Analysis of the Claudia TBI Data Multiple linear mixed models

Ming Yang

September 10, 2014

1 Multiple linear mixed model

It seems only model one has enough observations; when adding other predictors to model one, we lose most of the observations due to missingness.

1.1 Model one

Model: ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + GCS.sum + MAP + Sjv02 + PC02

Table 1: Number of obs: 2927, groups: IDNo, 368

Table 1. 1 tumber of obs. 2521, groups. 1D10, 500						
	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	$\Pr(> t)$	
(Intercept)	11.99	2.07	1908.53	5.78	0.00	
HAI	0.01	0.00	2888.86	3.46	0.00	
c_Age	-0.14	0.03	323.17	-5.40	0.00	
Gendermale	1.67	1.14	315.17	1.47	0.14	
GCS.sum	-0.46	0.08	2917.78	-5.76	0.00	
MAP	0.06	0.01	2902.79	4.58	0.00	
SjvO2	0.02	0.01	2827.07	1.17	0.24	
PCO2	0.02	0.03	2918.03	0.51	0.61	

ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + eyereactivity + newCT + GCS.sum + MAP + SjvO2 + PCO2

Table 2: Number of obs: 2050, groups: IDNo, 258

Table	Estimate	Std. Error	$\frac{\text{df}}{\text{df}}$	t value	Pr(> t)
					(- 1-1)
(Intercept)	8.51	2.74	946.47	3.10	0.00
HAI	0.01	0.00	2026.66	1.68	0.09
c_Age	-0.14	0.03	222.91	-4.45	0.00
Gendermale	1.53	1.43	222.38	1.08	0.28
eyereactivity1	0.64	2.13	214.17	0.30	0.76
eyereactivity2	-1.06	0.99	212.80	-1.08	0.28
newCTD2	3.22	1.45	215.46	2.22	0.03
newCTM	4.28	1.14	226.80	3.76	0.00
GCS.sum	-0.44	0.10	2037.69	-4.51	0.00
MAP	0.06	0.02	2032.60	4.05	0.00
SjvO2	0.02	0.02	1982.45	1.26	0.21
PCO2	0.04	0.04	2037.43	0.99	0.32

1.2 Model two

Model: ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + GCS.sum + MAP + Sjv02 + PC02 + Pbt02

 ${\bf Table\ 3:\ With\ PbtO2\ added\ into\ Model\ one;\ Number\ of\ obs:\ 205,\ groups:\ IDNo,}$

35

-	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	19.34	7.81	162.27	2.48	0.01
HAI	0.04	0.02	183.60	2.27	0.02
c_Age	-0.10	0.12	25.46	-0.77	0.45
Gendermale	4.11	3.93	23.40	1.05	0.31
GCS.sum	-0.30	0.33	188.97	-0.89	0.37
MAP	-0.07	0.06	183.49	-1.18	0.24
SjvO2	0.04	0.06	179.83	0.70	0.48
PCO2	-0.06	0.12	193.28	-0.51	0.61
PbtO2	0.05	0.04	195.96	1.31	0.19

ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + eyereactivity + newCT + GCS.sum + MAP + SjvO2 + PCO2 + PbtO2

Table 4: With PbtO2 added into Model one; Number of obs: 109, groups: IDNo,

16

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	6.93	15.34	12.52	0.45	0.66
HAI	0.00	0.02	88.68	0.15	0.88
c_Age	0.27	0.38	9.11	0.71	0.50
Gendermale	7.87	11.52	9.24	0.68	0.51
eyereactivity2	-6.86	7.83	9.58	-0.88	0.40
newCTD2	0.52	11.98	9.24	0.04	0.97
newCTM	2.17	10.78	9.08	0.20	0.84
GCS.sum	-0.15	0.31	87.60	-0.50	0.62
MAP	-0.02	0.06	87.10	-0.30	0.76
SjvO2	0.14	0.07	88.90	2.05	0.04
PCO2	0.08	0.12	90.28	0.69	0.49
PbtO2	0.01	0.04	89.98	0.18	0.86

1.3 Model three

Model: ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + GCS.sum + MAP + SjvO2 + PCO2 + cbf_new + CMRO2

Table 5: Number of obs: 79, groups: IDNo, 58

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	24.18	12.85	69.00	1.88	0.06
HAI	0.02	0.03	68.84	0.76	0.45
c_Age	-0.16	0.07	54.42	-2.23	0.03
Gendermale	4.62	3.94	53.41	1.17	0.25
GCS.sum	-1.35	0.58	61.83	-2.31	0.02
MAP	0.02	0.08	69.00	0.26	0.79
SjvO2	-0.08	0.14	66.97	-0.61	0.54
PCO2	0.15	0.15	68.33	1.04	0.30
$\mathrm{cbf_new}$	0.02	0.08	66.61	0.31	0.76
CMRO2	-3.41	1.69	69.00	-2.02	0.05

ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + eyereactivity + newCT + GCS.sum + MAP + SjvO2 + PCO2 + cbf_new + CMRO2

Table 6: Number of obs: 56, groups: IDNo, 42

Table	e o. mumber	,	groups.	IDNO, 42	
	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	3.62	16.98	41.97	0.21	0.83
HAI	0.06	0.03	38.73	1.82	0.08
c_Age	-0.15	0.08	30.57	-1.80	0.08
Gendermale	4.31	5.10	34.57	0.84	0.40
eyereactivity1	-8.79	6.77	35.40	-1.30	0.20
eyereactivity2	-4.48	2.96	29.28	-1.51	0.14
newCTD2	-0.50	5.50	28.73	-0.09	0.93
newCTM	1.20	4.84	29.18	0.25	0.81
GCS.sum	-0.97	0.65	37.87	-1.51	0.14
MAP	0.08	0.11	36.96	0.74	0.46
SjvO2	-0.04	0.18	42.00	-0.23	0.82
PCO2	0.37	0.16	38.92	2.31	0.03
$\mathrm{cbf_new}$	0.01	0.09	37.85	0.10	0.92
CMRO2	-1.39	1.93	41.00	-0.72	0.48

1.4 Model four

Model: ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + GCS.sum + MAP + SjvO2 + PCO2 + L.P.Ratio

Table 7: Number of obs: 37, groups: IDNo, 21

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	8.86	12.85	17.34	0.69	0.50
HAI	-0.03	0.02	24.51	-1.61	0.12
c_Age	-0.12	0.09	5.87	-1.41	0.21
Gendermale	-1.15	4.51	5.54	-0.26	0.81
GCS.sum	0.04	0.60	15.70	0.06	0.95
MAP	0.07	0.09	16.45	0.80	0.43
SjvO2	0.14	0.11	26.73	1.26	0.22
PCO2	-0.05	0.24	26.77	-0.19	0.85
L.P.Ratio	-0.07	0.05	26.99	-1.58	0.13

ICP \sim 1 + (1 | IDNo) + HAI + c_Age + Gender + eyereactivity + newCT + GCS.sum + MAP + SjvO2 + PCO2 + L.P.Ratio

Table 8: Number of obs: 27, groups: IDNo, 14

		/ (
	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	$\Pr(> t)$
(Intercept)	-8.01	19.96	13.60	-0.40	0.69
HAI	-0.06	0.03	14.74	-2.09	0.05
c_Age	-0.16	0.16	4.77	-0.98	0.37
Gendermale	6.82	9.33	6.31	0.73	0.49
eyereactivity2	4.04	5.59	5.64	0.72	0.50
newCTD2	8.07	7.29	5.54	1.11	0.31
newCTM	1.49	6.59	6.95	0.23	0.83
GCS.sum	1.63	0.84	13.70	1.95	0.07
MAP	0.10	0.09	10.21	1.08	0.31
SjvO2	0.30	0.14	11.37	2.14	0.05
PCO2	-0.55	0.44	14.98	-1.24	0.23
L.P.Ratio	0.01	0.05	10.99	0.17	0.87