Entity Relation Model Mapping and Normalization

ER-Model Mapping to Database Relational Schema:

Dentist(SSN, FName, LName, Speacialization, Salary, DOB)

Patient(PID, PName, PAddress, DOB, PhNumber)

Insurer(Name, Address)

PaymentPlan(<u>PlanID</u>, Status, StartDateOfPlan, LastDateOfPlan, TotalRemainingAmt, DueDay, AfterEveryMonth, (**PID**, **BillID**))

Payment(ReceiptID, Date, PaidAmount, Time)

Money(ReceiptID, PaymentMode)

Insurance(ReceiptID, PolicyNumber, InsurerName)

Dentist_Patient_Appointment(SSN, PID, (Date, Time))

Patient Bill(PID, BillID, TotalAmount)

Bill_Full_Payment(, (PID, BillID), ReceiptID)

PaymentPlan_Payment_Installment(ReceiptID, InstallmentNumber, PlanID)

Patient_have_Insurer(PID, InsurerName, InsuranceContract)

CurrentPatient_Refers_NewPatient(CurrentPatient_PID, NewPatient_PID)

Normalization:

1. Dentist(<u>SSN</u>, FName, LName, Speacialization, Salary, DOB) – This relation is already in the BCNF. As there is no multivalued, composite attribute and nested tables which qualifies it for 1NF.

2NF – There is no partial dependency on prime attribute.

3NF – No Transitive functional dependency. BCNF – No transitive FD.

2. Patient(<u>PID</u>, PName, PAddress, DOB, PhNumber)

1NF – As we are storing only one PhNumber there is no multivalued attribute, and it qualifies for other rules also.

2NF – As there is no composite key there is no partial dependency on the prime attribute. 3NF – No transitive FD

BCNF - No transitive FD

- 3. Insurer(Name, Address) It is in BCNF
- 4. PaymentPlan(PlanID, Status, StartDateOfPlan, LastDateOfPlan,

TotalRemainingAmt, DueDay, AfterEveryMonth, (PID, BillID))

1NF - Its in first NF

2NF – As key is not composite its in the 2NF

3NF – No transitive functional dependency exists BCNF – No

transitive FD

5. Payment(ReceiptID, Date, PaidAmount, Time)

1NF – Yes

2NF – Yes, No composite key means no partial dependency

3NF - No transitive FD so its in the 3NF BCNF - Yes

- 6. Money(ReceiptID, PaymentMode) Its in the BCNF
- 7. Insurance(ReceiptID, PolicyNumber, InsurerName)

1NF – Yes, no composite, multivalued and nested element present in the relation

2NF – Yes, no partial dependency because by knowing the policy number we cannot guess the insurer name

3NF - no transitive FD BCNF - Yes

- 8. Dentist_Patient_Appointment(<u>SSN, PID</u>, (Date, Time)) Its already in BCNF
- 9. Patient_Bill(<u>PID</u>, BillID, TotalAmount)

1NF – yes

2NF – no partial dependency

3NF – Yes because non-prime attribute could not determine a prime attribute BCNF – yes

- 10.Bill Full Payment((PID, BillID), ReceiptID) It is already in BCNF
- 11.PaymentPlan_Payment_Installment(<u>ReceiptID</u>, InstallmentNumber, **PlanID**) It is already in BCNF because there is no functional dependency in which a non-prime attribute could determine a prime attribute
- 12.Patient_have_Insurer(PID, InsurerName, InsuranceContract)

It is already in BCNF because there is no functional dependency in which a non-prime attribute could determine a prime attribute

13. CurrentPatient Refers NewPatient(CurrentPatient_PID, NewPatient_PID)

1NF – no multivalued, composite attribute and nested tables

2NF – No composite key or no partial dependency

3NF – No transitive functional dependency

It is already in BCNF