28th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (STOC), **212** (1996).
- [13] R. Shankar, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Plenum Press, New York and London, 1994).
- [14] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata & E. Solano, *Artificial Life in Quantum Technologies*, Scientific Reports **6**, Article number: **20956** (2016).
- [15] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata & E. Solano, *Biomimetic Cloning of Quantum Observables*, Scientific Reports **4**, Article number: **4910** (2014).
- [16] A. Ferraro, A. Galbiati, & M. Paris, *Cloning of observables*, J. Phys. **A 39**, **L219-L228** (2006).
- [17] D. P. DiVincenzo, *Quantum computation and spin physics*, Journal of Applied Physics **81**, p. **4602-4607** (1997).
- [18] T. Rowland, *Unitary Matrix*, Mathworld – A Wolfram Web Resource, <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/UnitaryMatrix.html>.
- [19] D. E. Deutsch, *Quantum computational networks*, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond., vol. A **425**, p. **73-90** (1989).
- [20] N. D. Mermin, *Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2007).