

Joanna Masikowska

B9TB1710

```
CAPS08_B9TB1710.m
1 #logical indexing of matrices
2
3 x1=10; #number of trials {10,100,1000,10000,100000}
4 Po1=zeros(1,x1); #P of orange
5 for i=1:10
6     B=rand(1,x1) < 0.4; #1 for red box; 0 for blue box
7     Frnd=rand(1,x1); #probability of choosing a fruit
8     F(B==1)=Frnd(B==1)<2/8; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
9     F(B==0)=Frnd(B==0) < 3/4; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
10    #P of red and orange #P of blue and orange
11    Po1(1,i)=sum(F==0&B==1)/x1+sum(F==0&B==0)/x1;
12 endfor
13 P1=sum(Po1)/10
14 v1=var(Po1)
15
16
17 x2=100;
18 Po2=zeros(1,x2);
19 for i=1:10
20     B=rand(1,x2) < 0.4; #1 for red box; 0 for blue box
21     Frnd=rand(1,x2); #probability of choosing a fruit
22     F(B==1)=Frnd(B==1)<2/8; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
23     F(B==0)=Frnd(B==0) < 3/4; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
24     #P of red and orange #P of blue and orange
25     Po2(1,i)=sum(F==0&B==1)/x2+sum(F==0&B==0)/x2;
26 endfor
27 P2=sum(Po2)/10
28 v2=var(Po2)
29
30
31 x3=1000;
32 Po3=zeros(1,x3);
33 for i=1:10
34     B=rand(1,x3) < 0.4; #1 for red box; 0 for blue box
35     Frnd=rand(1,x3); #probability of choosing a fruit
36     F(B==1)=Frnd(B==1)<2/8; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
37     F(B==0)=Frnd(B==0) < 3/4; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
38     #P of red and orange #P of blue and orange
39     Po3(1,i)=sum(F==0&B==1)/x3+sum(F==0&B==0)/x3;
40 endfor
41 P3=sum(Po3)/10
42 v3=var(Po3)
43
44
45 x4=10000;
46 Po4=zeros(1,x4);
47 for i=1:10
48     B=rand(1,x4) < 0.4; #1 for red box; 0 for blue box
49     Frnd=rand(1,x4); #probability of choosing a fruit
50     F(B==1)=Frnd(B==1)<2/8; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
51     F(B==0)=Frnd(B==0) < 3/4; #1 for apple; 0 for orange
52     #P of red and orange #P of blue and orange
53     Po4(1,i)=sum(F==0&B==1)/x4+sum(F==0&B==0)/x4;
54 endfor
55 P4=sum(Po4)/10
56 v4=var(Po4)
57
58
```

My code consists of 4 loops, each for one trial number being {10,100,1000,10000}. In each loop I generate a matrix of random numbers with 1 row and x_i columns, where x_i stands for number of trials. I compare each element of the matrix with number 0.4 (standing for probability of choosing red box). If the equality is true, the number 1 is assigned to the same index in the new matrix. Otherwise, number 0 is assigned. Resulting matrix with zeros and ones is called B. Next, I again generate a matrix of random numbers Frnd with 1 row and x_i columns. It consist of probabilities of picking up orange or apple. For indices corresponding to red box in matrix B, I check if the probability point to apple or to orange for this box. I do analogically with blue box. I sum up the occurrence of each event (oranges from red box, apples from blue box, oranges from blue box, apples from red box) and divide by number of trials. The process is repeated 10 times and each time, probability of picking an orange from red box, is stored in matrix Po_i . By summing the values from the matrix and dividing by 10, I get the average probability corresponding to this number of trials. Then, I compute the variance of the data in matrix Po_i . My results are as below.

```

コマンドウィンドウ
GNU Octave, version 5.2.0
Copyright (C) 2020 John W. Eaton and others.
This is free software; see the source code for copying conditions.
There is ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY; not even for MERCHANTABILITY or
FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. For details, type 'warranty'.

Octave was configured for "x86_64-w64-mingw32".

Additional information about Octave is available at https://www.octave.org.

Please contribute if you find this software useful.
For more information, visit https://www.octave.org/get-involved.html

Read https://www.octave.org/bugs.html to learn how to submit bug reports.
For information about changes from previous versions, type 'news'.

>> CAPS08_B9TB1710

P1 = 0.41000
v1 = 0.036556
P2 = 0.44400
v2 = 0.018205
P3 = 0.44630
v3 = 0.0019762
P4 = 0.44892
v4 = 0.00020138
>>

```

Number of trials x	P(o) after 10 times x trials	Variance
10	0.4100	0.036556
100	0.44400	0.018205
1000	0.44630	0.0019762
10000	0.44892	0.00020138

I can see that the more data I have, the smaller the variance is. In all cases probability is about ~0.44 which is almost identical to the value calculated by hand $9/20$.