Simulation results, until 01/15/2014, Ming Yang

(beta1, beta2, delat1, delta2, gamma1, gamma2) = (1,1,1,1,1,1) for all settings

1. When fix alpha2 as constant in simulation

(alpha1, alpha2) = (1,1), # datasets = 100, sample size = 250, quantile = 0.25

```
$mean
```

```
alpha1 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance gamma[1] 1.046 \quad 0.990 \quad 0.997 \quad 0.968 \quad 0.983 \quad 1.001 \quad 13100.472 \quad 1.019 gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 1.028 \quad 1.006 \quad 0.369 \quad -0.100 \quad 0.316
```

\$sd

```
alpha1 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance gamma[1] 0.111 0.101 0.094 0.088 0.086 0.096 45.289 0.087 gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.088 0.036 0.132 0.214 0.116
```

(alpha1, alpha2) = (0,1), # datasets = 100, sample size = 250, quantile = 0.25 \$mean

```
alpha1 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance gamma[1] 0.005 1.010 1.005 0.988 0.988 0.998 13238.439 1.005 gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 1.001 1.008 0.372 -0.117 0.318
```

\$sd

```
alpha1 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance gamma[1] 0.057 0.097 0.094 0.083 0.094 0.124 45.673 0.085 gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.085 0.036 0.132 0.211 0.115
```

2. When alpha2 is treated as a parameter in the model (increased sample sizes)

(alpha1, alpha2) = (0,1), # datasets = 30, sample size = 500, quantile = 0.25 \$mean

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] С delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.989 0.984 26430.445 1.000 -0.010 0.928 1.017 0.997 w11 gamma[1] gamma[2] w21 w22 sigma 1.006 0.997 1.001 0.306 -0.026 0.367

\$sd

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.040 0.368 0.068 0.066 0.058 0.066 0.091 64.222 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.059 0.058 0.026 0.111 0.212 0.124

(alpha1, alpha2) = (0,1), # datasets = 30, sample size = 500, quantile = 0.5 \$mean

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] delta[1] delta[2] deviance С -0.010 1.036 0.990 0.997 0.985 0.983 0.997 26017.437 w11 w21 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w22 1.020 1.002 1.004 0.319 -0.024 0.293

\$sd

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.037 0.396 0.058 0.058 0.057 0.056 0.072 57.445 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.059 0.059 0.026 0.103 0.168 0.102

(alpha1, alpha2) = (0,1), # datasets = 30, sample size = 500, quantile = 0.75 \$mean

\$sd

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.039 0.343 0.067 0.066 0.058 0.067 0.091 64.765 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.059 0.059 0.026 0.116 0.227 0.133

(alpha1, alpha2) = (1,1), # datasets = 50, sample size = 500, quantile = 0.25

\$mean

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 1.025 0.925 0.994 1.001 0.989 0.996 0.986 26209.664 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.999 1.000 1.000 0.322 -0.061 0.312

\$sd

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.077 0.430 0.071 0.066 0.062 0.060 0.068 64.110 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.060 0.060 0.026 0.115 0.187 0.112

(alpha1, alpha2) = (1,1), # datasets = 50, sample size = 500, quantile = 0.5 \$mean

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 1.011 0.908 1.014 1.001 0.986 1.002 0.998 25742.474 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 1.000 1.016 1.001 0.326 -0.023 0.303

\$sd

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.071 0.411 0.061 0.058 0.061 0.054 0.062 55.838 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.061 0.060 0.026 0.105 0.170 0.110

(alpha1, alpha2) = (1,1), # datasets = 50, sample size = 500, quantile = 0.75

\$mean

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 1.012 0.823 0.983 1.008 0.980 0.994 1.005 26231.989 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 1.014 1.014 1.000 0.327 -0.029 0.302

\$sd

alpha1 alpha2 beta[1] beta[2] c delta[1] delta[2] deviance 0.076 0.464 0.070 0.066 0.061 0.060 0.068 64.852 gamma[1] gamma[2] sigma w11 w21 w22 0.061 0.060 0.026 0.114 0.194 0.118

- Those in red have large bias.
- SD for alpha2 is always higher
- Except alpha2, all other parameters have small bias and SD

-	Trying to increase # of dataset to 100 for those settings have larger bias, still running on TACC