Feedback for Project Number 29

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Make sure you use *your number as it aligns with the Google Document’s Position Number column* in the program below (line 16). Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B6t14PVugUrvwmmJzYw9LDZKwrbmrkHXT_097w69slc/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Sunday, May 9th at 11:59pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What did you first notice about this project?**

I noticed that the project looked really organized, with clear font and nice visuals that are grouped into different sections with their own description.

**What was this project’s main story?**

The project is a report of Shooting Guard position development in the NBA for the last 40 years. The author analyzed data using position-based descriptive statistic analysis in order to examine whether NBA should give Demar Derozan a max contract next year.

**What were some areas of improvement?**

I noticed that the pie charts are not interactive – not sure if that was intentional. If possible, I think it would be great to have them interactive to be consistent with the other visuals. There were also some typos throughout the report, but this is not a big issue.

**What elements would you add to this project?**

Maybe some colors to the scatterplots to make them clearer.

**What were some successful elements of this project?**

I like the layout of the project which is well-organized. I think the writing is also clear and concise. The project also helped me learn about the RPubs platform which is very helpful.

**Any other thoughts you would like to convey to your peer?**

Awesome job!