Feedback for Project Number 18

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Make sure you use *your number as it aligns with the Google Document’s Position Number column* in the program below (line 16). Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1B6t14PVugUrvwmmJzYw9LDZKwrbmrkHXT_097w69slc/edit?usp=sharing)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Sunday, May 9th at 11:59pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What did you first notice about this project?**

* A lot of information and graphs that show you a wide variety of data points

**What was this project’s main story?**

* There are a lot of points to project, but all pertain to the NBA and performance of different teams throughout the years and player comparisons.

**What were some areas of improvement?**

* There is a lot of moving parts to the project and could potentially be helpful to spread out a bit more and have a few bullets that explain each chart / graph and what each can do or should show you. Sort of where you see trends / anomalies / or interesting things that you have seen. But that may not have been intent of project.

**What elements would you add to this project?**

* As mentioned above, trends / interesting things that have been spotted since there is so mush data that went into the project, would be cool to see if there was anything that stood out as unusual or interesting.

**What were some successful elements of this project?**

* All of the graphs and tables work well and have a ton of data all able to summarize in a nice graph or table that are easy to read and understand

**Any other thoughts you would like to convey to your peer?**

* Cool topic and nice layout.