

# PS10

Alex Skipper

February. 2018

Worked with Conrad

## 1 Part 7

From tuning model

Tree

minsplit=10;

minbucket=6;

cp=0.0269 :

f1.test.mean=0.89,

gmean.test.mean=0.686

Logit Regression

lambda=0.0263;

alpha=0.786 :

f1.test.mean=0.897

,gmean.test.mean=0.662

Neural Network

size=9;

decay=0.357;

maxit=1000 :

f1.test.mean=0.907

,gmean.test.mean=0.756

KKNN

k=23 :

f1.test.mean=0.897

,gmean.test.mean=0.747

SVM

cost=1;

gamma=0.5

: f1.test.mean=0.904,

gmean.test.mean=0.736

## 2 Part 8 and 9

With the optimal tuning parameters: verifying the performance on cross validated sets:

performance.Tree Aggr perf:  
f1.test.mean=0.896,gmean.testmean=0.658

performance.Logit  
Aggr perf: f1.test.mean=0.897,gmean.test.mean=0.662

performance.Neural  
Aggr perf: f1.test.mean=0.906,gmean.test.mean=0.753

performance.KKNN  
Aggr perf: f1.test.mean=0.896,gmean.test.mean=0.744

performance.SVM  
Aggr perf: f1.test.mean=0.906,gmean.test.mean=0.735

performance.Bayes  
Aggr perf: f1.test.mean=0.884,gmean.test.mean=0.726

Out of sample performance:  
Tree  
out of sample performance: f1:0.8968421 gmean 0.6730932  
Logit  
out of sample performance: f1 0.8986422 gmean 0.6762722  
Neural  
out of sample performacne: f1 0.9094500 gmean 0.7675114  
KKNN  
out of sample performance: f1 0.8975970 gmean 0.7564945  
SVM  
out of sample performance: f1 0.9048742 gmean 0.7478175  
Bayes  
out of sample performance: f1 0.8825952 gmean 0.7340489

Only the tree and logit models varied from the rest but were close to each other. The rest of the model's numbers are close to each other. It appears that either model will be beneficial.