

Gulliver Unbound?

Andrew S. Rosenberg*

January 11, 2017

Abstract

What a AMAZING abstract!

*Ohio State University

Introduction

I'm going to show the world how WRONG Fearon and Laitin are! Science!

Replication Study

In this section, I will show that I can replicate the findings.

Markdown/knitr is *super* nice because it allows you to ensure that the entire paper compiles properly—the results are the results. For example, let's say I really must share with the reader that having oil leads to a 0.886 increase in the log odds of going to war. This feature is pretty slick because if you need to change the analysis for any reason, the text *automatically* updates! From the perspective of the consumer, this feature is nice because you know that the results in the paper were generated by the analysis cited. It's open science!

	Model 1
(Intercept)	−6.666*** (0.739)
warl	−0.924** (0.314)
gdpenl	−0.347*** (0.072)
lpopl	0.257*** (0.073)
lmtnest	0.221** (0.085)
ncontig	0.392 (0.277)
Oil	0.886** (0.279)
nwstate	1.717*** (0.339)
instab	0.625** (0.236)
polity2l	0.024 (0.017)
ethfrac	0.144 (0.375)
relfrac	0.285 (0.511)
AIC	978.436
Log Likelihood	−477.218
Num. obs.	6326

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

Table 1: Replication of Model 1 of Fearon and Laitin (2003).