

Nonsmooth Herglotz principle and contact Routh reduction

Leonardo Colombo¹, Manuel de León^{2,3}, Asier López-Gordón²

¹ Centro de Automática y Robótica (CSIC-UPM), Carretera de Campo Real, km 0, 200, 28500 Arganda del Rey, Spain.

²Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM) Calle Nicolás Cabrera, 13-15, Campus Cantoblanco, UAM, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

³Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales Calle Valverde, 22, 28004, Madrid, Spain.

E-mail: leonardo.colombo@car.upm-csic.es, mdeleon@icmat.es, asier.lopez@icmat.es

Abstract.

1. Preliminaries: Contact Hamiltonian and Lagrangian systems

A *contact manifold* is a pair (M, η) , where M is an $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold and η is a 1-form on M such that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n$ is a volume form. This 1-form η is called a *contact form*.

Given a contact manifold (M, η) , there exists a unique vector field \mathcal{R} on M such that

$$\iota_{\mathcal{R}}d\eta = 0, \quad \iota_{\mathcal{R}}\eta = 1. \quad (1)$$

The vector field \mathcal{R} is called the *Reeb vector field*.

In *Darboux coordinates* (q^i, p_i, z) , the contact form is locally written

$$\eta = dz - p_i dq^i, \quad (2)$$

and the Reeb vector field is $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. The contact structure η on M defines the so-called *musical isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \flat : TM &\rightarrow T^*M \\ v &\mapsto \iota_v d\eta + \eta(v)\eta, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and $\sharp = \flat^{-1}$.

Given a Hamiltonian function H on (M, η) , we define the (*contact*) *Hamiltonian vector field* X_H by

$$\flat(X_H) = dH - (\mathcal{R}(H) + H) \eta. \quad (4)$$

The triple (M, η, H) is called a (*contact*) *Hamiltonian system*. Eq. (4) is equivalent to

$$\eta(X_H) = -H, \quad (5a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_H} \eta = -\mathcal{R}(H) \eta. \quad (5b)$$

Additionally, the following identities hold:

$$X_H(H) = -\mathcal{R}(H) H, \quad (6a)$$

$$\iota_{X_H} dH = dH - \mathcal{R}(H) \eta. \quad (6b)$$

The *Jacobi bracket* $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ of a contact manifold (M, η) is given by

$$\{f, g\} = X_f(g) + g\mathcal{R}(f) = -X_g(f) - f\mathcal{R}(g) = -\eta([X_f, X_g]) \quad (7)$$

for any pair of smooth functions f and g on M , where X_f and X_g are their associated Hamiltonian vector fields.

We say that a (local) diffeomorphism between two contact manifolds $F : (M, \eta) \rightarrow (N, \tau)$ is a (local) *contactomorphism* if $F^* \tau = \eta$. We say that F is a (local) *conformal contactomorphism* if $F^* \ker \tau = \ker \eta$ or, equivalently, $F^* \tau = \sigma \eta$, where $\sigma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is the conformal factor. In addition, we say that a vector field X on M is an *infinitesimal (conformal) contactomorphism* if its flow φ_t consists of (conformal) contactomorphisms. Equivalently, X is an infinitesimal conformal contactomorphism if $\mathcal{L}_X \eta = a_X \eta$ for some function $a_X \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. In particular, when $a_X = 0$, X is an infinitesimal contactomorphism. The function a_X is related to the conformal factors σ_t by

$$\sigma_t(x) = \exp \left(\int_0^t a_X(\varphi_\tau(x)) d\tau \right). \quad (8)$$

Note that, by Eq. (5b), a Hamiltonian vector field is an infinitesimal conformal contactomorphism. Conversely, if X is an infinitesimal conformal contactomorphism, then X is the Hamiltonian vector field of $f = -\eta(X)$, and $a_X = -\mathcal{R}(f)$. Moreover X_f is an infinitesimal contactomorphism if and only if $\mathcal{R}(f) = 0$.

Integrating Eqs. (5b) and (6a), we have that

$$H \circ \varphi_t = \exp \left(\int_0^t -\mathcal{R}(H)(\varphi_\tau) d\tau \right) H, \quad (9a)$$

$$\varphi_t^* \eta = \exp \left(\int_0^t -\mathcal{R}(H)(\varphi_\tau) d\tau \right) \eta, \quad (9b)$$

where φ_t is the flow of X_H .

Let Q be an n -dimensional manifold. Consider a Lagrangian function $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let us introduce the 1-form $\alpha_L = S^*(dL)$, where S^* is the adjoint operator of the vertical endomorphism on TQ extended in the natural way to $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. Let (q^i) be local coordinates on Q and let (q^i, \dot{q}^i, z) be the induced coordinates on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. Locally, $S = dq^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$, so $\alpha_L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} dq^i$. Let η_L be a 1-form on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $\eta_L = dz - \alpha_L = dz - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} dq^i$.

One can show that η_L is a contact form if and only if L is *regular*, i.e., the Hessian matrix $(W_{ij}) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^j} \right)$ is regular. Hereinafter, we shall assume that L is regular. The *energy* of the system is given by $E_L = \Delta(L) - L$, where $\Delta = \dot{q}^i \partial / \partial \dot{q}^i$ is the Liouville vector field on TQ naturally extended to $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $(TQ \times \mathbb{R}, \eta_L, E_L)$ is a contact Hamiltonian system. Its corresponding Reeb vector field \mathcal{R}_L is locally

$$\mathcal{R}_L = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - W^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^j}, \quad (10)$$

where $(W^{ij}) = (W_{ij})^{-1}$. The dynamics is given by the *Lagrangian vector field* Γ_L , defined by

$$\flat_L(\Gamma_L) = dE_L - (E_L + \mathcal{R}_L(E_L))\eta_L, \quad (11)$$

where \flat_L denotes the musical isomorphism defined by the contact form η_L . Eqs. (5) are now

$$\eta_L(\Gamma_L) = -E_L, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_L} \eta_L = -\mathcal{R}_L(E_L)\eta_L. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, Eqs. (9) are now written

$$E_L \circ \varphi_t = \exp \left(\int_0^t -\mathcal{R}_L(E_L)(\varphi_\tau) d\tau \right) E_L, \quad (13a)$$

$$\varphi_t^* \eta_L = \exp \left(\int_0^t -\mathcal{R}_L(E_L)(\varphi_\tau) d\tau \right) \eta_L, \quad (13b)$$

where φ_t is the flow of Γ_L .

Let us recall that a vector field Γ on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ is called a *SODE* (an acronym for *second order differential equation*) if $S(\Gamma) = \Delta$. Locally, a SODE is of the form

$$\Gamma = \dot{q}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + \Gamma^i(q, \dot{q}, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i} + \Gamma^z(q, \dot{q}, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad (14)$$

2. Routh reduction for contact Lagrangian systems

In the context of conservative Lagrangian systems, Routh's reduction procedure is a classical reduction technique which takes advantage of the conservation laws to define a reduced

Lagrangian function, so-called Routhian function, such that, when the conservation of momenta is taken into account, the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the Routhian are in correspondence with the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the original Lagrangian. Here we extend this procedure for contact Lagrangian systems.

Assume that the configuration space is of the form $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$, and let us denote a point $(q^i) \in Q$ by $(q^i) = (q^1, q^j)$, where $q^1 \in Q_1$ and $(q^j) \in Q_2$, with $j = 2, \dots, n$, $n = \dim Q$. Let $L(q^1, \dot{q}^1, q^j, \dot{q}^j, z)$ be a hyperregular Lagrangian on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that q^1 is a cyclic coordinate, namely, $\partial L / \partial q^1 = 0$. Consider the momentum map

$$J_L(q^1, \dot{q}^1, q^j, \dot{q}^j, z) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1}, \quad (15)$$

and fix a value of the momentum

$$\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1}. \quad (16)$$

Since L is hyperregular, the last equation admits an inverse and allows us to write \dot{q}^1 as a function of (q^j) , z and μ . Moreover, assume that L is natural, so that $\partial^2 L / \partial \dot{q}^i \partial z = 0$. Observe that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1}, \quad (17)$$

so $\partial L / \partial \dot{q}^1$ is a dissipated quantity. Let us introduce the function

$$R^\mu(q^j, \dot{q}^j, z) = \left[L - \dot{q}^1 \mu \exp \left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} (q^i(\tau), z(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \right] \Big|_\mu, \quad (18)$$

which we will call the (*contact*) *Routhian function*. Here $|_\mu$ denotes that the relation $\mu = \partial L / \partial \dot{q}^1$ is used to replace \dot{q}^1 in terms of (q^j, \dot{q}^j) and the parameter μ .

If we regard R^μ as a new contact Lagrangian system in the variables (q^j, \dot{q}^j, z) , then the solutions of the Herglotz equations for R^μ are in correspondence with those for L when one takes into account the relation $\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1}$. More precisely:

- i) Any solution of the Herglotz equations for L with momentum $\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^1}$ projects onto a solution of the Herglotz equations for R^μ , namely,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial R^\mu}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \right) - \frac{\partial R^\mu}{\partial q^j} - \frac{\partial R^\mu}{\partial \dot{q}^j} \frac{\partial R^\mu}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (19)$$

These equations will be called the *Herglotz-Routh* equations.

- ii) Conversely, any solution of the Herglotz-Routh equations for R^μ can be lifted to a solution of the Herglotz equations for L with $\partial L / \partial \dot{q}^1 = \mu \, \partial L / \partial z$.

3. Herglotz principle for nonsmooth Lagrangians

3.1. Herglotz variational principle for smooth Lagrangians

Consider a Lagrangian function $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and fix two points $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ and an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by $\Omega(q_1, q_2, [a, b]) \subseteq (\mathcal{C}^\infty([a, b] \rightarrow Q))$ the space of smooth curves σ such that $\sigma(a) = q_1$ and $\sigma(b) = q_2$. This space has the structure of an infinite dimensional smooth manifold whose tangent space at σ is given by the set of vector fields over σ that vanish at the endpoints [1, Proposition 3.8.2], that is,

$$T_\sigma \Omega(q_1, q_2, [a, b]) = \{v_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([a, b] \rightarrow TQ) \mid \tau_Q \circ v_\sigma = \sigma, v_\sigma(a) = 0, v_\sigma(b) = 0\} \quad (20)$$

The elements of $T_\sigma \Omega(q_1, q_2, [a, b])$ will be called *infinitesimal variations* of the curve σ . Let

$$\mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{C}^\infty([a, b] \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty([a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) \quad (21)$$

be the operator that assigns to each curve σ the function $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)$ that solves the following ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{Z}(\sigma)(t)}{dt} &= L(\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}(t), \mathcal{Z}(\sigma)(t)), \\ \mathcal{Z}(\sigma)(a) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Now we define the *action functional* \mathcal{A} as the map which assigns to each curve the solution to the previous ODE evaluated at the endpoint, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} : \Omega(q_1, q_2, [a, b]) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \sigma &\mapsto \mathcal{Z}(\sigma)(b) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We will say that a path $\sigma \in \Omega(q_1, q_2, Q)$ satisfies the *Herglotz variational principle* if it is a critical point of \mathcal{A} , i.e.,

$$T_\sigma \mathcal{A} = 0. \quad (24)$$

These critical points are curves which satisfy the *Herglotz equations* [13]:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (25)$$

If the Lagrangian L is regular, one has the following equivalence between the Herglotz equations and the geometric dynamical equations (see Ref. [13]).

Theorem 1. *Let L be a regular contact Lagrangian system on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$, and let Γ_L be the Hamiltonian vector field associated with the energy (given by Eq. (11)). Then*

- i) Γ_L is a SODE on $TQ \times \mathbb{R}$,
- ii) the integral curves of Γ_L are solutions of the Herglotz equations (25).

3.2. Herglotz principle for nonsmooth Lagrangians

Consider a configuration manifold Q and a submanifold with boundary $C \subset Q$, which represent the subset of admissible configurations. Let $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a regular Lagrangian. Let us introduce the *path space*

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Q}([0, 1], \tau_i, \partial C, Q), \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &:= \{c_t \in C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \mid c'_t > 0 \text{ in } [0, 1]\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}([0, 1], \tau_i, \partial C, Q) &:= \{c_q : [0, 1] \rightarrow Q \mid c_q \text{ is a } C^0, \text{ piecewise } C^2 \text{ curve,} \\ &\quad c_q(\tau) \text{ has only one singularity at } \tau_i, c_q(\tau_i) \in \partial C\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

A path $c \in \mathcal{M}$ is a pair $c = (c_t, c_q)$. Given a path, the *associated curve* $q : [c_t(0), c_t(1)] \rightarrow Q$ is given by $q(t) = c_q \circ c_t^{-1}(t)$. Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of all paths $q(t) \in Q$.

The moment of impact $\tau_i \in (0, 1)$ is fixed in the τ -space, but can vary in the t -space according to $t_i = c_t(\tau_i)$. One can show that \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{Q}([0, 1], \tau_i, \partial C, Q)$, and hence \mathcal{M} , are smooth manifolds [17]. Let $\widehat{\Omega}(q_1, q_2, [0, 1]) \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the subset of curves such that $c_q(0) = q_1$ and $c_q(1) = q_2$. The tangent space at $c_q \in \mathcal{Q}$ is given by

$$T_{c_q}\mathcal{Q} = \{v : [0, 1] \rightarrow TQ \mid v \text{ is a } C^0 \text{ piecewise } C^2 \text{ map, } v(\tau_i) \in T_{c_q(\tau_i)}\partial C\}. \quad (28)$$

Let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} : \widehat{\Omega}(q_1, q_2, [0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \quad (29)$$

be the operator that assigns to each $c_q \in \mathcal{M}$ the solution of the following ODE:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}}{d\tau} &= L\left(c_q(\tau), \frac{c'_q(\tau)}{c'_t(\tau)}, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c_q, c_t)(\tau)\right) c'_t(\tau), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c_q, c_t)(0) &= \widehat{z}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{A}} : \widehat{\Omega}(q_1, q_2, [0, 1]) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (c_q, c_t) &\mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c_q, c_t)(1). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Theorem 2 (Nonsmooth contact variational principle). *Let $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and regular Lagrangian function. Let $c = (c_q, c_t)$ be a curve in $\widehat{\Omega}(q_1, q_2, [0, 1])$, and let $\chi(\tau) = \left(c_q(\tau), \frac{c'_q(\tau)}{c'_t(\tau)}, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c)(\tau)\right) \subset TQ \times \mathbb{R}$. Then, c is a critical point of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\chi(\tau)) - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(\tau)) = 0, \quad (32a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_L(\chi(\tau)) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(\tau)) E_L(\chi(\tau)), \quad (32b)$$

for $\tau \in [0, \tau_i) \cup (\tau_i, 1]$, and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau_i^-))v^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau_i^+))v^i, \quad (33a)$$

$$E_L(\chi(\tau_i^-)) = E_L(\chi(\tau_i^+)) \quad (33b)$$

for any $v \in T_{c_q(\tau_i)}\partial C$.

Proof. Let $c = (c_q, c_t) \in \widehat{\Omega}(q_1, q_2, [0, 1])$ be a curve. Consider a smoothly parametrized family of curves $c^\lambda = (c_q^\lambda, c_t^\lambda)$ in $\widehat{\Omega}(q_1, q_2, [0, 1])$ such that $c^0 = c$ and

$$u = \left. \frac{dc_q^\lambda}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0}, \quad \theta = \left. \frac{dc_t^\lambda}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0}. \quad (34)$$

Let $\varphi = T_c \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(u, \theta)$, so that $T_c \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(u, \theta) = \varphi(1)$. Observe that $\varphi(0) = 0$, since $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c^\lambda)(0) = \widehat{z}_0$ for every λ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(\tau) &= \left. \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c^\lambda(\tau)) \right|_{\lambda=0} = \left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c^\lambda(\tau)) \right|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[L \left(c_q^\lambda(\tau), \frac{c_q^{\lambda'}(\tau)}{c_q^{\lambda'}(\tau)}, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c_q^\lambda, c_t^\lambda)(\tau) \right) c_t^{\lambda'}(\tau) \right] \right|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\chi(\tau)) u^i(\tau) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) \frac{1}{c_t'(\tau)} u^i(\tau) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) \frac{c_q'(\tau)}{c_t'(\tau)^2} \theta(\tau) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(\tau)) \varphi(\tau) \right] c_t'(\tau) + L \left(c_q^\lambda(\tau), \frac{c_q^{\lambda'}(\tau)}{c_q^{\lambda'}(\tau)}, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(c_q^\lambda, c_t^\lambda)(\tau) \right) \theta'(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

An integrating factor for this ODE is

$$\mu(\tau) = \exp \left(- \int_0^\tau \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(s)) c_t'(s) ds \right), \quad (36)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tau) \mu(\tau) &= \int_0^\tau \mu(s) c_t'(s) \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\chi(s)) u^i(s) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{1}{c_t'(s)} u^i(s) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{c_q'(s)}{c_t'(s)^2} \theta'(s) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau \mu(s) L(\chi(s)) \theta'(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^\tau \mu(s) c_t'(s) u^i(s) \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\chi(s)) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{1}{c_t'(s)} \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau \mu(s) \theta'(s) \left[L(\chi(s)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{c_q'(s)}{c_t'(s)} \right] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Integrating by parts and taking into account that $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ and $\theta(0) = \theta(1) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(1)\mu(1) &= \int_0^{\tau_i} \mu(s)c'_t(s)u^i(s) \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\chi(s)) - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(s)) \right] ds \\
 &\quad - \int_0^{\tau_i} \mu(s)\theta(s) \frac{d}{ds} \left[L(\chi(s)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{c'_q(s)}{c'_t(s)} \right] ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{\tau_i} \mu(s)\theta(s) \left[L(\chi(s)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{c'_q(s)}{c'_t(s)} \right] \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(s)) c'_t(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{\tau_i}^1 (\dots) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) u^i(s) \mu(s) \Big|_{\tau_i^+}^{\tau_i^-} + \mu(s)\theta(s) \left[L(\chi(s)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(s)) \frac{c'_q(s)}{c'_t(s)} \right] \Big|_{\tau_i^+}^{\tau_i^-}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Since $\mu(\tau)$ is nonzero, $\varphi(0)$ vanishes for every (u, θ) (i.e., χ is a critical point of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$) if and only if

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(\chi(\tau)) - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(\tau)) = 0, \tag{39a}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left[L(\chi(\tau)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) \frac{c'_q(\tau)}{c'_t(\tau)} \right] = \left[L(\chi(\tau)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau)) \frac{c'_q(\tau)}{c'_t(\tau)} \right] \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}(\chi(\tau)) c'_t(\tau), \tag{39b}$$

for $\tau \in [0, \tau_i) \cup (\tau_i, 1]$, and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau_i^-)) v^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau_i^+)) v^i, \tag{40a}$$

$$L(\chi(\tau_i^-)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau_i^-)) \frac{c'_q(\tau_i^-)}{c'_t(\tau_i^-)} = L(\chi(\tau_i^+)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\chi(\tau_i^+)) \frac{c'_q(\tau_i^+)}{c'_t(\tau_i^+)}, \tag{40b}$$

for any $v \in T_{c_q(\tau_i)} \partial C$. The result follows from the chain rule and the definition of E_L . \square

Remark 1. Equation (32b) is redundant. Indeed, we have that

$$\frac{dE_L}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \dot{q}^i - L \right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} \right) \dot{q}^i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} \dot{z} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \ddot{q}^i - L \right) \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = E_L \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}, \tag{41}$$

along solutions of the Herglotz equations (32a).

Remark 2. Equations (13a) and (32b) are equivalent. As one can check straightforwardly,

$$\mathcal{R}_L(E_L) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}, \tag{42}$$

so

$$\frac{dE_L}{dt} = -\mathcal{R}_L(E_L) E_L \tag{43}$$

along the solutions of the Herglotz equations (32a).

Example 1 (Billiard with dissipation). Consider a particle moving in the plane confined to the surface defined by $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. The Lagrangian $L : T\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$L(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, z) = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) - \gamma z, \quad (44)$$

where γ is a real constant. Herglotz equations thus yield

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} &= -\gamma \dot{x}, \\ \ddot{y} &= -\gamma \dot{y}, \\ \dot{z} &= L(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, z). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Their solutions for the initial conditions $x(0) = x_0$, $y(0) = y_0$, $\dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0$, $\dot{y}(0) = \dot{y}_0$ and $E_L(0) = E_0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x_0 + \frac{\dot{x}_0}{\gamma} (1 - e^{-t\gamma}), \\ y(t) &= y_0 + \frac{\dot{y}_0}{\gamma} (1 - e^{-t\gamma}), \\ z(t) &= -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}_0^2 + \dot{y}_0^2) e^{-2t\gamma} + E_0 e^{-t\gamma} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

For simplicity's sake, let $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 0)$ and $(\dot{x}_0, \dot{y}_0) = (\gamma, \gamma)$. Then, the particle impacts with the wall at $t_1 = -1/\gamma \log(1 - 1/\sqrt{2})$. Conditions (33) are now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\dot{x}^- - \dot{y}^-) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\dot{x}^+ - \dot{y}^+), \\ \frac{1}{2} ((\dot{x}^-)^2 + (\dot{y}^-)^2) + \gamma z|_{t_i^-} &= \frac{1}{2} ((\dot{x}^+)^2 + (\dot{y}^+)^2) + \gamma z|_{t_i^+}, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $\dot{x}^\pm = \dot{x}(t_i^\pm)$ and $\dot{y}^\pm = \dot{y}(t_i^\pm)$. Now, $z|_{t_i^+} = z|_{t_i^-}$, so $\dot{x}^+ = \dot{y}^+ = -\dot{x}^- = -\dot{y}^- = -\gamma$.

3.3. Hamiltonian counterpart

Given a Lagrangian function $L : TQ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can define the *Legendre transform*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Leg} : TQ \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow T^*Q \times \mathbb{R} \\ (q^i, \dot{q}^i, z) &\mapsto \left(q^i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}, z \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Hereinafter, we will assume that the Lagrangian L is *hypperregular*, i.e., the Legendre transform is a diffeomorphism. The Hamiltonian function H is then given by $H = E_L \circ \text{Leg}$.

The Hamiltonian counterpart of Theorem 2 is as follows.

Proposition 3. *Let H be a regular Hamiltonian function on $T^*Q \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\xi = (q^i, p_i, \mathbb{Z})$ be a continuous and piecewise C^2 curve on $T^*Q \times \mathbb{R}$ whose only singularities occur at t_i . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dq^i}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(\xi(t)), \\ \frac{dp_i}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}(\xi(t)) - p_i \frac{\partial H}{\partial z}(\xi(t)), \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

for $t \neq t_i$, and

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(\xi(t_i^-))v^i &= p_i(\xi(t_i^+))v^i, \\ H(\xi(t_i^-)) &= H(\xi(t_i^+)). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

4. Contact Routh reduction for non-smooth contact systems

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from Grant PID2019-106715GB-C21 funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033. Leonardo J. Colombo received the support of a fellowship from “la Caixa” Foundation (ID 100010434). The fellowship code is LCF/BQ/PI19/11690016. María Emma Eyrea Irazú received the support of CONICET. Manuel de León and Asier López-Gordón also recieved support from the Grant CEX2019-000904-S funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033. Asier López-Gordón would also like to thank MCIN for the predoctoral contract PRE2020-093814

References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. Marsden. *Foundations of Mechanics*. AMS Chelsea Publishing. AMS Chelsea Pub./American Mathematical Society, 2008. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4438-0.
- [2] V. I. Arnold. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1978. ISBN: 978-1-4757-1693-1. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4757-1693-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-1693-1).
- [3] A. M. Bloch, J. E. Marsden, and D. V. Zenkov. “Quasivelocities and Symmetries in Non-Holonomic Systems”. In: *Dynamical Systems* 24.2 (June 2009), pp. 187–222. ISSN: 1468-9367, 1468-9375. DOI: [10.1080/14689360802609344](https://doi.org/10.1080/14689360802609344).
- [4] B. Brogliato. *Nonsmooth Impact Mechanics*. Vol. 220. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences. Springer-Verlag, 1996. ISBN: 978-3-540-76079-5. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0027733](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0027733).
- [5] J. F. Cariñena, J. M. N. da Costa, and P. S. Santos. “Quasi-Coordinates from the Point of View of Lie Algebroid Structures”. In: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 40.33 (Aug. 2007), pp. 10031–10048. ISSN: 1751-8113, 1751-8121. DOI: [10.1088/1751-8113/40/33/008](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/40/33/008).

- [6] J. Cortés, M. Deleón, D. Martín de diego, and S. Martínez. “Mechanical Systems Subjected to Generalized Non-Holonomic Constraints”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 457.2007 (Mar. 2001), pp. 651–670. ISSN: 1364-5021, 1471-2946. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.2000.0686](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2000.0686).
- [7] J. Cortés, M. De León, D. M. de Diego, and S. Martínez. “Non-Constant Rank Constraints”. In: *Actas Del VII Encuentro de Otoño de Geometría y Física*. Vol. 2. Publicaciones de la RSME, 2001, pp. 41–54.
- [8] J. Cortés and A. M. Vinogradov. “Hamiltonian Theory of Constrained Impulsive Motion”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 47.4 (Apr. 2006), p. 042905. ISSN: 0022-2488. DOI: [10.1063/1.2192974](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2192974).
- [9] M. de León and D. M. de Diego. “On the Geometry of Non-holonomic Lagrangian Systems”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 37.7 (July 1996), pp. 3389–3414. ISSN: 0022-2488. DOI: [10.1063/1.531571](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.531571).
- [10] M. de León, V. M. Jiménez, and M. Lainz. “Contact Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Systems with Nonholonomic Constraints”. In: *Journal of Geometric Mechanics* 13.1 (2021), p. 25. DOI: [10.3934/jgm.2021001](https://doi.org/10.3934/jgm.2021001).
- [11] M. de León and M. Lainz. “A Review on Contact Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Systems”. In: *arXiv:2011.05579 [math-ph]* (Feb. 2021). arXiv: [2011.05579 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.05579).
- [12] M. de León, M. Laínz, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, and N. Román-Roy. “Constrained Lagrangian Dissipative Contact Dynamics”. In: *arXiv:2109.05295 [hep-th, physics:math-ph]* (Sept. 2021). arXiv: [2109.05295 \[hep-th, physics:math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.05295).
- [13] M. de León and M. Lainz Valcázar. “Singular Lagrangians and Precontact Hamiltonian Systems”. In: *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 16.10 (Oct. 2019), p. 1950158. ISSN: 0219-8878. DOI: [10.1142/S0219887819501585](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887819501585).
- [14] D. Eberard, B. Maschke, and A. van der Schaft. “An Extension of Hamiltonian Systems to the Thermodynamic Phase Space: Towards a Geometry of Nonreversible Processes”. In: *Reports on Mathematical Physics* 60.2 (Oct. 2007), pp. 175–198. ISSN: 00344877. DOI: [10.1016/S0034-4877\(07\)00024-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877(07)00024-9).
- [15] O. Esen, M. Lainz Valcázar, M. de León, and J. C. Marrero. “Contact Dynamics: Legendrian and Lagrangian Submanifolds”. In: *Mathematics* 9.21 (Jan. 2021), p. 2704. DOI: [10.3390/math9212704](https://doi.org/10.3390/math9212704).
- [16] O. Esen, M. L. Valcázar, M. de León, and C. Sardón. “Implicit Contact Dynamics and Hamilton-Jacobi Theory”. In: *arXiv:2109.14921 [math-ph]* (Sept. 2021). arXiv: [2109.14921 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.14921).
- [17] R. C. Fetecau, J. E. Marsden, M. Ortiz, and M. West. “Nonsmooth Lagrangian Mechanics and Variational Collision Integrators”. In: *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.* 2.3 (Jan. 2003), pp. 381–416. ISSN: 1536-0040. DOI: [10.1137/S1111111102406038](https://doi.org/10.1137/S1111111102406038).

- [18] G. Fusco and M. Oliva. “Dissipative Systems with Constraints”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 63.3 (July 1986), pp. 362–388. ISSN: 00220396. DOI: [10.1016/0022-0396\(86\)90061-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(86)90061-6).
- [19] F. Gay-Balmaz and H. Yoshimura. “From Lagrangian Mechanics to Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics: A Variational Perspective”. In: *Entropy* 21.1 (Dec. 2018), p. 8. ISSN: 1099-4300. DOI: [10.3390/e21010008](https://doi.org/10.3390/e21010008).
- [20] P. Glendinning and M. R. Jeffrey. *An Introduction to Piecewise Smooth Dynamics*. Ed. by E. Bossolini, J. T. Lázaro, and J. M. Olm. Advanced Courses in Mathematics - CRM Barcelona. Springer International Publishing, 2019. ISBN: 978-3-030-23688-5 978-3-030-23689-2. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-23689-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23689-2).
- [21] C. Godbillon. *Géométrie Différentielle et Mécanique Analytique*. Collection Méthodes. Hermann, 1969.
- [22] A. Ibort, M. de León, E. A. Lacomba, D. M. de Diego, and P. Pitanga. “Mechanical Systems Subjected to Impulsive Constraints”. In: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 30.16 (Aug. 1997), pp. 5835–5854. ISSN: 0305-4470, 1361-6447. DOI: [10.1088/0305-4470/30/16/024](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/30/16/024).
- [23] A. Ibort, M. de León, E. A. Lacomba, J. C. Marrero, D. M. de Diego, and P. Pitanga. “Geometric Formulation of Mechanical Systems Subjected to Time-Dependent One-Sided Constraints”. In: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 31.11 (Mar. 1998), pp. 2655–2674. ISSN: 0305-4470, 1361-6447. DOI: [10.1088/0305-4470/31/11/014](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/31/11/014).
- [24] A. Ibort, M. de León, E. A. Lacomba, J. C. Marrero, D. M. de Diego, and P. Pitanga. “Geometric Formulation of Carnot’s Theorem”. In: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 34.8 (Mar. 2001), pp. 1691–1712. ISSN: 0305-4470, 1361-6447. DOI: [10.1088/0305-4470/34/8/314](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/34/8/314).
- [25] E. A. Lacomba and W. M. Tulczyjew. “Geometric Formulation of Mechanical Systems with One-Sided Constraints”. In: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 23.13 (July 1990), pp. 2801–2813. ISSN: 0305-4470. DOI: [10.1088/0305-4470/23/13/019](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/23/13/019).
- [26] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian Manifolds*. Vol. 176. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, 2018. ISBN: 978-3-319-91754-2 978-3-319-91755-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-91755-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91755-9).
- [27] M. de León and P. R. Rodrigues. *Methods of Differential Geometry in Analytical Mechanics*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 158. North-Holland ; Distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada, Elsevier Science Pub. Co, 1989. ISBN: 978-0-444-88017-8.
- [28] A. D. Lewis. “Affine Connections and Distributions with Applications to Nonholonomic Mechanics”. In: *Reports on Mathematical Physics* 42.1-2 (Aug. 1998), pp. 135–164. ISSN: 00344877. DOI: [10.1016/S0034-4877\(98\)80008-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877(98)80008-6).

- [29] J. E. Marsden and T. S. Ratiu. *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry: A Basic Exposition of Classical Mechanical Systems*. Ed. by J. E. Marsden, L. Sirovich, M. Golubitsky, and W. Jäger. Vol. 17. Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer New York, 1999. ISBN: 978-1-4419-3143-6 978-0-387-21792-5. DOI: [10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21792-5).
- [30] R. Mrugała. “Geometrical Methods in Thermodynamics”. In: *Thermodynamics of Energy Conversion and Transport*. Ed. by S. Sieniutycz and A. De Vos. Springer New York, 2000, pp. 257–285. ISBN: 978-1-4612-1286-7. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-1286-7_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1286-7_10).
- [31] R. M. Rosenberg. “Impulsive Motion”. In: *Analytical Dynamics of Discrete Systems*. Ed. by R. M. Rosenberg. Mathematical Concepts and Methods in Science and Engineering. Springer US, 1977, pp. 391–414. ISBN: 978-1-4684-8318-5. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4684-8318-5_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-8318-5_21).
- [32] A. A. Simoes, M. de León, M. L. Valcázar, and D. M. de Diego. “Contact Geometry for Simple Thermodynamical Systems with Friction”. In: *Proc. R. Soc. A*. 476.2241 (Sept. 2020), p. 20200244. ISSN: 1364-5021, 1471-2946. DOI: [10.1098/rspa.2020.0244](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2020.0244).
- [33] D. Sloan. “Dynamical Similarity”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 97.12 (June 2018), p. 123541. ISSN: 2470-0010, 2470-0029. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.97.123541](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.123541).
- [34] I. Vaisman. “The Symplectic Foliation of a Poisson Manifold”. In: *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*. Ed. by I. Vaisman. Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, 1994, pp. 19–30. ISBN: 978-3-0348-8495-2. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-0348-8495-2_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-8495-2_3).
- [35] A. van der Schaft. “Classical Thermodynamics Revisited: A Systems and Control Perspective”. In: *IEEE Control Syst.* 41.5 (Oct. 2021), pp. 32–60. ISSN: 1066-033X, 1941-000X. DOI: [10.1109/MCS.2021.3092809](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCS.2021.3092809).
- [36] K. Yano and S. Ishihara. *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles ; Differential Geometry*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1973.