Feedback for Project Number 30

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Make sure you use *your number as it aligns with the Google Document’s Position Number column* in the program below (line 16). Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1o4NtUGopupH2H-3QN1Vg6LbokfASbryqELlAodm4wAY/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Sunday, May 9th at 11:59pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What did you first notice about this project?**

The project itself was extremely dense with multiple charts. But the topic was an extremely interesting one.

**What was this project’s main story?**

The project’s main story was US police shootings by years.

**What were some areas of improvement?**

The flow of the project seemed extremely dense and needed to be improved for user experience.

**What elements would you add to this project?**

I would add an appendix that shows what visualizations are in the project and where they are located.

**What were some successful elements of this project?**

The project shined light on some extremely good topics and allowed the user to come to their own conclusions on the information. It was not politicized which was difficult to do with such a topic.

**Any other thoughts you would like to convey to your peer?**

Your project was great but it needed to be organized in a different matter that helps the user understand what is/will be shown. Great job!