CS 218, Spring 2017 Abhishek Kumar SRIVASTAVA

Student ID: 861307778

I certify that this submission represents my own original work

Solution 1. Part a: When input elements are divided in group by 7.

Using the same example as given in the class we can observe that for the median of medians at least n/7 groups contribute four elements that are bigger than median, except for the last group with less than 7 elements and the group with median itself.

From the above observation we conclude that there are at least this amount of elements are greater than the *median*:

$$4([1/2[n/7]] - 2) \ge (2n/7) - 8$$

So the worst case split can has 5n/7 + 8.

$$\max\{|L|, |R|\} < (5n/7) + 8$$

Therefore recurrence relation is:

$$T(n) = T([n/7]) + T(5n/7 + 8) + O(n)$$

$$\leq c((n/7) + 1) + 5cn/7 + 8c + O(n)$$

$$= cn - [c((n/7) - 9) - dn]$$

$$\leq cn$$

The last step hold since $n \ge 70 \implies n/7 - 9$ is positive. Choosing c big enough will result c((n/7) - 9) - dn positive and last line holds. Therefore,

$$T(n) = O(n)$$

Part b: Using group of 3 we can see that at least n/3 groups contribute two elements that are bigger than median. Therefore,

$$2([1/2[n/3]] - 2) \ge (n/3) - 4$$

So the worst case split can has 2n/3 + 4 and it will result into following recurrence relation.

$$T(n) = T([n/3]) + T(2n/3 + 4) + O(n)$$

$$\leq c((n/3) + 1) + 2cn/3 + 4c + O(n)$$

$$= cn - [-5c - dn]$$

$$\geq cn$$

Last line is true because for $n \ge 0$ and -5c - dn is negative. Therefore,

$$T(n) \neq O(n)$$

Solution 2. Given: For n distinct integer $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n$ with positive weights w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n such that $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, the weighted median is the element x_k satisfying

$$\sum_{i:x_i < x_k} w_i < 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i:x_i > x_k} w_i \le 1/2$$

To find the weighted median first find the median of $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n$ by using linear selection algorithm and picking the element of rank n/2 *i.e* median. Maintain two arrays named left and right subarray. Traverse the whole array comparing each element with median if it is small then insert in the left subarray and add the corresponding weight otherwise it is inserted in the right subarray. After whole array traversal we check that if the left subarray sum is greater or less than 1/2. If is greater we do recursive operation on the left subarray since weighted median lies in the left subarray, otherwise we will do recursive operation on the right subarray to find weighted median.

The recurrence relation for the above described algorithm is:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(n)$$

T(n/2) because we will only traverse either left or right subarray. O(n) because we are traversing whole array for comparing all the elements with the median found and we are finding median of the array using linear selection. By using master theorem case:3 we can get the asymptotic time as T(n) = O(n).

```
function WeightedMedian(array, sum)
   len = length(array)
   if len == 1 then
                                                                            ▶ Base Condition
      return array[0]
   end if
   median = Linear\_Selection(array,len/2)
                                                                              \triangleright \operatorname{rank} = \operatorname{len}/2
   leftSubArray;
   rightSubArray;
   leftSum = 0;
   for i \leftarrow 0 to length - 1 do
      if array[i] < median then
                                                              ▷ insert element in array given
          insert(leftSubArray,array[i]);
          leftSum += weight[i];

    ▶ adding corresponding weight

          insert(rightSubArray,array[i]);
                                                              end if
   end for
   if sum + leftSum > 1/2 then
       WeightedMedian(leftSubArray, sum);
   else
       WeightedMedian(rightSubArray,leftSum)
   end if
end function
```

Solution 3. Given: t and p strings over an alphabet Σ of size σ . We have to find For each $\gamma \in \Sigma$, calculate $C_{\gamma}(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} equal_{\gamma}(a_{i+k}, b_k)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$, where $equal_{\gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ is 1 if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$, zero otherwise.

Doing this in a naive way will give us $O(n^2)$. Using FFT we can get O(nlogn) but for using convolution we have to first transform our input string into something different for both input array and pattern array.

For a $\gamma \in \Sigma$ we will transform the string array t and p into t_{γ} and p_{γ} . Transformation will be done by traversing t and p and setting 1 if t[i] and p[i] matches with γ otherwise 0. It will be transform into the array of 0's and 1's. And just like polynomial multiplication we can apply FFT on t_{γ} and p_{γ} which will give back C_{γ} . If the length of t and p is different we can add 0 in the end to do padding and making them of equal length and ignoring the coefficients of the padded elements. The length of the convolution will be len(t) + len(p) - 1. I am treating FFT as blackbox for which i will give input and i will get the corresponding result.

Given length of array is n and σ we will get the following complexity for the above described algorithm: For a single character,

$$T(n) = O(n) + O(nlogn)$$

O(n) because we are transforming the string array by traversing it and setting 1's and 0's. $O(n\log n)$ because we are using convolution to computer C_{γ} . For σ number of characters.

$$T(n) = \sigma \cdot (O(n) + O(n\log n))$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(\sigma n\log n)$$
(1)

```
function Compute_C_gamma(t, p, \gamma)
   t_{\text{-gamma}} = \text{Transform}(t, \gamma)
   p_{\text{gamma}} = \text{Transform}(p, \gamma)
   C_{gamma} = Convolution(t_{gamma,p_{gamma}})
   return C_gamma
end function
function Transform(array, \gamma)
   temp\_array(0 ... length(array) - 1)
   for i \leftarrow 0 to length(array) - 1 do
       if array[i] == \gamma then
           temp\_array[i] = 1
       else
           temp_array[i] = 0
       end if
   end for
   return temp_array
end function
```