Feedback for Project Number 25

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Make sure you use *your number as it aligns with the Google Document’s Position Number column* in the program below (line 16). Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1o4NtUGopupH2H-3QN1Vg6LbokfASbryqELlAodm4wAY/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Sunday, May 9th at 11:59pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What did you first notice about this project?**

The report is more technical and provides more details rather than an overview with the visualizations telling the story

**What was this project’s main story?**

Shark attacks, how often they occur by location and associated fatalities

**What were some areas of improvement?**

If using a report, I think using formatting with titles, headers, bullets, etc will make a big difference. I also don’t think displaying your code would be important for this type of project/report. There is some really good and interesting information with this data but it gets lost in the code.

**What elements would you add to this project?**

As mentioned above, I would add more formatting for a more organized report. I would also add a bit of background or introduction and why you think this data is interesting.

**What were some successful elements of this project?**

The analysis was interesting and the visuals showed the results of the analysis.

**Any other thoughts you would like to convey to your peer?**

You clearly did a lot of work cleaning up the data and coming up with some interesting things with the data. I wish the visualizations were more of the focal point of the report.