## Computer Vision 1

March 26th, 2018, 09.00-12.00

### Question 1: Reflection Models

The simplified Lambertian reflection model, using narrow-band filters, to define the R, G and B pixel values, is given by:

$$R = \cos\theta \ e \ \rho_R, \ G = \cos\theta \ e \ \rho_G, \ B = \cos\theta \ e \ \rho_B \tag{1}$$

where the intensity of a white light source is given by e.  $\rho_R$ ,  $\rho_G$  and  $\rho_B$  are the amount of red, green and blue reflected by the surface (i.e. surface albedo).  $\cos \theta = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{l}$  is the dot product of the two unit vectors  $\vec{n}$  (i.e. surface normal) and  $\vec{l}$  (i.e. direction of the light source).

• (a) What type of surfaces, matte and/or glossy, can be described by this only matte surfaces, there is no Lambertian reflection model (eq. 1)? Why? (1 pts)

specular component to describe glossyness

• (b) Does the viewpoint influence the amount of the reflected light? (1 pts)

No

• (c) When is the maximum intensity of the reflected light obtained? (1 pts)

when the light source is perpendicular to the surface normal, yielding the highest dot produc

• (d) What determines the "shading" component in terms of intrinsic image decomposition? (1 pts)

• (e) Under which assumption(s), the light source direction can be calculated? (1 pts)

if we know the pixel values for a given color, the surface reflectance, the surface normal and the light intensity

• (f) Consider a colored light source  $\hat{e}$ . Calculate the coefficients a,b, and c of

the (diagonal) transformation matrix 
$$\begin{bmatrix} R^e \\ G^e \\ B^e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R^{\hat{e}} \\ G^{\hat{e}} \\ B^{\hat{e}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a} = \mathsf{R}^\mathsf{A}\mathsf{e} \, / \, \mathsf{R}^\mathsf{A}\mathsf{e}^\mathsf{A} \\ \mathbf{b} = \mathsf{G}^\mathsf{A}\mathsf{e} \, / \, \mathsf{G}^\mathsf{A}\mathsf{e}^\mathsf{A} \\ \mathbf{c} = \mathsf{B}^\mathsf{A}\mathsf{e} \, / \, \mathsf{B}^\mathsf{A}\mathsf{e}^\mathsf{A} \end{array}$$

to convert pixel values  $R^{\hat{e}}, G^{\hat{e}}, B^{\hat{e}}$  recorded under light source  $\hat{e}$  to  $R^{e}, G^{e}, B^{e}$ values obtained under a canonical (white) light source e. (1 pts)

The simplified dichromatic reflection model, to define the R, G and B pixel values, is given by:

$$R = \cos\theta \ e \ \rho_R + e \ (\cos\phi)^s, \ G = \cos\theta \ e \ \rho_G + e \ (\cos\phi)^s, \ B = \cos\theta \ e \ \rho_B + e \ (\cos\phi)^s$$
(2)

where  $\cos \phi = \vec{r} \cdot \vec{v}$  depends on  $\phi$  which is the angle between the reflected light  $\vec{r}$  and the viewer  $\vec{v}$ . Further, s is called the specular exponent.

- (g) Explain the mechanism of this new term  $(\cos \phi)^s$ . How is it used to model the glossy appearance of an object? (2 pts)

  see sample exam 2019
- (h) What is approximately the shape of  $(\cos \phi)^s$  for different values of s and what is the effect on the size of the specular highlights? (2 pts)
- (i) Show that the color of the highlights is dependent on the color of the light source. (1 pts)

#### Question 2: Photometric Invariants

Color invariants can be important to recognize objects under varying imaging conditions.

- (a) Considering the dichromatic reflection model (eq. 2), prove that  $\frac{2R-B-G}{B-G}$  (at a pixel) is independent of the (intensity) light source, object geometry and the direction of the light source. (2 pts)
- (b) A simple color model is given by  $R_{x_1}G_{x_2}/R_{x_2}G_{x_1}$ , where  $R_{x_1}$ ,  $R_{x_2}$ ,  $G_{x_1}$ ,  $G_{x_2}$  are the measured red and green quantities at neighboring positions  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Considering the Lambertian reflection model (eq. 1), prove that  $R_{x_1}G_{x_2}/R_{x_2}G_{x_1}$  is independent of the object geometry of a homogeneously colored object. (2 pts)
- (c) Would you choose  $R_{x_1}G_{x_2}/R_{x_2}G_{x_1}$  or  $\frac{2R-B-G}{B-G}$  to recognize the same object under (only) a change in illumination color? Why? (1 pts)

Color invariants become unstable for certain imaging conditions. One way to handle instabilities is by error propagation:

$$\sigma_q = \sqrt{(\frac{\partial_q}{\partial_u}\sigma_u)^2 + \dots + (\frac{\partial_q}{\partial_w}\sigma_w)^2}$$
 (3)

to compute a function q(u,...,w) for variables u,...,w and their corresponding uncertainties  $\sigma_u,...,\sigma_w$ . Consider a pixel O having the following values R=20, G=40, B=60 with  $\sigma=4$ .

- (d) Calculate the uncertainty for color model 2R+4B at O. Is color model 2R+4B stable? (1 pts)
- (e) Calculate the uncertainty for color model  $\frac{R+B}{2G}$ . Does this color model become unstable when the intensity is decreasing? Explain. (3 pts)

#### Question 3: Filters and Image Features

Edges and corners are important features from which image descriptors can be extracted.

• (a) What is the result of the convolution  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ 

for the element at coordinates (2,2) (that is, the central element) of the resulting image? (1 pts)

we need to mirror our convolution to

we need to mirror our convolution filter in its centre before applying it (so mirror in x and y direction) 1 2 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 becomes

> 654 321

result = 9a + 8b + 7c + 6d + 5e + 4f + 3g + 2h + 1i

- (b) Given is the following filter  $g = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \underline{5} & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . What is the effect when an image is convolved with g? (1 pts) sharpening, highlighted edges
- (c) Given is the following filter  $h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . What is the effect when an image is convolved with h? What is the name of this filter? (1 pts) this filter smoothens the image along the x axis. its a gaussian filter

$$\begin{split} h = [1, \, 1, \, 1] * [1, \, 1, \, 1] * [1, \, 1, \, 1] \\ = [1, \, 2, \, 3, \, 2, \, 1] * [1, \, 1, \, 1] \\ = [1, \, 3, \, 6, \, 7, \, 6, \, 3, \, 1] \end{split}$$

e): useful for gentle sharpening?

(i): if lambda1 >> lambda 2 or

the area is homogeneous if lambda1 = lambda 2 = large

indicates a corner

- (d) Show that the filter h is separable into three identical box filters. (2 pts)
- (e) Consider the following filter  $i = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Under which circumstances is this a useful operation? (1 pts)
- (f) What is the result of convolving i with h i.e. i \* h? Which filter, i or i \* h, do you prefer in case of noisy images? Why? (2 pts)

  [-1,-1,-1,2,2,2,-1,-1,-1]

Consider the following image patches:

h is preferable for noisy images \*assuming\* we want to denoise the image (since h blurs out the noise whereas i sharpens it)

Intensity values of two small image patches P and Q.

- (g) Compute the derivatives  $f_x$ ,  $f_y$  and the gradient magnitude of image patches P and Q using a simple derivative filter  $h_x = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \underline{1} \end{pmatrix}$  in the x-direction and  $h_y = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{1} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$  in the y-direction. All elements exceeding the image patches are mirrored. The elements outside the derivative filters are all zero. (2 pts)
- (h) Compute the autocorrelation matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \sum f_x^2 & \sum f_x f_y \\ \sum f_x f_y & \sum f_y^2 \end{pmatrix}$  for image patches P and Q. (1 pts)
- vice versa, we likely have an edge. If lambda1 = lambda 2 = small,  $\bullet$  (i) Compute the eigenvalues of M for image patch Q. How can these eigenvalues be used to determine a corner? (3 pts)
  - (j) Compute the eigenvectors of M for image patch Q. What do these (j) the eigenvectors will be eigenvectors mean? (3 pts) parallel to the image gradients

0

 $0 \mid 0$ 

2 2

# Question 4: Object Classification, Detection and Performance

Deep learning and ConvNets are very useful for object recognition and detection. Consider the following four (simple) image patches of the letters I, L, O and X:

Intensity values of four image patches of the letters I, L, O and X.

• (a) After training a single layer neural network (multi-class), the following

weight matrix 
$$\vec{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.9 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is

obtained (i.e. final weight parameters). Bias  $\vec{b}$  is not considered. Logit z for each class j is given by  $z_j = \vec{M} \cdot \vec{x}$ , where input  $\vec{x}$  is an image (e.g. I, L, O and X) expressed in vector form (i.e. all pixel values are ordered from top-left to bottom right). Compute output  $y_i$  using a softmax layer i.e.  $y_i = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}}$  for images I and X. What can you conclude about the prediction? (3 pts)

 (b) To tackle the image classification problem using fully connected neural networks is a daunting challenge. Why? (1 pts)
 b) Lots more parameters for fc networks!

ConvNets have neurons arranged in three dimensions. So layers have a width, height and depth. Neurons are connected to a small, local region of the preceding layer.

- (c) Consider a 32x32x5 image and 10 filters with an extent of 5 (5x5 kernel). What is the depth of the 10 filters? If you use no padding and stride s=1, what will be the output size of the activation map? (2 pts)
- (d) What are the different layers in a standard CNN? What are the different layers in AlexNet? (1 pts)
- (e) What is max pooling and when is it useful? (1 pts)

e) max-pool: provides high-level abstraction from the data Object detection is used to determine the location of objects in images.

- (f) What are the basic components of the pipeline used in window-based object detection? (2 pts)
- g) advantage: simple to implement

   (g) What are the advantages and disadvantages of a sliding-window ap-disadvantage: expensive;

  proach? (1 pts)

  lots of redundant computation

Consider two recognition systems:  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . The number of relevant images for search query  $Q_1$  is 4 and is composed of the following set (A, B, C, D). The order of the 10 highest ranked images of systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  for query  $Q_1$  is:

computationally efficient

as it downsamples,

meaning less parameters

in subsequent layers

• (h) Generate the precision-recall graph for the systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  for  $Q_1$ . What can you conclude from the precision-recall graphs? (2 pts)

28 x 28 x 10