
Database Optimization: Practicing


ADVANCED DATA BASE




```
select O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from ORDERS, CUSTOMER, NATION, REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
   and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME
```

```
select O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from ORDERS, CUSTOMER, NATION, REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
   and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME
```









Determine the optimized execution tree with respect to the heuristic criteria presented in class


Not all the orderings are feasible: it is necessaryy to build a join sequence. For example:
 R-N-O-C needs the cartesian product in R-N-O







```
select sum(L_QUANTITY)
from LINEITEM,ORDERS,PART,CUSTOMER,NATION
where L_ORDERKEY=O_ORDERKEY and O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY
   and C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY
   and L_PARTKEY=P_PARTKEY and N_NAME= 'Canada'
```

```
select sum(L QUANTITY)
         LINEITEM, ORDERS, PART, CUSTOMER, NATION
from
where L ORDERKEY=O ORDERKEY and O CUSTKEY=C CUSTKEY
    and C NATIONKEY=N NATIONKEY
     and L PARTKEY=P PARTKEY and N NAME= 'Canada'
                                      \sum_{\text{sum(L\_QUANTITY)}}
                      OL_ORDERKEY=O_ORDERKEY and O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY
                       and C NATIONKEY=N NATIONKEY and
                       L PARTKEY=P PARTKEY and N NAME= 'Canada'
                                                   X
                                            X
```






- Not all orderings are feasible: a join sequence is needed. For example C-N-O-P-L requires a cartesian product for C-N-O-P
- Projection push-down is omitted for brevity




```
select s_name, s_address
from TPCD.supplier, TPCD.nation, TPCD.region
where s_nationkey = n_nationkey and n_regionkey = r_regionkey and r_name='Europe';
```


```
select s_name, s_address
from TPCD.supplier, TPCD.nation, TPCD.region
where s_nationkey = n_nationkey and n_regionkey = r_regionkey and r_name='Europe';
```



Draw the execution tree proposed by ORACLE for the following queries:

```
select s_name, s_address
from TPCD.supplier, TPCD.nation, TPCD.region
where s_nationkey = n_nationkey and n_regionkey = r_regionkey and r_name='Europe';
```






The DBMS omits the projections, we do not report them too

```
select O_ORDERSTATUS,N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O ORDERSTATUS,N NAME
```


```
select O_ORDERSTATUS,N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O ORDERSTATUS,N NAME
```

PERATION	OBJECT_NAME	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT		54	484277
⇒ • SORT (GROUP BY)		54	484277
TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)	ORDERS	10	16
□ NESTED LOOPS		300000	480540
- HASH JOIN		30000	540
- On Access Predicates			
C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY			
- HASH JOIN		5	13
N_REGIONKEY=R_REGIONKEY			
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	REGION	1	6
i → 🎧 Filter Predicates			
R_NAME='Europe'			
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	NATION	25	6
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	CUSTOMER	150000	526
index (Range Scan)	IX_CUST_ORDERS	15	2
☐ ··· ○ ○ Access Predicates			
O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY			


```
select O_ORDERSTATUS,N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O ORDERSTATUS,N NAME
```




```
select O_ORDERSTATUS,N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O ORDERSTATUS,N NAME
```



```
select O_ORDERSTATUS,N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O ORDERSTATUS,N NAME
```





```
select O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME
```




Heuristic plan (see 1) vs Oracle plan

```
select O_ORDERSTATUS, N_NAME, count(O_ORDERKEY)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.REGION
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and C_NATIONKEY = N_NATIONKEY
    and N_REGIONKEY = R_REGIONKEY and R_NAME= 'Europe'
group by O ORDERSTATUS, N NAME
```







Draw the execution tree proposed by ORACLE for the following queries:


select sum(L_QUANTITY)

from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.PART, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION

where L ORDERKEY=O ORDERKEY and O CUSTKEY=C CUSTKEY and

C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY and L_PARTKEY=P_PARTKEY and N_NAME= 'Canada'

ORACLE accesses the index but not the Part table. Why?



Draw the execution tree proposed by ORACLE for the following queries:


select sum(L_QUANTITY)

from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.PART, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION

where L ORDERKEY=O ORDERKEY and O CUSTKEY=C CUSTKEY and

C NATIONKEY=N NATIONKEY and L PARTKEY=P PARTKEY and N NAME= 'Canada'

ORACLE accesses the index but not the Part table. Why?



Draw the execution tree proposed by ORACLE for the following queries:


ORACLE accesses the index but not the Part table. Why?

select sum(L_QUANTITY)

from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.PART, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION

where L_ORDERKEY=O_ORDERKEY and O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and

C NATIONKEY=N NATIONKEY and L PARTKEY=P PARTKEY and N NAME= 'Canada'



Draw the execution tree proposed by ORACLE for the following queries:


ORACLE accesses the index but not the Part table. Why?

select sum(L_QUANTITY)

from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.PART, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION

where L_ORDERKEY=O_ORDERKEY and O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and

C NATIONKEY=N NATIONKEY and L PARTKEY=P PARTKEY and N NAME= 'Canada'



Draw the execution tree proposed by ORACLE for the following queries:


ORACLE accesses the index but not the Part table. Why?

select sum(L_QUANTITY)


from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.PART, TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION

where L_ORDERKEY=O_ORDERKEY and O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY and

C NATIONKEY=N NATIONKEY and L PARTKEY=P PARTKEY and N NAME= 'Canada'





Heuristic plan (see 2) vs Oracle plan



```
select * from PART ORDER BY P_NAME;

select * from PART ORDER BY P_NAME;
```





- Grouping and sorting use the same operator as SORT. The plan indicates the use
- The sort is not really necessary in the second case, why? Because the table is already sorted on P_PARTKEY the key
- In this case we do not include the cost in the solution


After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query:


verify:

- How and why the execution tree changes when the o_clerk condition is removed
- How and why the execution tree changes when the conidion on o_clerk is relaxed as follows:

```
o_clerk > 'Clerk#00000955'
```


Full query







without the condition on $o_{\tt clerk}$

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	CARDINALITY	COST
⊟		106067	
⊕ •• SORT (GROUP BY)		106067	384899
□ NESTED LOOPS		118854	382902
		118854	145194
⊟ HASH JOIN		120024	25170
⊜ O Access Predicates			
L_SUPPKEY=S_SUPPKEY			
□··· NESTED LOOPS		200	10037
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	SUPPLIER	10000	37
☐ TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)	NATION	1	1
☐ ∙∙ ে ি † Filter Predicates			
N_NAME='KENYA'			
⊡u. INDEX (UNIQUE SCAN)	SYS_C0036432	1	
🖮 🦳 Access Predicates			
S_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY			
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	LINEITEM	6001215	15092
TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)	PART	1	1
index (unique scan)	SYS_C0036430	1	
☐ ··· O ™ Access Predicates			
L_PARTKEY=P_PARTKEY			
TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)	ORDERS	1	2
⊡ □ de Index (Unique Scan)	SYS_C0036431	1	1
ĒŌ‰ Access Predicates			
L_ORDERKEY=O_ORDERKEY			



With relaxed condition o clerk > 'Clerk#000000955'





Execution Cost Computation

ADVANCED DATA BASE



```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u = 0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select R_NAME, count(*) AS NCUST
from TPCD.REGION, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.CUSTOMER
where R_REGIONKEY=N_REGIONKEY AND N_NATIONKEY=C_NATIONKEY
GROUP BY R_NAME, R_REGIONKEY
ORDER BY NCUST;
```


After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:

D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte

NB = 101

u = 0.69

```
select R_NAME, count(*) AS NCUST
from TPCD.REGION, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.CUSTOMER
where R_REGIONKEY=N_REGIONKEY AND N_NATIONKEY=C_NATIONKEY
GROUP BY R_NAME, R_REGIONKEY
ORDER BY NCUST;
```



After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:

D = 4096 byte

len(P)=len(K)=4 byte

NB = 101

u = 0.69

No projections on intermediate results

```
select R_NAME, count(*) AS NCUST
from TPCD.REGION, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.CUSTOMER
where R_REGIONKEY=N_REGIONKEY AND N_NATIONKEY=C_NATIONKEY
GROUP BY R_NAME, R_REGIONKEY
ORDER BY NCUST;

OBJECT_NAME CARDINALITY COST

OBJECT_NAME CARDINALITY COST

HJD<
N_NATIONKEY=C_NATIONKEY

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF
```

PERATION	OBJECT_NAME	CARDINALITY	COST
SELECT STATEMENT		18	2612
		18	2612
		18	2612
⊟ HASH JOIN		150000	540
্ল তি Access Predicates			
N_NATIONKEY=C_NATIONKEY			
⇒ M HASH JOIN		25	13
্লি ∙∙ ি জ Access Predicates			
R_REGIONKEY=N_REGIONKEY			
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	REGION	5	6
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	NATION	25	6
TABLE ACCESS (FULL)	CUSTOMER	150000	526

HJ D N_NATIONKEY=C_NATIONKEY

HJ D N_REGIONKEY=R_REGIONKEY

FULL

R

FULL

FULL

FULL

Inner sort since NP_{RESULT} < NB

Total cost = 2 + 8.441 + 120.696 + 1 = 129.140

$$NP_{REGION} = \lceil 5 \times 114/ (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 1$$

$$NP_{NATION} = \lceil 25 \times 106/ (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 1$$

$$NP_{REGION+NATION} = \lceil 25 \times (114+106) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 2$$

$$NP_{CUSTOMER} = \lceil 150.000 \times 159/ (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 8.439$$

$$NP_{REGION+NATION+CUSTOMER} = \lceil 150.000 \times (114+106+159) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 20.116$$
We can use l'hash join since NP_{NATION} e NP_{REGION} are smaller than NB

Hash Join_{REGION+NATION} = 2

We can use l'hash join since NP_{REGION+NATION} is lower than NB

Hash Join_{(REGION+NATION)+CUSTOMER} = 2 + 8.439 = 8.441

Group by access cost = 2 × 20.116 × ($\lceil \log_{100} \lceil 20.116/101 \rceil \rceil + 1$) = 2 × 20.116 × (2 + 1) = 120.696

$$NP_{GR} = \lceil 5 \times (4+26) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 1$$

```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u = 0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select sum(L_EXTENDEDPRICE)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.LINEITEM
WHERE O_ORDERKEY=L_ORDERKEY
and O CLERK='Clerk#000000559';
```

```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u=0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select sum(L_EXTENDEDPRICE)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.LINEITEM
WHERE O_ORDERKEY=L_ORDERKEY
and O_CLERK='Clerk#000000559';
```

OPERATION	OBJECT_NAME	CARDINALITY	COST
⊞··· SELECT STATEMENT		1	5962
SORT (AGGREGATE)		1	
TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)	LINEITEM	4	3
		6086	5962
TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)	ORDERS	1500	1462
i ⊡ index (range scan)	IX_CLERK_ORDERS	1500	8
⊟ O			
O_CLERK='Clerk#000000559	<i>'</i>		
⊞□. INDEX (RANGE SCAN)	IX_ORDER_LI	4	2
🖮 ∙ O 🦍 Access Predicates			
O_ORDERKEY=L_ORDERKEY			
<u> </u>			

After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:

D = 4096 byte


len(P)=len(K)=4 byte


NB = 101

u = 0.69

No projections on intermediate results

select sum(L_EXTENDEDPRICE)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.LINEITEM
WHERE O_ORDERKEY=L_ORDERKEY
and O_CLERK='Clerk#000000559';





```
NP_{ORDERS} = \lceil 1.500.000 \times 106 / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 56.259
NP_{LINEITEMS} = \lceil 6.001.215 \times 113 / (4.096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 239.944
NL_{O\_CLERK} = \lceil (1.000 \times 4 + 4 \times 1.500.000) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 2.125
|O\_CLERK| = 1.000
```

$$ET_{ORDERS} = 1.500.000 / 1.000 = 1.500$$

Unclustered access ORDERS =
$$2 + \lceil 1/1.000 \times 2.125 \rceil + 1 \times \Phi(1.500, 56.259) = 2 + 3 + 1.481 = 1.486$$

$$NL_{L \text{ ORDERKEY}} = [(1.500.000 \times 4 + 4 \times 6.001.215) / (4096 \times 0.69)] = 10.617$$


$$h-1 = BLEVEL_{IX_ORDER_LI} = 2$$

Clustered access to LINEITEM =
$$2 + \lceil 1/1.500.000 \times 10.617 \rceil + 1 \times \lceil 1/1.500.000 \times 239.944 \rceil = 2 + 1 + 1 = 4$$

NL Join_{LINEITEM+ORDERS} =
$$1.486 + 1.500 \times 4 = 7.486$$

$$NP_{LINEITEM+ORDERS} = \lceil (106+113) \times (6.001.215/1.000) / (4096 \times 0.69) \rceil = 466$$

Sort(LINEITEM+ORDERS) = 2 x 466 x (
$$\lceil \log_{100} \lceil 466/101 \rceil \rceil + 1$$
) = 2 x 466 x (1 + 1) = **1.864**



```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u=0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
SELECT sum(PS_SUPPLYCOST)

FROM TPCD.PART, TPCD.PARTSUPP

WHERE P_PARTKEY=PS_PARTKEY and P_TYPE='SMALL BURNISHED STEEL';
```

After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:


D = 4096 byte

len(P)=len(K)=4 byte

NB = 101

u = 0.69

```
SELECT sum(PS_SUPPLYCOST)
FROM TPCD.PART, TPCD.PARTSUPP
WHERE P_PARTKEY=PS_PARTKEY and P_TYPE='SMALL BURNISHED STEEL';
```



After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:

D = 4096 byte


len(P)=len(K)=4 byte


NB = 101

u = 0.69

No projections on intermediate results

SELECT sum(PS_SUPPLYCOST)
FROM TPCD.PART, TPCD.PARTSUPP
WHERE P_PARTKEY=PS_PARTKEY and P_TYPE='SMALL BURNISHED STEEL';





$$NP_{PART} = \lceil 200.000 \times 131/(4096 \times 0.69) \rceil = 9.271$$

$$ET_{PART} = [200.000 / 150] = 1.334$$

$$NP_{PARTSUPP} = [800.000 \times 143/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 40.478$$

$$NL_{PS-PARTKEY} = [200.000 \times 4 + 800.000 \times 4) / (4096 \times 0.69)] = 1.416$$


Clustered access to PARTSUPP= $2 + \lceil 1/200.000 \times 1.416 \rceil + \lceil 1/200000 \times 40.478 \rceil = 2+1+1=4$

NL Join_{LINFITEM+ORDERS} =
$$9.271 + 1.334 \times 4 = 14.607$$

$$NT_{PART+PARTSUPP} = [800.000 \times 1/150] = 5.334$$

$$NP_{PART+PARTSUPP} = [5.334 \times (131+143)/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 518$$

Group by (PART + PARTSUPP) =
$$2 \times 518 \times (\lceil \log_{100} \lceil 518/101 \rceil \rceil + 1) = 2 \times 518 \times (1 + 1) = 2.072$$



```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u=0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select P_TYPE,SUM(L_QUANTITY)
from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.PART
where L_PARTKEY=P_PARTKEY and P_BRAND= 'Brand#54'
group by P_TYPE
having COUNT(*) > 5;
```

After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:


```
D = 4096 byte
```

```
len(P)=len(K)=4 byte
```

```
NB = 101
```

u = 0.69

```
select P_TYPE,SUM(L_QUANTITY)
from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.PART
where L_PARTKEY=P_PARTKEY and P_BRAND= 'Brand#54'
group by P_TYPE
having COUNT(*) > 5;
```



After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:


D = 4096 byte


len(P)=len(K)=4 byte

NB = 101

u = 0.69

```
select P_TYPE,SUM(L_QUANTITY)
from TPCD.LINEITEM, TPCD.PART
where L_PARTKEY=P_PARTKEY and P_BRAND= 'Brand#54'
group by P_TYPE
having COUNT(*) > 5;
```





$$NP_{PART} = \lceil 200.000 \times 131/(4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 9.271$$

Sel(P_BRAND='...')=1/25


$$ET_{PART} = \lceil 200.000 \times 1/25 \rceil = 8.000$$

$$NP_{LINEITEM} = [6.001.215 \times 113 / (4.096 \times 0.69)] = 239.944$$

$$NL_{P-LI} = \lceil 200.000 \times 4 + 6.001.215 \times 4 \rceil / (4096 \times 0.69) \rceil = 23.745$$

Uncl. access to LI = $2 + \lceil 23.745/200.000 \rceil + \Phi(6.001.215/200.000, 239.944) = 2 + 1 + \Phi(31, 239.944) = 2 + 1 + 31 = 34$

Cost NL Join_{P-LI} $9.271+8.000 \times 34=257.271$



 ETL_{II-P} = 8.000 × 6.001.215 / 200.000 = 8000 × 30 = 240.049

Alternatively $ETL_{LI-P} = 6.001.215 / 25 = 240.049$)

$$NP_{P-LI} = [240.049 \times (113+131) / (4.096 \times 0,69)] = 20.725$$


Sort
$$GB_{P-LI} = 2 \times 20.725 \times (\lceil \log 100 \lceil 20.725/101 \rceil \rceil + 1) = 20.725 \times 4 = 82.900$$

The number of tuples after the group is estimated through the Cardenas formula which estimates how the 240,049 tuples are grouped with respect to the values of the parts to which they refer

$$ET_{GB-P TYPE} = \Phi(240.049, 150) = 150$$

$$NP_{GB-P TYPE} = \lceil (4+22) \times 150 / (4096 \times 0.69) \rceil = 2$$

Total cost = 257.271 + 82.900 + 2 = 340.173




```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u = 0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select n_name, count(*)
from TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.SUPPLIER
where C_NATIONKEY=S_NATIONKEY and C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY
GROUP BY N NAME;
```

```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u=0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select n_name, count(*)
from TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.SUPPLIER
where C_NATIONKEY=S_NATIONKEY and C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY
GROUP BY N NAME;
```




After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:


```
D = 4096 \text{ byte} len(P)=len(K)=4 \text{ byte}
```

NB = 101

u = 0.69

```
select n_name, count(*)
from TPCD.CUSTOMER, TPCD.NATION, TPCD.SUPPLIER
where C_NATIONKEY=S_NATIONKEY and C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY
GROUP BY N NAME;
```





$$NP_{NATION} = [25 \times 106/ (4096 \times 0.69)] = 1$$


$$NP_{CUSTORMER} = [150.000 \times 159/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 8.439$$

Cost HJ_{C+N} = 8.439 + 1 = **8.440** (HJ since Nation fits the buffer)

$$NP_{C+N} = \lceil 150.000 \times (159+106) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 14.065$$

$$NP_S = [10.000 \times 144/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 510$$

Cost
$$HHJ_{C+N+S} 3 \times (14.065 + 510) = 43.725$$



$$\sum_{N_NAME, count(*)} 3$$

$$HJ \triangleright \triangleleft_{C_NATIONKEY=S_NATIONKE} 2$$

$$HJ \triangleright \triangleleft_{C_NATIONKEY=N_NATIONKEY} 1 S FULL$$

$$FULL N C FULL$$

$$NP_{C+N+S} = \lceil 60.000.000 \times (159+106+144) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 8.682.915$$

The number of NP_{C+N+S} tuples is so high because the join condition is on the NATIONKEY field. The number of tuples must be calculated using the DB statistics and making assumptions of uniform distribution of probability:

- there are 25 countries, 10,000 suppliers and 150,000 customers
- so on average there will be 10.000 / 25 = 400 suppliers and 150.000 / 25 = 6.000 customers for each nation.
- so 6.000 x 400 x 25 = 60.000.000

You can verify the estimate, running the following query (results are very accurate since TPCD is a synthetic DB)

```
select count(*)
from TPCD.customer, TPCD.supplier
where s_nationkey=c_nationkey;
```

Sort
$$GB_{C+N+S} = 2 \times 8.682.915 \times (\lceil \log_{100} \lceil 8.682.915/101 \rceil \rceil + 1) = 2 \times 8.619.226 \times (2+1) = 51.715.356$$

Total cost = 8.440 + 43.725 + 51.715.356 = 51.767.521

```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte NB = 101 u = 0.69 No projections on intermediate results
```

```
select /*+ USE_MERGE(ORDERS,CUSTOMER)*/ O_CLERK, sum(O_TOTALPRICE)
from TPCD.ORDERS,TPCD.CUSTOMER
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY AND C_NAME LIKE 'A%' AND O_ORDERPRIORITY='2-HIGH'
group by O CLERK;
```

```
D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte len(P)=1 byte len(P)=1
```

```
select /*+ USE_MERGE(ORDERS, CUSTOMER) */ O_CLERK, sum(O_TOTALPRICE)
from TPCD.ORDERS, TPCD.CUSTOMER
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY AND C_NAME LIKE 'A%' AND O_ORDERPRIORITY='2-HIGH'
group by O CLERK;
```

```
OBJECT NAME CARDINALITY
                                              COST
 SELECT STATEMENT
                                                 5869
5869
 5854
    TABLE ACCESS (BY INDEX ROWID)
        INDXNAME
          C NAME LIKE 'A%'

☐ Tilter Predicates

               C NAME LIKE 'A%'
    5836
      O CUSTKEY=C CUSTKEY

☐ Tilter Predicates

           O CUSTKEY=C CUSTKEY

☐ Tilter Predicates

             O ORDERPRIORITY='2-HIGH'
```


After drawing the execution tree of the optimizer for the query, compute the execution cost assuming that:


D = 4096 byte len(P)=len(K)=4 byte

NB = 101

u = 0.69

```
select /*+ USE_MERGE(ORDERS,CUSTOMER)*/ O_CLERK, sum(O_TOTALPRICE)
from TPCD.ORDERS,TPCD.CUSTOMER
where O_CUSTKEY=C_CUSTKEY AND C_NAME LIKE 'A%' AND O_ORDERPRIORITY='2-HIGH'
group by O CLERK;
```





$$NP_{CUSTORMER} = [150.000 \times 159/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 8.439$$

$$NP_{ORDERS} = [1.500.000 \times 106/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 56.259$$

Sel(C_NAME LIKE 'A%')= 1/26

Sel(O_ORDERPRIORITY='2-HIGH')=1/5

$$ET_{Sel(C \text{ NAME LIKE '...'})} = [150.000 \times 1/26] = 5.770$$

$$NP_{O-FIITERED} = [1.500.000/5 \times 106/(4096 \times 0.69)] = 11.252$$

$$NP_{C-FIITERED} = \lceil 150.000 \times 1/26 \times 159/(4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 325$$


SORT(ORDERS)=
$$56.259 + 11.252 + 2 \times 11.252 \times \lceil \log_{100} \lceil 11.252/101 \rceil \rceil = 56.259 + (1 + 2 \times 2) \times 11.252 = 112.519$$

$$NL_{C NAME} = [(4 \times 150.000 + 4 \times 150.000) / (4096 \times 0,69)] = 425$$

Uncl. access to C =
$$2 + \lceil 425 / 26 \rceil + 5.770 \times \Phi(1, 8.439) = 2 + 17 + 5.770 = 5.788$$

SORT(CUSTOMER)=
$$5.788 + 325 + 325 \times (\lceil \log_{100} \lceil 325/101 \rceil \rceil + 1) = 5.788 + 325 \times 3 = 6.763$$

Cost SORT-MERGE JOIN =
$$(112.519 + 6.763) + (325 + 11.252) = 130.859$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{C-O}} = \lceil 1.500.000 \times 1/26 \times 1/5 \rceil = 11.539 \\ &\mathsf{NP}_{\mathsf{C-O}} = \lceil 11.539 \times (106 + 159) / (4096 \times 0,69) \rceil = 1.082 \\ &\mathsf{GB}_{\mathsf{O}\ \mathsf{CLERK}} = 2 \times 1.082 \times (\lceil \log_{100} \lceil 1.082 / 101 \rceil \rceil + 1) = 1.082 \times 4 = \textbf{4.328} \end{aligned}$$

Total cost = **130.859** + **4.328** = **135.187**

