Feedback for Project Number 32

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1TeRLCCzdV_1e2hx4JIrIS8X9S_h21IHqvWNv02Q226s/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 26th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

The topic of this project is about an automated tool to minimize time in grant searching and maximize dollars for collaborative research.

* *Is the title consistent with the topic?*

The title of this project is consistent with the topic.

* *In other words does the title make sense for the project?*

The title of the project does make sense because project offer a tool for effective research collaboration and increase funding opportunities to technology Managers.

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

Yes, the objective of the project is clearly identifiable.

* *What are they wanting to study?*

The presenter is wanting to offer a tool that minimize time in grant searching and maximize dollars for collaborative research.

* *What is the motivation of this project?*

The motivation behind this project is that the presenter wants to help researchers to find best grant opportunities availbe for them.

* *What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?*

The presenter hope to increase matching opportunities for collaborating researchers and available grants.

**What data are used?**

Data like proof of concept, the grant.gov tables, and custom faculty profiles are used to match description of grant opportunities to faculty research interests in this project.

* *What website(s) are scraped?*

Grants.gov website is scrapped to get all the required data for this project.

* *Are other data brought in from outside sources?*

Yes, other data was brought in from outside sources.

* *If so, what are they and how do they add to the project?*

Data of other agencies like US Department of Defense, Department of Energy, Department of Homeland Security, National Science Foundation are used to find the right opportunity with the right combination of researcher.

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

Overall it was the good project.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

Great work with the tool and the visualization was also good. You can adjusted the graph little bit so all the elements become visible in the last slide. Overall everything was good with the project.