# **Bayesian Ordinal Regression**

April 28, 2022

#### 1 Introduction

Ordinal regression is a type of regression analysis used for predicting an ordinal variable, i.e., a variable whose values exists on an arbitrary scale where only the relative ordering between different values is significant. In [1], Gaussian Process model was presented for the ordinal regression models. The following sections describes this model.

## 2 Latent variable model for ordinal regression

Assume we have a training set  $\mathcal{D}$  of n (IID) observations,  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i) | i = 1, ..., n\}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  denotes an input vector (covariates) of dimension  $\mathcal{D}$  and y denotes an ordinal response variable on a scale 0, ..., K. Let Z be a latent variable that underlies the generation of the ordinal responses

$$Z = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \epsilon \tag{1}$$

where f(x) is a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function  $\mathcal{K}(x, x')$  and  $\epsilon$  is zero mean Gaussian noise with variance  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ . I.e.,

$$p(Z|f(\boldsymbol{x}), \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) = \mathcal{N}(f(\boldsymbol{x}), \sigma_{\epsilon}^2).$$
 (2)

The response variable y results from an "incomplete measurements" of Z,

$$y = \begin{cases} 1, & Z \le \eta_1, \\ 2, & \eta_1 \le Z \le \eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ K & \eta_{K-1} \le Z. \end{cases}$$
 (3)

Defining  $\eta_0 = -\infty$  and  $\eta_K = \infty$ , the above can be summarized as y = k if (and only if)  $\eta_{k-1} \leq Z \leq \eta_k$ .

Denote with  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  the parameters vector including the thresholds,  $\{\eta_1, ..., \eta_{K-1}\}$ , the noise level  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  and the covariance function parameters. The probability that y equals k

$$P_r(y = k | f(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) = P_r(\eta_{k-1} \le Z \le \eta_k | f(\boldsymbol{x}), \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) =$$

$$= \int_{\eta_{k-1}}^{\eta_k} p(z | f(\boldsymbol{x}), \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) dz = \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_k - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{k-1} - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)$$
(4)

where  $\Phi$  is the cumulative distribution function of the Gaussian distribution. For k=1 and k=K we obtain,

$$P_r(y = 0 | f(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_k - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)$$
$$P_r(y = K | f(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{k-1} - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)$$

The conditional distribution of y is now given by

$$\begin{split} p(y|f(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \prod_{i=1}^K P_r(y = k|f(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\theta})^{[y=k]} = \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^K \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_k - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_\epsilon}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{k-1} - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_\epsilon}\right) \right]^{[y=k]} = \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_y - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_\epsilon}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y-1} - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\sigma_\epsilon}\right) \end{split}$$

using the Iverson bracket [y = k]. Then, the likelihood of the ordinal model can now be stated as

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|f(\boldsymbol{x}_i),\boldsymbol{\theta}), \tag{5}$$

where  $\mathbf{f} = [f(\mathbf{x}_1), f(\mathbf{x}_2), ..., f(\mathbf{x}_n)]^T$ .

## 3 Full Bayesian treatment

In the full Bayesian treatment, we must assign priors for both to f and  $\theta$ . The posterior probability can then be written as

$$p(\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathcal{D}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D} | \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(\mathcal{D})} \underset{f, \boldsymbol{\theta}}{=} \underset{iid}{=}$$
$$= \frac{p(\mathcal{D} | \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{f}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(\mathcal{D})}$$
(6)

where  $p(\mathcal{D}) = \int p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{f})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{f}d\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . The posterior predictive distribution is given by

$$p(y_*|\mathbf{x}_*, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(y_*|f(\mathbf{x}_*), \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) d\mathbf{f} d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$
 (7)

Computing the posterior distribution is analytically intractable and most often Monte Carlo methods are used to obtain approximations.

#### 3.1 Prior specification

The prior probability for f is a multivariate Gaussian

$$p(f) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, K) \tag{8}$$

where K is  $n \times n$  covariance matrix whose ij-element equals  $\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j)$ .

To set priors to the thresholds,  $\{\eta_1, ..., \eta_{K-1}\}$  that enforce increasing order and positivity we use the following definition

$$\eta_j = \eta_1 + \sum_{l=2}^{j} \log \Delta_l, \quad j = 2, ..., K - 1.$$
(9)

Now we can assign normal prior over the parameters  $\{\eta_1, \log \Delta_2, ..., \log \Delta_{K-1}\}$ .

## 4 Partial Bayesian treatment

In a full Bayesian treatment the parameters  $\theta$  must be integrated over the  $\theta$ -space. An alternative solution is to find a point estimate for  $\theta$ . This results in a Bayesian framework conditional on the parameters  $\theta$ 

$$p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{f})}{p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}.$$
 (10)

A point estimate for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  can be either computed by maximizing the evidence  $p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$  (ML estimator) or by maximizing the posterior  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D})$  (MAP estimator), where  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . The evidence (which is required for the estimating  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ) is given by a high dimensional integral,

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{f}) d\boldsymbol{f}, \qquad (11)$$

which is analytically intractable. A popular approach is to approximate the posterior,  $p(f|\mathcal{D}, \theta)$  as a Gaussian (e.g. using Laplace approximation), and then the evidence can be calculates using explicit formula. The MLE or MAP estimation of  $\theta$  can then be obtained using gradient-based optimization methods.

#### 4.1 Laplace Approximation

In this section, we develop the Laplace approximation of the posterior  $p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  at the maximum a-posteriori (MAP) estimate. Denotes with  $\Psi(\mathbf{f})$  the (unnormalized) negative log of the posterior

$$\Psi(\mathbf{f}) = -\log p(\mathcal{D}|\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{f}) \tag{12}$$

The Laplace approximation refers to using the Taylor expansion of  $\Psi(\mathbf{f})$  at the MAP point and retaining the terms up to the second order. This is equivalent to approximate the posterior with the following Gaussian distribution

$$p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, H^{-1})$$
(13)

where  $\hat{f}$  is the MAP estimate of the posterior and H is the Hessian matrix of  $\Psi(f)$  evaluated at  $\hat{f}$ 

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{f}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{f}} \Psi(\boldsymbol{f}) \tag{14}$$

$$H = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(\mathbf{f})}{\partial \mathbf{f} \partial \mathbf{f}^T} |_{\mathbf{f} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}}.$$
 (15)

Using Eq. (5),(8) we obtain the following expression for  $\Psi(\mathbf{f})$ 

$$\Psi(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i), \mathbf{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{f}^T K^{-1} \mathbf{f} + \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{1}{2} \log |K|$$
 (16)

where

$$\ell(y_i, f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\log p(y_i | f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= -\log \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y_i} - f(\boldsymbol{x}_i)}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y_i-1} - f(\boldsymbol{x}_i)}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right) \right]$$
(17)

In Appendix A we show that the Hessian matrix is given by

$$H = \Lambda + K^{-1}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is diagonal matrix whose *ii*-elements is  $\frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^2}$  given as in (31). We further show (Appendix A) that H is positive define, hence the optimization problem involve in finding the MAP estimate is convex and have a unique solution. The resulting Gaussian approximation is given by

$$p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, (\Lambda_{\text{MAP}} + K^{-1})^{-1}), \tag{18}$$

where  $\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}$  denotes  $\Lambda$  at the MAP estimate. The Second order Taylor expansion of  $\Psi(\mathbf{f})$  at the MAP estimate is given by

$$\hat{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{f}) = \Psi(\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}) + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{f} - \hat{\boldsymbol{f}})^T (\Lambda_{\text{MAP}} + K^{-1})(\boldsymbol{f} - \hat{\boldsymbol{f}})$$
(19)

Table 1: Algorithm for model adaptation using the MAP approach with Laplace approximation

Initialization	choose a favorite gradient-descent optimization package.
	select the starting point $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ for the optimization package.
Looping	While the optimization package requests evidence/gradient evaluation at $oldsymbol{ heta}$
	(1) Find the MAP estimate by solving the convex optimization problem (14)
	(2) Evaluate the <b>negative</b> log-evidence (20) at the MAP estimate
	(3) Calculate the gradients with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (Appendix B).
	(4) feed the evidence and gradients to the optimization package.
Exit	Return the optimal $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ found by optimization package

#### 4.2 Model Adaptation

To obtain a point estimate for  $\theta$  we must compute the evidence  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$  as defined in (11). Using (12) we obtain

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{f}) d\boldsymbol{f}$$
$$= \int \exp -\Psi(\boldsymbol{f}).$$

Replacing  $\Psi(\mathbf{f})$  with the approximation  $\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{f})$  (19) we obtain,

$$\begin{split} p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) &\approx \int \exp{-\hat{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{f})} = \\ &= \exp\left[-\Psi(\hat{\boldsymbol{f}})\right] \int \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{f} - \hat{\boldsymbol{f}})^T (\Lambda_{\text{MAP}} + K^{-1})(\boldsymbol{f} - \hat{\boldsymbol{f}})\right] d\boldsymbol{f} \\ &= \exp\left[-\Psi(\hat{\boldsymbol{f}})\right] (2\pi)^{n/2} |\Lambda_{\text{MAP}} + K^{-1}|^{-1/2} = \\ &- \end{split}$$

Hence, the log evidence is given by

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}^T K^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}} - \frac{1}{2} \log |K| |\Lambda_{\text{MAP}} + K^{-1}| + C$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}^T K^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}} - \frac{1}{2} \log |I + K\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}| + C$$
(20)

The gradient of (20) with respect to the hyper-parameters  $\theta$  can be derived analytically (Appendix B). The outline of algorithm for model adaptation is described in Table 1.

#### 4.3 Prediction

At the optimal hyper-parameters we inferred, denoted as  $\hat{\theta}$ , let us take a test case  $x_*$  for which the ordinal response variable  $y_*$  is unknown. To predict  $y_*$  we first predict

the latent variable  $f_* \stackrel{\triangle}{=} f(x_*)$ . The posterior predictive distribution  $p(f_*|x_*\mathcal{D}, \hat{\theta})$  can be written as

 $p(f_*|\boldsymbol{x}_*, \mathcal{D}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \int p(f_*|x_*, \boldsymbol{f}) p(\boldsymbol{f}|\mathcal{D}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) d\boldsymbol{f}$ 

where  $p(\mathbf{f}|\mathcal{D}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$  is the posterior approximation (18) and  $p(f_*|x_*\mathbf{f})$  is the prior conditional distribution of  $f_*$  given  $\mathbf{f}$ . Since the posterior and the prior are Gaussian, the posterior predictive distribution is also Gaussian

$$p(f_*|\mathbf{x}_*\mathcal{D},\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2).$$

Furthermore, the mean  $\mu_x$  and the variance  $\sigma_x^2$  satisfies

$$\mu_x = \boldsymbol{k}_*^T K^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_p \tag{21}$$

$$\sigma_x^2 = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{x}_*) - \mathbf{k}_*^T (K^{-1} - K^{-1} \Sigma_p K^{-1}) \mathbf{k}_*$$
 (22)

where  $\mathbf{k}_* = [\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_*), \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_*), ..., \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_*)]$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_p, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_p$  are the mean vector and covariance matrix of the posterior. Using (18) and the matrix inverse lemma we obtain

$$\mu_x = \mathbf{k}_*^T K^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{f}},$$
  
$$\sigma_x^2 = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{x}_*) - \mathbf{k}_*^T (K + \Lambda_{\text{MAP}}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{k}_*.$$

The predictive distribution over ordinal targets  $y_x$  is

$$p(y_x|\mathbf{x}_*, \mathcal{D}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \int p(y_x|\mathbf{x}_*, f_*, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) p(f_*|\mathbf{x}_*, \mathcal{D}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) =$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y_x} - \mu_x}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_x^2}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y_x - 1} - \mu_x}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \sigma_x^2}}\right). \tag{23}$$

## Appendix A - Hessian Matrix

The Hessian matrix of  $\Psi(\mathbf{f})$  (16) is given by

$$H = \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{f} \partial \boldsymbol{f}^{T}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{f} \partial \boldsymbol{f}^{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_{i}, f(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{f} \partial \boldsymbol{f}^{T}} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{f}^{T} K^{-1} \boldsymbol{f}$$

$$= \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{f} \partial \boldsymbol{f}^{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_{i}, f(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) + K^{-1} = \Lambda + K^{-1}$$
(24)

where  $\Lambda$  is the Hessian matrix of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta})$ . The *ij*-element of  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_i) f(\boldsymbol{x}_j)} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_i)^2} & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}.$$
(25)

That is,  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix whose ii element equals  $\frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, f(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_i)^2}$ . For better clarity we use  $f_i = f(\boldsymbol{x}_i)$  hereinafter. Using Eq. (17) we obtain,

$$\Lambda_{ii} = \frac{\partial^{2} \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}^{2}} = 
= -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial f_{i}^{2}} \log \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y_{i}} - f_{i}}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta_{y_{i}-1} - f_{i}}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right) \right], 
= -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial f_{i}^{2}} \log \left[ \Phi\left(z_{1}^{i}\right) - \Phi\left(z_{2}^{i}\right) \right]$$
(26)

where  $z_1^i = \frac{\eta_{y_i} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}$  and  $z_2^i = \frac{\eta_{y_i-1} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}$ . The first derivative of  $\log \left[\Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right)\right]$  with respect to  $f_i$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial f_i} \log \left[ \Phi \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi \left( z_2^i \right) \right] = \frac{\Phi' \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi' \left( z_2^i \right)}{\left[ \Phi \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi \left( z_2^i \right) \right]} 
= -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\left[ \Phi \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi \left( z_2^i \right) \right]}$$
(27)

The second derivative with respect to  $f_i$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial f_{i}^{2}} \log \left[ \Phi \left( z_{1}^{i} \right) - \Phi \left( z_{2}^{i} \right) \right] = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{i}} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1)}{\left[ \Phi \left( z_{1}^{i} \right) - \Phi \left( z_{2}^{i} \right) \right]} \\
= -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{i}} \frac{h(f_{i})}{k(f_{i})} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{h'(f_{i})k(f_{i}) - h(f_{i})k'(f_{i})}{k(f_{i})^{2}} \tag{28}$$

where

$$h(f_{i}) = \mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1)$$

$$h'(f_{i}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{i}} \left[ \mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1) \right]$$

$$= -\mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) z_{1}^{i} \frac{\partial z_{1}^{i}}{\partial f_{i}} + \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1) z_{2}^{i} \frac{\partial z_{2}^{i}}{\partial f_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \left[ z_{1}^{i} \mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) - z_{2}^{i} \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1) \right]$$

$$k(f_{i}) = \Phi\left(z_{1}^{i}\right) - \Phi\left(z_{2}^{i}\right)$$

$$k'(f_{i}) = \mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_{1}^{i}}{\partial f_{i}} - \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_{2}^{i}}{\partial f_{i}}$$

Thus we obtain,

$$h'(f_i)k(f_i) - h(f_i)k'(f_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \left[ z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \right] \left[ \Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right) \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \left[ \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \right]^2$$
(29)

and hence,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial f_i^2} \log \left[ \Phi \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi \left( z_2^i \right) \right] = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2} \left[ \frac{z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi \left( z_2^i \right)} \right] - \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi \left( z_1^i \right) - \Phi \left( z_2^i \right)} \right]^2$$
(30)

Substituting Eq. (30) in Eq. (26) we obtain,

$$\Lambda_{ii} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \left[ \frac{z_{1}^{i} \mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) - z_{2}^{i} \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_{1}^{i}) - \Phi(z_{2}^{i})} \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_{1}^{i}) - \Phi(z_{2}^{i})} \right]^{2}$$
(31)

We further note that the first term in Eq. (31) is positive. The constraint  $\eta_{y_i} > \eta_{y_i-1}$  impose  $z_1^i > z_2^i$  and therefore  $\Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right) > 0$ . Additionally we can show that  $z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) > 0$  (TBD). Hence,  $\Lambda$  is a positive-define matrix and hence H (24) is also positive definite (sum of positive definite matrices).

### Appendix B

Evidence maximization is equivalent to finding the minimizer for the negative log evidence which according to (20) can be written as

$$g(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} -\log p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}^T K^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}} + \frac{1}{2} \log |I + K\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}|.$$
(32)

The hyper-parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  include the threshold parameters  $\{\eta_1, \log \Delta_2, ..., \log \Delta_{K-1}\}$ , the noise variance  $\log \sigma_{\epsilon}$  and the kernel parameters. The loss function  $\ell$  and the covariance matrix K are function of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , but  $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$  and therefore  $\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}$  are also implicitly functions of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , since when  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  changes, the optimum of the posterior  $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$  also changes. Thus

$$\frac{\partial g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_i} = \frac{\partial g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\text{explicit}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \hat{f}_i} \frac{\partial \hat{f}_i}{\partial \theta_j}$$
(33)

by the chain rule. The explicit term is given by

$$\frac{\partial g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_{j}}|_{\text{explicit}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{T} K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} K^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left[ (I + K \Lambda_{\text{MAP}})^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} K \Lambda_{\text{MAP}} \right] \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{T} K^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} K^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left[ (\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}^{-1} + K)^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left[ \Lambda_{\text{MAP}}^{-1} (\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}^{-1} + K)^{-1} K \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} \ell(y_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}^{2}} \right] =$$
(34)

where we used Eq. (19) for the expression of  $\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}$ . When evaluating the remaining term from Eq. (33), we utilize the fact that  $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$  is the maximum of the posterior so that  $\partial \Psi(\boldsymbol{f})/\partial \boldsymbol{f} = \mathbf{0}$  for  $\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$  where  $\Psi(\boldsymbol{f})$  is defined in Eq. (16). Thus the implicit derivatives of the first two term in Eq. (32) vanish, leaving only

$$\frac{\partial g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \hat{f}_{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log |I + K\Lambda_{\text{MAP}}|}{\partial \hat{f}_{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace} \left[ (I + K\Lambda_{\text{MAP}})^{-1} K \frac{\partial \Lambda_{\text{MAP}}}{\partial \hat{f}_{i}} \right] 
= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace} \left[ (K^{-1} + \Lambda_{\text{MAP}})^{-1} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{\text{MAP}}}{\partial \hat{f}_{i}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (K^{-1} + \Lambda_{\text{MAP}})^{-1} \right]_{ii} \frac{\partial^{3} \ell(y_{i} \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}^{3}}$$
(35)

In order to evaluate the derivative  $\partial \hat{\mathbf{f}}/\partial \theta_j$ , we differentiate the fixed-point equation  $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = -K \sum_{i=1}^n \partial \ell(y_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \mathbf{f}|_{\mathbf{f} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}}$  (Rasmussen et al.) to obtain

$$\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial \theta_{j}} = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{f}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} - K \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}$$

$$= -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{f}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} - K \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \left[ \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \right]$$

$$- K \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \theta_{j}} = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}$$

$$- K \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \left[ \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \right] - K \Lambda_{\text{MAP}} \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \theta_j} = -(I + K\Lambda_{\text{MAP}})^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \ell(y_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} + K \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \left[ \frac{\partial \ell(y_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i} |_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \theta_{j}} = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} - K \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}$$

$$= -\frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}} - K \Lambda_{\text{MAP}} \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \theta_{j}}$$

$$= -(I + K \Lambda_{\text{MAP}})^{-1} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, f_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{f} = \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}$$
(36)

The desired derivatives are obtained by plugging Eq. (34-36) to Eq. (33). We Note that the following gradients are required for solving the optimization problem

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^2}, \frac{\partial^3 \ell(y_i \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^3}$$

Using Eq. (26) The derivative  $\partial^3 \ell(y_i \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial f_i^3$  in (35) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^{3}\ell(y_{i}\hat{f}_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}^{3}} = -\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial f_{i}^{3}}\log\left[\Phi\left(z_{1}^{i}\right) - \Phi\left(z_{2}^{i}\right)\right] \tag{37}$$

where  $z_1^i = \frac{\eta_{y_i} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}$  and  $z_2^i = \frac{\eta_{y_i-1} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}$ . Using the result in (30) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^3 \ell(y_i \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial f_i} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} \left[ v_0^2 + v_1 \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} \left( 2v_0 \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial f_i} + \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial f_i} \right) \tag{38}$$

where

$$v_p^i = \frac{(z_1^i)^p \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - (z_2^i)^p \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)}$$
(39)

Using Eq. (30) The term  $\partial v_0/\partial f_i$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial v_0^i}{\partial f_i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon} [(v_0^i)^2 + v_1^i] \tag{40}$$

The term  $\partial v_1/\partial f_i$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial v_1^i}{\partial f_i} = \frac{h'(f_i)k(f_i) - h(f_i)k'(f_i)}{k(f_i)^2} \tag{41}$$

where

$$\begin{split} h(f_i) &= z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \\ h'(f_i) &= \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial f_i} - (z_1^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial f_i} - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial f_i} + (z_2^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial f_i} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} (z_1^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) - \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} (z_2^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \left[ \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \left[ (z_1^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - (z_2^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \right] \\ k(f_i) &= \Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right) \\ k'(f_i) &= \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial f_i} - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial f_i} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \left[ \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \right] \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial f_i} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{(z_1^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - (z_2^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \left[ -v_0^i + v_2^i + v_1^i v_0^i \right]$$
(42)

Substituting Eq. (40,42) to Eq. (38) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^3 \ell(y_i f_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^3} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} \left( 2v_0^i \frac{\partial v_0^i}{\partial f_i} + \frac{\partial v_1^i}{\partial f_i} \right) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^3} [2(v_0^i)^3 + 3v_0^i v_1^i + v_2^i - v_0^i] \tag{43}$$

The derivative of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  with respect to  $\theta_j$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[ \Phi\left(z_{1}^{i}\right) - \Phi\left(z_{2}^{i}\right) \right] = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_{1}^{i}, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_{1}^{i}}{\partial \theta_{j}} - \mathcal{N}(z_{2}^{i}, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_{2}^{i}}{\partial \theta_{j}}}{\left[ \Phi\left(z_{1}^{i}\right) - \Phi\left(z_{2}^{i}\right) \right]}$$

$$(44)$$

The derivative of  $\partial \ell(y_i \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial f_i$  and  $\partial^2 \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial f_i^2$  with respect to  $\theta_j$  is given by (using Eq. 39)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} v_0^i$$
(45)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} [(v_0^i)^2 + v_1^i] = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} \left( 2v_0^i \frac{\partial v_0^i}{\partial \theta_j} + \frac{\partial v_1^i}{\partial \theta_j} \right) \tag{46}$$

#### The derivatives with respect to $\sigma_{\epsilon}$

For  $\theta_i = \sigma_{\epsilon}$  we obtain

$$\frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} = -\frac{\eta_{y_i} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} = -\frac{z_1^i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}, \quad \frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} = -\frac{z_2^i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}$$

The derivative of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  with respect to  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_1^i$$
(47)

To compute the derivative in Eq. (45),(46) with respect to  $\theta_j = \sigma_{\epsilon}$  we first compute the derivatives  $\partial v_0/\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}$  and  $\partial v_1/\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}$ 

$$\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{\left[ (z_1^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - (z_2^i)^2 \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \right]}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} 
= + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \frac{z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^2} 
= \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} (v_2^i + v_0^i v_1^i)$$
(48)

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} &= -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{(z_1^i)^3 \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - (z_2^i)^3 \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \left( \frac{z_1^i \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) - z_2^i \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi\left(z_1^i\right) - \Phi\left(z_2^i\right)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} [-v_1^i + v_2^i + (v_1^i)^2] \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2} (v_2^i + v_0^i v_1^i)$$
(49)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial^{2} \ell(y_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}^{2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{3}} [2v_{0}^{i}v_{2}^{i} + 2(v_{0}^{i})^{2}v_{1}^{i} - v_{1}^{i} + v_{3}^{i} + (v_{1}^{i})^{2}]$$
(50)

#### The derivatives with respect to $\eta_1$

We note that

$$\eta_{i} = \begin{cases}
-\infty & i = 0 \\
\eta_{1} & i = 1 \\
\eta_{1} + \sum_{l=1}^{i} \Delta_{l} & i \in [2, K - 1] \\
\infty & i = K
\end{cases}$$
(51)

For  $\theta_j = \eta_1$  we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial \eta_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \frac{\eta_{y_i} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} & y_i = [1, K - 1] \\ 0 & y_i = K \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial \eta_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \frac{\eta_{y_i - 1} - f_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i = 1\\ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} & y_i = [2, K] \end{cases}$$

We note however that

$$\mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial f_i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1) \frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial f_i} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \mathcal{N}(z_2^i, 0, 1)$$

The derivative of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  with respect to  $\eta_1$  is given by (using Eq. 44)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} v_0^i \tag{52}$$

To compute the derivative in Eq. (45),(46) with respect to  $\theta_j = \eta_1$  we first compute the derivatives  $\partial v_0/\partial \eta_1$  and  $\partial v_1/\partial \eta_1$ 

$$\frac{\partial v_0^i}{\partial \eta_1} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon} [(v_0^i)^2 + v_1^i]$$

$$\frac{\partial v_1^i}{\partial n_1} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} (-v_0^i + v_2^i + v_1^i v_0^i)$$

Thus we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} \frac{\partial \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2} [(v_0)^2 + v_1^i]$$
 (53)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_i^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^3} \left[ 2(v_0^i)^3 + 3v_0^i v_1 - v_0^i + v_2^i \right]$$
 (54)

#### The derivatives with respect to $\Delta_l$

Using Eq. (51) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial z_1^i}{\partial \Delta_l} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i \le l \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} & \text{else} \end{cases}, \quad l = 2, ..., K - 1$$

$$\frac{\partial z_2^i}{\partial \Delta_l} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i - 1 \le l \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} & \text{else} \end{cases}, \quad l = 2, ..., K - 1$$

The derivative of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  with respect to  $\Delta_l$  is given by (using Eq. 44)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta_l} \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i < l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} s_0^i & y_i = l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} v_0^i & y_i > l \end{cases}$$

where

$$s_p = \frac{(z_1^i)^p \mathcal{N}(z_1^i, 0, 1)}{\Phi(z_1^i) - \Phi(z_2^i)}$$
(55)

To compute the derivative in Eq. (45),(46) with respect to  $\theta_j = \eta_1$  we first compute the derivatives  $\partial v_0^i/\partial \eta_1$  and  $\partial v_1^i/\partial \eta_1$ 

$$\frac{\partial v_0^i}{\partial \Delta_l} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i < l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} [s_1^i + v_0^i s_0^i] & y_i = l , \quad l = 2, ..., K - 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} [(v_0^i)^2 + v_1^i] & y_i > l \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_1^i}{\partial \Delta_l} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i < l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} (-s_0^i + s_2^i + v_1^i s_0^i) & y_i = l , & l = 2, ..., K - 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} (-v_0^i + v_2^i + v_1^i v_0^i) & y_i > l \end{cases}$$

Thus we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta_{l}} \frac{\partial \ell(y_{i}, \hat{f}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial f_{i}} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_{i} < l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} [v_{0}^{i} s_{0}^{i} + s_{1}^{i}] & y_{i} = l , \quad l = 2, ..., K - 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} [(v_{0}^{i})^{2} + v_{1}^{i}] & y_{i} > l \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta_l} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(y_i, \hat{f}_i, \pmb{\theta})}{\partial f_i^2} = \begin{cases} 0 & y_i < l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon^3} \left[ 2(v_0^i)^2 s_0^i + 2v_0^i s_1^i - s_0^i + s_2^i + v_1^i s_0^i \right] & y_i = l \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon^3} \left[ 2(v_0^i)^3 + 3v_0^i v_1^i - v_0^i + v_2^i \right] & y_i > l \end{cases}$$

### References

[1] Chu, Wei, Zoubin Ghahramani, and Christopher KI Williams. "Gaussian processes for ordinal regression." Journal of machine learning research 6.7 (2005).