

Laws of Large numbers

Consider a sequence of random variables $\{X_n\}$ with a common mean μ . It is common practice to determine μ on the basis of the sample mean defined by the relation

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

where $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. We assert that $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that μ is a deterministic constant whereas $\frac{S_n}{n}$ is a function of n random variables. The laws of large numbers are the basis of such assertions.

More generally, suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of random variables with $\mu_i = EX_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E \frac{S_n}{n} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $\{X_n\}$ is said to obey the *strong law of large numbers* if

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i.$$

Similarly, $\{X_n\}$ is said to obey the *weak law of large numbers* if

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i.$$

We consider weak law for a more general case and the strong law for the special case when $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of iid random variables.

Theorem 1 Weak law of large numbers(WLLN): Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of random variables defined on a probability space (S, \mathbb{F}, P) with finite mean $\mu_i = EX_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and finite second moments. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0,$$

$$\text{then } \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i.$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right)^2 &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i - \mu_i)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n E(X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{i_i}^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \text{cov}(X_i, X_j) \\
\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right)^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{i_i}^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \text{cov}(X_i, X_j) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{i_i}^2 = 0$, as each $\sigma_{i_i}^2$ is finite. Also,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right)^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{m.s.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$$

Special Case of the WLLN

(a) Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables defined on a probability space (S, \mathbb{F}, P)

Then we have

$$EX_i = \text{constant} = \mu (\text{say})$$

$$\text{var}(X_i) = \text{constant} = \sigma^2 (\text{say}) \text{ and}$$

$$\text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{i_i}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P.} \mu$$

(b) Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables defined on a probability space (S, \mathbb{F}, P) with the mean $\mu_i = EX_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and finite second moments.

Then we have

X_i and X_j are independent

$$\therefore \text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$$

Again

each $\sigma_{i_i}^2$ is finite

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{i_i}^2 = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$$

(c) Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of uncorrelated random variables with the mean $\mu_i = EX_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and finite second moments defined on a probability space (S, \mathbb{F}, P) .

Then we have $\text{cov}(X_i, X_j) = 0$ by definition.

We can proceed as in case (b) to establish the result

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P.} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$$

The strong law of large number is based on the concept of almost sure convergence and stated in the following theorem:

Interpretation of relative frequency definition of probability

The relative frequency definition of probability can be interpreted using the *weak law of large number*. Suppose an experiment is repeated n times and a particular event A occurs n_A times. During these repetitions, then,

$$\frac{n_A}{n} \xrightarrow{P} P(A)$$

which is the interpretation.

To prove the above result consider a sequence of random variables $\{I_{A_n}\}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{A_n} &= 1 \quad \text{if } A \text{ occurs in } n^{\text{th}} \text{ trial} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} EI_{A_n} &= 1 \times P(A) + 0 \times (1 - P(A)) \\ &= P(A) \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{A_i} &= \frac{\text{Number of occurrences of } A}{n} \\ &= \frac{n_A}{n} \end{aligned}$$

So, using the *weak law of large number*,

$$\frac{n_A}{n} \xrightarrow{P} P(A)$$

Strong Law of Large Numbers

One of the important applications of the a.s. convergence is the *Strong Law of Large Numbers*. The Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1: Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of iid random variables defined on a probability space (S, \mathbb{F}, P) with common mean μ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$$

Though the theorem is general, we will prove the following restricted version:

Theorem 2: Suppose $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of iid random variables defined on a probability space (S, \mathbb{F}, P) with common mean μ and finite fourth central moment ($E(X_n - EX_n)^4 < \infty$). Then

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$$

Proof: We have to prove that

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\}\right) = 0 \quad \forall \text{ positive integer } m.$$

Let us examine the fourth moment

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right)^4 \\ &= E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)}{n}\right)^4 \\ &= E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n}\right)^4 \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_i = X_i - \mu$

In the expansion of $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)^4$, there will be terms of the form

$$Y_i^4, Y_i^3 Y_j, Y_i^2 Y_j^2, Y_i Y_j Y_k^2, Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_l.$$

We note that $EY_i^3 Y_j = EY_i Y_j Y_k^2 = EY_i Y_j Y_k Y_l = 0$ as Y_i is of zero mean and the sequence is independent. Therefore, the term of the form EY_i^4 and $EY_i^2 EY_j^2$ contribute to the fourth central moment. There are n terms of the form EY_i^4 and

$${}^n C_2 \times {}^4 C_2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \times 6 = 3n(n-1) \text{ terms of the form } EY_i^2 EY_j^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore E \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n} \right)^4 &= \frac{1}{n^4} \left[n E Y_i^4 + {}^n C_2 \times {}^4 C_2 (E Y_i)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{E Y_i^4}{n^3} + \frac{3n(n-1)}{n^4} (E Y_i^2)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{K}{n^3} + \frac{3}{n^2} K \\
&\quad (\text{Assume } E Y_i^4 = K < \infty \text{ and } (E Y_i^2)^2 \leq E Y_i^4 = K)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)}{n} \right)^4 \leq \frac{K}{n^3} + \frac{3K}{n^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } P \left(\left\{ s \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(s) - \mu \right| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right) \\
&= P \left(\left\{ s \left| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i(s) - \mu) \right)^4 \geq \frac{1}{m^4} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{E \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \right)^4}{\frac{1}{m^4}} \quad (\text{By Markov inequality}) \\
&\leq \frac{m^4 K}{n^3} + \frac{3m^4}{n^2} K \\
\therefore \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left(\left\{ s \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(s) - \mu \right| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^4 K}{n^3} + \frac{3m^4}{n^2} K \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Hence according to the Borel Cantelli Lemma,

$$P \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ s \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (X_i(s) - \mu) \right| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\} \right) = 0$$

Thus, $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$

Central Limit Theorem

Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables each with mean μ and variance σ^2 and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. By the weak law of large numbers, $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$. Note that the convergence in probability implies the convergence in distribution. Therefore,

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{d} \mu$$

From the WLLN, we may conclude that for large n , $S_n \approx n\mu$. The *central limit theorem* (CLT) gives the asymptotic distribution of the difference $S_n - n\mu$. The CLT is stated in terms of the standardized average $Z_n = \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$. Clearly, $EZ_n = 0$ and $\text{Var}(Z_n) = 1$

There are several special cases of the CLT. Here we state and prove the celebrated Lindeberg – Levy central limit theorem.

Theorem: Suppose $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $Z_n = \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$. Then $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0,1)$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{Z_n}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du$$

Proof: We shall prove the theorem using the moment generating function (MGF) of Z_n and the continuity theorem of convergence.

Suppose the MGF of each RV in the sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and the RV Z exist near $s=0$. According to the continuity theorem of convergence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{Z_n}(z) = F_Z(z)$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{Z_n}(s) = M_Z(s)$ and $M_Z(s)$ is continuous at $s=0$.

Here

$$Z_n = \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore M_{Z_n}(s) &= Ee^{Z_n s} \\
&= Ee^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(X_i - \mu)s}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n E \frac{(X_i - \mu)s}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n M_{Y_i} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \\
&= \left(M_{Y_i} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right)^n
\end{aligned}$$

The Taylor series expansion of $M_{Y_i}(s)$ is given by

$$M_{Y_i}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{EY_i^k s^k}{k!}$$

Note that $EY_i = 0$ and $EY_i^2 = 1$. Therefore $M_{Y_i}(s)$ near $s = 0$ can be expressed as

$$M_{Y_i}(s) = 1 + \frac{s^2}{2} + o(s^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore M_{Z_n}(s) &= \left(M_{Y_i} \left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right)^n \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{s^2}{n}\right) \right)^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{Z_n}(s) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{s^2}{n}\right) \right)^n \\
&= e^{\frac{s^2}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

which is the MGF of $X \sim N(0,1)$

Hence, moment generating function converges.

Applying continuity theorem ,

$$F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$$

The CLT is true under more general conditions. The i.i.d. part of the Lindeberg – Levy theorem need not be satisfied. We state two of these conditions without proof:

- **Liapounov theorem:** Suppose $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of independent random

variables with mean $\mu_n = EX_n$ and variance $\sigma_n^2 = E(X_n - \mu_n)^2$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Clearly $\mu_{S_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ and $\sigma_{S_n}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$. If for some $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n E(X_k - \mu_k)^{2+\delta}}{(\sigma_{S_n})^{2+\delta}} = 0,$$

$$\text{then } \frac{S_n - \mu_{S_n}}{\sigma_{S_n}} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0,1)$$

- A corollary of the Liapounov theorem is as follows:

Suppose each of X_n s are uniformly bounded, that is, $|X_n| < C, \forall n$ and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{S_n}^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Then it is easy to verify that the conditions of the Liapounov

theorem are satisfied. Thus $\frac{S_n - \mu_{S_n}}{\sigma_{S_n}} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0,1)$.

Remark

- The CLT states that the distribution function $F_{Z_n}(z)$ converges to a Gaussian distribution function. The theorem does not say that the pdf $f_{Z_n}(z)$ is a Gaussian pdf in the limit. For example, suppose each X_i has a Bernoulli distribution. Then the pdf of Z_n consists of impulses and can never approach the Gaussian pdf.
- The Cauchy distribution does not meet the conditions for the central limit theorem to hold. As we have noted earlier, this distribution does not have a finite mean or a variance.