Feedback for Project Number 17

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1TeRLCCzdV_1e2hx4JIrIS8X9S_h21IHqvWNv02Q226s/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 26th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

* *“Movie Genre Popularity Analysis”*
* *This title makes sense for the project as it analyzes movie genre popularity.*

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

* *The presenter wants to study how the popular movie genre has changed over the years 2000, 2010, and 2020.*
* *The presenter is a fan of watching movies, which is what inspired this project.*
* *The presenter hopes to understand consensus of review ratings by computing the averages of Audience & Tomato Score for the top 50 movies of each genre for the given year.*

**What data are used?**

* *The presenter scraped IMDB.com and RottenTomatoes.com*
* *No outside data was brought in from other sources.*

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

My overall impression of this project is that it is very well constructed, with a clear title, topic, research question, and motivation. The analytical methodology was technically proficient and I appreciated the challenges of being blocked from websites as I experienced this myself.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

The presenter is clearly well-versed with Tableau, as the visualizations were very clean and consistent. Some of the charts did take a minute to decipher as there was a lot of data displayed on each chart, but I do understand this was in order to analyze the genres next to each other. I was also surprised that Romance was so consistently low on the Tomato score.