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## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1TeRLCCzdV_1e2hx4JIrIS8X9S_h21IHqvWNv02Q226s/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 26th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?** - Topic is on City Council Productivity

* *Is the title consistent with the topic?*
* Yes
* *In other words does the title make sense for the project?*
* The title is consistent because the question is whether City Council is being productive during their meetings. THe goal is to determine if our council members are voting on issues going on in the city or not. But not only if they are voting, but how many council members are actually participating or not.

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?** - Yes 4 objectives are stated 1. How well does our city function 2. How often do they vote on an issue 3. Are they a polarized in their voting patterns 4. How have the answers to these questions changed over then last 20 years

* *What are they wanting to study?*
* They are wanting to study the accountability of our council members. Are they making moves (votes) to make a difference in the city.
* *What is the motivation of this project?*
* Not given
* *What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?*
* They hope to accomplish letting their viewers know how productive our city council has been over time.

**What data are used?**

* *What website(s) are scraped?*
* PDF links that are embedded in the City Council Website
* *Are other data brought in from outside sources?*
* No
* *If so, what are they and how do they add to the project?*
* N/A

**What is your overall impression of the project?** - I thought this was an interesting topic. I think that this is important knowledge to know as a member of the City of San Antonio. I want to know whether or not my council members are speaking up and making a change. It is important to see that for some reason the number of council members voting has gone done - but we need to know why.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.** - I thought you did a great job, however this led me to ask more questions. Being able to bring this information in could take this project a long way. I think you opened up a topic of discussion that will enable us to have a voice (and be able to communicate with others why you are voting for certain people in upcoming elections)

Questions to consider adding: - What are they voting on? - Whose voting? Whose voting consistently? WHose not voting at all? - How many people are part of council - Whose attending the meeting? Whose not?

Great job!