

Linear Algebra

Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati

January – May 2019

MA 102 (RA, RKS, MGPP, KVK)

Inner product spaces and spectral theorem

Topics:

- Inner product spaces
- Gram-Schmidt Process

Inner product spaces and spectral theorem

Topics:

- Inner product spaces
- Gram-Schmidt Process
- Spectral theorem for Hermitian matrices
- Orthogonal complement and projection theorem

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}**) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always** $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ **or** \mathbb{C}) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

- 1 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always** $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

- 1 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$
- 3 $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
- 4 $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}**) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

- 1 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$
- 3 $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
- 4 $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an **inner product space (IPS)**.

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}**) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

- 1 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$
- 3 $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
- 4 $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an **inner product space (IPS)**.

Recall that \mathbb{R}^n is an IPS with an inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n.$$

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}**) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

- 1 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2 $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$
- 3 $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
- 4 $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an **inner product space (IPS)**.

Recall that \mathbb{R}^n is an IPS with an inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n.$$

Example: The vector space \mathbb{F}^n is an inner product space with respect to the **standard inner product**

Inner product spaces (IPS)

Definition: An **inner product** on a vector space \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ (**always $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}**) satisfying the following properties for all vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ in \mathbb{V} and all scalars $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

- ❶ $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.
- ❷ $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle}$
- ❸ $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$
- ❹ $\langle \alpha \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an **inner product space (IPS)**.

Recall that \mathbb{R}^n is an IPS with an inner product

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n.$$

Example: The vector space \mathbb{F}^n is an inner product space with respect to the **standard inner product**

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := x_1 \bar{y}_1 + \cdots + x_n \bar{y}_n.$$

Examples

- Consider the ordered basis $B := [1, x, \dots, x^n]$ of $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$. Then $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \langle [p]_B, [q]_B \rangle.$$

Examples

- Consider the ordered basis $B := [1, x, \dots, x^n]$ of $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$. Then $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \langle [p]_B, [q]_B \rangle.$$

- The vector space $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \int_0^1 p(x)q(x)dx.$$

Examples

- Consider the ordered basis $B := [1, x, \dots, x^n]$ of $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$. Then $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \langle [p]_B, [q]_B \rangle.$$

- The vector space $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \int_0^1 p(x)q(x)dx.$$

- The vector space $C[a, b]$ is an inner product space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_a^b f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx.$$

Examples

- Consider the ordered basis $B := [1, x, \dots, x^n]$ of $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$. Then $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \langle [p]_B, [q]_B \rangle.$$

- The vector space $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \int_0^1 p(x)q(x)dx.$$

- The vector space $C[a, b]$ is an inner product space with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_a^b f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx.$$

- The vector space $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ is an IPS with respect to the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle := \text{Trace}(B^*A)$, where B^* is the conjugate transpose of B .

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$. Hence

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\alpha \mathbf{u}\|^2 \geq |\alpha|^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq 0$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\alpha \mathbf{u}\|^2 \geq |\alpha|^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

This shows that $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. ■

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\alpha \mathbf{u}\|^2 \geq |\alpha|^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

This shows that $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. ■

Theorem: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

① **Positive definite:** $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\alpha \mathbf{u}\|^2 \geq |\alpha|^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

This shows that $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. ■

Theorem: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

- ① **Positive definite:** $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$
- ② **Positive homogeneity:** $\|\alpha \mathbf{u}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{u}\|$

Length and distance

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. The **norm** (or **length**) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is a nonnegative number $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by $\|\mathbf{v}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Set $\alpha := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle / \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and define $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} - \alpha \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + \|\alpha \mathbf{u}\|^2 \geq |\alpha|^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

This shows that $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. ■

Theorem: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

- ① **Positive definite:** $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$
- ② **Positive homogeneity:** $\|\alpha \mathbf{u}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{u}\|$
- ③ **Triangle inequality:** $\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|$.

Length and distance

Distance: The **distance** $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is defined by $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$.

Length and distance

Distance: The **distance** $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is defined by $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$.

Example: For $f(x) := x$ and $g(x) := 3x - 2$ in $C[0, 1]$, we have

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^2 dx} = 1/\sqrt{3},$$

Length and distance

Distance: The **distance** $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is defined by $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$.

Example: For $f(x) := x$ and $g(x) := 3x - 2$ in $C[0, 1]$, we have

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^2 dx} = 1/\sqrt{3},$$

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 4(x - 1)^2 dx} = 2/\sqrt{3}.$$

Length and distance

Distance: The **distance** $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is defined by $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$.

Example: For $f(x) := x$ and $g(x) := 3x - 2$ in $C[0, 1]$, we have

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_0^1 x^2 dx} = 1/\sqrt{3},$$

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\| = \sqrt{\int_0^1 4(x - 1)^2 dx} = 2/\sqrt{3}.$$

Unit vector: A vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} is called a **unit vector** if $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$. If \mathbf{u} is a nonzero vector then $\mathbf{v} := \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}\mathbf{u}$ is a unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{u} . Here \mathbf{v} is referred to as **normalization** of \mathbf{u} .

Example: The polynomials $1, \sqrt{3}x, \dots, \sqrt{2n+1}x^n$ are unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}_n[x]$ with respect to the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^1 p(x)q(x)dx$.

Angle and orthogonality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{V} . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. Hence there exists a unique $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that

Angle and orthogonality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{V} . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. Hence there exists a unique $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that

$$\cos \theta := \frac{|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|}$$

Angle and orthogonality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{V} . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. Hence there exists a unique $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that

$$\cos \theta := \frac{|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|} \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| = \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta.$$

Angle and orthogonality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{V} . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. Hence there exists a unique $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that

$$\cos \theta := \frac{|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|} \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| = \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta.$$

Definition: Two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} are said to be **orthogonal** to each other (written as $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$) if $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$.

Angle and orthogonality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{V} . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. Hence there exists a unique $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that

$$\cos \theta := \frac{|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|} \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| = \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta.$$

Definition: Two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} are said to be **orthogonal** to each other (written as $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$) if $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$.

Example: The vectors $f(x) := x$ and $g(x) := 3x - 2$ in $C[0, 1]$ are orthogonal as $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 x(3x - 2)dx = 0$.

Angle and orthogonality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be nonzero vectors in \mathbb{V} . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$. Hence there exists a unique $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ such that

$$\cos \theta := \frac{|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|} \Rightarrow |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| = \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta.$$

Definition: Two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{V} are said to be **orthogonal** to each other (written as $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$) if $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$.

Example: The vectors $f(x) := x$ and $g(x) := 3x - 2$ in $C[0, 1]$ are orthogonal as $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 x(3x - 2)dx = 0$.

Pythagoras' Theorem: Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{V} . Then

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \Leftrightarrow \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0.$$

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal set** if the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are **mutually orthogonal**.

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal set** if the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are **mutually orthogonal**.

Additionally, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 1$ for $j = 1 : n$ then S is said to be an **orthonormal set**.

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal set** if the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are **mutually orthogonal**.

Additionally, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 1$ for $j = 1 : n$ then S is said to be an **orthonormal set**.

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal basis** (OB) of a subspace \mathbb{W} of \mathbb{V} if S is an orthogonal set and is a basis of \mathbb{W} .

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal set** if the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are **mutually orthogonal**.

Additionally, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 1$ for $j = 1 : n$ then S is said to be an **orthonormal set**.

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal basis** (OB) of a subspace \mathbb{W} of \mathbb{V} if S is an orthogonal set and is a basis of \mathbb{W} .

Remark: An orthogonal set need not be linearly independent.

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal set** if the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are **mutually orthogonal**.

Additionally, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 1$ for $j = 1 : n$ then S is said to be an **orthonormal set**.

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal basis** (OB) of a subspace \mathbb{W} of \mathbb{V} if S is an orthogonal set and is a basis of \mathbb{W} .

Remark: An orthogonal set need not be linearly independent. However, if S is an orthonormal set then S is linearly independent.

Orthonormal Basis

Definition: The vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ in \mathbb{V} are said to be **mutually orthogonal** if $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

A set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal set** if the vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are **mutually orthogonal**.

Additionally, if $\|\mathbf{u}_j\| = 1$ for $j = 1 : n$ then S is said to be an **orthonormal set**.

Definition: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS. A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ is said to be an **orthogonal basis** (OB) of a subspace \mathbb{W} of \mathbb{V} if S is an orthogonal set and is a basis of \mathbb{W} .

Remark: An orthogonal set need not be linearly independent. However, if S is an orthonormal set then S is linearly independent.

Definition: A subset S is said to be an **orthonormal basis** (ONB) of a subspace \mathbb{W} of \mathbb{V} if S is an **orthonormal set** and $\text{span}(S) = \mathbb{W}$.

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .
- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .
- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis in \mathbb{C}^2 .
- Let $E_{ij} := \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^\top$ for $i = 1 : n$ and $j := 1 : n$. Then $S := \{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{nn}\}$ is an **orthonormal basis** of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .
- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis in \mathbb{C}^2 .
- Let $E_{ij} := \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^\top$ for $i = 1 : n$ and $j := 1 : n$. Then $S := \{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{nn}\}$ is an **orthonormal basis** of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
- Consider the IPS $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ with the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 p(x)q(x)dx$.

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .
- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis in \mathbb{C}^2 .
- Let $E_{ij} := \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^\top$ for $i = 1 : n$ and $j := 1 : n$. Then $S := \{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{nn}\}$ is an **orthonormal basis** of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
- Consider the IPS $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ with the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 p(x)q(x)dx$. Then $S := \{1, x, x^2 - 1/3\}$ is an **orthogonal basis** of $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .
- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis in \mathbb{C}^2 .
- Let $E_{ij} := \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^\top$ for $i = 1 : n$ and $j := 1 : n$. Then $S := \{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{nn}\}$ is an **orthonormal basis** of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
- Consider the IPS $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ with the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 p(x)q(x)dx$. Then $S := \{1, x, x^2 - 1/3\}$ is an **orthogonal basis** of $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$.
The polynomials in S are called **Legendre polynomials**.

Example

- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis of \mathbb{F}^3 .
- The set $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is orthogonal basis in \mathbb{C}^2 .
- Let $E_{ij} := \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^\top$ for $i = 1 : n$ and $j := 1 : n$. Then $S := \{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{nn}\}$ is an **orthonormal basis** of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
- Consider the IPS $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ with the inner product $\langle p, q \rangle := \int_{-1}^1 p(x)q(x)dx$. Then $S := \{1, x, x^2 - 1/3\}$ is an **orthogonal basis** of $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

The polynomials in S are called **Legendre polynomials**. By normalizing the Legendre polynomials, we obtain an **orthonormal basis** of $\mathbb{R}_2[x]$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Theorem: Let $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB of \mathbb{V} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$ and

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Theorem: Let $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB of \mathbb{V} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$ and

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Theorem: Let $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB of \mathbb{V} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$ and

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof: We have

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j. \blacksquare$$

Question: Does every finite dimensional IPS have an orthonormal basis?

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Theorem: Let $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB of \mathbb{V} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$ and

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof: We have

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j. \blacksquare$$

Question: Does every finite dimensional IPS have an orthonormal basis? **Yes.**

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Theorem: Let $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB of \mathbb{V} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$ and

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof: We have

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j. \blacksquare$$

Question: Does every finite dimensional IPS have an orthonormal basis? **Yes.** In fact, any basis can be transformed to an ONB.

Orthonormal basis and coordinates

One of the advantages of having an ONB is that the coordinate of a vector can be computed easily.

Theorem: Let $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB of \mathbb{V} . Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$ and

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B := \begin{bmatrix} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof: We have

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \alpha_j. \blacksquare$$

Question: Does every finite dimensional IPS have an orthonormal basis? **Yes.** In fact, any basis can be transformed to an ONB. The process is called **Gram-Schmidt Process**.

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1)\mathbf{w}_1 =$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2) \mathbf{w}_2$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2) \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_3 - 10\mathbf{w}_1 - 5\mathbf{w}_2 =$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1) \mathbf{w}_1 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2) \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_3 - 10 \mathbf{w}_1 - 5 \mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Gram-Schmidt Process via an example

Suppose $\mathbb{W} = \text{span} \left(\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

We find an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W} as follows: Put

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_1}{\|\mathbf{v}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - (\mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1)\mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-4}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_1)\mathbf{w}_1 - (\mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{w}_2)\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_3 - 10\mathbf{w}_1 - 5\mathbf{w}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{5} \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then } \{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3\} \text{ is an orthonormal basis of}$$

\mathbb{W} .

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} .

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

$$\vdots$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{w}_m = \frac{\mathbf{u}_m}{\|\mathbf{u}_m\|}.$$

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{w}_m = \frac{\mathbf{u}_m}{\|\mathbf{u}_m\|}.$$

Then

- $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$ is an orthonormal set and

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{w}_m = \frac{\mathbf{u}_m}{\|\mathbf{u}_m\|}.$$

Then

- $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$ is an orthonormal set and
- $\text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_i) = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i)$ for $i = 1 : m$.

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{w}_m = \frac{\mathbf{u}_m}{\|\mathbf{u}_m\|}.$$

Then

- $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$ is an orthonormal set and
- $\text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_i) = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i)$ for $i = 1 : m$.

Thus every finite dimensional IPS has an orthonormal basis.

The Gram-Schmidt Process

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ be a LI subset of the IPS \mathbb{V} . Define

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{\|\mathbf{u}_1\|};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1$$

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_2}{\|\mathbf{u}_2\|};$$

$$\mathbf{u}_3 = \mathbf{v}_3 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_1 \rangle \mathbf{w}_1 - \langle \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \mathbf{w}_2$$

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_3}{\|\mathbf{u}_3\|};$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m = \mathbf{v}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle \mathbf{v}_m, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle \mathbf{w}_i$$

$$\mathbf{w}_m = \frac{\mathbf{u}_m}{\|\mathbf{u}_m\|}.$$

Then

- $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$ is an orthonormal set and
- $\text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_i) = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i)$ for $i = 1 : m$.

Thus every finite dimensional IPS has an orthonormal basis. Also an orthonormal set can be extended to an ONB.

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT.

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let us determine the adjoint of a matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $A : \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ and so $A^* : \mathbb{F}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an $n \times m$ matrix.

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let us determine the adjoint of a matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $A : \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ and so $A^* : \mathbb{F}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an $n \times m$ matrix. We have $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^*\mathbf{y} \rangle$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^m$.

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let us determine the adjoint of a matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $A : \mathbb{F}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ and so $A^* : \mathbb{F}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an $n \times m$ matrix. We have $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^*\mathbf{y} \rangle$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^m$.

The (i, j) -th entry of A^* is $\langle A^*\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{e}_j, A\mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \overline{\langle A\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle} =$ conjugate of the (j, i) -th entry of the matrix A .

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let us determine the adjoint of a matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ and so $A^* : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an $n \times m$ matrix. We have $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^*\mathbf{y} \rangle$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^m$.

The (i, j) -th entry of A^* is $\langle A^*\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{e}_j, A\mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \overline{\langle A\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle} =$ conjugate of the (j, i) -th entry of the matrix A . In other words,

$$A^* = \text{conjugate transpose of } A.$$

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let us determine the adjoint of a matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ and so $A^* : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an $n \times m$ matrix. We have $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^*\mathbf{y} \rangle$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^m$.

The (i, j) -th entry of A^* is $\langle A^*\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{e}_j, A\mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \overline{\langle A\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle} =$ conjugate of the (j, i) -th entry of the matrix A . In other words,

$$A^* = \text{conjugate transpose of } A.$$

Fact: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS and $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB. Then $[T]_B = [\langle T\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle]_{n \times n}$ and $[T^*]_B = ([T]_B)^*$.

Adjoint of a linear transformation

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional IPS. Let $T : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ be an LT. Then there exists a unique LT $T^* : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle T\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, T^*\mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let us determine the adjoint of a matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $A : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ and so $A^* : \mathbb{F}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an $n \times m$ matrix. We have $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, A^*\mathbf{y} \rangle$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^m$.

The (i, j) -th entry of A^* is $\langle A^*\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{e}_j, A\mathbf{e}_i \rangle = \overline{\langle A\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle} =$ conjugate of the (j, i) -th entry of the matrix A . In other words,

$$A^* = \text{conjugate transpose of } A.$$

Fact: Let \mathbb{V} be an IPS and $B := [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ be an ordered ONB. Then $[T]_B = [\langle T\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle]_{n \times n}$ and $[T^*]_B = ([T]_B)^*$.

Proof: $[T\mathbf{v}_j]_B = [\langle T\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle T\mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle]^T$. ■

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

- **Hermitian** if $A^* = A$ (**real symmetric** when A is real);

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

- **Hermitian** if $A^* = A$ (**real symmetric** when A is real);
- **Unitary** if $A^*A = AA^* = I_n$ (**orthogonal** when A is real).

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

- **Hermitian** if $A^* = A$ (**real symmetric** when A is real);
- **Unitary** if $A^*A = AA^* = I_n$ (**orthogonal** when A is real).

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is unitary if $\Leftrightarrow \langle A\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of A are orthonormal.

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

- **Hermitian** if $A^* = A$ (**real symmetric** when A is real);
- **Unitary** if $A^*A = AA^* = I_n$ (**orthogonal** when A is real).

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is unitary if $\Leftrightarrow \langle A\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of A are orthonormal.

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be Hermitian. Then the eigenvalues of A are **real** and eigenvectors corresponding to **distinct eigenvalues** are **orthogonal**.

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

- **Hermitian** if $A^* = A$ (**real symmetric** when A is real);
- **Unitary** if $A^*A = AA^* = I_n$ (**orthogonal** when A is real).

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is unitary if $\Leftrightarrow \langle A\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of A are orthonormal.

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be Hermitian. Then the eigenvalues of A are **real** and eigenvectors corresponding to **distinct eigenvalues** are **orthogonal**.

Proof: Let $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Then $\lambda\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \langle \lambda\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda\mathbf{v} \rangle = \bar{\lambda}\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \Rightarrow \lambda = \bar{\lambda}$.

Hermitian and unitary matrices

Definition: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is said to be

- **Hermitian** if $A^* = A$ (**real symmetric** when A is real);
- **Unitary** if $A^*A = AA^* = I_n$ (**orthogonal** when A is real).

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is unitary if $\Leftrightarrow \langle A\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of A are orthonormal.

Fact: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be Hermitian. Then the eigenvalues of A are **real** and eigenvectors corresponding to **distinct eigenvalues** are **orthogonal**.

Proof: Let $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Then $\lambda\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \langle \lambda\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda\mathbf{v} \rangle = \bar{\lambda}\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \Rightarrow \lambda = \bar{\lambda}$.

Let $A\mathbf{u} = \mu\mathbf{u}$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$. Then

$\mu\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, A\mathbf{v} \rangle = \lambda\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0. \blacksquare$

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Proof: Use induction on n . The result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$.

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Proof: Use induction on n . The result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$.

Let $A\mathbf{u}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}_1\| = 1$. Choose $\mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ such that $U_1 := [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ is an ONB of \mathbb{C}^n .

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Proof: Use induction on n . The result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$.

Let $A\mathbf{u}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}_1\| = 1$. Choose $\mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ such that $U_1 := [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ is an ONB of \mathbb{C}^n . Then

$U_1^*AU_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{h} \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix}$, where \mathbf{h} is a row vector and H is an $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix.

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Proof: Use induction on n . The result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$.

Let $A\mathbf{u}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}_1\| = 1$. Choose $\mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ such that $U_1 := [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ is an ONB of \mathbb{C}^n . Then

$U_1^*AU_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{h} \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix}$, where \mathbf{h} is a row vector and H is an $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix.

By induction hypothesis, there exists a unitary V such that $V^*HV = T$ is upper triangular.

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Proof: Use induction on n . The result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$.

Let $A\mathbf{u}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}_1\| = 1$. Choose $\mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ such that $U_1 := [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ is an ONB of \mathbb{C}^n . Then

$U_1^*AU_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{h} \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix}$, where \mathbf{h} is a row vector and H is an $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix.

By induction hypothesis, there exists a unitary V such that $V^*HV = T$ is upper triangular. Set $U := U_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$.

Schur Triangulization Theorem

Schur Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there is a unitary matrix U such that U^*AU is upper triangular.

Proof: Use induction on n . The result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$.

Let $A\mathbf{u}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1$ such that $\|\mathbf{u}_1\| = 1$. Choose $\mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ such that $U_1 := [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ is an ONB of \mathbb{C}^n . Then

$U_1^*AU_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{h} \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix}$, where \mathbf{h} is a row vector and H is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix.

By induction hypothesis, there exists a unitary V such that $V^*HV = T$ is upper triangular. Set $U := U_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$U^*AU = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{h}V \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} = \text{upper triangular. } \blacksquare$$

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Thus a Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable and has n orthonormal eigenvectors.

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Thus a Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable and has n orthonormal eigenvectors.

Proof: By Schur theorem, there is a unitary matrix U and an upper triangular matrix D such that $U^*AU = D$.

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Thus a Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable and has n orthonormal eigenvectors.

Proof: By Schur theorem, there is a unitary matrix U and an upper triangular matrix D such that $U^*AU = D$.

Now $A^* = A \Leftrightarrow D^* = D \Leftrightarrow D$ is a diagonal matrix with real diagonals.

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Thus a Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable and has n orthonormal eigenvectors.

Proof: By Schur theorem, there is a unitary matrix U and an upper triangular matrix D such that $U^*AU = D$.

Now $A^* = A \Leftrightarrow D^* = D \Leftrightarrow D$ is a diagonal matrix with real diagonals. If $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ then

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Thus a Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable and has n orthonormal eigenvectors.

Proof: By Schur theorem, there is a unitary matrix U and an upper triangular matrix D such that $U^*AU = D$.

Now $A^* = A \Leftrightarrow D^* = D \Leftrightarrow D$ is a diagonal matrix with real diagonals. If $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ then

$$A\mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j\mathbf{u}_j \text{ for } j = 1 : n$$

Spectral Theorem for Hermitian matrices

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is Hermitian \Leftrightarrow there exist a unitary matrix U and a real diagonal matrix D such that

$$U^*AU = D.$$

Thus a Hermitian matrix is unitarily diagonalizable and has n orthonormal eigenvectors.

Proof: By Schur theorem, there is a unitary matrix U and an upper triangular matrix D such that $U^*AU = D$.

Now $A^* = A \Leftrightarrow D^* = D \Leftrightarrow D$ is a diagonal matrix with real diagonals. If $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ then

$$A\mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j\mathbf{u}_j \text{ for } j = 1 : n$$

and $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ are orthonormal. ■

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $W = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ?

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \preceq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \preceq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

iff $\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$,

iff $A^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, where $A = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_k]$,

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

iff $\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$,

iff $A^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, where $A = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_k]$,

iff $\mathbf{u} \in \text{null}(A^T)$.

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

iff $\mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$,

iff $A^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, where $A = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_k]$,

iff $\mathbf{u} \in \text{null}(A^T)$.

- Let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

$$\text{iff } A^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ where } A = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_k],$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{u} \in \text{null}(A^T).$$

- Let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .
 - $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **orthogonal** to \mathbb{W} , if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ for every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$.

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

$$\text{iff } A^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ where } A = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_k],$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{u} \in \text{null}(A^T).$$

- Let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .
 - $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **orthogonal** to \mathbb{W} , if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ for every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$.
 - The **orthogonal complement** of \mathbb{W} :

$$\mathbb{W}^\perp := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}\}.$$

Orthogonal Complements

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) \leq \mathbb{R}^3$. If \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to every vector in \mathbb{W} , what can you say about \mathbf{u} ? We must have $\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{e}_3$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \leq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for every } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

$$\text{iff } A^T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ where } A = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_k],$$

$$\text{iff } \mathbf{u} \in \text{null}(A^T).$$

- Let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .
 - $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be **orthogonal** to \mathbb{W} , if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ for every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$.
 - The **orthogonal complement** of \mathbb{W} :

$$\mathbb{W}^\perp := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}\}.$$

- Clearly, $\mathbb{W}^\perp \leq \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{W}^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem

- If $W = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in W^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $W \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = W \oplus W^\perp$.

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

PROOF. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of \mathbb{W} .

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

PROOF. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of \mathbb{W} . For

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ take } \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i.$$

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

PROOF. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of \mathbb{W} . For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ take $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i$. Put $\mathbf{w}^\perp = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$ is the unique representation. ■

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

PROOF. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of \mathbb{W} . For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ take $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i$. Put $\mathbf{w}^\perp = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$ is the unique representation. ■

Theorem: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $(\text{col}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^T)$ and

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

PROOF. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of \mathbb{W} . For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ take $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i$. Put $\mathbf{w}^\perp = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$ is the unique representation. ■

Theorem: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $(\text{col}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^T)$ and $(\text{col}(A^T))^\perp = \text{null}(A)$.

Projection Theorem

- If $\mathbb{W} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Projection Theorem: Let $\mathbb{W} \preccurlyeq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{W} \oplus \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Thus if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then there are **unique** vectors $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{w}^\perp \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

PROOF. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ of \mathbb{W} . For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ take $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}_i$. Put $\mathbf{w}^\perp = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}^\perp$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$ is the unique representation. ■

Theorem: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $(\text{col}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^T)$ and $(\text{col}(A^T))^\perp = \text{null}(A)$. Further $\mathbb{R}^n = \text{null}(A) \oplus \text{col}(A^T)$ and $\mathbb{R}^m = \text{null}(A^T) \oplus \text{col}(A)$.

Exercises

- Use projection theorem to show:

(1) $\dim \mathbb{W} + \dim \mathbb{W}^\perp = n$,

(2) $(\mathbb{W}^\perp)^\perp = \mathbb{W}$.

(3) For any matrix A , $\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = n$.

Exercises

- Use projection theorem to show:
 - (1) $\dim \mathbb{W} + \dim \mathbb{W}^\perp = n$,
 - (2) $(\mathbb{W}^\perp)^\perp = \mathbb{W}$.
 - (3) For any matrix A , $\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = n$.
- Let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Show that there exists a matrix A such that $\mathbb{W} = \text{null}(A)$.
[Hint. Take $A = [\mathbf{a}_1 \dots \mathbf{a}_k]$, where $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W}^\perp .]

Exercises

- Use projection theorem to show:
 - (1) $\dim \mathbb{W} + \dim \mathbb{W}^\perp = n$,
 - (2) $(\mathbb{W}^\perp)^\perp = \mathbb{W}$.
 - (3) For any matrix A , $\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = n$.
- Let \mathbb{W} be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Show that there exists a matrix A such that $\mathbb{W} = \text{null}(A)$.
[Hint. Take $A = [\mathbf{a}_1 \dots \mathbf{a}_k]$, where $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{W}^\perp .]
- Find a basis for W^\perp , where
 $W = \text{span}([1, -3, 5, 0, 5]^t, [-1, 1, 2, -2, 3]^t, [0, -1, 4, -1, 5]^t)$.
