Feedback for Project Number 32

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1TeRLCCzdV_1e2hx4JIrIS8X9S_h21IHqvWNv02Q226s/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 26th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

* *Is the title consistent with the topic?*
* *In other words does the title make sense for the project?*

Title is consistent with the topic. We can expect the presentation about a method that minimizes the time spent on grant searching while finding you the most dollars for the research. It sounds interesting. It makes sense for the project. It sounds academic.

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

* *What are they wanting to study?*
* *What is the motivation of this project?*
* *What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?*

They are wanting to learn more about a way to improve the search for a grant funding. Partially motivated by the disconnect between the number of grant funding opportunities and lack of funding opportunities being the number 1 barrier for effective research collaboration author is hoping to develop a proof of concept for the tool, source the grant opportunity websites, validate databases of the researcher profiles, add the additionalwebsites with the opportunities, generate reports and be a match maker between the researchers and opportunities.

**What data are used?**

* *What website(s) are scraped?*
* *Are other data brought in from outside sources?*
* *If so, what are they and how do they add to the project?*

Author used Grants.gov tables, csv data from Faculty profiles and plans to use researchgate.com and university faculty profile pages. All this data will create a more robust search and wider researcher base.

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

My overall impression is **good**. It’s a clean presentation that does not excite the listener and is easily forgotten. It can be presented on all sorts of academic symposiums, there is nothing that jumps out of the established way of thinking/doing things in academia. It almost makes you feel like you don’t even want to poke holes in the thinking.

Example:

Author is building a tool that will give him an edge over every other researcher looking for funding. Author is building this tool so other researchers can use it.

?