

Assignment 1

Part 1:

Results:

```
· Loading model ./checkpoints/exp1/best.ckpt

100%|██████████| 16/16 [00:09<00:00, 1.61it/s]

> <Figure size 800x800 with 20 Axes>

Character Accuracy: 99.93
Word Accuracy: 1.00
```

Part 2:

The data has been split into test and train (80/20 ratio) and saved in the plates_1 folder.

Part 3:

The best-performing model was achieved by setting the number of epochs to 64 and the number of batches to 16. The total character accuracy is 71,73%. There were other attempts to experiment with the parameters and the results of those experiments are mentioned in the notebook as well.

Part 4:

The original code had word accuracy results, which in the case of using license plates as images, did not carry any significance as license plates normally do not have any words in them, therefore word accuracy has been excluded from the model analysis. It has also been decided to calculate symbol precision and symbol recall instead.

When it comes to the results of the new model, its character accuracy is 71.73%, which indicates that the model is able to recognize individual characters on the license plates. However, the low results in symbol recall indicate that the model might be too “sure” about its precision but is not able to recall the symbols that are present in the ground truth labels.

Low Recall for specific symbols might be an indication that a larger dataset or architectural adjustments are needed. Additionally, there is a chance that the OCR model makes contextual errors based on the scarcity of the data, which results in its poor results. For instance, it might confuse “O” with “0” or “I” with “1”. Lastly, image quality might also be the reason for low results.

Improvement proposals:

1. Transfer learning – it is worth trying to use pre-trained models as a starting point for the OCR model.
2. Fine-Tuning - trying different modifications such as changing learning rate, batch_size, epochs, etc. could also facilitate the model’s results.
3. Data Augmentation – by applying transformations to the images in the dataset (rotations, translation, brightness, sharpness, etc.), it could be also possible to score higher results.
4. Bigger Datasets – the dataset that has been used for this assignment has only 133 pictures for training and 34 pictures for testing, which might not be enough for the model to learn and perform adequately.

5. Ensemble Models – it is also worth using predictions from several OCR models with different architectures to get a better accuracy score.
6. Weight Decay - this feature could be used to reduce overfitting of the model.

Part 5:

It has been decided to update the CRNN architecture to make it more flexible so that it can be used for more tasks and various images.

1. The “leakyRelu” parameter has been added which allows the user to edit the images of the dataset more. Since the pictures of the license plates are taken outdoors, the lighting conditions of the images may vary, thus “leakyRelu” has been implemented.
2. Convolutional Layers: License plates vary from country to country and sometimes even within one country, therefore it has been decided to make kernel size, padding, stride, and number of feature maps adjustable so that there are more chances of hitting the right model architecture.
3. Recurrent Layers: License plates may have various symbols and numbers and by adjusting the number of recurrent layers, it becomes feasible to hit the right number so that the model is able to process pictures more accurately.
4. Pooling Layers: the ability to adjust the number of the pooling layers may result in the system being better and dealing with more complex images of license plates (for instance, when an image covers more than just a license plate).

All of these adjustments have been tested and the one that performed the best has been saved as the top model.