Feedback for Project Number 19

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1xAiea3WAt-7ANAYtzhjFfRRkSTCQlcu123y7hqizJso/edit#gid=0)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Friday, March 26th at 5:30pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What is their topic on?**

* *Is the title consistent with the topic?*
* *In other words, does the title make sense for the project?*

The presenter chose to do their topic on Professional Disc Golf, which is a general topic, that allowed them to go into further details about the specifics of this sport throughout the years.

**Are the objectives of the project clearly identifiable?**

* *What are they wanting to study?*
* *What is the motivation of this project?*
* *What does the presenter hope to accomplish with this project?*

You can tell that the presenter is knowledgeable in this topic. They provided a lot of different information regarding the history of Disc Golf and how it works which allows the viewer to understand better. They provided different statistics for each part that makes up the PDGA, for example, how it has expanded since it first started.

**What data are used?**

* *What website(s) are scraped?*
* *Are other data brought in from outside sources?*
* *If so, what are they and how do they add to the project?*

They scraped pdga.com as well as udsic.com. They used their winnings data, ratings, and membership data to answer their questions.

**What is your overall impression of the project?**

I have honestly never have heard of disc golf being a sport, but after watching the presentation it was interesting to me how the creator of the game combined 2 sports and is now up and coming. It was very well put together and provided me with a lot of new information.

**Other encouragements/critiques you would like to provide to your colleague in order for them to have a more refined project.**

Overall, it was an interesting topic. It was a very well put together presentation and provided enough information for the viewer to understand what is being mentioned. You answered all your research questions that you had about your topic. I especially liked how you provided the comparison between how much a male and female make in this profession as well as the courses that are located here in San Antonio. My only critique would be that I was kind of confused when you explained the “Yearly Tournament Winnings” graph and the one following it. Was the first graph just showing how much they make playing 1 tournament a year or how much they made despite how many tournaments were played? Overall, an interesting presentation to watch.