

Introduction to Functional Programming

Lecture 1 : Lambda Calculus

What is λ -calculus

- Programming language
 - Invented in 1930s, by Alonzo Church and Stephen Cole Kleene
- Model for computation
 - Alan Turing, 1937: Turing machines equal λ -calculus in expressiveness

Overview: λ -calculus as a language

- Syntax
 - How to write a program?
 - Keyword “ λ ” for defining functions
- Semantics
 - How to describe the executions of a program?
 - Calculation rules called **reduction**

Syntax

- λ terms or λ expressions:

(Terms) $M, N ::= x \mid \lambda x. M \mid M N$

- **Lambda abstraction** ($\lambda x. M$): “anonymous” functions

`int f (int x) { return x; }` $\rightarrow \lambda x. x$

- **Lambda application** ($M N$): = 3

`int f (int x) { return x; }`
`f(3);` $\rightarrow (\lambda x. x) 3$

Syntax of Lambda Calculus

- The syntax is simple:
- $\langle \text{expr} \rangle ::=$
 - $\langle \text{constant} \rangle$
 - $| \langle \text{variable} \rangle$
 - $| (\langle \text{expr} \rangle \langle \text{expr} \rangle)$
 - $| (\lambda \langle \text{variable} \rangle. \langle \text{expr} \rangle)$
- **$\langle \text{constant} \rangle$ are numbers like “0” and “1” or predefined functions like “+”, “*”.**
- **$\langle \text{variable} \rangle$ are names like x,y.**
- 3rd rule means function application (f x)
- 4th rule is **lambda abstraction**, for building new functions

Syntax

Derivation of $(\lambda x. ((+ 1) x))$:

$\langle \text{exp} \rangle \Rightarrow (\lambda \langle \text{variable} \rangle. \langle \text{expr} \rangle)$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. \langle \text{expr} \rangle)$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. (\langle \text{expr} \rangle \langle \text{expr} \rangle))$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. ((\langle \text{expr} \rangle \langle \text{expr} \rangle) \langle \text{expr} \rangle))$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. ((\langle \text{constant} \rangle \langle \text{constant} \rangle) \langle \text{expr} \rangle))$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. ((+ 1) \langle \text{expr} \rangle))$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. ((+ 1) \langle \text{variable} \rangle))$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda x. ((+ 1) x))$

Calling the Function with Argument

- **Function application**

- $((\lambda x. ((+ 1) x)) 2) = (\lambda x. + 1 x) 2$

We call the above function with the argument 2

$$(\lambda x. + 1 x) 2 \Rightarrow (+ 1 2) \Rightarrow 3$$

Increase the Readability

- Parentheses are needed for function application to eliminate ambiguity
- According to the syntax description the following:

$(\lambda x. + 1 x)$ should be written as:

$(\lambda x. ((+ 1) x))$

but to increase readability, we omit the parentheses if no ambiguity results from it

Expressions

- The basic operation of lambda calculus is the application of expressions:

$((\lambda x. + x 1) 2)$ equals $(+ 2 1)$

by substituting x for 2 and **throwing** the lambda away

This equals applying the function with the argument 2

Historically, this is called a **beta-conversion**

Variables

- A variable **x** in an expression $(\lambda x. E)$ is said to be **bound** by lambda
- The scope of the binding is the expression E
- An unbound variable is said to be **free**
- Example:
 - $(\lambda x. + x y)$
 - Here, x is bound and y is free

Variables

- $(\lambda x. + x y) 2 \Rightarrow (+ 2 y)$
 - y is like a non-local reference in this function

- In Scheme:

```
(define y 5)  
((lambda (x) (+ x y)) 2)  
;Value 7
```

Variables

- The same variable can appear many times in different contexts. Some instances may be bound, others free.
- Example:

– $(\lambda x. + ((\lambda y. ((\lambda x. * x y) 2)) x) y)$



- The 1st x, after the * is bound by a different lambda than the outer x
- The first instance of y is bound, the second is free

Let's Substitute

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x. + ((\lambda y. ((\cancel{\lambda x.} * x y) 2)) x) y) \\ \Rightarrow & (\lambda x. + ((\lambda y. (* 2 \dot{y})) x) y) \\ \Rightarrow & (\lambda x. + ((* 2 x)) y) \\ \Rightarrow & (\lambda x. + (* 2 x) y) \quad ; y \text{ is free} \end{aligned}$$

- This function adds y to the product of 2 and the argument x , i.e. $(2*x)+y$.

Applying Beta Conversion

- We may use pass by value or pass by name
 - **Pass by value:**
$$((\lambda x. (* x x)) (+ 2 3)) \Rightarrow ((\lambda x. (* x x)) 5) \Rightarrow$$
$$(* 5 5) \Rightarrow 25$$
 - **Pass by name, “delayed evaluation”, “outermost evaluation”, “normal order”**
$$((\lambda x. (* x x)) (+ 2 3)) \Rightarrow (* (+ 2 3) (+ 2 3)) \Rightarrow$$
$$(* 5 5) \Rightarrow 25$$

Applying Beta Conversion

- The order of **beta** conversions can affect the result. How can this happen?
- Consider this expression:
 - $((\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x))$
 - Substitution will give you the same expression! (Infinite loop)
 - If this expression is used as an argument for:
 - $((\lambda y. 2) ((\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)))$ then the result is undefined according to call-by-value but if we use “pass-by-name” the value 2 is returned since the expression doesn't depend on the argument y .

Twice

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)) (\lambda y. (* y y))) 3 \\ &= ((\lambda x. (\lambda y. (* y y)) ((\lambda y. (* y y)) x)) 3) \\ &= (\lambda x. (\lambda y. (* y y)) ((\lambda y. (* y y)) x)) 3 \\ &= ((\lambda y. (* y y)) ((\lambda y. (* y y)) 3)) \\ &= (\lambda y. (* y y)) ((\lambda y. (* y y)) 3) \\ &= (\lambda y. (* y y)) ((* 3 3)) \\ &= (\lambda y. (* y y)) (* 3 3) = (\lambda y. (* y y)) 9 \\ &= (* 9 9) = \underline{81} \end{aligned}$$