

CS343 - Operating Systems

Module-3E

Classical Synchronization Problems



Dr. John Jose

Assistant Professor

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam.

<http://www.iitg.ac.in/johnjose/>

Session Outline

- ❖ **Deadlock and Starvation Issues**
- ❖ **Bounded-Buffer Problem**
- ❖ **Readers and Writers Problem**
- ❖ **Dining-Philosophers Problem**

Objectives of Process Synchronization

- ❖ To introduce the concept of process synchronization.
- ❖ To introduce the critical-section problem, whose solutions can be used to ensure the consistency of shared data
- ❖ To present both software and hardware solutions of the critical-section problem
- ❖ **To examine several classical process-synchronization problems**
- ❖ To explore several tools that are used to solve process synchronization problems

Deadlock and Starvation

- ❖ **Deadlock** – two or more processes are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be caused by only one of the waiting processes
- ❖ Let **S** and **Q** be two semaphores initialized to 1

P_0
wait(S);
wait(Q);

...
signal(S);
signal(Q);

P_1
wait(Q);
wait(S);

...
signal(Q);
signal(S);

wait(S)

```
{ while (S <= 0)  
    ; // busy wait  
    S--;  
}
```

signal(S)

```
{ S++;  
}
```

Deadlock and Starvation

❖ Starvation – indefinite blocking

- ❖ A process may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended

❖ Priority Inversion – Scheduling problem when lower-priority process holds a lock needed by higher-priority process

- ❖ Solved via **priority-inheritance protocol**

P_0

wait(S);

wait(Q);

...

signal(S);

signal(Q);

P_1

wait(Q);

wait(S);

...

signal(Q);

signal(S);

Classical Problems of Synchronization

- ❖ Bounded-Buffer Problem
- ❖ Readers and Writers Problem
- ❖ Dining-Philosophers Problem

Bounded-Buffer Problem

- ❖ n buffers, each can hold one item
- ❖ Semaphore **mutex** initialized to the value 1
- ❖ Semaphore **full** initialized to the value 0
- ❖ Semaphore **empty** initialized to the value n

Bounded-Buffer Problem

mutex (1), full (0), empty (n)

Producer process

```
do {  
    /* produce an item in */  
    wait(empty);  
    wait(mutex);  
    /* add item to the buffer */  
    signal(mutex);  
    signal(full);  
} while (true);
```

Consumer process

```
do {  
    wait(full);  
    wait(mutex);  
    /* remove an item from buffer */  
    signal(mutex);  
    signal(empty);  
    /* consume the item */  
} while (true);
```


Readers-Writers Problem

- ❖ A data set is shared among a number of concurrent processes
 - ❖ Readers – only read the data set; they do not perform any updates
 - ❖ Writers – can both read and write
- ❖ Allow multiple readers to read at the same time.
- ❖ Only one single writer can access the shared data at the same time
- ❖ Shared Data
 - ❖ Data set
 - ❖ Semaphore **rw_mutex** initialized to 1
 - ❖ Semaphore **mutex** initialized to 1
 - ❖ Integer **read_count** initialized to 0

Readers-Writers Problem

First Readers Writers Problem

Second Reader Writer Problem

Writer process

```
do {  
    wait(rw_mutex);  
  
    /* writing is performed */  
  
    signal(rw_mutex);  
  
} while (true);
```

Reader process

```
do {  
  
    wait(mutex);  
    read_count++;  
    if (read_count == 1)  
        wait(rw_mutex);  
    signal(mutex);  
    /* reading is performed */  
    wait(mutex);  
    read_count--;  
    if (read_count == 0)  
        signal(rw_mutex);  
    signal(mutex);  
  
} while (true);
```

Dining-Philosophers Problem

- ❖ Philosophers spend their lives alternating thinking and eating
- ❖ Don't interact with their neighbors, occasionally try to pick up 2 chopsticks (one at a time) to eat from bowl
 - ❖ Need both to eat, then release both when done
- ❖ In the case of 5 philosophers
 - ❖ Shared data
 - ❖ Bowl of rice (data set)
 - ❖ Semaphore **chopstick [5]** initialized to 1



Dining-Philosophers Problem Algorithm

❖ The structure of Philosopher i:

```
do {  
    wait (chopstick[i] );  
    wait (chopStick[ (i + 1) % 5] );  
    // eat  
    signal (chopstick[i] );  
    signal (chopstick[ (i + 1) % 5] );  
    // think  
} while (TRUE);
```

What the limitations of this approach?

Dining-Philosophers Problem Algorithm contd..

❖ Deadlock handling

- ❖ Allow at most 4 philosophers to be sitting simultaneously at the table.
- ❖ Allow a philosopher to pick up the forks only if both are available (picking must be done in a critical section.)
- ❖ Use an asymmetric solution -- an odd-numbered philosopher picks up first the left chopstick and then the right chopstick. Even-numbered philosopher picks up first the right chopstick and then the left chopstick.

Monitor Solution to Dining Philosophers

monitor Dining Philosophers

```
{  
    enum { THINKING; HUNGRY,  
          EATING } state [5];  
    condition self [5];  
    void pickup (int i)  
    {  
        state[i] = HUNGRY;  
        test(i);  
        if (state[i] != EATING) self[i].wait;  
    }  
}
```

```
void putdown (int i)  
{  
    state[i] = THINKING;  
    // test left and right neighbors  
    test((i + 4) % 5);  
    test((i + 1) % 5);  
}
```

Solution to Dining Philosophers (Cont.)

```
initialization_code()
```

```
{  
    for (int i = 0; i < 5; i++)  
        state[i] = THINKING;  
}
```

```
}
```

```
void test (int i)
```

```
{  
    if ((state[(i + 4) % 5] != EATING) &&  
        (state[i] == HUNGRY) &&  
        (state[(i + 1) % 5] != EATING) )  
    {  
        state[i] = EATING ;  
        self[i].signal () ;  
    }  
}}
```

Monitor Implementation Using Semaphores

❖ Variables

semaphore mutex; // (initially = 1)

semaphore next; // (initially = 0)

int next_count = 0;

❖ Mutual exclusion within a monitor is ensured

Each procedure ***F*** will be replaced by

wait (mutex);

...

body of *F*;

...

if (next_count > 0)

signal (next);

else

signal (mutex);

Monitor Implementation – Condition Variables

For each condition variable **x**,

semaphore x_sem; // (initially=0)

int x_count = 0;

x.signal

if (x_count > 0)

{ next_count++;

signal(x_sem);

wait(next);

next_count--; }

x.wait

x_count++;

if (next_count > 0)

signal(next);

else

signal(mutex);

wait(x_sem);

x_count--;

Thank you

johnjose@iitg.ac.in

<http://www.iitg.ac.in/johnjose/>

