Topic: Developing a Cognitive Bias and Market Irrationality-Incorporated Algorithm for Risk-Optimized Investment Decisions

Student: Iyad Ait Hou

Strong Parts:

- Clear Objective: The goal of creating a decision-making algorithm that takes into account cognitive biases and market irrationality is stated explicitly in the proposal. The research will be directed in a certain direction by this goal.

- Innovative Approach: It is a wise decision to employ reinforcement learning as the approach for creating the algorithm. Reinforcement learning has demonstrated potential in a number of fields and can successfully learn from data to make wise judgments.

- Identification of Current Limitations: The approach correctly pinpoints the drawbacks of existing techniques for making investment decisions, such as the disregard for cognitive biases, market irrationality, and individual risk tolerance. This indicates a thorough comprehension of the problems currently facing the industry.

- Potential Benefits: The proposal highlights the portfolio managers, financial advisers, and individual investors who may profit from the algorithm. It clearly shows how taking into account cognitive biases and individual risk may result in better investing performance.

Areas for Improvement:

- Methodological Details: The methods to depict market dynamics, model cognitive biases, and train the reinforcement learning algorithm is not well described in the proposal. More details on these topics would improve the proposal's credibility and clarity.

- Data Acquisition and Quality: The source and nature of the data that will be utilized for training and validation are not included in the proposal. To ensure the algorithm's success, it is crucial to address how pertinent and representative the data will be.

- Ethical Considerations: The plan makes reference to the possible ethical issues that might arise from the use and manipulation of cognitive biases, but it doesn't go into detail on how these issues would be resolved. The concept would be strengthened by offering a strategy for ethical standards and protections.

Additional Feedback:

- Impact on Traditional Models: The idea may go into further detail about how the algorithm's efficiency would be measured against more conventional decision-making frameworks. A more thorough review would be produced by examining the possible advantages and disadvantages of the suggested algorithm in contrast to already used techniques.

- Validation Strategy: Testing and validation using historical market data are mentioned in passing in the proposal. A more thorough validation method should be described, along with the metrics and standards that will be used to judge the effectiveness of the algorithm.