

Cache Project Report

1. Code description:

For our cache code structure, we have three python classes for L1, L2, and DRAM. The `cache_sim.py` contains code for our cache simulator that loops through each trace file and prints out the total time, energy consumption, and L1/L2 access and miss counts for associativities of 2, 4, and 8, and energy consumption of L1 instruction cache, L1 data cache, L2, and DRAM

Our L1 cache is direct mapped per the assignment instructions with two cache data structures: one for the instruction cache and one for the data cache. Initially we tried to implement a write-back policy from L1 to L2 cache but after discussion with the TAs during office hours we decided to do write-through from L1 to L2. We have a cache line object that we stored in each cache data structure that contains the tag of the memory address put into the cache. Upon changing our write policy to write-through, a dirty field was not needed. We also have a method to return the energy used by active L1 reads and writes. For the L1 active energy we multiplied the total L1 access time of 0.5 ns by the sum of 1W active power used by L1, the 0.8W of idle power used by L2, and the 0.8W of idle power used by DRAM. We converted the nanoseconds to seconds and multiplied this by the power sum to return the energy consumed in joules.

Our L2 cache includes a set associative cache. For our write policy from L2 to DRAM we implemented write-back after attending TA office hours and recognizing that write-back would be a more energy efficient design by not having to access DRAM as often. With this design our L2 cache is similar to L1 with changes made for a singular cache data structure given the combined cache and including set associativity which was set in the constructor. We implemented a random replacement policy for the sets in each block of the cache and returned a boolean to identify if the cache line being replaced was dirty and needed to be written to DRAM before being replaced. We also included a method to return the energy consumption for active L2 reads and writes with the same method as mentioned in L1. The calculation: $(5\text{ns (converted to seconds)} * (2\text{W active L2} + 0.5\text{ idle L1 and } 0.8\text{ idle DRAM}))$ plus the 5 pJ of data transfer energy (converted to joules).

For our DRAM class, since the data was not necessary to keep track of to implement our cache simulator, this class calculates and returns the energy consumption for active DRAM. Which was calculated as: $(50\text{ ns (converted to seconds)} * (4\text{W active dram} + 0.5\text{W idle L1} + 0.8\text{W idle L2})) + 640\text{ pJ (converted to joules)}$

For energy calculations, each time a cache or dram is accessed, the active energy for that part is added to that object's total energy consumption as well as the idle powers of the other components. For L1, this energy consumption is also split by instruction or data caches. Each class has a method called `read_write_energy()` that computes this active energy. For cache misses this same active energy is added to the overall component energy consumption by again calling `read_write_energy()` and adding that to an energy summation instance variable that each class contains. The access methods in each class simulate a memory access and returns whether or not it was a hit or miss. DRAM only adds to its energy consumption and returns nothing.

For our write policy, when writing to L1, we include the immediate write to L2 and that energy cost, so for writes to L1, L1 active energy and L2 active energy are calculated. When implementing write back from L2 to DRAM, the L2 access method returns a boolean that indicates whether or not writing to DRAM is needed if a dirty cache line is being replaced. If true, the cost of accessing DRAM is also included in the energy cost.

Code source: Our code was mainly written from scratch with the use of ChatGPT to help with some aspects such as the extract addresses method and basic foundation code.

2. Output tables for each file:

048.ora.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	827748.99998 29654	0.0024362 131350079 484				Accesses: 59529 Misses: 542 Energy Consumed: 0.0009914718 54999346 J
4	827748.99998 29654	0.0024362 131350079 484	Accesses: 799771 Misses: 354 Energy Consumed: 0.00104016250000 66724 J	Accesses: 200231 Misses: 232 Energy Consumed: 0.0002606019 0000089977 J	Accesses: 542 Energy Consumed: 000143976879 99999988 J	Accesses: 59529 Misses: 542 Energy Consumed: 0.0009914718 54999346 J
8	827748.99998 29654	0.0024362 131350079 484				Accesses: 59529 Misses: 542 Energy Consumed: 0.0009914718 54999346 J

015.doduc.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1135617.49997 05723	0.0036585 492700067 306				Accesses: 97690 Misses: 2600 Energy Consumed: 0.0016552864 499980897 J
4	1134507.49997 0601	0.0036527 727700067 314	Accesses: 755193 Misses: 4219 Energy Consumed: 0.00098723560000 62805 J	Accesses: 244807 Misses: 2816 Energy Consumed: 0.0003219099 0000135377 J	Accesses: 2592 Energy Consumed: 0.0006848199 199999773 J	Accesses: 97690 Misses: 2588 Energy Consumed: 0.0016550883 899980901 J
8	1133757.49997 06023	0.0036488 887600067 32				Accesses: 97690 Misses: 2578 Energy Consumed: 0.0016549233 399980904 J

022.li.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1118795.99997 13209	0.0034820 502800064 76				Accesses: 107568 Misses: 1360 Energy Consumed: 0.0017978566 3999782 J
4	1114045.99997 13833	0.0034572 168400064 784	Accesses: 742254 Misses: 2382 Energy Consumed: 0.00096802680000 61384 J	Accesses: 257749 Misses: 4827 Energy Consumed: 0.0003413488 000014977	Accesses: 1320 Energy Consumed: 0.0003506447 999999912 J	Accesses: 107568 Misses: 1320 Energy Consumed: 0.0017971964 399978212 J
8	1114045.99997 1383	0.0034572 168400064 784				Accesses: 107568 Misses: 1320 Energy Consumed: 0.0017971964 399978212 J

026.compress.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1699055.9999 561522	0.0060129 846600048 17				Accesses: 59529 Misses: 542 Energy Consumed: 0.0009914718 54999346 J
4	1697935.9999 562602	0.0060067 727900048 11	Accesses: 721216 Misses: 123 Energy Consumed: 0.00093774070000 59141 J	Accesses: 278784 Misses: 12869 Energy Consumed: 0.0003791489 0000177764 J	Accesses: 7598 Energy Consumed: 0.0020183327 19999975 J	Accesses: 156922 Misses: 6176 Energy Consumed: 0.0026919324 89996128 J
8	1701885.9999 56254	0.0060271 548100048 24				Accesses: Misses: Energy Consumed:

085.gcc.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1158042.49997 22277	0.0037644 691500068 4				Accesses: 97319 Misses: 2779 Energy Consumed: 0.00165211748 99980957 J
4	1137992.49997 25162	0.0036606 634400068 528	Accesses: 779515 Misses: 12708 Energy Consumed: 0.00102988990000 65964 J	Accesses: 220485 Misses: 5497 Energy Consumed: 0.0002937766 0000114543 J	Accesses: 2595 Energy Consumed: 0.0006893357 999999771 J	Accesses: 97319 Misses: 2509 Energy Consumed: 0.00164766113 99981042 J
8	1136342.49997 2611	0.0036520 985000068 54				Accesses: 97319 Misses: 2489 Energy Consumed: 0.0016473310 399981048 J

094.fpppp.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1180356.49997 3737	0.0037919 204650064 932				Accesses: 107515 Misses: 2322 Energy Consumed: 0.0018128596 849977916 J
4	1165776.49997 38736	0.0037156 266850065	Accesses: 700500 Misses: 7768 Energy Consumed: 0.00092074840000 57882 J	Accesses: 299500 Misses: 5375 Energy Consumed: 0.0003963375 000019049 J	Accesses: 2212 Energy Consumed: 0.0005875956 799999813 J	Accesses: 107515 Misses: 2206 Energy Consumed: 0.0018109451 049977952 J
8	1165421.49997 38734	0.0037137 507000064 994				Accesses: 107515 Misses: 2205 Energy Consumed: 0.0018109285 999977952 J

008.espresso.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	857511.4999814987	0.002560173010007868				Accesses: 62380 Misses: 802 Energy Consumed: 0.0010428189099992487 J
4	857141.4999815222	0.0025582475100078684	Accesses: 809368 Misses: 1701 Energy Consumed: 0.0010543897000067778 J	Accesses: 190632 Misses: 1002 Energy Consumed: 0.0002491242000008148 J	Accesses: 798 Energy Consumed: 0.00021198071999999703 J	Accesses: 62380 Misses: 798 Energy Consumed: 0.0010427528899992489 J
8	857141.4999815222	0.0025582475100078684				Accesses: 62380 Misses: 798 Energy consumption 0.0010427528899992489 J

013.spice2g6.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	937445.99997 83384	0.0028409 926850074 298				Accesses: 76413 Misses: 976 Energy Consumed: 0.0012773054 44998805 J
4	937445.99997 83384	0.0028409 926850074 298	Accesses: 784179 Misses: 2607 Energy Consumed: 0.00102282180000 6544 J	Accesses: 215823 Misses: 793 Energy Consumed: 0.0002816008 0000105527 J	Accesses: 976 Energy Consumed: 0.0002592646 3999999505 J	Accesses: 76413 Misses: 976 Energy Consumed: 0.0012773054 44998805 J
8	937445.99997 83384	0.0028409 926850074 298				Accesses: 76413 Misses: 976 Energy Consumed: 0.0012773054 44998805 J

047.tomcatv.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1869179.4999 641108	0.0071437 005850066 48				Accesses: 132102 Misses: 8703 Energy Consumed: 0.0023239865 249968243 J
4	1864169.4999 646787	0.0071177 071150066 31	Accesses: 615544 Misses: 88 Energy Consumed: 0.00080032160000 48965 J	Accesses: 384456 Misses: 10321 Energy Consumed: 0.0005132101 000027703 J	Accesses: 13105 Energy Consumed: 0.0034812122 000011077 J	Accesses: 132102 Misses: 8641 Energy Consumed: 0.0023229632 149968263 J
8	1862569.4999 647953	0.0071094 078150066 254				Accesses: 132102 Misses: 8621 Energy Consumed: 0.00232263311 4996827 J

089.su2cor.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1258207.9999 70272	0.0041096 843700060 57				Accesses: 117717 Misses: 2933 Energy Consumed: 0.0019913282 49997454 J
4	1252672.9999 70363	0.0040804 490250060 59	Accesses: 737181 Misses: 481 Energy Consumed: 0.00095896060000 60712 J	Accesses: 262819 Misses: 3135 Energy Consumed: 0.0003457402 0000153024 J	Accesses: 2954 Energy Consumed: 0.0007847005 599999731 J	Accesses: 117717 Misses: 2916 Energy Consumed: 0.0019910476 649974544 J
8	1251022.9999 70386	0.0040716 829050060 6				Accesses: 117717 Misses: 2916 Energy Consumed: 0.0019910476 649974544 J

090.hydro2d.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 i	L1 d	DRAM	L2
2	1401610.9999 61306	0.0050787 573700069 18				Accesses: 92311 Misses: 6499 Energy Consumed: 0.0016308590 49998136 J
4	1374695.9999 614046	0.0049381 071050068 2	Accesses: 748688 Misses: 887 Energy Consumed: 0.00097444750000 61859 J	Accesses: 251312 Misses: 11935 Energy Consumed: 0.00034222110 00015042 J	Accesses: 7508 Energy Consumed: 0.00199442511 99999563 J	Accesses: 92311 Misses: 6266 Energy Consumed: 0.0016270133 849981432 J
8	1375150.9999 614013	0.0049405 143700068 21				Accesses: 92311 Misses: 6267 Energy Consumed: 0.0016270298 899981432 J

093.nasa7.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	1079423.9999 75282	0.0035404 379550072 33				Accesses: 79880 Misses: 2971 Energy Consumed: 0.0013674557 549986344 J
4	1069323.9999 755477	0.0034867 786750072 353	Accesses: 802971 Misses: 463 Energy Consumed: 0.00104446420000 67043 J	Accesses: 197029 Misses: 2875 Energy Consumed: 0.0002598752 000008944 J	Accesses: 3068 Energy Consumed: 0.0008149835 199999718 J	Accesses: 79880 Misses: 2971 Energy Consumed: 0.0013674557 549986344 J
8	1064573.9999 755525	0.0034615 428750072 36				Accesses: 79880 Misses: 2971 Energy Consumed: 0.0013674557 549986344 J

039.wave5.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	786016.99998 4462	0.0023185 881700082 72				Accesses: 48947 Misses: 743 Energy Consumed: 0.0008201334 4999967 J
4	786016.99998 4462	0.0023185 881700082 72	Accesses: 827600 Misses: 465 Energy Consumed: 0.00107648450000 69414 J	Accesses: 172400 Misses: 369 Energy Consumed: 0.0002245997 000006332 J	Accesses: 743 Energy Consumed: 0.0001973705 1999999764 J	Accesses: 48947 Misses: 743 Energy Consumed: 0.0008201334 4999967 J
8	786016.99998 4462	0.0023185 881700082 72				Accesses: 48947 Misses: 743 Energy Consumed: 0.0008201334 4999967 J

023.eqntott.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	989571.49997 62397	0.0030757 198850072 76				Accesses: 80142 Misses: 1595 Energy Consumed: 0.0013490691 849986692 J
4	989571.49997 62397	0.0030757 198850072 76	Accesses: 769759 Misses: 217 Energy Consumed: 0.00100096880000 63822 J	Accesses: 230241 Misses: 2056 Energy Consumed: 0.0003019861 0000120623 J	Accesses: 1595 Energy Consumed: 0.0004236957 9999998816 J	Accesses: 80142 Misses: 1595 Energy Consumed: 0.0013490691 849986692 J
8	989571.49997 62397	0.0030757 198850072 76				Accesses: 80142 Misses: 1595 Energy Consumed: 0.0013490691 849986692 J

034.mdljdp2.din

Associativity of L2	Total Time (ns)	Total Energy (J)	L1 I	L1 D	DRAM	L2
2	906183.49998 00741	0.0027837 592050077 51				Accesses: 65259 Misses: 1422 Energy Consumed: 0.00110056990 49991395 J
4	905728.49998 00739	0.0027813 519400077 505	Accesses: 768543 Misses: 1120 Energy Consumed: 0.00100056190000 63792 J	Accesses: 231457 Misses: 1437 Energy Consumed: 0.0003027622 00001212 J	Accesses: 1421 Energy Consumed: 0.0003774744 399999901 J	Accesses: 65259 Misses: 1421 Energy Consumed: 0.00110055339 99991395 J
8	905728.49998 00739	0.0027813 519400077 505				Accesses: 65259 Misses: 1421 Energy Consumed: 0.00110055339 99991395 J

3. Associativity Analysis:

For most trace files, as we increased the associativity, the L2 miss rate went down slightly or stayed about the same. The decrease in L2 misses we believe can be attributed to there being more cache lines that can be stored in each set with higher associativities, and more data being stored in the cache means lower miss rates. Some traces had different behavior, the 026.compress traces had L2 miss counts increase as we increased associativity. Due to the compress trace file being quite large, it was difficult to fully analyze why this was the case, but an inference we made was that there could have been more writes than reads and more data needing to be cached that outweighed the benefits of a larger associativity and the random replacement policy choosing cache lines to be replaced that would be access later, causing more misses.